



**Programa de las  
Naciones Unidas  
para el Medio Ambiente**

Distr.  
Limitada

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/40/39  
13 de junio de 2003

ESPAÑOL  
ORIGINAL: INGLÉS

COMITÉ EJECUTIVO DEL FONDO MULTILATERAL  
PARA LA APLICACIÓN DEL  
PROTOCOLO DE MONTREAL  
Cuadragésima Reunión  
Montreal, 16 al 18 de julio de 2003

**PROPUESTA DE PROYECTO: MALAWI**

Este documento contiene comentarios y la recomendación de la Secretaría del Fondo sobre la propuesta de proyecto siguiente:

Fumigante

- Eliminación de todos los usos no esenciales y de cuarentena y preembarque de bromuro de metilo (liberación de la tercera partida) PNUD

## HOJA DE EVALUACIÓN DE PROYECTO MALAWI

SECTOR: fumigante Uso de SAO en el sector (2002): 55 toneladas PAO

Umbrales de costo a eficacia del subsector: n/c

### *Título del proyecto*

- (a) Eliminación de todos los usos no esenciales y de cuarentena y preembarque de bromuro de metilo (liberación de la tercera partida)

| <b>Datos del proyecto</b>                    | <b>Fumigante</b>                        |
|--|---|
| Consumo de empresas (toneladas PAO)          | 41,1                                    |
| Impacto del proyecto (toneladas PAO)         | 12                                      |
| Duración del proyecto (meses)                | 750.000                                 |
| Monto inicial solicitado (\$EUA )            | 750.000                                 |
| Costo final del proyecto (\$EUA ):           |   |
| Costo adicional de capital (a)               | 750.000                                 |
| Costo de imprevistos (b)                     | 750.000                                 |
| Costo adicional de explotación (c)           | El 100%                                 |
| Costo total del proyecto (a+b+c)             | 0%                                      |
| Propiedad local (%)                          | 0%                                      |
| Componente de exportación (%)                | Agricultural Research & Extension Trust |
| <b>Monto solicitado (\$EUA )</b>             | 750.000                                 |
| Costo a eficacia (\$EUA /kg.)                | 18,25                                   |
| Financiamiento de la contraparte confirmado? |   |
| Organismo nacional de coordinación           | PNUD                                    |
| Organismo de ejecución                       |   |

| <b>Recomendaciones de la Secretaría</b>            |  |
|--|--|
| Monto recomendado (\$EUA )                         |  |
| Impacto del proyecto (toneladas PAO)               |  |
| Costo a eficacia (\$EUA /kg)                       |  |
| Costo de apoyo del organismo de ejecución (\$EUA ) |  |
| Costo total al Fondo Multilateral (\$EUA )         |  |

## **DESCRIPCIÓN DEL PROYECTO**

### Antecedentes

1. En su 32<sup>a</sup> Reunión, el Comité Ejecutivo aprobó, en principio, \$EUA 2 999 824 como fondos totales disponibles para la eliminación 111 toneladas PAO de bromuro de metilo usadas en almá cigos de tabaco en Malawi. Mediante un proyecto diferente (no apoyado por el Fondo Multilateral), el gobierno de Malawi se compromete a eliminar, para fines de 2004, 21 toneladas PAO adicionales de bromuro de metilo utilizadas en el almacenaje de granos. El PNUMA aprobó un monto adicional de \$EUA 25 000 para una propuesta de mejoramiento de la capacidad de las organizaciones agrícolas y las ONG locales de comunicar información relativa al bromuro de metilo.
2. Hasta el momento, el Comité Ejecutivo aprobó, para la ejecución del proyecto, dos partidas del financiamiento por un total de \$EUA 1,4 millones. En la 39<sup>a</sup> Reunión de dicho Comité, el PNUD presentó un informe preliminar sobre la marcha de las actividades del proyecto de almá cigos de tabaco (UNEP/Ozl.Pro/ExCom/39/37/Rev.1), sin pedir fondos adicionales.
3. Posteriormente, el PNUD presentó a consideración del Comité Ejecutivo, un informe revisado sobre la marcha de las actividades del proyecto y una solicitud de \$EUA 750 000, que corresponde a la tercera parte del proyecto. Se adjunta a este documento una copia de este informe del UNDP.

### Informe sobre la marcha de las actividades

4. La ejecución de las primeras dos partes del proyecto produjo la eliminación de 42,3 toneladas PAO de bromuro de metilo, gracias al uso del sistema de bandejas en 2 235 almá cigos (4 toneladas PAO de bromuro de metilo) y el uso de productos químicos alternativos (metam sodio y Basamida) en 21 250 almá cigos (38,3 toneladas PAO).
5. Durante el período 2001-2002, se brindó capacitación a los profesionales de ARET (Agricultural Research and Extension Trust) y al personal de extensión; se organizaron 11 programas de demostración sobre la utilización del sistema de bandejas flotantes, la gestión de los cultivos sin suelo y el uso adecuado de productos químicos sucedáneos. En 2002 también se realizaron actividades destinadas a la divulgación de información, la sensibilización del público y la extensión.

Gastos

6. En la tabla siguiente se presenta el desglose de los gastos y compromisos pendientes de 2001 y 2002:

| Rubro                            | Desembolsado (\$EUA) | Comprometido (\$EUA) | Total (\$EUA) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Personal (local, externo)        | 74,673               | 155,700              | 230,373       |
| Subcontratos                     | 26,422               | 35,000               | 61,422        |
| Capacitación                     | 17,454               | 80,692               | 98,146        |
| Miscelánea                       | 8,616                | 11,500               | 20,116        |
| Diferencias por cambio de divisa | 663                  | -                    | 663           |
| <b>Equipos:</b>                  |                      |                      |               |
| Bandejas                         | 95,029               | 20,000               | 115,029       |
| Substrato de corteza             | 45,389               | 0                    | 45,389        |
| Película negra de plástico       | 74,932               | 0                    | 74,932        |
| Productos químicos               | 677,876              | 25,000               | 702,876       |
| Invernadero                      | 28,054               | 23,000               | 51,054        |
| <b>Total</b>                     | 1,049,108            | 350,892              | 1,400,000     |

Programa de trabajo para 2003

7. Para el programa de trabajo annual de 2003, el PNUD propone seguir distribuyendo materiales y equipos entre los granjeros para lograr una eliminación adicional de 81,3 toneladas PAO de bromuro de metilo; capacitar a 30 agrónomos y especialistas agrícolas nacionales y a 200 cultivadores comerciales; difundir material informativo y los resultados del proyecto entre las partes interesadas; y ayudar al gobierno a crear criterios que prohiban el uso del bromuro de metilo en la producción de los almácigos de tabaco.

**COMENTARIOS Y RECOMENDACIÓN DE LA SECRETARÍA****COMENTARIOS**

8. Según los datos del consumo del bromuro de metilo presentados por el gobierno de Malawi a la Secretaría del Ozono, dicho consumo había disminuido de su nivel máximo, en 1999, de 129 toneladas PAO a 55 toneladas PAO, en 2002. Este nivel está por debajo del consumo presentado en la propuesta de proyecto para 2002 (o sea 90 toneladas PAO). Al respecto, la Secretaría pidió que el PNUD aclarara si las reducciones del consumo del bromuro de metilo se lograron gracias a la ejecución del proyecto de inversión o a otros factores externos. El PNUD indicó que las cifras de consumo presentadas en el informe sobre la marcha de las actividades sólo se podrían considerar como los mejores cálculos obtenidos y no como los datos oficiales dados por el gobierno. La cuestión de las discrepancias de los datos ya se trató con el gobierno de Malawi; y se acordó realizar una encuesta para recopilar datos durante la segunda

mitad de junio de 2003, con el fin de poder actualizar las cifras dadas, corregir el informe, y que así el gobierno pueda enviar un corrigendum, con la justificación correspondiente, a las Secretarías del Ozono y del Fondo. Un experto técnico internacional examinará y verificará los datos recopilados con la encuesta, y asistirá alternadamente, al gobierno a determinar el impacto exacto de la reducción alcanzada con la ejecución del proyecto hasta la fecha, y a dirigir al equipo nacional de gestión de proyectos a preparar un plan estratégico de trabajo.

9. La Secretaría también observó que en Malawi el consumo del bromuro de metilo de 2002 era de 55 toneladas PAO y que se eliminarán 41,1 toneladas PAO adicionales con la ejecución de la tercera parte del proyecto, después de lo cual el consumo remanente del bromuro de metilo en ese país sería de unas 14 toneladas PAO. El PNUD informó a la Secretaría que dado la falta de fiabilidad de los datos dados, es claro que el gobierno de Malawi y el PNUD no pueden actualmente certificar el impacto de la reducción alcanzada gracias a las actividades del proyecto, comparado con el consumo en curso del país. Sólo al terminar la mencionada encuesta será posible dar información concluyente sobre esta cuestión.

10. Con respecto a la eliminación del bromuro de metilo usado en las instalaciones de almacenaje de granos, el gobierno de Malawi informó al PNUD que como fumigante actualmente se están utilizando tabletas de fosfina.

11. La Secretaría observó que si bien el desembolso total ascendió a casi 47 por ciento de los fondos totales aprobados, sólo se había eliminado bromuro de metilo en el 21 por ciento de toda el área donde se aplicaba. El PNUD informó que las cifras dadas en el informe no tomaron en consideración el hecho de que se había terminado el desembolso para los equipos y materiales adquiridos durante 2002. En consecuencia, el área total donde se eliminó el bromuro de metilo es más del 21 por ciento indicado. El equipo nacional de proyecto está recalculando actualmente el área real donde se ha eliminado el bromuro de metilo. El PNUD también indicó que el gobierno de Malawi se compromete a aumentar el área que se convertirá al sistema de bandejas flotantes durante el resto de la ejecución del proyecto.

## **RECOMENDACIÓN**

12. El proyecto se presenta para consideración individual. El PNUD recopila actualmente la información adicional que comunicará al Comité Ejecutivo antes de la 40<sup>a</sup> Reunión.





# **ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT: Phase-out of all non-essential and non-QPS Methyl Bromide in Malawi**

**Presented to the Executive Committee of the Montreal Protocol**

**Project Number:**    **MLW/FUM/32/DEM/01**  
                            **MLW/FUM/34/INV/16**

**UNDP Project Number:**    **MLW/01/G61**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| <b>TOPIC</b>  | <b>Page No.</b> |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. BACKGROUND   | 3               |
| 1 A. PROJECT INFORMATION  | 3               |
| 1 B. PROJECT OBJECTIVES   | 3               |
| 2. MB CONSUMPTION OVERVIEW  | 4               |
| 3. PROGRESS OF YEAR 2002 IMPLEMENTATION                                   | 4               |
| 4. YEAR 2002 – PHASE-OUT TARGETS AND RESULTS                              | 5               |
| 5. IMPLEMENTATION METHODOLOGIES   | 8               |
| 6. INDICATORS – PHASE-OUT   | 10              |
| 7. SUMMARY OF CUMULATIVE PROJECT PROGRESS                                 | 11              |
| 8. PROJECT EXPENDITURES and COMMITMENTS                                   | 13              |
| 9. YEAR 2003 WORK PLAN  | 13              |
| 10. ANNEX 1: DISTRIBUTION OF PROJECT MATERIALS PER SEEDBED (by region)    | 14              |
| 11. ANNEX 2: SUMMARY DISTRIBUTION OF PROJECT MATERIALS PER SEEDBED - 2002 | 19              |

## **BACKGROUND**

### **1A. PROJECT INFORMATION**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>MLF Project Numbers:</b>                | MLW/FUM/32/DEM/01 (trials phase)<br>MLW/FUM/34/INV/16   |
| <b>UNDP Project Number:</b>                | MLW/01/G61  |
| <b>Project Title:</b>                      | Phase-out of all non-essential and non-QPS Methyl Bromide in Malawi                                 |
| <b>Implementing Agency:</b>                | United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)   |
| <b>Executing Agency:</b>                   | Government of Malawi (Nationally Executed) through Agricultural Research and Extension Trust (ARET) |
| <b>Project Approval Date:</b>              | 30 <sup>th</sup> April 2001   |
| <b>Project Completion Date:</b>            | 31 <sup>st</sup> December 2004  |
| <b>Total Budget Approved (US \$):</b>      | US \$ 2,999,824   |
| <b>Disbursements to date:</b>              | US\$ 1,400,000 (US \$400,000 of which was used in Phase I to conduct demonstration trials)          |
| <b>Disbursement requested (tranche 3):</b> | <b>US\$ 750,000</b>   |

### **1B. PROJECT OBJECTIVES**

The objective of the project is to phase out 111 ODP tonnes of methyl bromide (MBr) used by 424,789 tobacco farmers (of which 76,000 are registered) cultivating 180,155 hectares of tobacco. In addition, the Government, through a complementary project presently being implemented separately, is phasing out 21 ODP tonnes used in grain storage. Together, total methyl bromide used in the sector represented, at the time of the project's approval, 132 ODP tonnes for non-essential and non-QPS uses.

Malawi has not had the benefit of any demonstration project and therefore, following the existing guidelines on methyl bromide (decision 27/33) which allow a small demonstration component within the investment project, the project was developed to include two phases. Phase 1 compromised a small-scale methyl bromide phase out programme, the purpose of which was to ensure that the technology of choice an alternative technology that the country can live with. Inputs included extensive training of leading farmers and extensionists in the use of non-soil (hydroponic) technique and basamid (covered by grant funding), together with another chemical treatment (covered by Government), all within the context of an integrated pest management system. Phase I covered 17.4% of the total hectarage presently

used for tobacco cultivating. In the case of Malawi, the demonstration component called for achievement of ODS phase-out since alternative chemicals were used to produce the seedlings which in turn, were planted in open fields.

Phase II consists of the full tobacco sector methyl bromide phase-out, concentrating on adoption of cost effective and efficient substitutes for methyl bromide identified during the demonstration trials, and using the personnel trained during Phase I. This should cover about 83% of total hectarage presently used for tobacco cultivation in Malawi, or approximately 180,000 hectares of land where tobacco is grown.

Phase I and II include extensive and intensive training that will reach up to 424,789 growers/farmers. The project will also involve in-depth policy dialogue and development of measures to enforce the use of alternatives and ensure permanent and sustainable phase-out. Out of a total 1,081 hectares used for planting tobacco, 400 hectares use methyl bromide. This Multilateral Fund Project covers the elimination of methyl bromide in these 400 hectares. However the Government, through the project's training and awareness programme and through national legislation, will ensure that the remaining 60% of the land not using methyl bromide will not begin using this controlled chemical in future.

## **2. MeBr CONSUMPTION OVERVIEW**

The table below provides an overview of MeBr consumption in Malawi until December 2002 (excluding QPS). The information it provides is not official data reported by the Government, and as a result should only be considered as best estimates gathered during preparation of the Progress Report. Once precise import data has been collected and is available, it will be reported to the Ozone Secretariat without delay. As a result, the figures indicated below are likely to change in future:

**Table 1: MeBr Consumption Overview**

| <b>Year</b>                | <b>MB imports (ODP-tonnes)</b> |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Baseline (1995-98 average) | 122.4                          |
| 2000                       | 56                             |
| 2001                       | 65.603                         |
| 2002                       | 35.308                         |

## **3. OVERVIEW OF PROGRESS - YEAR 2002 IMPLEMENTATION**

### **January - February 2002:**

- Recruitment of the National Project Manager
- Development of a revised project logo (post-demonstration phase) to adequately reflect the sector being targeted by the project
- Procurement process launched for Year 2002 activities

### **April – May 2002:**

- Identification and recruitment of regional technical experts
- Preparation and submission of Annual Progress Report for Phase I
- National Project Manager reported for duty
- Local consultant engaged to conduct an independent evaluation of the project Monitoring and evaluation field visits
- Receipt of funding for 2002

**June 2002:**

- Construction of a greenhouse launched

**July 2002:**

- Arrival of the first consignment of the materials for Phase II
- Distribution of materials to growers that had received training
- Monitoring and evaluation field visits launched

**August 2002:**

- Monitoring and evaluation visits (on-going)
- Field days and on-farm demonstrations conducted in all the three regions
- Arrival of additional materials
- Final report of the independent evaluation received
- Distribution of material to growers that had received training

**September 2002:**

- Arrival of final consignment of materials
- Distribution of materials to growers that had received training
- Implementation of field days and demonstrations continued

**October 2002:**

- Public awareness activities
- Monitoring and evaluation visits (on-going)
- Implementation of field days and demonstrations continued
- Press briefing to raise awareness on methyl bromide ban and to promote the use of alternatives

**November 2002:**

- Resignation of National Ozone Officer to join UNEP CAP programme.
- Monitoring and evaluation visits (on-going)

**December 2002:**

- Environmental Affairs Department (EAD) assigns new National Ozone Officer, Ms. Jacinta Chipendo

#### **4. YEAR 2002 - PHASE-OUT TARGETS AND RESULTS**

As per the “Agreed Conditions for Phase Out of Methyl Bromide in Malawi”, the Government of Malawi committed itself to phase-out 111 ODP tonnes of methyl bromide used in tobacco production through this terminal phase-out project by 31<sup>st</sup> December 2004.

For 2002, the total phase-out target for methyl bromide use in this sector was 40.2 ODP tonnes, which comprised phase-out of 19.3 ODP T for 2001 and 20.9 ODP T for 2002. According to the report presented by a local independent evaluation consultant, 18.45 ODP T of MeBr were eliminated in 2001. An additional 23.82 ODP T were eliminated in 2002. As a result, to date a total of 42.27 ODP T have been eliminated as a result of efforts underway within the context of the project.

Based on the quantity of materials which were used in 2002 (refer to Annex 2) the following calculations on the number of ODP T phased out per technology have been made:

- **Float Tray System**

The use of soil less culture (floating tray system), yielded a total phase-out of 4.023 ODP T, as highlighted below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Number of ponds constructed} &= 745 \\
 \text{Equivalent number of seedbeds} &= 745 \times 3 \text{ (1 pond makes 3 seedbeds)} \\
 \text{Total} &= 2235 \text{ seedbeds}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 \text{ seedbed} &= 36 \text{ sq. metres} \\
 \therefore 2235 \text{ seedbeds} &= 2235 \times 36 \text{ sq. metres} \\
 &= 80,460 \text{ sq. metres}
 \end{aligned}$$

ODP T phased out:

$$\begin{aligned}
 50 \text{ g of MeBr} &= 1 \text{ sq. metre} \\
 \therefore 80,460 \text{ sq. metres} \times 50 \text{ g} &\div 1 \text{ sq. metre} = 4,023,000 \text{ g (4.023 T)}
 \end{aligned}$$

- **Basamid**

A total of 18,463 tobacco nursery beds were treated with Basamid which yielded elimination of 33.23 ODP T consumption MeBr.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Number of seedbeds} &= 18,463 \\
 \text{Amount of Basamid applied} &= 16,586 \text{ Kg}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 \text{ seedbed} &= 36 \text{ sq. metres} \\
 \therefore 18,463 \text{ seedbeds} &= 18,463 \text{ seedbeds} \times 36 \text{ sq. metres / seedbed} \\
 &= 664,668 \text{ sq. metres}
 \end{aligned}$$

ODP T phased out:

$$\begin{aligned}
 50 \text{ g of MeBr} &= 1 \text{ sq. metre} \\
 \therefore 664,668 \text{ sq. metres} \times 50 \text{ g} &\div 1 \text{ sq. metre} = 33.2334 \text{ ODP T}^1
 \end{aligned}$$

- **Herbifume<sup>2</sup>**

A total of 2,790 beds were treated with metam sodium (availability of this product was the result of a balance that remained from the 2001 trial season). The metam sodium had been promoted as a contribution on the part of the government because the stakeholders had requested that it be included in the trial phase. It contributed to the following phase-out.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Number of seedbeds} &= 2790 \\
 \text{Amount of Metam Sodium applied} &= 8,260 \text{ litres}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 \text{ seedbed} &= 36 \text{ sq. metres} \\
 \therefore 2790 \text{ seedbeds} &= 2790 \times 36 \text{ sq. metres} \\
 &= 100,440 \text{ sq. metres}
 \end{aligned}$$

ODP T phased out:

$$\begin{aligned}
 50 \text{ g of MeBr} &= 1 \text{ sq. metre} \\
 \therefore 100,440 \text{ sq. metres} \times 50 \text{ g} &\div 1 \text{ sq. metre} = 5.022 \text{ ODP T}
 \end{aligned}$$

The total amount of ODP T phased-out during 2002 therefore, comes to **42.27 ODP T**.

The tables below provide a breakdown of the distribution of alternatives amongst the various estates involved in the project's implementation during 2002.

---

<sup>1</sup> There could be a positive variation with the actual amounts of Basamid distributed in 2002 versus the number of seedbeds and it is because of the balance of the material from 2001 which was already with the farmers and was used by the farmers.

<sup>2</sup> Balance of the 2001 stock used in trials (covered by Government)

**TABLE 2: Distribution of Nursery Seedbeds Adopting Alternatives (Central Region)**

| <b>ESTATES – Central Region</b> | <b>BASAMID</b> | <b>SOILLESS CULTURE</b>   |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Kakuyu Investments           | -              | 90 (30 ponds)             |
| 2. Lisandwa                     | -              | 90 (30 ponds)             |
| 3. Chitipi                      | 100            | 30 (10 ponds)             |
| 4. Kandiya                      | 80             | 1 (2.5 ponds)             |
| 5. Mawano                       | -              | 45 (15 ponds)             |
| 6. Likase                       | -              | 45 (15 ponds)             |
| 7. Mbabzi Estate                | -              | 30 (10 ponds)             |
| 8. Tamamalowo                   | -              | 30 (10 ponds)             |
| 9. Chinese T. Mission           | 30             | 15 (5 ponds)              |
| 10. Thandizani Estate           | 30             | 48 (16 ponds)             |
| 11. Mikundi EPA                 | -              | 12 (4 ponds)              |
| 12. Mkusa Nkhoma                | 2              | 6 (2 ponds)               |
| 13. Tiyamike Estate             | -              | 15 (5 ponds)              |
| 14. NASFAM Kasungu              | 10             | 78 (26 ponds)             |
| 15. Dr. Mgomezulu               | -              | 15 (5 ponds)              |
| 16. P.M. Banda (KU)             | -              | 12 (4 ponds)              |
| 17. J.A. Tewete                 | -              | 12 (4 ponds)              |
| 18. Chikalira (KU)              | -              | 12 (4 ponds)              |
| 19. Lupachi Estate              | 30             | 9 (3 ponds)               |
| 20. Kapuku                      | 30             | 6 (2 ponds)               |
| 21. Chisambo                    | -              | 12 (4 ponds)              |
| 22. Mr. Phikiso (NU)            | -              | 9 (3 ponds)               |
| 23. Liwelezi Estate             | 1860           | -                         |
| 24. Lingadzi Estate             | 105            | -                         |
| 25. Nsangu Estate               | 12             | 30 (10 ponds)             |
| 26. Khama Estate                | 12             |                           |
| 27. Tiyenzi Tsogolani           | 50             |                           |
| 28. Chimwemwe Estate            | 660            |                           |
| 29. Chimwankango Estate         | 350            |                           |
| 30. Kwamba Estate               | 25             |                           |
| 31. Glen Farms                  | 10             |                           |
| 32. General Farming             | 3000           | 30 (10 ponds)             |
| 33. Baron                       | -              | 30 (10 ponds)             |
| 34. McPherson                   | -              | 12 (4 ponds)              |
| 35. KTFT – Limbe Leaf           | 1700           | 60 (20 ponds)             |
| 36. Press Farming               | -              | 45 (15 ponds)             |
| 37. Chilipa Estates             | 10             | 9 (3 ponds)               |
| 38. Fulaha Estate               | 100            | 6 (2 ponds)               |
| 39. Chiuseni Banda              | -              | 30 (10 ponds)             |
| 40. Kachita Estate              | -              | 6 (2 ponds)               |
| 41. Talimbanazo Estate          | 250            | 18 (6 ponds)              |
| 42. Viole Estate                | 30             | -                         |
| 43. Mdyankhangwa                | 30             | 12 (4 ponds)              |
| 44. Tengani                     | 30             |                           |
| 45. Mwimba Farm Institute       | 130            |                           |
| 46. Mwimba Research             | 30             | 18 (6 ponds)              |
| 47. Mando                       | 120            |                           |
| 48. Madalitso                   | 30             |                           |
| 49. Msambaimfa                  | 20             |                           |
| 50. Songwe Wood Barn            | -              | 6 (2 ponds)               |
| 51. Kamuzu Academy              | 300            | 60 (20 ponds)             |
| <b>Sub-Total</b>                | <b>9176</b>    | <b>1060 (353.5 ponds)</b> |

**TABLE 3: Distribution of Nursery Seedbeds Adopting Alternatives (Southern Region)**

| <b>ESTATES – Southern Region</b> | <b>BASAMID</b> | <b>SOILLESS CULTURE</b> |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Mafisi Estate                 | 1000           | 147 (49 Ponds)          |
| 2. Conforzi Estate               | 30             | 12 (4 Ponds)            |
| 3. Chiwale Estate                | 10             | 12 (4 Ponds)            |
| 4. Ndata Farm                    | 200            | -                       |
| 5. Chipale Estate                | 15             | 90 (30 ponds)           |
| 6. Chimpensi Estate              | 15             | 48 (16 ponds)           |
| 7. Mpira/Lisale Estate           | 430            | 30 (10 ponds)           |
| 8. Chakanika                     | 15             | -                       |
| 9. Ipani                         | 15             | -                       |
| 10. Namigwere                    | 15             | -                       |
| 11. Grain                        | 15             | -                       |
| 12. Southern End                 | -              | 90 (30 ponds)           |
| 13. Msamba                       | -              | 30 (10 ponds)           |
| 14. Kapalasa                     | -              | 15 (5 ponds)            |
| 15. Chitumba                     | 15             | 150 (50 ponds)          |
| 16. Tambala Estates              | -              | 21 (7 ponds)            |
| 17. Namizimu Estate              | 50             | 18 (6 ponds)            |
| 18. NASFAM – Dzaone              | -              | -                       |
| 19. Namasuwi                     | 100            | -                       |
| 20. Matambo Estates              | -              | 12 (4 ponds)            |
| 21. Hamboli Estate               | 45             | 18 (6 ponds)            |
| 22. Makande Estate               | 45             | 18 (6 ponds)            |
| 23. Kamponje Estates             | -              | 18 (6 ponds)            |
| <b>SUB-TOTAL</b>                 | <b>2015</b>    | <b>729 (243 Ponds)</b>  |

**TABLE 4: Distribution of Nursery Seedbeds Adopting Alternatives (Northern Region)**

| <b>ESTATES – Northern Region</b> | <b>BASAMID</b> | <b>SOILLESS CULTURE</b> |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Chilimdongo Estate            | 100            | -                       |
| 2. Mafeni Estate                 | -              | 15 (5 ponds)            |
| 3. Admarc Estates (6)            | 1600           | 120 (40 ponds)          |
| 4. Bachivinya                    | 50             | 54 (18 ponds)           |
| 5. Katonthowolo Estate           | 200            | 30 (10 ponds)           |
| 6. Kabwafu (Limbe Leaf)          | 2883           | 114 (38 ponds)          |
| 7. Mbalachanda – Limbe           | -              | 30 (10 ponds)           |
| 8. NASFAM – Nkhamanga            | -              | 18 (6 ponds)            |
| 9. Nkhozo (Rumphi)               | 80             | -                       |
| 10. Khuyu (Rumphi)               | 80             | -                       |
| 11. Nisungani (Mzimba            | 70             | -                       |
| 12. Funda (Rumphi)               | 100            | -                       |
| 13. Pokani (Mzimba)              | 88             | -                       |
| <b>SUB-TOTAL</b>                 | <b>5251</b>    | <b>399 (133 ponds)</b>  |

## 5. IMPLEMENTATION METHODOLOGIES

The main responsibility for implementation of the project lies with the Agricultural Research and Extension Trust (ARET), since 99% of methyl bromide use is for soil sterilization in tobacco nurseries and this is sector managed by this organisation. Project implementation is guided by the Project Steering Committee (PSC) which is chaired by the Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation Development. Other members of the PSC include the Environmental Affairs Department as secretariat, ARET as executing agency, Tobacco Association of Malawi (TAMA), Pesticide Association of Malawi, ADMARC, the Ministry

of Finance, Bunda College of Agriculture, Coordination Unit for Rehabilitation of Environment (CURE), National Smallholder Farmers Association of Malawi (NASFAM), the Pesticides Control Board and UNDP.

Phase I of the project acted as a demonstration phase and covered 17.4% of the total hectarage under tobacco production. This involved training leading farmers and extensionists on the use of alternative technologies such as soilless culture (hydroponics), basamid and other chemicals, within the context of an integrated pest management (IPM) approach.

Phase II is designed as a full tobacco sector methyl bromide phase-out programme that will address use on 180,000 ha, representing about 83% of the tobacco sector hectarage. This phase will concentrate on adoption of the most cost effective and efficient alternative(s) to methyl bromide using the same personnel trained in 2001.

In 2001, Mr. Andy Mills and Peter Roberts, consultants recruited by the project, conducted training of ARET professionals and extension staff in order to launch the demonstration phase. These same ARET professionals and extension staff continued to conduct the training in 2002, in spite of the fact that additional external experts were not recruited. In addition, ARET assigned a focal point who coordinated all project activities prior to the National Project Manager being recruited.

A total of 11 demonstrations were conducted. These focused on proper pond construction, preparation growing medium, filling the floating tray cells, general management of the soil less culture and proper application methods for basamid. Demonstrations were conducted on the following estates: Mafisi, Makandi, Makoka Valley, Tambala, Kawele, Mukundi EPA, NASFAM (Kasungu), Janet Farm, Songwe Wood Barn, Kanthowole and Bachiviya. Other estates surrounding these demonstration sites also attended the demonstrations.

Ten field days were also conducted in 2002. They targeted commercial farmers, extension officers and, from an information and outreach perspective, journalists. Commercial and smallholder farmers, stakeholders from government and non-governmental organizations, members of the Tobacco Association of Malawi (TAMA), as well as members of the press attended the field days. Total participation in 2002 came to 586 attendees. During the field days, participants were sensitized to the dangers of methyl bromide use in relation to ozone depletion and the commitment made by the Government of Malawi to phase-out its use by the end of 2004. Field days provided the opportunity to promote the alternatives to MeBr use supported by project and were very practical in nature. Given that they were held on farms and estates participating in the project, practical demonstrations of application of the alternative materials were presented by farmers.

Other activities that were in 2002 work programme included the following:

- Trials and field days
- Training of farmers
- Procurement and distribution of materials
- Evaluation of the project results ( it is a requirement that an evaluation be conducted with ARET as a lead agency at the end of one and half years when harvest is done).
- Awareness materials – Brochures and leaflets containing information about the dangers of methyl bromide and the availability of alternatives were produced, in association with CURE.
- Consultations with stakeholders
- Field visits - Field visits were conducted to all the three regions of Malawi to monitor the progress of the project. Specifically, the visits were aimed at getting feedback from the farmers on the performance of the technologies, monitor use of

the distributed materials and conduct field days. The field visits also presented an opportunity of getting preliminary requests and ideas for the coming season.

## 6. INDICATORS – PHASE-OUT

Although most farmers have expressed willingness to use the floating tray system, the reality of its application in event of late delivery of the materials resulted in most of them opting for basamid as it is similar in its mode of application. It is hoped that in 2003 the number of farmers utilizing this technology will increase. The table below summaries the field days conducted, the technologies demonstrated and levels of attendance.

**Table 5: Field days conducted in 2002**

| NAME OF ESTATE   | DISTRICT     | DATE OF THE FIELD DAY | ATTENDANCE | TECHNOLOGY               |
|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Mafisi           | Thyolo       | 14/10/2002            | 37         | Floating tray<br>Basamid |
| Chisamba         | Nkhotakota   | 30/10/2002            | 87         | Floating tray            |
| Tikondwe         | Nkhotakota   | 07/11/2002            | 93         | Basamid                  |
| Kapuku           | Nkhotakota   | 15/11/2002            |            | Floating tray            |
| Songwe Wood Barn | Ntheu/Bwanje | 15/11/2002            | 39         | Floating tray            |
| NASFAM           | Kasungu      | 19/11/2002            | 56         | Floating tray<br>Basamid |
| Mikundi EPA      | Mchinji      | 20/11/2002            | 143        | Floating tray<br>Basamid |
| Makoka valley    | Zomba        | 12/11/2002            | 4          | Floating tray            |
| Tiyamike         | Ntchisi      | 26/11/2002            | 51         | Floating tray            |
| Katonthowolo     | Mzimba       | 29/11/2002            | 76         | Basamid                  |

**Table 5: Other field activities**

| Date              | Place  | Officers                   | Activity   |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| July 2002         | Thyolo, Zomba,<br>Machinga                         | Kavalo, E.<br>Mwale, E.    | Distribution of materials and arrange for demonstrations |
| August 2002       | Kasungu, Lilongwe,<br>Salima, Mchinji, Dowa        | Kavalo, E.                 | Demand assessment  |
| September 2002    | Thyolo, Zomba,<br>Mangochi and<br>Machinga         | Mwale, E.<br>Dumbo         | Follow up monitoring visit                               |
| September 2002    | Mzimba, Kasungu                                    | Salifu, P (EAD)<br>Dumbo   | Monitoring   |
| 1- 5 October 2002 | Thyolo, Zomba,<br>Machinga                         | Kavalo, E.<br>Changaya, A  | Monitoring, organizing a field day                       |
| 7 –12 October     | Kasungu, Mzimba,<br>Rumphi                         | Kavalo, E.<br>Changaya, A. | Monitoring   |
| October 2002      | Mzimba, Kasungu                                    | Kavalo, E.                 | Monitoring and demand assessment for 2003                |
| September 2002    | Lilongwe, Mchinji,<br>Kasungu                      | Mwale, E.<br>Banda         | Monitoring   |
| October 2002      | Mzimba, Salima,<br>Kasungu, Ntchisi,<br>Nkhotakota | Mwale, E.                  | Monitoring   |

## 7. SUMMARY OF THE CUMULATIVE PROJECT PROGRESS

The table below highlights activities implemented in 2002 and indicates achievements, contributions made by project partners, as well as an indication of activities that have been re-scheduled for the 2003 season:

**Table 6: Workplan implementation**

| Task name   | Achievement   | Start date | End date  | Remarks  |
|---|---|------------|-----------|--|
| Project evaluation consultancy  | A local consultant was engaged and produced an evaluation report                              | June 02    | July 02   | Done   |
| Obtaining tenders from suppliers  | Tenders obtained and orders were made   | April 02   | May 02    | Done by Government   |
| Advertise for external consultants to provide training and monitoring in on-going use of alternatives | Candidates were identified, invited to apply and short-listing conducted                      | April 02   | May 02    | Done by Government   |
| Launch greenhouse construction  | One greenhouse constructed at ARET  | June 02    | August 02 | Constructed by C.H. Greenery.  |
| Submission of Annual Progress Report to UNDP  | Progress report submitted   | April 02   | April 02  | Done   |
| First Monitoring and Evaluation mission (southern region)   | Help the project management (ARET) to evaluate results at ground level                        | May 02     | May 02    | UNDP facilitated the trip  |
| Monitoring and Evaluation mission (northern and central regions)                                      | Help the project management (ARET) to evaluate results at ground level                        | July 02    | July 02   | Included participation of new National Project Coordinator to introduce him to project           |
| Follow-up training of farmers (southern region)   | On-going through ARET extension staff   | -          | -         | On-going   |
| Follow-up training of farmers (Central region)  | On-going through ARET extension staff   | -          | -         | On-going   |
| Follow-up training of farmers (northern region)   | On-going through ARET extension staff   | -          | -         | On-going   |
| Study tour  | Proposed to be conducted to South Africa to assist in evaluation of adoption of alternatives  | -          | -         | Scheduled for 2003   |
| Public awareness activities   | Increase awareness of issues associated with use of MeBr and promote adoption of alternatives | Oct. 02    | Oct. 02   | Ongoing and continuing in 2003   |
| Recruitment of consultants  | Short-list of candidates was reviewed and an agreement on recruitment was made                | -          | -         | External regional experts missions postponed to early 2003 due to delays in arrival of materials |

|   |  |          |          |  |
|---|--|----------|----------|--|
| Tender evaluation / procurements                              | Done as planned  | May 02   | May 02   | Done as scheduled  |
| Procurement of materials                                      | Materials procured   | July 02  | July 02  | Done by Government   |
| Distribution of materials                                     | Materials distributed to all the three regions   | July 02  | Sept. 02 | Some late delivery experienced by ARET due transport problems                                      |
| 6 Monitoring and Evaluation missions                          | 10 visits completed during the 6 M&E missions conducted by Ntl Project Mgr                     | July-Nov | July-Nov | 10 visits conducted  |
| Awareness meeting for journalists                             | Outreach activity was planned to take place in August 2002                                     | -        | -        | Rescheduled to 2003. Activity postponed in order to take advantage of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year results |
| Field days  | These were practical demonstrations of the application of the technologies by the farmers      | Aug. 02  | Dec. 02  | 10 field days were conducted   |
| Training on ODS regulations                                   | Draft training outline developed   | -        | -        | Training rescheduled to 2003   |
| Follow up on ODS regulations enforcement                      | Legal consultant to advise Steering Committee on adoption and enforcement of regs              | -        | -        | Rescheduled to 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2003   |
| Regular meetings of the National Project Steering Committee   | Report on technical progress and discuss policy mechanisms to support elimination efforts      | several  | several  | The NPSC met 4 times   |
| Evaluation workshop with trainers                             | External technical experts will assist national trainers to properly evaluate in-field results | -        | -        | Rescheduled to 2003  |
| Regional workshops with farmers                               | To review status of implementation and adoption  | -        | -        | To be conducted in February 2003   |
| Study tour to Argentina – phase-out project in tobacco sector | Allow for South-South exchange of experience in adoption of alternatives in the sector         | -        | -        | Scheduled for late 03  |

## 8. PROJECT EXPENDITURES and COMMITMENTS (US \$)

**Table 7: Project Expenditures and Pending Obligations**

| Budget Line                             | Disbursements in<br>2001/2002 | Funds obligated<br>during 2002 | TOTAL                        |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>Personnel (local &amp; external)</b> | 74,673                        | 155,700                        | 230,373                      |
| <b>Sub-contract</b>                     | 26,422                        | 35,000                         | 61,422                       |
| <b>Training</b>                         | 17,454                        | 80,692                         | 98,146                       |
| <b>Equipment</b>                        | 921,280                       | 68,000                         | 989,280                      |
| <b>Miscellaneous</b>                    | 8,616                         | 11,500                         | 20,116                       |
| <b>Exchange Differentials</b>           | 663                           | -                              | 663                          |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                            | <b>1,049,108</b>              | <b>350,892</b>                 | <b>1,400,000<sup>3</sup></b> |

**Table 8: Breakdown of the expenditure on equipment**

| Equipment             | Disbursements in<br>2001/2002 | Funds obligated<br>during 2002 | TOTAL          |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>Trays</b>          | 95,029                        | 20,000                         | 115,029        |
| <b>Substrate Bark</b> | 45,389                        | 0                              | 45,389         |
| <b>Black Plastic</b>  | 74,932                        | 0                              | 74,932         |
| <b>Chemicals</b>      | 677,876                       | 25,000                         | 702,876        |
| <b>Greenhouse</b>     | 28,054                        | 23,000                         | 51,054         |
| <b>TOTAL</b>          | <b>921,280</b>                | <b>68,000</b>                  | <b>989,280</b> |

## 9. YEAR 2003 WORKPLAN

### OUTPUTS:

- Technical and socio-economic analysis of the use of alternatives (floating tray system & basamid) to MeBr as they contribute to elimination of 81.3 ODP T by December 2003;
- 30 national agronomists and agricultural specialists and 200 commercial growers fully trained in alternative technologies;
- Production of targeted training and information materials, and dissemination of project results to stakeholder audience;
- Adoption of national plan for phase-out of methyl bromide use in Malawi tobacco production, including development of appropriate policy mechanisms

### ACTIVITIES:

- Procurement of materials and equipment required to allow for further phase-out of 41.1 ODP T;
- Distribution of materials and equipment to participating farmers;
- Organisation of training sessions for farmers participating in the 2003 programme;
- On-going implementation of field days to maintain interest and momentum;
- Conduct 16 monitoring and evaluation visits and prepare quarterly mission reports;
- Construction of greenhouses in Zomba and Kasunga;
- Engage external experts, as required, to provide training support;
- Conduct study tour to South Africa and launch south-south cooperation with MeBr elimination project in tobacco in Argentina;
- Produce training and promotional materials;
- Host Steering Committee meetings on a quarterly basis, and as required.

<sup>3</sup> US \$ 1,400,000 is the total of US \$ 400,000 and US \$ 1,000,000 first and second tranche funding respectively

**ANNEX 1: DISTRIBUTION OF PROJECT MATERIALS PER SEEDBED (by region)**

| PARTICPATING<br>ESTATES,<br>ORGANISATIONS | ALTERNATIVES TO METHYL BROMIDE |                   |                      |                 |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     |                |                 |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|
|   | BASAMID                        |                   |                      | SOILLESS MEDIA  |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     | Metam Sodium   |                 |
|   | NO. OF<br>BEDS                 | QUANTITY<br>(KGS) | FUMIGATION<br>SHEETS | NO. OF<br>PONDS | NO. OF<br>FLOATING TRAYS | PINE<br>BARK<br>(BAGS) | PLASTIC<br>SHEETS | FERTILIZER<br>(KGS) | MICRO-<br>NUTRIENTS | NO. OF<br>BEDS | QUANTITY<br>(L) |
| <b>CENTRAL REGION</b>                     |                                |                   |                      |                 |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     |                |                 |
| 1. KAKUYU INVESTMENT                      | -                              | -                 | -                    | 30              | 3000                     | 400                    | 29                | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 2. LISANDWA FARM                          | -                              | -                 | -                    | 30              | 3000                     | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 3. CHITIPI ESTATES                        | 100                            | 160               | 20                   | 10              | 1000                     | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 4. KANDIYA FARM                           | 80                             | 120               | 8                    | 2.5             | 200                      | 16                     | 2                 | 25                  | 200                 |                |                 |
| 5. MAWANO ESTATE                          | -                              | -                 | -                    | 15              | 1500                     | 80                     | 8                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 6. LIKASE ESTATES                         | -                              | -                 | -                    | 15              | 1500                     | 87                     | 8                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 7. MBADZI ESTATES                         | -                              | -                 | -                    | 10              | 1000                     | 60                     | 5                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 8. TAMAMALOWO                             | -                              | -                 | -                    | 10              | 1000                     | 60                     | 5                 | 100                 | 400                 |                |                 |
| 9. CHINESE T. MISSION                     | 30                             | 40                | 4                    | 5               | -                        | 40                     | -                 | 100                 | 400                 |                |                 |
| 10. THANDIZANI ESTATE                     | 30                             | 45                | 4                    | 16              | 1600                     | 80                     | 8                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 11. MIKUNDI EPA                           | -                              | -                 | 5                    | 4               | 400                      | 25                     | 1                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 12. NKUSA NKHOMA                          | 2                              | 3                 | 1                    | 2               | 200                      | 12                     | 1                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 13. TIYAMIKE ESTATES                      | -                              | -                 | -                    | 5               | 500                      | 40                     | 3                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 14. NASFAM KASUNGU                        | 10                             | 60                | 2                    | 26              | 2600                     | 120                    | 6                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 15. DR. MGOMEZULU                         | -                              | -                 | -                    | 5               | 500                      | 25                     | 3                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 16. P.M. BANDA                            | -                              | -                 | -                    | 4               | 400                      | 25                     | 2                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 17. JA TEWETE                             | -                              | -                 | -                    | 4               | 400                      | 25                     | 2                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 18. MR. CHIKALIRA                         | -                              | -                 | -                    | 4               | 400                      | 25                     | 2                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 19. Lupachi Estate                        | 30                             | 40                | 2                    | 3               | 300                      | 20                     | 2                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 20. Kapuku Estate                         | 30                             | 40                | 2                    | 2               | 200                      | 15                     | 1                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 21. Chisambo Estate                       | -                              | -                 | -                    | 4               | 400                      | 35                     | 2                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 22. MR. PHIKISO                           | -                              | -                 | -                    | 3               | 300                      | 25                     | 2                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 23. LIWELEZI ESTATE                       | 1860                           | 2000              | 100                  | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 24. LINGADZI ESTATE                       | 105                            | 155               | 8                    | 20              | 2000                     | 120                    | 10                | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 25. NSANGU ESTATE                         | 12                             | 20                | 2                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 26. KHAMA ESTATE                          | 12                             | 20                | 2                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 27. TIYENI TSOGOLANI                      | 50                             | 80                | 40                   | 10              | 1000                     | 80                     | 10                | 100                 | 400                 |                |                 |
| 28. CHMWEMWE ESTATE                       | 660                            | 820               | 50                   | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 29. CHIMWMKANGO                           | 350                            | 420               | 30                   | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 30. KWAMBA ESTATE                         | 25                             | 40                | 5                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 31. Eden Farms                            | 10                             | 20                | 2                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 32. General Farming                       | 3000                           | 2400              | 10                   | 10              | 1000                     | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | 2220           | 6660            |
| 33. Baron                                 | -                              | -                 | -                    | 10              | 1000                     | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 34. McPherson                             | -                              | -                 | -                    | 4               | 400                      | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 35. KTFT (Limbe Leaf)                     | 1700                           | -                 | -                    | 20              | 2000                     | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |
| 36. Press Farming                         | -                              | -                 | -                    | 15              | 1500                     | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   |                |                 |

| PARTICPATING<br>ESTATES,<br>ORGANISATIONS | ALTERNATIVES TO METHYL BROMIDE |                   |                      |                 |                          |                        |                   |                    |                     |                                  |                 |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
|   | BASAMID                        |                   |                      | SOILLESS MEDIA  |                          |                        |                   |                    |                     | <i>Metam Sodium</i> <sup>4</sup> |                 |
|   | NO. OF<br>BEDS                 | QUANTITY<br>(KGS) | FUMIGATION<br>SHEETS | NO. OF<br>PONDS | NO. OF<br>FLOATING TRAYS | PINE<br>BARK<br>(BAGS) | PLASTIC<br>SHEETS | FERTILZER<br>(KGS) | MICRO-<br>NUTRIENTS | NO. OF<br>BEDS                   | QUANTITY<br>(L) |
| <b>CENTRAL Region (cont'd)</b>            |                                |                   |                      |                 |                          |                        |                   |                    |                     |                                  |                 |
| 37. Chilipa Estate                        | 10                             | 15                | 2                    | 3               | 300                      | 24                     | 3                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 38. FULAHA                                | 100                            | 75                | -                    | 2               | 200                      | 16                     | 1                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 39.CHINSEU BANDA                          | -                              | -                 | -                    | 10              | 1000                     | 80                     | 5                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 40. KACHITA ESTATE                        | -                              | -                 | -                    | 2               | 200                      | 16                     | 1                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 41. TALIMBANAZO ESTATE                    | 250                            | 300               | 3                    | 6               | 600                      | 48                     | 3                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 42.VIOLE ESTATE                           | 30                             | 40                | 1                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 43MDYANKHANGA                             | 30                             | 40                | 1                    | 4               | 400                      | 30                     | 1                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 44.TENGANI ESTATE                         | 30                             | 40                | 2                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 45.MWIMBA FARM INST.                      | 130                            | 200               | 10                   | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 46.MWIMBA RESEARCH                        | 30                             | 20                | 2                    | 6               | 600                      | 16                     | 1                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 47.MANDO ESTATE                           | 120                            | 180               | 8                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 48.MADALITSO ESTATE                       | 30                             | 45                | 4                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 49.MSAMBA IMFA                            | 20                             | 20                | 2                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 50. Songwe Wood barn                      | -                              | -                 | -                    | 2               | 200                      | 16                     | 1                 | -                  | -                   | -                                | -               |
| 51.KAMUZU ACADEMY                         | 300                            | 185               | 3                    | 20              | 2000                     | 90                     | 10                | -                  | -                   | 80                               | 250             |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                              | <b>9,176</b>                   | <b>7,643</b>      | <b>33</b>            | <b>353</b>      | <b>34800</b>             | <b>1749</b>            | <b>132</b>        | <b>125</b>         | <b>1400</b>         | <b>2300</b>                      | <b>6910</b>     |

<sup>4</sup> Metam sodium contribution on the part of the government because the stakeholders had requested that it be included in the trial phase. Use represents balance of 2001 stock.

**ANNEX 1: DISTRIBUTION OF PROJECT MATERIALS PER SEEDBED (by region)**

| PARTICPATING<br>ESTATES,<br>ORGANISATIONS | ALTERNATIVES TO METHYL BROMIDE |                   |                      |                 |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
|   | BASAMID                        |                   |                      | SOILLESS MEDIA  |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     | <i>Metam Sodium</i> <sup>5</sup> |                 |
|   | NO. OF<br>BEDS                 | QUANTITY<br>(KGS) | FUMIGATION<br>SHEETS | NO. OF<br>PONDS | NO. OF<br>FLOATING TRAYS | PINE<br>BARK<br>(BAGS) | PLASTIC<br>SHEETS | FERTILIZER<br>(KGS) | MICRO-<br>NUTRIENTS | NO. OF<br>BEDS                   | QUANTITY<br>(L) |
| <b>SOUTHERN REGION</b>                    |                                |                   |                      |                 |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 1. MAFISI ESTATE                          | 1000                           | 1225              | 10                   | 49              | 3000                     | 200                    | 30                | 150                 | 3000                | -                                |                 |
| 2. CONFORZI ESTATE                        | 30                             | 40                | -                    | 4               | 400                      | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 3. CHIWALE ESTATE                         | 10                             | 15                | -                    | 4               | 400                      | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 4. NDATA FARM                             | 200                            | 150               | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 5. CHIPALE ESTATE                         | 15                             | 20                | -                    | 30              | 3000                     | 130                    | 15                | ✓                   | ✓                   | -                                |                 |
| 6. CHIMPENI ESTATE                        | 15                             | 20                | -                    | 16              | 1600                     | 210                    | 18                | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 7. MPIRA ESTATE/Lisale                    | 430                            | 240               | -                    | 10              | 1000                     | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 8. CHAKANIKA                              | 15                             | 20                | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 9. IPANI ESTATE                           | 15                             | 20                | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 10. NAMIGWERE ESTATE                      | 15                             | 20                | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 11. GRAIN ESTATE                          | 15                             | 20                | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 12. SOUTHERN END                          | -                              | -                 | -                    | 30              | 3000                     | 120                    | 10                | 250                 | 5000                | -                                |                 |
| 13. MSAMBA ESTATE                         | -                              | -                 | -                    | 10              | 1000                     | 40                     | 5                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 14. KAPALASA ESTATE                       | -                              | -                 | -                    | 5               | 500                      | 20                     | 3                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 15. Chitumba Estate                       | 15                             | 20                | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 16. Tambala Estate                        | -                              | -                 | -                    | 50              | 5000                     | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 17. Namizimu Estate                       | 50                             | 45                | -                    | 7               | 700                      | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | 90                               | 300             |
| 18. NASFAM – Dzalone                      | -                              | -                 | -                    | 6               | 600                      | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 19. Namasuwi (Namwera)                    | 100                            | -                 | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 20. Matambo (Zomba)                       | -                              | -                 | -                    | 4               | 400                      | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 21. Homboli (AD)                          | 45                             | -                 | -                    | 6               | 600                      | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 22. Makande (AD)                          | 45                             | -                 | -                    | 6               | 600                      | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| 23. Kamponje (TO)                         | -                              | -                 | -                    | 6               | 600                      | -                      | -                 | -                   | -                   | -                                |                 |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                              | 2015                           | 1855              | 10                   | 243             | 22400                    | 720                    | 81                | 400                 | 8000                | 90                               | 300             |

<sup>5</sup> Metam sodium contribution on the part of the government because the stakeholders had requested that it be included in the trial phase. Use represents balance of 2001 stock.

**ANNEX 1: DISTRIBUTION OF PROJECT MATERIALS PER SEEDBED (by region)**

| PARTICPATING<br>ESTATES,<br>ORGANISATIONS | ALTERNATIVES TO METHYL BROMIDE |                   |                      |                 |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
|   | BASAMID                        |                   |                      | SOILLESS MEDIA  |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     | <i>Metam Sodium</i> <sup>6</sup> |                 |
|   | NO. OF<br>BEDS                 | QUANTITY<br>(KGS) | FUMIGATION<br>SHEETS | NO. OF<br>PONDS | NO. OF<br>FLOATING TRAYS | PINE<br>BARK<br>(BAGS) | PLASTIC<br>SHEETS | FERTILIZER<br>(KGS) | MICRO-<br>NUTRIENTS | NO. OF<br>BEDS                   | QUANTITY<br>(L) |
| <b>NORTHERN REGION</b>                    |                                |                   |                      |                 |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 1. CHILIMONGO EST.                        | 100                            | 140               | 10                   | 5               | 500                      | 40                     | 3                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 2. MAFENI ESTATE                          | -                              | -                 | -                    | 40              | 4000                     | 60                     | 5                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 3. ADMARC ESTATES (6)                     | 1690                           | 1935              | 15                   | 18              | 1800                     | 130                    | 10                |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 4. MR. ZIMBA                              | 50                             | 75                | 5                    | 38              | 1000                     | -                      | -                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 5. KATONTHOWOLO EST.                      | 200                            | 300               | -                    | 10              | 3800                     | -                      | 10                |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 6. LIMBE LEAF ESTATEs(Kabwafu)            | 2883                           | 1900              | -                    | 6               | 1000                     | -                      | -                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 7. L Leaf (Mbalachanda)                   | -                              | -                 | -                    | 6               | 600                      | -                      | -                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 8. NASFAM (Nkhamanga)                     | -                              | -                 | -                    | -               | 600                      | -                      | -                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 9. Khuyu (Rumphi)                         | 80                             | 100               | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 10. Nkhozo (Rumphi)                       | 80                             | 100               | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 11. Nisungani (Mzimba)                    | 70                             | 80                | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 12. Funda (Rumphi)                        | 100                            | -                 | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| 13. Pokani (Mzimba)                       | 88                             | -                 | -                    | -               | -                        | -                      | -                 |                     |                     |                                  |                 |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                              | <b>5251</b>                    | <b>4630</b>       | <b>30</b>            | <b>133</b>      | <b>13300</b>             | <b>230</b>             | <b>28</b>         | <b>-</b>            | <b>-</b>            | <b>400</b>                       | <b>1050</b>     |

<sup>6</sup> Metam sodium contribution on the part of the government because the stakeholders had requested that it be included in the trial phase. Use represents balance of 2001 stock.

**ANNEX 1: DISTRIBUTION OF PROJECT MATERIALS PER SEEDBED (SMALL HOLDERS)**

| PARTICPATING CLUBS,   | ALTERNATIVES TO METHYL BROMIDE |                |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
|                       | BASAMID                        |                |                   | SOILLESS MEDIA |                       |                  |                |                  |                 | <i>Metam Sodium</i> <sup>7</sup> |              |
|                       | NO. OF BEDS                    | QUANTITY (KGS) | FUMIGATION SHEETS | NO. OF PONDS   | NO. OF FLOATING TRAYS | PINE BARK (BAGS) | PLASTIC SHEETS | FERTILIZER (KGS) | MICRO-NUTRIENTS | NO. OF BEDS                      | QUANTITY (L) |
| 1. CHIGODI CLUB (KU)  | 2                              | 3              | 1                 |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 2. MPHATSO CLUB (KU)  | 2                              | 3              | 1                 |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 3. MWITHA (KU)        | 2                              | 3              | 1                 |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 4. TAGWIRIZANA (KK)   | 40                             | 60             | 2                 |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 5. CHILASA (KK)       | 20                             | 30             | 2                 |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 6. CHIMIMBE (MC)      | 2                              | 3              |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 7. CHITINTHI (MC)     | 1                              | 3              |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 8. ULONGWE (MC)       | 1                              | 3              |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 9. KATAPILA (MC)      | 2                              | 3              |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 10. TAKOMANA (MC)     | 2                              | 3              |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 11. KAYELAYELA (MC)   | 2                              | 3              |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 12. MZUMACHARO (MC)   | 10                             | 15             |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 13. JIMU (MC)         | 2                              | 3              |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 14. MAUMBAKO ESTATE   | 1                              | 3              |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 15. MKUSAMALE EST.    | 12                             | 18             |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 16. MWAMBIYA EST.     | 100                            | 120            |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 17. MR. F. BANDA (SA) | 15                             | 22.5           |                   |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 18. KAPATSA CLUB (LL) | 70                             | 85             | 2                 |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 19. ARET DZ & NU      | 30                             | 45             | 3                 |                |                       |                  |                |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 20. ARET KU           | 150                            | 180            | 3                 | -              | -                     | -                | -              | -                |                 |                                  |              |
| 21. ARET KK           | 690                            | 850            | 1                 | -              | -                     | -                | -              | -                |                 |                                  |              |
| 22. ARET SA           | 770                            | 935            | 30                | 16             | 1550                  | 64               | 8              |                  |                 |                                  |              |
| 23. ARET MCHINJI      | 30                             | 37.5           | 10                | -              | -                     | -                | -              | -                |                 |                                  |              |
| 24. ARET LIWONDE      | 65                             | 80             | -                 | -              | -                     | -                | -              | -                |                 |                                  |              |
| 25 ARET MPONELA       | -                              | -              | -                 | -              | -                     | -                | -              | -                |                 |                                  |              |
| <b>TOTALS</b>         | 2021                           | 2458           | 56                | 16             | 1550                  | 64               | 8              | -                | -               | -                                | -            |

<sup>7</sup> Metam sodium contribution on the part of the government because the stakeholders had requested that it be included in the trial phase. Use represents balance of 2001 stock.

**ANNEX 2: SUMMARY DISTRIBUTION OF PROJECT MATERIALS PER SEEDBED - 2002**

| PARTICPATING<br>ESTATES,<br>ORGANISATIONS<br>AND CLUBS                    | ALTERNATIVES TO METHYL BROMIDE |                      |                      |                   |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     |                                  |                     |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
|   | BASAMID                        |                      |                      | SOILLESS MEDIA    |                          |                        |                   |                     |                     | <i>Metam Sodium</i> <sup>8</sup> |                     |
|   | NO. OF<br>BEDS                 | QUANTITY<br>(KGS)    | FUMIGATION<br>SHEETS | NO. OF<br>PONDS   | NO. OF<br>FLOATING TRAYS | PINE<br>BARK<br>(BAGS) | PLASTIC<br>SHEETS | FERTILIZER<br>(KGS) | MICRO-<br>NUTRIENTS | NO. OF<br>BEDS                   | QUANTITY<br>(L)     |
| <b>ESTATES</b><br>SOUTHERN R. (17)<br>CENTRAL R. (45)<br>NORTHERN R. (11) | 2015<br>9176<br>5251           | 1855<br>7643<br>4630 | 10<br>316<br>30      | 243<br>353<br>133 | 22400<br>34800<br>13300  | 720<br>1749<br>230     | 81<br>132<br>28   | 400<br>225<br>-     | 8,000<br>1,400<br>- | 90<br>2300<br>400                | 300<br>6910<br>1050 |
| <b>SUB -TOTAL (73)</b>  | <b>16442</b>                   | <b>14128</b>         | <b>364</b>           | <b>729</b>        | <b>70500</b>             | <b>2699</b>            | <b>241</b>        | <b>625</b>          | <b>9,400</b>        | <b>2790</b>                      | <b>8260</b>         |
| <b>SMALLHOLDER</b>  | <b>2021</b>                    | <b>2458</b>          | <b>56</b>            | <b>16</b>         | <b>1550</b>              | <b>64</b>              | <b>8</b>          | <b>-</b>            | <b>-</b>            | <b>-</b>                         | <b>-</b>            |
| <b>SUB-TOTAL</b>  | <b>2021</b>                    | <b>2458</b>          | <b>65</b>            | <b>16</b>         | <b>1550</b>              | <b>64</b>              | <b>8</b>          | <b>-</b>            | <b>-</b>            | <b>-</b>                         | <b>-</b>            |
| <b>GRAND TOTALS</b>   | <b>18463</b>                   | <b>16586</b>         | <b>420</b>           | <b>745</b>        | <b>72050</b>             | <b>2763</b>            | <b>249</b>        | <b>625</b>          | <b>9400</b>         | <b>2790</b>                      | <b>8260</b>         |

<sup>8</sup> Metam sodium contribution on the part of the government because the stakeholders had requested that it be included in the trial phase. Use represents balance of 2001 stock.

