

United Nations Environment Programme

Distr. LIMITED

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/40/25/Rev.1 10 July 2003

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL Fortieth Meeting Montreal, 16 -18 July 2003

REPORT ON THE STATUS OF ARTICLE 5 COUNTRIES IN ACHIEVING COMPLIANCE WITH THE INITIAL AND INTERMEDIATE CONTROL MEASURES OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Introduction

- 1. This document consists of two parts:
 - Part I is prepared in response to Decision 32/76(b), which requested the Secretariat to prepare an annual update of the status of compliance of Article 5 countries with the control measures of the Montreal Protocol as contained in Part I of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/32/38.
 - Part II contains an analysis of the ODS consumption data by sector presented by Article 5 countries to the Executive Committee on the implementation of their country programmes.

2. The analysis performed and the conclusions derived in this document are without prejudice to the status of compliance determined by the Meeting of the Parties which is the only authority empowered to do so.

3. Since only data reported pursuant to Article 7 is used to determine status of compliance on an annual basis, and that the current analysis uses a mixture of data reported to the Fund Secretariat on country programme implementation for various compliance periods, this document does not determine compliance per se, but rather assesses the potential of an Article 5 country to achieve compliance with one or more of the Montreal Protocol control measures.

PART I: ANALYSIS OF STATUS OF COMPLIANCE

4. Part I presents a description of the methodology used in the analyses of compliance for CFCs, halons, methyl bromide, carbon tetrachloride (CTC) and methyl chloroform (TCA). The analysis of the status of compliance assumes that the latest reported consumption data has taken account of the phase-out from completed projects approved by the Executive Committee. Through December 2002, 180,153 ODP tonnes, including 23,381 ODP tonnes of CFC production and 28,875 ODP tonnes of halon production, were phased out from completed projects valued at some US \$1,062 million.

METHODOLOGY

5. A detailed description of the methodology used in analyses is provided in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/18 which was presented to the 37th Meeting. It should be noted that the data anomaly section no longer applies. This section presents an abbreviated summary of the methodology.

Data collection and verification

6. 122 Article 5 countries reported data to the Fund and Ozone Secretariats as follows: 43 Article 5 countries reported 2002 data pursuant to Article 7; 27 countries reported 2002 data pursuant to Decision 17/34 on progress on the implementation of the country programmes.

Latest consumption data was used for 52 countries, including 2001 data for 43 countries, 2000 data for 4 countries, 1999 data for 3 countries and 1998 data for two countries.

7. Data on the status of implementation of all activities and projects approved by the Executive Committee as of the end of 2002 were reported by the implementing and bilateral agencies in their annual progress reports submitted to the 40^{th} Meeting.

8. Data on potential approvals during the year 2003 were obtained from the Business Plan of the Multilateral Fund for the Year 2003.

Data processing

9. The database entries were organised for each controlled substance in a matrix where each row represents an Article 5 country whose reported and calculated data are analysed in the matrix columns.

10. The analysis was not performed for countries that did not have sufficient data. It was also not performed for 8 countries that have been urged not to seek assistance from the Multilateral Fund.

11. The analysis was not performed for the production sector because the Executive Committee has established a process for production sector projects. Of the seven Article 5 countries with CFC production facilities, the Governments of Argentina, China, India and Korea DPR, which have agreements in place for scheduled reductions, have begun the process. The remaining countries with CFC production facilities include Mexico, Romania and Venezuela for which projects are under preparation and a proposal for Mexico has been submitted to the 40th Meeting. For halon production, China has an agreement in place and India received a one-time grant for the closure of its halon production facilities.

12. Analysis of the data was performed within the following boundary conditions:

- (a) The latest reported ODS consumption is assumed not to increase; and
- (b) ODS phased out by approved projects was taken into account in the latest reported data.

13. The analyses of CFCs and methyl bromide indicate whether a country has received funding for a total phase-out agreement. The CFC analysis also indicates whether an LVC has received an RMP or RMP supplement after the 31^{st} Meeting that should be sufficient to ensure fulfilment of the countries' compliance at least up to and including the 85% reduction in 2007 (Decision 31/48(h)). The analysis of halons indicates if a halon banking activity has been approved, since halon banking guidelines require regulations facilitating production and import bans should be established within six months after the reclamation centre is set up (Decision 18/22).

ANALYSIS OF COMPLIANCE FOR CFCs (Annex I)

14. The findings and observations from the analysis of the freeze and 50 per cent targets for CFCs are presented in this section. The analysis of the CFC freeze also addresses the status of compliance for those Article 5 countries identified in non-compliance by the Fourteenth Meeting of the Parties.

Findings

Meeting the CFC Freeze

15. The analysis revealed that countries could be broadly grouped into three categories.

(a) Countries appear to be in compliance

16. The category represents 111 countries (compared to 94 countries in the June 2002 analysis and 92 in the June 2001 analysis) that could be considered to be in compliance now based on their latest reported consumption data. These countries' latest combined consumption of 83,930 ODP tonnes is some 64,239 ODP tonnes lower than their baseline level of 148,169 ODP tonnes.

17. 73 of these countries are LVCs, 66 of which have received support for RMPs from the Multilateral Fund, including support for 35 LVCs to meet their 85 per cent reductions in 2007.

18. 18 of the countries that appear to be in compliance have national CFC phase-out agreements with the Executive Committee: Brazil, Croatia, Federated States of Micronesia, Jamaica, Jordan, Kiribati, Malaysia, Marshall Islands, Nigeria, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Solomon Islands, Thailand, Tonga, Turkey, Tuvalu and Vanuatu.

19. The following countries that were determined to be in non-compliance by the Meeting of the Parties have reported 2002 consumption that is below their baselines appear to be in compliance: Bangladesh (328 tonnes latest consumption/580.4 tonnes baseline); Belize (16 tonnes/24.4 tonnes); Bolivia (65.5 tonnes/75.7 tonnes); Cameroon (226 tonnes/256.9 tonnes); Ethiopia (30 tonnes/33.8 tonnes); Namibia (20.8 tonnes/21.9 tonnes); Nepal (0 tonnes/27 tonnes); and Nigeria (3286.7 tonnes/3650 tonnes).

(b) Countries that could achieve compliance with implementation of approved projects

20. The category contains 2 countries that could achieve compliance if projects approved for them are implemented expeditiously (Libya and Saint Kitts and Nevis). The projects amount to a combined phase-out of 369.4 ODP tonnes. One of these countries is an LVC: Saint Kitts and Nevis with an approved RMP but it will not enable this country to meet its 85 per cent reductions in 2007.

21. Libya was also listed in non-compliance in Decision XIV/25. However, Libya should achieve the freeze with the implementation of their approved projects.

(c) Countries that may not achieve compliance

22. The 8 countries in this category are LVCs. These countries may need additional actions to achieve compliance based on their latest consumption data.

23. Two of the 8 LVCs that may not be in compliance are Albania and Bahamas. The Bahamas has a terminal phase-out agreement with the Executive Committee. The agreement indicates that Bahamas should maintain its 2000 consumption of 66 ODP tonnes in 2001. The Bahamas reported to the Fund Secretariat that its 2001 consumption was 105.3 ODP tonnes. Albania also has a national phase-out agreement with the Executive Committee which will allow Albania to complete phase-out of consumption of all ODS according to scheduled annual consumption limits of 68 ODP tonnes in 2003, 61.2 ODP tonnes in 2004, 36.2 ODP tonnes in 2005, 15.2 ODP tonnes in 2006, 6.2 ODP tonnes in 2007, 2.2 ODP tonnes in 2008 and 0 ODP tonnes in 2009.

24. Bosnia and Herzegovina is another LVC that may not achieve compliance. RMP activities have not been approved for this country. However, UNIDO has prepared a national ODS phase-out plan for this country.

25. For 3 of the remaining 5 LVCs (Guatemala, Maldives and Yemen¹), the Executive Committee has approved RMPs to meet their 50 per cent and 85 per cent reductions in time. The remaining 2 countries (Saint Vincent and Uganda) have received RMP funding from the Multilateral Fund, but they may be eligible for additional funding according to Decision 31/48.

26. Decisions XIV/18-19, 24 and 26 also mentioned Albania, Bahamas, Maldives and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines as being in non-compliance. As mentioned above, these countries have either received approval for total phase-out plans (Albania and Bahamas), an RMP to assist in achieving the 50 per cent reductions (Maldives) or RMP activities and is entitled to a 50 per cent RMP supplement to assist in achieving the 50 per cent reductions (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines).

Meeting the 50 per cent reduction target in 2005

27. The analysis revealed that countries could be grouped into two categories.

(a) Countries that potentially could achieve compliance before 2005

28. The category represents countries which could achieve compliance with the 50 per cent reduction by 2005 based on their latest reported consumption, and contingent on the implementation of the projects approved for them. This category consists of 70 countries including 43 LVCs. This is compared to 58 countries including 39 LVCs that were reported in the June 2002 analysis.

¹ Yemen is characterized as an LVC based on a baseline of less than 360 ODP tonnes; however, its latest CFC consumption is 959.9 ODP tonnes.

(b) Countries that may need additional action to achieve compliance by 2005

29. The category consists of 51 countries including 39 LVCs, which may need additional phase-out amounting to 3,801 ODP tonnes by 2005 in order to comply with the 50 per cent reduction target. Of these 51 countries, 27 countries are slated in the 2003 business plans to receive projects that will phase out 2,938 ODP tonnes.

30. Of the 51 countries, the Executive Committee has approved RMPs for 6 non-LVCs and 32 LVCs, including 18 countries that have received RMPs to meet their 50 per cent and 85 per cent reductions¹ or national phase-out agreement. Bahamas is one of the LVCs that has a phase out agreement with the Committee.

31. There are, however, 7 LVCs that do not have approved RMPs including Albania, Barbados, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Mauritania and Myanmar. Albania has also a national phase-out agreement with the Executive Committee which will allow Albania to complete phase-out of production and consumption of all ODS. 3 of the remaining 6 countries have received funds to prepare their RMPs (Barbados, Mauritania and Myanmar). All 7 LVCs have activities planned for them in the 2003 business plan.

32. The remaining countries that may need additional actions to achieve the 50 per cent reduction are all non-LVCs as listed in the table below along with the amount needed to achieve the 50 per cent reduction, the amount in the business plan for these countries and additional comments from the Secretariat.

Country	Amount Needed for 50%	Amount in 2003 Business	Additional Comment
	Reduction	Plan	
	(ODP tonnes)		
Congo, DR	99.6	167.8	UNDP and UNEP have included a 50 per cent RMP update programme in
			their 2003 business plans.
Korea DPR	78.2	103.5	UNIDO has included a refrigeration phase-out plan in its 2003 business
			plan which it has submitted to the 40 th Meeting
Libya	259.6	259.6	A national ODS phase-out project is being developed by UNIDO.
Nigeria	835.7	1,071.1	UNDP, UNIDO, and Japan are implementing a National CFC phase-out
-			project with a phase-out schedule consistent with the Montreal Protocol
			targets.
Philippines	474.7	89.3	The World Bank and Sweden are implementing a National CFC phase-out
			project with a phase-out schedule consistent with the Montreal Protocol
			targets.
Venezuela	329.3	202.5	A national ODS phase-out project is being developed by UNIDO.

Observations

33. There is an immediate need to fully implement approved projects for the 2 countries that could achieve compliance with the freeze if projects approved for them are implemented including Libya and Saint Kitts and Nevis.

¹ There are also two countries that have not reported data that have received the RMP to meet their 2005 and 2007 reductions: Angola and Djibouti.

34. Of the 8 countries at risk for not meeting their freeze obligations, there is a need for the vigorous implementation of the approved RMPs for 4 countries (Guatemala, Maldives, Saint Vincent and Uganda) for which additional assistance pursuant to Decision 31/48 may be available.

35. 7 of the 14 Article 5 countries listed in non-compliance at the XIVth Meeting (Decisions XIV/18-26, 29, 30, 32-34) appear to be in compliance with the CFC freeze based on 2002 data, including Bangladesh, Belize, Bolivia, Cameroon, Ethiopia, Nepal, and Nigeria. Concerning the remaining 7 countries, Albania, Bahamas, Libya and Maldives have received enough assistance to enable compliance through the 85 per cent reduction in the CFC baseline. Saint Vincent and the Grenadines may need additional actions although the Executive Committee has approved institutional strengthening and RMP projects for UNEP implementation and UNDP is developing an RMP update.

ANALYSIS OF COMPLIANCE FOR HALONS (Annex II)

36. This section presents the analysis for compliance with halon control measures.

Additional data limitations

- (a) 63 countries reported no consumption for 1995 to 2002.
- (b) 12 countries have not reported baseline or latest consumption data.

Findings

Meeting the Halon Freeze

37. Cognisant of the fact that the freeze is in 2002 and that China and India have agreements with the Executive Committee to phase out their entire halon sector by 2010 and that data for 2002 will not be reported until September 2003, the indications from the analysis of the data from the other 57 countries with halon consumption suggest that:

(a) Countries that appear to be in compliance

38. 48 countries including China as well as 23 LVCs could be considered to be in compliance now based on their latest reported consumption data provided that this level of consumption will not increase. Latest combined consumption of 3,231 ODP tonnes (excluding China) is some 2,265 ODP tonnes lower than these countries' freeze baseline of 5,496 ODP tonnes (excluding China). However, the reported overall consumption of halon for these countries is 308 ODP tonnes more than last year's analysis. 35 of the 48 countries have received support from the Multilateral Fund. (It is to be noted that China's baseline and 2002 consumption are 34,187 ODP tonnes and 10,409 ODP tonnes respectively.) (b) Countries that may need additional actions to achieve compliance

39. 9 countries may need additional actions to achieve compliance with the freeze target. These countries would need to phase-out a total of 607 ODP tonnes to achieve compliance with the freeze during 2002. 7 of those countries (Cameroon, Congo DR, Malaysia, Nigeria, Qatar, Vietnam and Yemen) have already received support for halon banking from the Multilateral Fund. Additionally, Croatia and Pakistan may need to take additional actions to achieve their freeze and activities are included in the 2003 business plan for these countries.

Meeting the 50 per cent reduction target in 2005

40. Assuming that the latest reported consumption will not increase and that all approved projects are implemented by 2005, the analysis points to the following prospects for potential compliance with the 50 per cent reduction target:

- (a) 42 countries (including China) could achieve compliance with the 50 per cent reduction by 2005 based on their latest reported consumption and contingent upon the implementation of approved projects. This group of countries includes 21 LVCs.
- (b) 15 countries including 6 LVCs may need additional phase-out amounting to 1,843 ODP tonnes by 2005 in order to comply with the 50 per cent reduction targets, 12 of them have received support for halon activities from the Multilateral Fund including halon banking in 10 of them (Brazil, Cameroon, Congo DR, Ethiopia, Iran, Malaysia, Nigeria, Qatar, Viet Nam, and Yemen). Of the remaining 5 countries that might not achieve their 50 per cent reduction targets, Morocco and Haiti have consumption below 10 ODP tonnes. The remaining 3 countries' latest consumption are as follows: Croatia (26 ODP tonnes), Libya (532.7 ODP tonnes), and Pakistan (28.8 ODP tonnes), but activities are included in the 2003 business plans for these countries.

41. All the 15 countries that may need additional phase-out to comply with the 50 per cent reduction targets for halon, have either approved halon banking or planned activities in the 2003-2005 business plans except Haiti and Morocco.

Observations

42. There appears to be a need for immediate actions to achieve the halon freeze and to achieve the 50 per cent reduction in 2005 in Croatia and Pakistan for which activities are included in the 2003 business plans and the following countries that have received halon banking: Cameroon, Congo DR, Malaysia, Nigeria, Qatar, Vietnam and Yemen.

43. In addition to those countries that are mentioned above, Brazil, Ethiopia and Iran may need to take additional actions to achieve their 50 per cent reduction targets.

ANALYSIS OF COMPLIANCE FOR METHYL BROMIDE (Annex III)

44. This section presents the analysis for compliance with methyl bromide control measures. It should be noted that all data reported and used in this analysis are those related to controlled use only.

Data

- (a) Of the 106 Article 5 countries that have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment, 91 have reported complete baseline data. Of these 91 countries, 27 reported zero for the baseline and latest consumption.
- (b) 82 Article 5 countries have received support from the Multilateral Fund for methyl bromide activities and/or projects, including projects that will lead to a complete phase-out of methyl bromide in 24 of them and partial phase-out in an additional 13 of them.

Findings

45. Countries were grouped into three categories for the purposes of this analysis: those that have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment and have provided baseline and latest consumption data, those that have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment but have not provided sufficient data for analysis, and those that have not ratified the Copenhagen Amendment but provided data.

Meeting the Methyl Bromide Freeze

46. Given the fact that the freeze started in 2002 and that data for 2002 from a majority of Article 5 countries will not be reported until September 2003, the indications from the analysis suggest that:

(a) Countries that have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment

47. Of the 64 countries that have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment and provided data, 43 countries could be considered to be in compliance now based on their latest reported consumption data provided that this level of consumption will not increase. 34 of the 43 countries have received assistance from the Multilateral Fund for methyl bromide activities. 18 of these countries, Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Costa Rica, Croatia, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Georgia, Jordan, Kenya, Macedonia, Malawi, Morocco, Peru, Romania, Senegal and Sri Lanka have phase-out agreements with the Executive Committee or approved projects for a total phase-out of their controlled methyl bromide consumption. The Executive Committee approved projects for Brazil, Congo, Sudan (as part of a regional project), Nigeria, Syria, Turkey and Zimbabwe for partial phase-out.

48. 7 countries could achieve compliance if projects approved for them are implemented during 2002: Chile, Egypt, Guatemala, Honduras, Iran, Lebanon and Uruguay. The projects approved amount to a future phase-out of 1,016 ODP tonnes. 2 of these countries (Lebanon and Uruguay) have phase-out agreements with the Executive Committee for total phase-out and 4 of

these countries (Chile, Egypt, Guatemala and Honduras) have partial phase-out agreements or projects.

49. The other 14 countries (Bahrain, Botswana, Cameroon, China, El Salvador, Fiji, Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, Paraguay, Philippines, Tunisia, Uganda and Yemen) may not achieve compliance with the freeze target in 2002. These countries would need to phase-out a total of 736 (676) ODP tonnes to achieve the freeze. Botswana, Cameroon and Uganda have phase-out agreement or approved projects for a total phase-out of methyl bromide consumption with the Executive Committee. This leaves 11 countries that may need additional actions.

50. The Multilateral Fund has provided funding for methyl bromide projects and other activities in 7 of these 11 countries that may need additional actions. The four countries that have not received funding and need phased out to achieve the freeze are Bahrain (1.2 ODP tonnes), El Salvador (7 ODP tonnes), Fiji (0.5 ODP tonnes) and Paraguay (0.1 ODP tonnes). Those countries included in the 2003 business plan for methyl bromide investment projects include: China, Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, Philippines, Tunisia and Yemen.

51. Of the 14 countries that may not achieve compliance with the methyl bromide freeze target in 2002, 4 countries (Bahrain, El Salvador, Fiji and Paraguay) do not have activities planned for it in the 2003-2005 business plans and does not have partial or full phase-out agreements/projects.

(b) Countries that have not ratified the Copenhagen Amendment

52. Of the 26 Article 5 countries that have not ratified the Copenhagen Amendment, 10 countries reported data indicating that 7 of which could be considered to be in compliance now based on their latest reported consumption data provided that this level of consumption will not increase. The Multilateral Fund has provided assistance to 10 of the 26 countries primarily in the form of awareness workshops.

Meeting the 20 per cent reduction target in 2005

53. Assuming that the latest reported consumption will not increase and that all approved projects are implemented before 2005, the analysis points to the following prospects for potential compliance with the 20 per cent reduction target:

- (a) 43 of the 64 countries that provided data and have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment could achieve compliance with the 20 per cent reduction by 2005 based on their latest reported consumption and contingent upon the implementation of approved projects.
- (b) The remaining 21 countries may need additional phase-out amounting to 1,303 ODP tonnes by 2005 in order to comply with the 20 per cent reduction targets. 15 of the 21 countries have received support for methyl bromide activities from the Multilateral Fund. 2 of these countries (Lebanon and Uganda) have phase-out agreements for total phase-out, 2 countries (Botswana and Cameroon) have

approved projects for total phase-out, and 2 countries (Egypt and Sudan) have projects for partial phase-out. There are projects in the 2003 business plan that could enable Algeria, Kyrgyzstan, Pakistan and Tunisia to achieve their 2005 reduction. The following countries each need to phase out 20 ODP tonnes or more to achieve their 20 per cent reduction target in 2005, have received assistance from the Multilateral Fund, and are included in the 2003 business plan.

Country	ODP Phase-Out Needed to Achieve 20 per cent Reduction
China	686.2
Indonesia	80.5
Malaysia	104.1
Mexico	162.9
Philippines	28.5
Yemen	52.0

- (c) The remaining countries need to phase out a total of 75 ODP tonnes to achieve the 20 per cent reduction. Of these countries, Algeria, Botswana, Cameroon, Egypt, Lebanon, Pakistan, Sudan, Tunisia and Uganda have received assistance from the Fund. Additional actions may be needed for Bahrain, El Salvador, Fiji, Kyrgyzstan and Paraguay who together have a consumption of 12 ODP tonnes.
- (d) Of the 21 countries that many need additional phase-out to achieve compliance with the 20 per cent reduction targets for methyl bromide, 4 countries do not have full or partial phase-out agreements/projects or activities planned for them in the 2003-2005 business plans: Bahrain, El Salvador, Fiji and Paraguay.
- (e) Of the 10 countries that have not ratified the Copenhagen Amendment but have reported data, 6 countries could achieve their 20 per cent reduction by 2005 based on their latest reported consumption. Of the 4 that may not achieve their 20 per cent reduction, Bosnia and Herzegovina would need to phase out 9 ODP tonnes to achieve the 20 per cent reduction; Cote D'Ivoire, 5.5 ODP tonnes; Papua New Guinea, 1.9 ODP tonnes; and Swaziland, 0.1 ODP tonnes.

Observations

54. For 7 countries (Chile, Egypt, Guatemala, Honduras, Iran, Lebanon, and Uruguay) approved projects must be implemented sooner than planned to overcome the prospects of delayed compliance with their methyl bromide freeze obligations.

55. There appears to be a need for immediate actions to enable the following 14 countries to achieve compliance during 2002 with their methyl bromide freeze obligations: Bahrain, Botswana, Cameroon, China, El Salvador, Fiji, Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, Paraguay, Philippines, Tunisia, Uganda and Yemen noting that Uganda has total phase-out agreements with the Executive Committee.

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/40/25/Rev.1

56. Countries that have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment should provide data pursuant to Article 7.

57. Parties that have not ratified the Copenhagen Amendment should be encouraged to do so and report complete data pursuant to Article 7.

58. There are 19 countries that may need additional actions to achieve the 20 per cent reduction by 2005 that do not have total phase-out agreements with the Executive Committee. However, 2 countries have projects for partial phase-out of methyl bromide: Egypt and Sudan and 2 countries have approved projects for total phase-out of methyl bromide: Botswana and Cameroon. 10 of the 19 countries without total phase-out agreements (Algeria, China, Indonesia, Kyrgyzstan, Malaysia, Mexico, Pakistan, Philippines, Tunisia and Yemen) have projects in the 2003 business plans. There are 6 Article 5 countries that need to phase out over 20 tonnes to achieve the 20 per cent reduction. These 6 countries are: China, Indonesia, Malaysia, Mexico, Philippines, and Yemen.

CARBON TETRACHLORIDE (CTC) (Annex IV)

59. This section presents the analysis of compliance with carbon tetrachloride control measures.

60. It should be noted that all data reported and used in this analysis are those related to controlled use only. Reported CTC consumption is not differentiated into uses such as solvents and process agents.

Data

61. Of the 116 Article 5 countries that have ratified the London Amendment, 104 have reported complete baseline data. Of these 104 countries, 51 reported zero for the baseline and latest consumption. As such only 53 countries are included in the analysis.

Findings

62. Countries were grouped into three categories for the purposes of this analysis: those that have ratified the London Amendment and have provided baseline and latest consumption data, those that have ratified the London Amendment but have not provided sufficient data for analysis, and those that have not ratified the London Amendment.

Meeting the CTC 85 per cent reduction by 2005

63. Cognisant of the fact that countries that ratified the London Amendment are required to reduce their consumption by 85 per cent on 1 January 2005, the indications from the analysis suggest that:

(a) Countries that have ratified the London Amendment

64. Of the 53 countries that have ratified the London Amendment and provided data, 23 countries could be considered to be in compliance now based on their latest reported consumption data provided that this level of consumption will not increase. Jordan is the only country that has received assistance from the Multilateral Fund for CTC activities.

65. The other 30 countries may not achieve compliance with the 85 per cent reduction by 2005. These countries would need to phase-out a total of 78,266 ODP tonnes to achieve the 85 per cent reduction by 2005. 15 of these 30 countries have a consumption above 10 ODP tonnes including in order of latest consumption: China (63,824 ODP tonnes); India (14,333 ODP tonnes); Mexico (7,555 ODP tonnes); Korea, DPR (2,027 ODP tonnes); Argentina (1,572 ODP tonnes); Pakistan (656 ODP tonnes); Nigeria (141 ODP tonnes); Iran (55 ODP tonnes); Sri Lanka (30 ODP tonnes); Algeria (19 ODP tonnes); Zimbabwe (18 ODP tonnes); Indonesia (17 ODP tonnes); Bangladesh (14 ODP tonnes); Turkey (13 ODP tonnes) and Egypt (11 ODP tonnes).

66. Of the 30 countries that may not achieve compliance with the 85 per cent reduction targets for carbon tetrachloride by 2005, 17 countries do not have activities planned for them in the 2003-2005 business plans: Algeria, Bahamas, Bahrain, Croatia, Cuba, Ecuador, Indonesia, Mexico, Morocco, Paraguay, Peru, Sudan, Tunisia, Uganda, Uruguay, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

67. The Multilateral Fund has provided funding for CTC projects and activities in 5 of these countries (China, India, Iran, Korea DPR and Pakistan). China has an agreement with the Executive Committee to phase out its production and consumption of CTC following the Montreal Protocol schedule.

68. The World Bank has submitted one CTC solvent project in India to the 40^{th} Meeting. UNIDO submitted CTC solvent sector projects in Korea DPR and two multiple solvent projects with CTC component in Pakistan to the 40^{th} Meeting.

(b) Countries that have not ratified the London Amendment

69. The 16 Article 5 countries that have not ratified the London Amendment include 7 countries that have zero consumption and zero baselines and 6 countries that have not provided sufficient data) to enable an analysis of their status of compliance. Albania is the only country that has received assistance from the Multilateral Fund for CTC activities.

Observations

70. There appears to be a need for immediate actions to enable 30 countries to achieve compliance with their 85 percent reduction in CTC consumption by 2005, while noting that 15 of these 30 countries have a consumption above 10 ODP tonnes. The largest consumption was in India, Iran, Korea DPR and Pakistan; however, these countries have received assistance from the Multilateral Fund and additional CTC solvent projects are submitted to the 40th Meeting for India, Korea DPR and Pakistan.

71. Parties that have ratified the London Amendment should provide data pursuant to Article 7.

72. Parties that have not ratified the London Amendment should be encouraged to do so and report complete data pursuant to Article 7.

73. Since the 85 per cent reduction will begin on 1 January 2005 and considering that project implementation takes on average 3 years to be completed, urgent actions are needed by all concerned to ensure that as many countries as possible will be able to achieve (and remain in) compliance.

74. Countries should be urged to differentiate their CTC consumption into solvent, process agent and feedstock.

METHYL CHLOROFORM (TCA) (Annex V)

75. This section presents the analysis for compliance with methyl chloroform control measures.

Data

76. Of the 116 Article 5 countries that have ratified the London Amendment, 104 have reported complete baseline data. Of these 104 countries, 60 reported zero for the baseline and latest consumption. As such only 44 countries are included in the analysis.

Findings

77. Countries were grouped into three categories for the purposes of this analysis: those that have ratified the London Amendment and have provided baseline and latest consumption data, those that have ratified the London Amendment but have not provided sufficient data for analysis, and those that have not ratified the London Amendment.

Meeting the TCA Freeze

78. With respect to achieving the 2003 freeze, the indications from the analysis suggest that:

(a) Countries that have ratified the London Amendment

79. Of the 44 countries that have ratified the London Amendment and provided data, 38 countries could be considered to be in compliance now based on their latest reported consumption data provided that this level of consumption will not increase. 12 of the 38 countries have received assistance from the Multilateral Fund for TCA activities.

80. The other 6 countries may not achieve compliance with the freeze target in 2003. These countries would need to phase-out a total of 5 ODP tonnes to achieve the freeze in 2003. Kenya is the only country that received assistance from the Multilateral Fund for TCA projects and

other activities. Those countries, and the amount of phase-out needed to achieve the freeze in 2003 are as follows: Bangladesh (0.08 ODP tonnes); Burundi (0.05 ODP tones); Ecuador (0.83 ODP tonnes); Kenya (2.82 ODP tonnes); Pakistan (1.17 ODP tones) and Zimbabwe (0.1 ODP tonnes).

81. Of the 6 countries that may not achieve compliance with the methyl chloroform freeze targets in 2003, 3 countries do not have activities planned for them in the 2003-2005 business plans: Burundi, Ecuador and Zimbabwe.

(b) Countries that have not ratified the London Amendment

82. The 16 Article 5 countries that have not ratified the London Amendment include 7 countries that have zero consumption and zero baselines and 6 countries that have not provided sufficient data) for an analysis of their status of compliance. Bosnia and Herzegovina has received assistance from the Multilateral Fund for TCA activities. Of the three country that has reported data, Ethiopia is the only country that could be considered to be in compliance now based on their latest reported consumption data provided that this level of consumption will not increase. The remaining two countries (Albania and Bosnia and Herzegovina) may need some additional assistance to achieve compliance.

Meeting the 30 per cent reduction target in 2005

83. Assuming that the latest reported consumption will not increase and that all approved projects are implemented by 2005, the analysis points to the following prospects for potential compliance with the 30 per cent reduction target:

- (a) 31 of the 44 countries that provided data and have ratified the London Amendment could achieve compliance with the 30 per cent reduction by 2005 based on their latest reported consumption and contingent upon the implementation of approved projects.
- The remaining 13 countries may need additional phase-out amounting to 18 ODP (b) tonnes by 2005 in order to comply with the 30 per cent reduction targets. 2 of the 13 countries (Egypt and Kenya) have received support for TCA activities from the Multilateral Fund. Three of the 13 countries (Bangladesh, Chile and Egypt) have projects included in the 2003 business plan. The approval and timely implementation of these projects would be sufficient to enable all of them to achieve their 30 per cent reduction target. Only 4 countries, Ecuador, Kenya, Nigeria and Pakistan need more than a one-tonne phase-out to achieve the 30 per cent reduction. Those countries, and the amount of phase-out needed to achieve compliance with the 30 percent reduction by 2005 are as follows: Algeria (0.94 ODP tonnes); Bangladesh (0.34 ODP tonnes); Burundi (0.08 ODP tonnes); Chile (0.72 ODP tonnes); Ecuador (1.43 ODP tonnes); Egypt (0.8 ODP tonnes); Kenya (3.16 ODP tonnes); Nigeria (8 ODP tonnes); Pakistan (1.87 ODP tonnes); Yemen (0.22 ODP tonnes) and Zimbabwe (0.1 ODP tonnes). Pakistan has projects submitted to the 40th Meeting.

- (c) Of the 13 countries that may need additional phase-out to achieve compliance with the 30 per cent reduction targets for methyl chloroform, 8 countries do not have activities planned for them in the 2003-2005 business plans: Algeria, Burundi, Ecuador, Haiti, Nigeria, Uganda, Yemen and Zimbabwe.
- (d) Of the 3 countries that have not ratified the London Amendment, none of the countries could achieve their 30 per cent reduction by 2005 based on their latest reported consumption. Bosnia and Herzegovina is the only countries that has received assistance from the Multilateral Fund.

Observations

84. There appears to be a need for immediate actions to enable 6 countries to achieve compliance with their TCA freeze obligations by 2003, noting that a total phase-out of 5 ODP tonnes would be needed for these countries to achieve their target.

85. Countries that have ratified the London Amendment should provide data pursuant to Article 7.

86. Parties that have not ratified the London Amendment should be encouraged to do so and report complete data pursuant to Article 7.

87. There are 13 countries that may need an additional phase-out amounting to 18 ODP tonnes to comply with the 30 per cent reduction target in 2005. Of these 13 countries, only Ecuador, Kenya, Nigeria and Pakistan need to phase out more than one ODP tonne to achieve the 30 per cent reduction.

PART II: ODS SECTORAL CONSUMPTION DATA ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF COUNTRY PROGRAMMES

88. ODS consumption data by sector is submitted annually by Article 5 countries to the Executive Committee in compliance with decisions from the 11th, 13th, 22nd, 28th, 29th, and 30th Meetings of the Executive Committee.

89. The Secretariat received reports on the implementation of country programmes for the year 2002 from 66 countries as of July 8, 2003. The Secretariat compiled these data into the required format and introduced data from previous reports for those countries that did not report data for 2002. Therefore, 26 reports from 2001, 7 reports from 2000, 3 from 1999, 6 from 1998, and 3 reports from 1997 are included in the data pertaining to 111 Article 5 countries.

90. The data is available in Excel XP on the Fund Secretariat's web site, <u>www.unmfs.org</u>.

91. Although the consumption is from different years and does not necessarily correspond to the data reported pursuant to Article 7, the data provide the most recent sectoral assessment by country as well as on a global basis. These data should assist the Article 5 countries concerned

and the Executive Committee in their efforts to assess what is left to be phased out on a sectoral basis.

92. Table 2 presents the total remaining ODS consumption to be phased out by sector after taking into account approved but unimplemented projects. It also includes total consumption, phase-out from approved but unimplemented projects, and the percentage by sector of the balance to be phased out.

Sector	Total	Percentage of	Total Approved	Balance	Percentage of Approved
	Consumption	Total	but not		but not Implemented to
		Consumption	Implemented		Total Consumption
Aerosol	4,082.9	3.1%	2,786.3	1,296.7	31.8%
Foam	30,799.5	23.2%	21,882.3	8,917.2	29.0%
Solvent	7,538.0	5.7%	2,569.6	4,968.4	65.9%
Refrigeration	46,811.0	35.2%	14,062.7	32,748.3	70.0%
Halons	14,303.4	10.8%	10,243.2	4,060.2	28.4%
Fumigant	8,507.5	6.4%	2,345.4	6,162.1	72.4%
Process Agent	18,877.8	14.2%	839.3	18,038.5	95.6%
Tobacco	2,121.2	1.6%	300.0	1,821.2	85.9%
Sterilant	0.0	0.0%	19.8	-19.8	N/A
Total	133,041.2	100.0%	55,048.6	77,992.7	58.6%

Table 2 TOTAL REMAINING ODS CONSUMPTION BY SECTOR

Recommendations

The Monitoring, Evaluation and Finance Sub-Committee may wish to recommend to the Executive Committee to:

- 1. Note the report on the Status of Article 5 countries in achieving compliance with the initial and intermediate control measures of the Montreal Protocol as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/40/25 that includes data on the implementation of country programme in Part II.
- 2. Urge implementing and bilateral agencies as well as the relevant Article 5 countries to adhere to the timely implementation of the 2003 business plans.
- 3. Note with appreciation that 2002 data reported to the Fund Secretariat was below the CFC freeze baseline levels for the following countries found in non-compliance by the Fourteenth Meeting of the Parties: Bangladesh, Belize, Bolivia, Cameroon, Ethiopia, Namibia, Nepal and Nigeria.
- 4. Request bilateral and implementing agencies to include phase-out activities, where appropriate for eligible consumption, in their 2004/2005 business plans for the following countries:

Algeria (CTC/TCA), Bahamas (CTC), Bahrain (methyl bromide, CTC), Burundi (TCA), Croatia (CTC), Cuba (CTC), Ecuador (CTC/TCA), El Salvador (methyl bromide), Fiji (methyl bromide), Haiti (halon, TCA), Indonesia (CTC), Mexico (CTC), Morocco (halon, CTC), Nigeria (TCA), Paraguay (methyl bromide/CTC), Peru (CTC), Sudan (CTC), Tunisia (CTC), Uganda (CTC, TCA), Uruguay (CTC), Yemen (TCA), Zambia (CTC), and Zimbabwe (CTC/TCA).

Annex I

CFC ANALYSIS

Column Number	Region	Status		test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	CFC baseline (1995- 1997)	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% CFC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% CFC reduction	RMP approved	RMP for LVCs to meet 85% CFC Reduction
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Countries that appear	to be in co	mnliance														<u> </u>
Algeria	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	CP	2,119.5	1,002.8	0.0	439.1	100% by 2004	0.0	150.0	1,059.8	563.7	-496.1	Yes	No
Antigua and Barbuda	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	10.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	10010	5.4	3.7	-1.6	Yes	No
Argentina	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	4,697.3	2,138.8	0.0	649.3	100% by 2005	0.0	102.0	2,348.6	1,489.5	-859.1	No	No
Bahrain	ASP	LVC	2002	СР	135.4	94.6	0.0	5.0	-	0.0	110.0	67.7	89.6	21.9	Yes	No
Bangladesh	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	A7	580.4	328.0	0.0	12.6	-	0.0	104.9	290.2	315.4	25.2	Yes	No
Barbados	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	21.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	8.0	10.8	12.5	1.7	No	No
Belize	LAC	LVC	2002	СР	24.4	16.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		12.2	16.0	3.8	Yes	No
Benin	AFR	LVC	2002	СР	59.9	35.5	0.0	27.3	100% by 2003	0.0		30.0	8.2	-21.8	Yes	Yes
Bolivia	LAC	LVC	2002	СР	75.7	65.5	0.0	23.9	100% by 2005	0.0	5.9	37.8	41.6	3.7	Yes	Yes
Bostwana	AFR	LVC	2000	A7	6.9	2.5	0.0	1.5	100% by 2003	0.0		3.4	1.0	-2.4	Yes	No
Brazil	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	СР	10,525.8	2,660.4	0.0	2,628.5	100% by 2005	0.0	2,110.1	5,262.9	31.9	-5,231.0	No	Yes*
Brunei Darussalem	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	78.2	31.4	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	23.0	39.1	31.4	-7.7	No	No
Burkina Faso	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	36.3	16.3	0.0	3.0	100% by 2006	0.0		18.1	13.3	-4.8	Yes	Yes
Burundi	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	59.0	46.5	0.0	35.5	100% by 2005	0.0	50.2	29.5	11.0	-18.5	Yes	No
Cameroon	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	256.9	226.0	0.0	112.6	100% by 2007	0.0		128.4	113.4	-15.0	Yes	Yes
Central African Republic	AFR	LVC	2002	СР	11.3	3.8	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		5.6	3.8	-1.8	Yes	Yes
Chad	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	34.6	31.6	0.0	6.0	100% by 2006	0.0		17.3	25.6	8.3	Yes	Yes
Chile	LAC	Non-LVC	2001	A7	828.7	470.2	0.0	475.2	100% by 2005	0.0	20.0	414.4	-5.0	-419.3	Yes	No
China	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	57,818.7	33,922.6	0.0	22,603.7	100% by 2007	0.0	3,370.1	28,909.4	11,318.9	-17,590.5	No	No
Colombia	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	2,208.2	1,037.0	0.0	284.0	100% by 2005	0.0	428.4	1,104.1	753.0	-351.1	No	No
Comoros	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	2.5	1.8	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		1.3	1.8	0.6	Yes	Yes
Congo	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	11.9	5.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	11.4	5.9	5.5	-0.5	Yes	Yes
Congo, DR	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	665.7	569.4	0.0	137.0	100% by 2005	0.0	167.8	332.8	432.4	99.6	No	No
Costa Rica	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	250.2	137.4	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	87.1	125.1	137.4	12.3	No	No
Cote D'Ivoire	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	294.2	106.5	0.0	42.8	100% by 2006	0.0		147.1	63.7	-83.4	Yes	Yes

Column Number	Region	Status	Lat Consu		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	CFC baseline (1995- 1997)	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% CFC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% CFC reduction	RMP approved	RMP for LVCs to meet 85% CFC Reduction
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Croatia	EUR	LVC	2002	A7	219.3	140.1	0.0	50.0	100% by 2004	0.0	30.0	109.7	90.1	-19.6	Yes	Yes*
Cuba	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	625.1	488.8	0.0	36.2	100% by 2003	0.0	121.4	312.6	452.6	140.1	Yes	No
Dominica	LAC	LVC	1999	A7	1.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.7	1.1	0.3	Yes	No
Dominican Republic	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	539.8	329.8	0.0	17.9	100% by 2005	0.0	161.1	269.9	311.9	41.9	Yes	No
Ecuador	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	301.4	229.6	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	153.7	150.7	229.6	78.9	No	No
Egypt	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	СР	1,668.0	1,294.0	0.0	119.0	100% by 2003	0.0	20.2	834.0	1,175.0	341.0	Yes	No
El Salvador	LAC	LVC	2002	CP	306.6	101.6	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		153.3	101.6	-51.7	Yes	No
Ethiopia	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	33.8	30.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		16.9	30.0	13.1	Yes	No
Federated States of Micronesia	ASP	LVC	2000	A7	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.6	1.0	0.4	Yes	Yes*
Fiji	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	33.4	0.0	0.0	5.2	100% by 2003	0.0	10.5	16.7	-5.2	-21.9	Yes	No
Gabon	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	10.3	5.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	8.8	5.1	5.0	-0.1	Yes	No
Gambia	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	23.8	4.7	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		11.9	4.7	-7.2	Yes	Yes
Georgia	EUR	LVC	2002	A7	22.5	15.5	0.0	1.5	100% by 2005	0.0		11.2	14.0	2.8	Yes	Yes
Ghana	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	35.6	35.6	0.0	15.7	100% by 2006	0.0		17.8	19.9	2.1	Yes	Yes
Grenada	LAC	LVC	1998	A7	6.0	3.8	0.0	1.2	100% by 2002	0.0		3.0	2.6	-0.3	Yes	No
Guinea	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	42.4	35.4	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		21.2	35.4	14.2	Yes	No
Guyana	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	53.2	14.3	0.0	6.5	100% by 2003	0.0		26.6	7.8	-18.8	Yes	Yes
Haiti	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	169.0	169.0	0.0	14.0	100% by 2006	0.0	14.0	84.5	155.0	70.5	Yes	Yes
Honduras	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	331.6	131.3	0.0	14.2	100% by 2003	0.0	122.6	165.8	117.1	-48.8	Yes	No
India	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	СР	6,681.1	4,513.2	0.0	2,613.5	100% by 2005	0.0	611.1	3,340.5	1,899.7	-1,440.8	No	No
Indonesia	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	СР	8,332.7	5,506.3	0.0	2,581.3	100% by 2005	0.0	1,597.0	4,166.3	2,925.0	-1,241.4	No	No
Iran	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	4,571.7	4,204.8	0.0	2,305.2	100% by 2004	0.0	673.8	2,285.8	1,899.6	-386.3	No	No
Jamaica	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	93.2	31.7	0.0	59.5	100% by 2006	0.0		46.6	-27.8	-74.4	Yes	Yes*
Jordan	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	СР	673.3	191.8	0.0	415.6	100% by 2009	0.0	12.1	336.6	-223.8	-560.4	Yes	Yes*
Kenya	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	239.5	152.3	0.0	8.5	100% by 2003	0.0	2.0	119.7	143.8	24.1	Yes	No
Kiribati	ASP	LVC	1998	A7	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.4	0.5	0.2	Yes	Yes*
Korea DPR	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	СР	441.7	299.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	103.5	220.8	299.0	78.2	No	No
Kuwait	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	480.4	354.2	0.0	64.0	100% by 2005	0.0		240.2	290.2	50.0	Yes	No
Kyrgyzstan	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	72.9	53.0	0.0	11.6	100% by 2007	0.0		36.4	41.4	5.0	Yes	Yes
Lao People's Democratic Republic	ASP	LVC	2002	СР	43.3	42.3	0.0	16.3	100% by 2004	0.0	16.0	21.6	26.0	4.4	Yes	Yes

Column Number	Region	Status		test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	CFC baseline (1995- 1997)	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% CFC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% CFC reduction	RMP approved	RMP for LVCs to meet 85% CFC Reduction
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Lebanon	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	CP	725.5	452.6	0.0	251.8	100% by 2004	0.0		362.8	200.8	-161.9	No	No
Lesotho	AFR	LVC	2000	A7	5.1	2.4	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.6	2.4	-0.2	Yes	No
Macedonia	EUR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	519.7	34.1	0.0	25.0	100% by 2003	0.0		259.9	9.1	-250.7	Yes	No
Madagascar	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	47.9	9.9	0.0	12.0	100% by 2003	0.0		23.9	-2.1	-26.1	Yes	No
Malawi	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	57.7	19.0	0.0	33.0	100% by 2003	0.0		28.8	-14.0	-42.8	Yes	No
Malaysia	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	A7	3,271.1	1,605.5	0.0	174.8	100% by 2004	0.0	289.0	1,635.5	1,430.7	-204.8	No	Yes*
Mali	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	108.1	26.0	0.0	6.3	100% by 2004	0.0		54.0	19.7	-34.3	Yes	Yes
Marshall Islands	ASP	LVC	2000	A7	1.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.6	0.5	0.0	Yes	Yes*
Mauritania	AFR	LVC	1999	A7	15.7	13.4	0.0	2.0	100% by 2000	0.0	13.0	7.8	11.4	3.6	No	No
Mauritius	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	29.1	7.3	0.0	8.0	100% by 2003	0.0		14.6	-0.7	-15.2	Yes	No
Mexico	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	4,624.9	1,943.7	0.0	165.4	100% by 2004	0.0	1,153.3	2,312.4	1,778.3	-534.1	No	No
Moldova	EUR	LVC	2001	A7	73.3	23.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	26.2	36.7	23.5	-13.2	Yes	No
Mongolia	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	10.6	6.9	0.0	5.6	100% by 2005	0.0		5.3	1.3	-4.0	Yes	Yes
Morocco	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	802.3	668.6	0.0	333.7	100% by 2004	0.0	11.2	401.1	334.9	-66.3	No	No
Mozambique	AFR	LVC	1999	A7	18.2	13.8	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		9.1	13.8	4.7	Yes	No
Myanmar	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	54.3	39.4	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	22.2	27.1	39.4	12.3	No	No
Namibia	AFR	LVC	2002	CP	21.9	20.8	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		10.9	20.8	9.9	Yes	No
Nepal	ASP	LVC	2002	CP	27.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	13.0	13.5	0.0	-13.5	Yes	No
Nicaragua	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	82.8	35.2	0.0	12.8	100% by 2003	0.0	31.8	41.4	22.4	-19.1	Yes	No
Niger	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	32.0	29.1	0.0	5.8	100% by 2003	0.0	37.5	16.0	23.3	7.3	Yes	No
Nigeria	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	3,650.0	3,286.7	0.0	626.0	100% by 2004	0.0	1,071.1	1,825.0	2,660.7	835.7	No	Yes*
Oman	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	248.4	179.5	0.0	13.0	100% by 2005	0.0		124.2	166.5	42.3	Yes	Yes
Pakistan	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	1,679.4	1,666.3	0.0	1,065.0	100% by 2004	0.0	157.6	839.7	601.3	-238.4	No	No
Palau	ASP	LVC	2002	СР	1.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.8	0.1	-0.7	Yes	Yes*
Panama	LAC	Non-LVC	2001	A7	384.2	180.4	0.0	42.0	100% by 2003	0.0	161.0	192.1	138.4	-53.7	Yes	No
Papua New Guinea	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	36.3	15.0	0.0	30.8	100% by 2005	0.0	31.0	18.1	-15.8	-33.9	No	Yes*
Paraguay	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	210.6	96.9	0.0	16.1	100% by 2005	0.0		105.3	80.8	-24.5	Yes	Yes
Peru	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	289.5	189.0	0.0	39.8	100% by 2005	0.0	89.3	144.8	149.2	4.5	Yes	No
Philippines	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	3,055.9	2,049.4	0.0	46.7	100% by 2004	0.0	89.3	1,527.9	2,002.7	474.7	No	Yes*
Qatar	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	101.4	85.4	0.0	13.0	100% by 2004	0.0		50.7	72.4	21.7	Yes	Yes
Romania	EUR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	675.8	185.7	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		337.9	185.7	-152.1	Yes	No

Column Number	Region	Status	La Consu	test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	CFC baseline (1995- 1997)	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% CFC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% CFC reduction	RMP approved	RMP for LVCs to meet 85% CFC Reduction
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Saint Lucia	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	8.3	3.0	0.0	3.0	100% by 2003	0.0		4.1	0.0	-4.2	Yes	No
Samoa	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	4.5	2.2	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.2	2.2	0.0	Yes	Yes
Senegal	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	155.8	71.9	0.0	5.0	100% by 2003	0.0		77.9	66.9	-11.0	Yes	Yes
Serbia and Montenegro	EUR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	849.2	371.7	0.0	276.8	100% by 2005	0.0	130.0	424.6	94.9	-329.7	No	No
Seychelles	AFR	LVC	2002	СР	2.9	1.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		1.4	1.5	0.1	Yes	No
Solomon Islands	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	2.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		1.1	0.6	-0.4	Yes	Yes*
South Africa	AFR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	592.6	16.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		296.3	16.0	-280.3	No	No
Sri Lanka	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	445.6	190.4	0.0	16.1	100% by 2006	0.0	63.9	222.8	174.3	-48.6	Yes	No
Sudan	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	СР	456.8	253.0	0.0	50.0	100% by 2003	0.0	28.3	228.4	203.0	-25.4	Yes	No
Swaziland	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	24.6	1.4	0.0	4.0	100% by 2003	0.0		12.3	-2.7	-14.9	Yes	No
Syria	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	CP	2,224.7	1,201.6	0.0	738.5	100% by 2006	0.0		1,112.3	463.1	-649.2	Yes	No
Tanzania	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	253.9	131.2	0.0	86.2	100% by 2004	0.0		126.9	45.0	-81.9	Yes	No
Thailand	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	СР	6,082.1	3,063.1	0.0	463.2	100% by 2003	0.0	317.7	3,041.0	2,599.9	-441.1	No	Yes*
Togo	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	39.8	34.7	0.0	13.3	100% by 2006	0.0		19.9	21.4	1.6	Yes	Yes
Tonga	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	1.3	0.8	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.7	0.8	0.1	Yes	Yes*
Trinidad and Tobago	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	120.0	63.6	0.0	6.0	100% by 2003	0.0	79.1	60.0	57.6	-2.4	Yes	No
Tunisia	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	870.1	465.8	0.0	295.4	100% by 2005	0.0	32.1	435.0	170.4	-264.6	No	No
Turkey	EUR	Non-LVC	2002	СР	3,805.7	697.4	0.0	610.8	100% by 2004	0.0	396.5	1,902.9	86.6	-1,816.3	No	Yes*
Tuvalu	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.2	0.0	-0.2	Yes	Yes*
Uruguay	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	199.1	75.2	0.0	10.3	100% by 2007	0.0		99.5	64.9	-34.7	Yes	Yes
Vanuatu	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	Yes*
Venezuela	LAC	Non-LVC	2001	A7	3,321.6	2,546.2	0.0	556.1	100% by 2004	0.0	202.5	1,660.8	1,990.1	329.3	No	No
Vietnam	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	A7	500.0	235.5	0.0	62.6	100% by 2005	0.0	7.6	250.0	172.9	-77.1	Yes	No
Zambia	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	27.4	11.8	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		13.7	11.8	-1.9	Yes	No
Zimbabwe	AFR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	451.4	259.4	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	8.3	225.7	259.4	33.7	Yes	No
Countries that could ac	hieve com	pliance with	impleme	ntation of	f approved	projects				<u> </u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>
Libya	AFR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	716.7	985.4	268.7	367.4	100% by 2005	0.0	259.6	358.4	618.0	259.6	No	No
Saint Kitts and Nevis	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	3.7	5.3	1.6	2.0	100% by 2003	0.0		1.8	3.3	1.5	Yes	No

Column Number	Region	Status		test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	CFC baseline (1995- 1997)	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% CFC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% CFC reduction		RMP for LVCs to meet 85% CFC Reduction
~							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Countries that may nee				-							10.0					
Albania	EUR	LVC	2001	A7	40.8	68.8	28.1		100% by 2006	7.1	10.0	20.4	47.8		No	Yes*
Bahamas	LAC	LVC	2001	CP	64.9	105.3	40.5	18.0	100% by 2003	22.5	10.0	32.4	87.3	54.9	Yes	Yes*
Bosnia and Herzegovina	EUR	LVC	2002	A7	24.2	236.2	212.0	112.6	100% by 2004	99.4	40.0	12.1	123.6	111.5	No	No
Guatemala	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	224.7	239.6	14.9		N/A	14.9		112.3	239.6	127.2	Yes	Yes
Maldives	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	4.6	14.1	9.5	3.5	100% by 2005	6.0		2.3	10.6	8.3	Yes	Yes
Saint Vincent	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	1.8	6.9	5.1	0.0	N/A	5.1		0.9	6.9	6.0	Yes	No
Uganda	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	12.8	13.4	0.6	0.0	N/A	0.6		6.4	13.4	7.0	Yes	No
Yemen	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	349.1	959.9	610.8	412.7	100% by 2005	198.1	9.8	174.5	547.2	372.7	Yes	Yes
Countries with insuffici	ient data															
Angola	AFR	NDR	2002	A7	NDR	105.0		103.0	100% by 2006						Yes	Yes
Cambodia	ASP	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A		15.0				No	No
Cape Verde	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Djibouti	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		5.7	100% by 2004						Yes	Yes
Guinea Bissau	LAC	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A		3.0				No	No
Liberia	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Rwanda	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A		15.0				No	No
Sao Tome and Principe	AFR	NDR	1		NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A		3.0				No	No
Sierra Leone	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Somalia	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A		25.0				No	No
Suriname	LAC	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A		15.0				No	No

Annex II

HALON ANALYSIS

Column Number	Region	Status	-	ntest Imption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	Halon baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% halon reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% halon reduction	Halon banking approved (Yes/No)	Received assistance from Fund
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Countries that appear	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	СР	237.3	169.0	0.0	195.0	100% by 2005	0.0	1	118.7	-26.0	-144.7	Yes	V
Algeria Antigua and Barbuda	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	0.0		0.1	-26.0	-144.7	No	Yes No
Argentina	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	167.8	0.0	0.0	200.0	100% by 2004	0.0		83.9	-200.0	-283.9	Yes	Yes
Bahrain	ASP	LVC	2002	CP	38.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		19.4	-200.0	-283.9	Yes	Yes
Benin	AFR	LVC	2002	CP	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.0	0.0	-2.0	Yes	Yes
Botswana	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	5.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.6	2.2	-0.4	Yes	Yes
Brazil	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	СР	21.3	20.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		10.7	20.0	9.3	Yes	Yes
Burkina Faso	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.7	0.0	-2.7	Yes	Yes
Chile	LAC	Non-LVC	2001	A7	8.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	70.0	4.3	0.9	-3.4	No	Yes
China	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	34,186.7	10,409.0	0.0	5,181.0	100% by 2003	0.0		17,093.3	5,228.0	-11,865.3	No	Yes
Colombia	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	187.7	4.4	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		93.8	4.4	-89.4	No	No
Congo	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.5	0.0	-2.5	Yes	Yes
Dominican Republic	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	4.2	0.0	0.0	3.0	100% by 2004	0.0		2.1	-3.0	-5.1	Yes	Yes
Ecuador	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.7	0.0	-2.7	No	Yes
Egypt	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	CP	705.0	230.0	0.0	756.0	100% by 2004	0.0		352.5	-526.0	-878.5	Yes	Yes
El Salvador	LAC	LVC	2002	СР	0.8	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.4	0.0	-0.4	No	No
Ethiopia	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	1.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.5	0.9	0.4	Yes	Yes
Guatemala	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.1	No	No
Guinea	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	8.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		4.3	0.1	-4.2	Yes	Yes
Guyana	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.1	Yes	Yes
Haiti	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.8	1.5	0.8	No	No
India	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	CP	448.4	280.0	0.0	950.4	100% by 2004	0.0		224.2	-670.4	-894.6	Yes	Yes
Indonesia	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	CP	354.0	0.0	0.0	972.0	100% by 2004	0.0		177.0	-972.0	-1,149.0	Yes	Yes
Iran	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	1,420.0	1,420.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		710.0	1,420.0	710.0	Yes	Yes

Column Number	Region	Status		test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	Halon baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% halon reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% halon reduction	Halon banking approved (Yes/No)	Received assistance from Fund
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Jamaica	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.5	0.0	-0.5	Yes	Yes
Jordan	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	СР	210.0	28.0	0.0	421.8	100% by 2003	0.0		105.0	-393.8	-498.8	Yes	Yes
Kenya	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.7	0.0	-2.7	Yes	Yes
Kuwait	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		1.5	0.0	-1.5	No	No
Lesotho	AFR	LVC	2000	A7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.1	Yes	Yes
Libya	AFR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	633.1	532.7	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		316.5	532.7	216.2	No	No
Macedonia	EUR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	32.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		16.0	0.0	-16.0	No	Yes
Mexico	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	СР	124.6	83.4	0.0	230.0	100% by 2005	0.0		62.3	-146.6	-208.9	Yes	Yes
Moldova	EUR	LVC	2001	A7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.2	0.0	-0.2	No	No
Morocco	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	7.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		3.5	3.9	0.4	No	Yes
Mozambique	AFR	LVC	1999	A7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.5	0.0	-0.5	No	No
Namibia	AFR	LVC	2002	CP	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		4.1	0.0	-4.1	Yes	Yes
Nepal	ASP	LVC	2002	CP	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		1.0	0.0	-1.0	No	No
Oman	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	13.7	6.2	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	80.0	6.8	6.2	-0.6	No	Yes
Philippines	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	103.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		52.0	0.0	-52.0	No	Yes
Romania	EUR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		1.7	0.0	-1.7	No	No
Serbia and Montenegro	EUR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	3.8	0.0	0.0	370.0	100% by 2003	0.0		1.9	-370.0	-371.9	Yes	Yes
Sudan	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	CP	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		1.0	0.0	-1.0	No	No
Syria	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	CP	416.9	389.0	0.0	410.0	100% by 2004	0.0		208.4	-21.0	-229.4	Yes	Yes
Tanzania	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.2	0.0	-0.2	Yes	Yes
Trinidad and Tobago	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	46.6	1.1	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		23.3	1.1	-22.2	Yes	Yes
Tunisia	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	104.3	45.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		52.2	45.0	-7.2	No	No
Turkey	EUR	Non-LVC	2002	CP	141.0	13.0	0.0	118.0	100% by 2005	0.0		70.5	-105.0	-175.5	Yes	Yes
Zimbabwe	AFR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.8	0.0	-0.8	Yes	Yes
Countries that may ne	ed additio	onal actions t	o achiev	e complia	nce											
Cameroon	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	2.4	24.3	21.9	0.0	N/A	21.9		1.2	24.3	23.1	Yes	Yes
Congo, DR	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	218.7	492.0	273.3	0.0	N/A	273.3		109.3	492.0	382.7	Yes	Yes
Croatia	EUR	LVC	2002	A7	17.3	26.0	8.7	0.0	N/A	8.7		8.7	26.0	17.3	No	Yes
Malaysia	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	A7	8.0	20.0	12.0	0.0	N/A	12.0		4.0	20.0	16.0	Yes	Yes

Column Number	Region	Status		itest imption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	Halon baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% halon reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% halon reduction	Halon banking approved (Yes/No)	Received assistance from Fund
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Nigeria	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	285.3	412.1	126.8	0.0	N/A	126.8		142.7	412.1	269.4	Yes	Yes
Pakistan	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	14.2	28.8	14.6	0.0	N/A	14.6	14.2	7.1	28.8	21.7	No	No
Qatar	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	10.7	30.7	20.1	0.0	N/A	20.1		5.3	30.7	25.4	Yes	Yes
Vietnam	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	A7	37.1	97.6	60.5	0.0	N/A	60.5		18.5	97.6	79.1	Yes	Yes
Yemen	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	2.8	72.2	69.4	0.0	N/A	69.4		1.4	72.2	70.8	Yes	Yes
Countries with No Co	onsumption	 1														I
Albania	EUR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Bahamas	LAC	LVC	2001	СР	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	Yes
Bangladesh	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Barbados	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	Yes
Belize	LAC	LVC	2002	СР	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Bolivia	LAC	LVC	2002	СР	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Bosnia and Herzegovina	EUR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Brunei Darussalem	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Burundi	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Central African Republic	AFR	LVC	2002	СР	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Chad	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Comoros	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Costa Rica	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Cote D'Ivoire	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Cuba	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Dominica	LAC	LVC	1999	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Federated States of Micronesia	ASP	LVC	2000	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Fiji	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Gabon	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Gambia	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Georgia	EUR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Ghana	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No

Column Number	Region	Status		test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	Halon baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% halon reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% halon reduction	Halon banking approved (Yes/No)	Received assistance from Fund
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Grenada	LAC	LVC	1998	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	Yes
Honduras	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Kiribati	ASP	LVC	1998	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Korea, DPR	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	CP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Kyrgyzstan	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Lao People's Democratic Republic	ASP	LVC	2002	СР	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Lebanon	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	CP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	Yes
Madagascar	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Malawi	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Maldives	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Mali	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Marshall Islands	ASP	LVC	2000	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Mauritania	AFR	LVC	1999	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Mauritius	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Mongolia	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Myanmar	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Nicaragua	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Niger	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Palau	ASP	LVC	2002	СР	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Panama	LAC	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes
Papua New Guinea	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Paraguay	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Peru	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Saint Kitts and Nevis	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Saint Lucia	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Saint Vincent	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Samoa	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Senegal	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Seychelles	AFR	LVC	2002	CP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Solomon Islands	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No

Column Number	Region	Status		atest Imption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	Halon baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	50% halon reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 50% halon reduction	Halon banking approved (Yes/No)	Received assistance from Fund
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.50	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
South Africa	AFR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Sri Lanka	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Swaziland	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Togo	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Tonga	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Tuvalu	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Uganda	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Uruguay	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes
Vanuatu	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Venezuela	LAC	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes
Zambia	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Countries with insuff	icient data															
Angola	AFR	NDR	2002	A7	NDR	0.0		0.0	N/A						No	No
Cambodia	ASP	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Cape Verde	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR			N/A						No	No
Djibouti	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Guinea Bissau	LAC	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Liberia	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Rwanda	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Sao Tome and Principe	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR			N/A						No	No
Sierra Leone	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Somalia	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Suriname	LAC	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Thailand	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	СР	271.7	NDR		436.0	100% by 2004			135.8			Yes	Yes

Annex III

Column Number	Region	Status		test	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
				mption												
Country			Year	Source	Methyl bromide baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	Allowable consumption in 2005 after 20% reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 20% methyl bromide reduction	Received assistance from Fund	Methyl Bromide Agreement Phase-out or Project
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.80	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
COUNTRIES THAT	HAVE R	ATIFIED T	THE COL	PENHAG	EN AMEN	DMENT				T	r					
Countries that appea				СР	47	10	0.0	0.0	NT/A	0.0	(0)	2.7	4.0	0.5	37	N
Algeria	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	-	4.7	4.2	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	6.0	3.7	4.2		Yes	No
Argentina	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	411.3	168.6	0.0	205.6	100% by 2004	0.0	31.8	329.0	-37.0	-366.0	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Bahamas	LAC	LVC	2001	CP	0.2	0.01	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.1	No	No
Barbados	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.1	No	No
Bolivia	LAC	LVC	2002	CP	0.6	0.3	0.0	1.5	100% by 2005	0.0		0.5	-1.3		Yes	Yes/Agreement
Brazil	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	711.6	238.2	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		569.3	238.2	-331.1	Yes	Partial/Project
Colombia	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	110.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		88.1	0.0	-88.1	Yes	Yes/Project
Congo	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	01.0	0.7	0.0	-0.7	Yes	Partial/Project
Costa Rica	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	342.5	280.0	0.0	84.4	100% by 2003	0.0	91.3	274.0	195.6	-78.3	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Croatia	EUR	LVC	2002	A7	15.7	-0.9	0.0	10.0	100% by 2007	0.0		12.6	-10.9	-23.5	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Cuba	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	50.5	21.1	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		40.4	21.1	-19.3	Yes	Yes/Project
Dominican Republic	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	104.2	77.1	0.0	141.0	100% by 2006	0.0		83.4	-63.9	-147.3	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Ecuador	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	66.2	40.8	0.0	37.2	100% by 2005	0.0		53.0	3.6	-49.4		Yes/Project
Georgia	EUR	LVC	2002	A7	13.7	10.5	0.0	6.0	100% by 2006	0.0		10.9	4.5	-6.4		Yes/Project
Guyana	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		1.1	0.0	-1.1	No	No
Jamaica	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	4.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		3.9	1.2	-2.7	Yes	No
Jordan	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	CP	176.3	90.0	0.0	180.0	100% by 2004	0.0		141.0	-90.0	-231.0	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Kenya	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	217.5	139.1	0.0	15.0	100% by 2004	0.0		174.0	124.1	-49.9	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Kyrgyzstan	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	14.2	13.8	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	12.0	11.3	13.8	2.5		No
Macedonia	EUR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	12.2	5.3	0.0	12.2	100% by 2006	0.0		9.8	-6.9	-16.7		Yes/Agreement
Madagascar	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	2.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.1	0.8	-1.3		No
Malawi	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	112.7	55.4	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	42.6	90.2	55.4	-34.8		Yes/Agreement
Mauritius	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.1	Yes	No

METHYL BROMIDE ANALYSIS

Column Number	Region	Status		test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	Methyl bromide baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	Allowable consumption in 2005 after 20% reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 20% methyl bromide reduction	Received assistance from Fund	Methyl Bromidd Agreement Phase-out or Project
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.80	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Mexico	LAC	Non-LVC	2002	CP	1,130.8	1067.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	148.0	904.6	1,067.5	162.9	Yes	No
Moldova	EUR	LVC	2001	A7	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		5.6	0.0	-5.6	Yes	No
Morocco	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	697.1	387.0	0.0	266.8	100% by 2006	0.0	52.9	557.6	120.2	-437.4	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Mozambique	AFR	LVC	1999	A7	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.7	0.0	-2.7	Yes	No
Nicaragua	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.3	0.0	-0.3	Yes	No
Nigeria	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	2.8	2.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.3	2.0	-0.3	Yes	Partial/Project
Oman	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	3.0	0.8	0.0	-0.8	No	No
Peru	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	1.3	0.1	0.0	4.0	100% by 2003	0.0		1.0	-3.9	-4.9	Yes	Yes/Project
Romania	EUR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	111.5	18.1	0.0	93.9	100% by 2005	0.0		89.2	-75.8	-165.0	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Senegal	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	53.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		42.5	0.0	-42.5	Yes	Yes/Project
South Africa	AFR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	602.7	596.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		482.2	596.5	114.4	No	No
Sri Lanka	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	4.1	1.1	0.0	7.2	100% by 2005	0.0		3.3	-6.1	-9.3	Yes	Yes/Project
Sudan	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	СР	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.4	3.0	0.6	Yes	Partial/Project
Syria	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	СР	188.6	153.0	0.0	5.0	100% by 2005	0.0	29.8	150.8	148.0	-2.8	Yes	Partial/Agreement
Trinidad and Tobago	LAC	LVC	2002	СР	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		1.4	0.7	-0.7	No	No
Turkey	EUR	Non-LVC	2002	СР	479.7	292.8	0.0	109.2	100% by 2004	0.0	58.0	383.8	183.6	-200.2	Yes	Partial/Agreement
Vanuatu	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.2	0.0	-0.2	No	No
Venezuela	LAC	Non-LVC	2001	A7	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		8.2	0.0	-8.2	Yes	No
Vietnam	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	A7	136.5	48.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		109.2	48.0	-61.2	Yes	No
Zimbabwe	AFR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	557.0	544.2	0.0	132.0	100% by 2004	0.0	15.0	445.6	412.2	-33.4	Yes	Partial/Agreement
Constant and a star					C											
Countries that could a		_	-				26.5	760	1000/ h 2006	0.0		170.0	1(2.9	7.0	V	Deuti-1/A euro
Chile	LAC	Non-LVC	2001	A7	212.5	239.0	26.5	76.2	100% by 2006	0.0		170.0	162.8	-7.2		Partial/Agreement
Egypt	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	CP	238.1	390.0	152.0	185.6	100% by 2005	0.0		190.4	204.4	14.0	Yes	Partial/Project
Guatemala	LAC	LVC	2002 2002	A7	400.7	709.4	308.7	468.0	100% by 2008	0.0		320.6	241.4 199.5	-79.2		Partial/Project
Honduras	LAC	LVC		A7	259.4	412.5	153.1	213.0	100% by 2007			207.5		-8.0	Yes	Partial/Project
Iran	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	26.7	27.6	0.9	12.4	100% by 2004	0.0	760	21.4	15.2	-6.2	Yes	No
Lebanon	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	CP	152.4	174.9	22.5	41.9	100% by 2003	0.0	76.9	121.9	133.0	11.1	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Uruguay	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	11.2	17.7	6.5	19.0	100% by 2005	0.0		9.0	-1.3	-10.3	Yes	Yes/Agreement

Column Number	Region	Status		test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	Methyl bromide baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	Allowable consumption in 2005 after 20% reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 20% methyl bromide reduction	Received assistance from Fund	Methyl Bromide Agreement Phase-out or Project
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.80	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Countries that may a	need addit	ional action	s to achie	eve compl	iance											
Bahrain	ASP	LVC	2002	CP	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0	N/A	1.2		0.0	1.2	1.2	No	No
Botswana	AFR	LVC	2000	A7	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.0	N/A	0.2		0.1	0.4	0.2	Yes	Yes/Project
Cameroon	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	18.1	25.4	7.3	0.0	N/A	7.3		14.5	25.4	10.9	Yes	Yes/Project
China	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	1,102.1	1,567.8	465.8	0.0	N/A	465.8	555.0	881.6	1,567.8	686.2	Yes	No
El Salvador	LAC	LVC	2002	CP	1.4	8.3	7.0	0.0	N/A	7.0		1.1	8.3	7.2	No	No
Fiji	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.7	1.2	0.5	0.0	N/A	0.5		0.5	1.2	0.7	No	No
Indonesia	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	СР	135.6	189.0	53.4	0.0	N/A	53.4	**	108.5	189.0	80.5	Yes	No
Malaysia	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	A7	14.6	115.8	101.2	0.0	N/A	101.2	36.0	11.7	115.8	104.1	Yes	No
Pakistan	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	14.0	24.0	10.1	0.0	N/A	10.1	12.8	11.2	24.0	12.8	Yes	No
Paraguay	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.85	0.94	0.1	0.0	N/A	0.1		0.7	0.9	0.3	No	No
Philippines	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	8.0	34.9	26.9	0.0	N/A	26.9		6.4	34.9	28.5	Yes	No
Tunisia	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	8.3	10.8	2.6	0.0	N/A	2.6	11.4	6.6	10.8	4.2	Yes	No
Uganda	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	1.9	22.2	20.3	12.0	100% by 2005	8.3		1.5	10.2	8.7	Yes	Yes/Agreement
Yemen	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	1.1	52.8	51.8	0.0	N/A	51.8	**	0.8	52.8	52.0	Yes	No
Countries with No C	Consumptio	on														
Antigua and Barbuda	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Bangladesh	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Belize	LAC	LVC	2002	СР	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Benin	AFR	LVC	2002	CP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Burkina Faso	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Burundi	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Chad	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Comoros	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Federated States of Micronesia	ASP	LVC	2000	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Gabon	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Ghana	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	100% by 2005	0.0		0.0	-6.3	-6.3	Yes	Yes/Project
Grenada	LAC	LVC	1998	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Kuwait	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No

Column Number	Region	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	Methyl bromide baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	Allowable consumption in 2005 after 20% reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 20% methyl bromide reduction	Received assistance from Fund	Methyl Bromide Agreement Phase-out or Project
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.80	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Marshall Islands	ASP	LVC	2000	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Mongolia	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Niger	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Palau	ASP	LVC	2002	СР	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Panama	LAC	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Qatar	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Saint Lucia	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Saint Vincent	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Samoa	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Seychelles	AFR	LVC	2002	CP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Solomon Islands	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Tanzania	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Togo	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Tuvalu	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Countries with insuff	iciont dot	9														
Cape Verde	AFR	a NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Congo, DR	AFR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	NDR	1.3		0.0	N/A						Yes	Partial/Project
Djibouti	AFR	NDR	2002		NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						Yes	No
Guinea Bissau	LAC	NDR		·	NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Haiti	LAC	LVC	2001	A7	NDR	0.0		0.0	N/A						No	No
India	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	CP	NDR	0.0		0.0	N/A		24.8				Yes	No
Korea, DPR	ASP	Non-LVC	2001	CP	NDR	0.0		0.0	N/A		21.0				Yes	No
Liberia	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Maldives	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	NDR	0.0		0.0	N/A						No	No
Mali	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	NDR	0.0		0.0	N/A						Yes	No
Saint Kitts and Nevis	LAC	LVC	2002	A7	NDR	0.3		0.0	N/A						No	No
Sao Tome and Principe	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						Yes	No
Sierra Leone	AFR	NDR		i – – †	NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						Yes	No
Somalia	AFR	NDR		i – 1	NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						Yes	No

Column Number	Region	Status		test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Source	Methyl bromide baseline	consumption	Amount needed to meet the freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	Allowable consumption in 2005 after 20% reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 20% methyl bromide reduction	Received assistance from Fund	Methyl Bromide Agreement Phase-out or Project
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.80	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Thailand	ASP	Non-LVC	2002	СР	164.9	NDR		0.0	N/A		36.4	131.9			Yes	No
COUNTRIES THAT	T HAVE N	OT RATIF	IED THI	E COPEN	HAGEN A	MENDMENT	ſ									
Countries that appea	ar to be in	compliance		•		· · · · ·		•	-			•			•	
Ethiopia	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	15.6	12.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	17.4	12.5	12.0	-0.5	Yes	No
Lesotho	AFR	LVC	2000	A7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.1	No	No
Myanmar	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		2.7	0.0	-2.7	No	No
Namibia	AFR	LVC	2002	CP	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.6	0.0	-0.6	No	No
Swaziland	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.4	0.5	0.1	Yes	Partial/Project
Tonga	ASP	LVC	2002	A7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.1	No	No
Zambia	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	29.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		23.5	14.3	-9.2	Yes	No
Countries that may r	hood oddit	ional action	s to achi		ianco											
Bosnia and	EUR	LVC	2002	A7	3.5	11.8	8.3	0.0	N/A	8.3		2.8	11.8	9.0	No	No
Herzegovina	LUK	LVC	2002	A/	5.5	11.0	0.5	0.0	IN/A	0.5		2.8	11.0	9.0	110	NO
Cote D'Ivoire	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	8.1	12.0	3.9	0.0	N/A	3.9		6.5	12.0	5.5	Yes	No
Papua New Guinea	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.3	2.2	1.8	0.0	N/A	1.8		0.3	2.2	1.9	No	No
Countries with No Co	•		-									-				
Albania	EUR	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0		N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0		No	No
Brunei Darussalam	ASP	LVC	2001	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0		No	No
Central African Republic	AFR	LVC	2002	СР	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Dominica	LAC	LVC	1999	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Gambia	AFR	LVC	2002	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Kiribati	ASP	LVC	1998	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No
Mauritania	AFR	LVC	1999	A7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	No
Nepal	ASP	LVC	2002	CP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	No	No

Column Number	Region	Status		test mption	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Country			Year	Year Source	Methyl bromide baseline	Latest consumption	to meet	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	-	Allowable consumption in 2005 after 20% reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 20% methyl bromide reduction	Received assistance from Fund	Methyl Bromide Agreement Phase-out or Project
							(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.80	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)		
Countries with insuff	icient dat	a														
Angola	AFR	NDR	2002	A7	NDR	0.0		0.0	N/A						Yes	No
Cambodia	ASP	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
Guinea	AFR	LVC	2001	A7	NDR	0.0		0.0	N/A						Yes	No
Lao People's Democratic Republic	ASP	LVC	2002	СР	NDR	0.0		0.0	N/A						No	No
Libya	AFR	Non-LVC	2001	A7	NDR	77.8		0.0	N/A						No	No
Rwanda	AFR	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						Yes	No
Serbia and Montenegro	EUR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	NDR	12.6		0.0	N/A						No	No
Suriname	LAC	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.0	N/A						No	No
** Includes in the 200	3 business	plan, howev	er, no ph	ase-out w	as associated	1 to the project		1		1				<u> </u>	I	<u>I</u>

Annex IV

CARBON TETRACHLORIDE (CTC) ANALYSIS

Country	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		Year	Source	CTC baseline	Latest consumption	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	85% CTC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 85% CTC reduction	Received assistance from Fund
									(1)*.15	(2)-(3)	(8)-(7)	
COUNTRIES THAT HAV	E RATIFIED TH	IE LOND	ON AME	NDMENT	L				(-)	(=) (0)		
Countries that appear to b	e in compliance				L	L		1	I		•	•
Bolivia	LVC	2002	CP	0.30	0.01	0.00	N/A		0.05	0.01	-0.04	No
Brazil	Non-LVC	2002	CP	411.57	35.20	0.00	N/A	194.00	61.74	35.20	-26.54	No
Colombia	Non-LVC	2002	CP	6.12	0.92	0.00	N/A	6.00	0.92	0.92	0.00	No
Congo	LVC	2002	A7	0.60	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.09	0.00	-0.09	No
Costa Rica	LVC	2002	A7	0.01	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Dominican Republic	Non-LVC	2002	CP	28.97	0.00	0.00	N/A	29.00	4.35	0.00	-4.35	No
Ghana	LVC	2001	A7	0.37	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.40	0.06	0.00	-0.06	No
Guatemala	LVC	2002	A7	10.60	0.00	0.00	N/A		1.59	0.00	-1.59	No
Jamaica	LVC	2002	A7	2.83	0.00	0.00	N/A	2.80	0.42	0.00	-0.42	No
Jordan	Non-LVC	2002	CP	40.33	6.60	7.70	100% by 2009		6.05	-1.10	-7.15	Yes
Kenya	LVC	2002	A7	65.89	0.55	0.00	N/A		9.88	0.55	-9.33	No
Kuwait	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.01	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Lebanon	Non-LVC	2002	CP	0.04	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.01	0.00	-0.01	No
Macedonia	Non-LVC	2002	A7	0.07	0.01	0.00	N/A		0.01	0.01	0.00	No
Madagascar	LVC	2001	A7	0.01	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Malaysia	Non-LVC	2002	A7	4.51	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.68	0.00	-0.68	No
Mauritius	LVC	2002	A7	0.01	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Nepal	LVC	2002	CP	0.89	0.09	0.00	N/A		0.13	0.09	-0.05	No
Oman	LVC	2002	A7	0.11	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.02	0.00	-0.02	No
Romania	Non-LVC	2001	A7	368.62	16.16	0.00	N/A	100.00	55.29	16.16	-39.13	No
Tanzania	LVC	2001	A7	0.12	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.02	0.00	-0.02	No
Venezuela	Non-LVC	2001	A7	1,107.15	0.00	0.00	N/A	50.00	166.07	0.00	-166.07	No

Country	Status	Lat Consui		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		Year	Source	CTC baseline	Latest consumption	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	85% CTC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 85% CTC reduction	Received assistance from Fund
									(1)*.15	(2)-(3)	(8)-(7)	
Vietnam	Non-LVC	2002	A7	1.61	0.22	0.00	N/A		0.24	0.22	-0.02	No
Countries that may need a	dditional actions	to achieve	complian	ce								
Algeria	Non-LVC	2002	CP	20.90	18.70	0.00	N/A		3.14	18.70	15.57	No
Argentina	Non-LVC	2002	СР	187.17	1,571.90	0.00	N/A	74.90	28.08	1,571.90	1,543.82	No
Bahamas	LVC	2001	СР	0.00	0.01	0.00	N/A	1	0.00	0.01	0.01	No
Bahrain	LVC	2002	СР	0.73	1.10	0.00	N/A		0.11	1.10	0.99	No
Bangladesh	Non-LVC	2002	A7	5.68	13.82	0.00	N/A	5.70	0.85	13.82	12.97	No
Chile	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.61	1.25	0.00	N/A	1.30	0.09	1.25	1.16	No
China	Non-LVC	2001	A7	55,903.83	63,824.20	0.00	N/A	3,029.20	8,385.57	63,824.20	55,438.63	No
Croatia	LVC	2002	A7	3.73	2.51	0.00	N/A		0.56	2.51	1.95	No
Cuba	Non-LVC	2002	CP	2.68	3.50	0.00	N/A		0.40	3.50	3.10	No
Ecuador	LVC	2002	A7	0.52	0.22	0.00	N/A		0.08	0.22	0.14	No
Egypt	Non-LVC	2002	CP	38.50	11.00	0.00	N/A	15.40	5.78	11.00	5.23	No
India	Non-LVC	2001	A7	11,505.35	14,332.80	911.10	100% by 2004	1,547.00	1,725.80	13,421.70	11,695.90	Yes
Indonesia	Non-LVC	2002	СР	0.00	16.50	0.00	N/A		0.00	16.50	16.50	No
Iran	Non-LVC	2001	A7	77.00	55.00	11.00	100% by 2002	56.10	11.55	44.00	32.45	Yes
Korea, DPR	Non-LVC	2002	СР	1,285.17	2,027.30	565.80	100% by 2005	450.00	192.78	1,461.50	1,268.72	Yes
Mexico	Non-LVC	2002	СР	0.00	7,554.53	0.00	N/A	1	0.00	7,554.53	7,554.53	No
Morocco	Non-LVC	2002	A7	1.10	0.22	0.00	N/A	1	0.17	0.22	0.06	No
Nigeria	Non-LVC	2002	A7	152.75	140.80	0.00	N/A	61.10	22.91	140.80	117.89	No
Pakistan	Non-LVC	2001	A7	412.87	655.60	90.00	100% by 2004	133.10	61.93	565.60	503.67	Yes
Paraguay	LVC	2002	A7	0.60	2.04	0.00	N/A	1	0.09	2.04	1.95	No
Peru	LVC	2001	A7	0.97	0.69	0.00	N/A	1	0.15	0.69	0.54	No
Sri Lanka	Non-LVC	2001	A7	35.08	30.14	0.00	N/A	40.90	5.26	30.14	24.88	No
Sudan	Non-LVC	2002	СР	2.20	2.20	0.00	N/A	1	0.33	2.20	1.87	No
Thailand	Non-LVC	2002	СР	7.52	7.15	0.00	N/A	1	1.13	7.15	6.02	No
Tunisia	Non-LVC	2002	A7	2.93	1.10	0.00	N/A	1	0.44	1.10	0.66	No
Turkey	Non-LVC	2002	СР	86.05	13.20	0.00	N/A	73.10	12.91	13.20	0.29	No
Uganda	LVC	2001	A7	0.42	0.44	0.00	N/A	1	0.06	0.44	0.38	No
Uruguay	LVC	2002	A7	0.37	0.43	0.00	N/A		0.06	0.43	0.37	No

Country	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		Year	Source	CTC baseline	Latest consumption	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	85% CTC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 85% CTC reduction	Received assistance from Fund
									(1)*.15	(2)-(3)	(8)-(7)	
Zambia	LVC	2001	A7	0.66	0.21	0.00	N/A		0.10	0.21	0.11	No
Zimbabwe	Non-LVC	2001	A7	11.58	17.60	0.00	N/A		1.74	17.60	15.86	No
Countries with No Consumptio	'n											
Antigua and Barbuda	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Barbados	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Belize	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Benin	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Botswana	LVC	2000	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Burkina Faso	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Burundi	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Cameroon	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Chad	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Comoros	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Cote D'Ivoire	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
El Salvador	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Federated States of Micronesia	LVC	2000	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Fiji	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Gabon	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Gambia	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Georgia	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Guinea	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Guyana	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Haiti	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Honduras	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Kyrgyzstan	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Libya	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Malawi	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Maldives	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Mali	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Marshall Islands	LVC	2000	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No

Country	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		Year	Source	CTC baseline	Latest consumption	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	85% CTC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 85% CTC reduction	Received assistance from Fund
									(1)*.15	(2)-(3)	(8)-(7)	
Moldova	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Mongolia	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Myanmar	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Namibia	LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Nicaragua	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Niger	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Palau	LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Panama	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Papua New Guinea	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Philippines	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Qatar	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Saint Kitts and Nevis	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Saint Lucia	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Samoa	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Senegal	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Seychelles	LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Solomon Islands	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
South Africa	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Syria	Non-LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Togo	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Trinidad and Tobago	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Tuvalu	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Vanuatu	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Yemen	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Countries with insufficient	data											
Cape Verde	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A					No
Congo, DR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	NDR	16.50	0.00	N/A					No
Djibouti	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A					No
Dominica	LVC	1999	A7	NDR	0.00	0.00	N/A					No
Grenada	LVC	1998	A7	NDR	0.00	0.00	N/A					No

Country	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		Year	Source	CTC baseline	Latest consumption	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	85% CTC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 85% CTC reduction	Received assistance from Fund
									(1)*.15	(2)-(3)	(8)-(7)	
Guinea Bissau	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A		(_)	(_) (c)		No
Liberia	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A					No
Mozambique	LVC	1999	A7	NDR	0.00	0.00	N/A					No
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	LVC	2001	A7	NDR	0.00	0.00	N/A					No
Sao Tome and Principe	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A					No
Sierra Leone	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A					No
Somalia	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A					No
COUNTRIES THAT HAVE	E NOT RATIFII	ED THE L	ONDON A	AMENDMEN	лТ				I			
Countries that appear to be	in compliance											
Albania	LVC	2001	A7	3.15	1.28	2.30	100% by 2005		0.47	-1.02	-1.49	Yes
Serbia and Montenegro	Non-LVC	2002	A7	11.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		1.65	0.00	-1.65	No
Countries that may need ad	ditional actions	to achieve	complian	ce								
Ethiopia	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	43.29	0.00	N/A		0.00	43.29	43.29	No
Countries with No Consump	otion											
Bosnia and Herzegovina	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Brunei Darussalem	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Central African Republic	LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Lao People's Democratic Republic	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Lesotho	LVC	2000	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Swaziland	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Tonga	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Countries with insufficient d	lata						1	1			1	
Angola	NDR	2002	A7	NDR	0.00	0.00	N/A					No
Cambodia	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A					No

Country	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		Year	Source	CTC baseline	Latest consumption	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	ODS phase- out in Final 2003 business plan	85% CTC reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 85% CTC reduction	Received assistance from Fund
									(1)*.15	(2)-(3)	(8)-(7)	
Kiribati	LVC	1998	A7	NDR	0.00	0.00	N/A					No
Mauritania	LVC	1999	A7	NDR	0.00	0.00	N/A					No
Rwanda	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A					No
Suriname	NDR			NDR	NDR	0.00	N/A					No

Annex V

METHYL CHLOROFORM (TCA) ANALYSIS

Country	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
		Year	Source	TCA baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the 2003 freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	30% TCA reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 30% TCA reduction	Received assistance from Fund
						(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.70	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)	
COUNTRIES THAT HA	AVE RATIFIED	THE LON	DON AM	ENDMEN	Г				-	-	-	-		-
Countries that appear to							-	-						
Algeria	Non-LVC	2002	CP	5.80	5.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		4.06	5.00	0.94	No
Argentina	Non-LVC	2002	CP	65.72	12.78	0.00	7.10	100% by 2004	0.00	9.90	46.00	5.68	-40.32	Yes
Bahamas	LVC	2001	CP	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.02	0.00	-0.02	No
Bahrain	LVC	2002	CP	22.67	1.50	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		15.87	1.50	-14.37	No
Brazil	Non-LVC	2002	CP	32.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	4.80	22.69	0.00	-22.69	Yes
Cameroon	LVC	2002	A7	8.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		5.72	0.00	-5.72	No
Chile	Non-LVC	2001	A7	6.44	5.23	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	5.20	4.51	5.23	0.72	No
China	Non-LVC	2001	A7	721.23	465.40	0.00	77.10	100% by 2003	0.00	72.50	504.86	388.30	-116.56	Yes
Colombia	Non-LVC	2002	CP	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.44	0.00	-0.44	No
Congo	LVC	2002	A7	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.01	0.01	0.00	No
Costa Rica	LVC	2002	A7	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.01	0.00	-0.01	No
Cuba	Non-LVC	2002	CP	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Dominican Republic	Non-LVC	2002	CP	3.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	2.40	2.52	0.00	-2.52	No
Egypt	Non-LVC	2002	CP	26.00	19.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	3.90	18.20	19.00	0.80	Yes
Haiti	LVC	2001	A7	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.11	0.15	0.05	No
India	Non-LVC	2001	CP	122.22	0.00	0.00	5.00	100% by 2002	0.00	**	85.55	-5.00	-90.55	Yes
Indonesia	Non-LVC	2002	CP	13.33	8.83	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		9.33	8.83	-0.50	Yes
Iran	Non-LVC	2001	A7	8.67	6.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	8.70	6.07	6.00	-0.07	No
Jamaica	LVC	2002	A7	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	2.20	0.97	0.00	-0.97	No
Jordan	Non-LVC	2002	СР	18.17	15.00	0.00	51.40	100% by 2005	0.00		12.72	-36.40	-49.12	Yes
Korea, DPR	Non-LVC	2002	СР	7.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		5.39	0.00	-5.39	No
Malaysia	Non-LVC	2002	A7	49.48	12.92	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	3.00	34.64	12.92	-21.72	Yes
Mauritius	LVC	2002	A7	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.08	0.00	-0.08	No
Mexico	Non-LVC	2002	CP	56.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	16.90	39.48	0.00	-39.48	Yes

Country	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
		Year	Source	TCA baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the 2003 freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	30% TCA reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 30% TCA reduction	Received assistance from Fund
						(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.70	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)	
Morocco	Non-LVC	2002	A7	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.05	0.01	-0.04	No
Nigeria	Non-LVC	2002	A7	32.86	31.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		23.00	31.00	8.00	No
Paraguay	LVC	2002	A7	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.02	0.00	-0.02	No
Romania	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.01	0.01	0.00	No
Sri Lanka	Non-LVC	2001	A7	2.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	3.90	2.07	0.00	-2.07	No
Thailand	Non-LVC	2002	CP	54.57	33.50	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		38.20	33.50	-4.70	Yes
Trinidad and Tobago	LVC	2002	A7	0.73	0.34	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.51	0.34	-0.17	No
Tunisia	Non-LVC	2002	A7	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.06	0.00	-0.06	No
Turkey	Non-LVC	2002	CP	29.93	10.80	0.00	15.40	100% by 2004	0.00		20.95	-4.60	-25.55	Yes
Uganda	LVC	2001	A7	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.02	0.03	0.01	No
Venezuela	Non-LVC	2001	A7	4.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		3.25	0.00	-3.25	Yes
Vietnam	Non-LVC	2002	A7	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.12	0.00	-0.12	No
Yemen	LVC	2002	A7	0.83	0.80	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.58	0.80	0.22	No
Zambia	LVC	2001	A7	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.04	0.02	-0.02	No
Countries that may need	additional actio	ns to achiev	ve complia	nce										
Bangladesh	Non-LVC	2002	A7	0.87	0.95	0.08	0.00	N/A	0.08	0.60	0.61	0.95	0.34	No
Burundi	LVC	2001	A7	0.09	0.14	0.05	0.00	N/A	0.05		0.06	0.14	0.08	No
Ecuador	LVC	2002	A7	2.00	2.83	0.83	0.00	N/A	0.83		1.40	2.83	1.43	No
Kenya	LVC	2002	A7	1.12	5.84	4.72	1.90	100% by 2004	2.82		0.78	3.94	3.16	Yes/Partial
Pakistan	Non-LVC	2001	A7	2.33	3.50	1.17	0.00	N/A	1.17		1.63	3.50	1.87	No
Zimbabwe	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	N/A	0.10		0.00	0.10	0.10	No
Countries with No Const	umption													
Antigua and Barbuda	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Barbados	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Belize	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Benin	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Bolivia	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Botswana	LVC	2000	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Burkina Faso	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/40/25/Rev.1

Annex V

Country	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
		Year	Source	TCA baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the 2003 freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	30% TCA reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 30% TCA reduction	Received assistance from Fund
						(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.70	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)	
Chad	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Comoros	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Cote D'Ivoire	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Croatia	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
El Salvador	LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Federated States of Micronesia	LVC	2000	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Fiji	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Gabon	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Gambia	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Georgia	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Ghana	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Guatemala	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Guinea	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Guyana	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Honduras	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Kuwait	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Kyrgyzstan	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Lebanon	Non-LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Libya	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Macedonia	Non-LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Madagascar	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Malawi	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Maldives	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Mali	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Marshall Islands	LVC	2000	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Moldova	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Mongolia	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Myanmar	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Namibia	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Nepal	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No

Country	Status	Lat Consun		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
		Year	Source	TCA baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the 2003 freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	30% TCA reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 30% TCA reduction	Received assistance from Fund
						(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.70	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)	
Nicaragua	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Niger	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Oman	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Palau	LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Panama	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Papua New Guinea	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Peru	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	Yes
Philippines	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	Yes
Qatar	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Saint Kitts and Nevis	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Saint Lucia	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Samoa	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Senegal	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Seychelles	LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Solomon Islands	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
South Africa	Non-LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Sudan	Non-LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Syria	Non-LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Tanzania	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Togo	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Tuvalu	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Uruguay	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Vanuatu	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Countries with insufficie	ent data									I				
Cape Verde	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A						No
Congo, DR	Non-LVC	2002	A7	NDR	1.30		0.00	N/A						No
Djibouti	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A						No
Dominica	LVC	1999	A7	NDR	0.00		0.00	N/A						No
Grenada	LVC	1998	A7	NDR	0.00		0.00	N/A						No
Guinea Bissau	NDR		İ	NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A						No

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/40/25/Rev.1

Annex V

Country	Status	Lat Consur		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
		Year	Source	TCA baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the 2003 freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	30% TCA reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 30% TCA reduction	Received assistance from Fund
						(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.70	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)	
Liberia	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A						No
Mozambique	LVC	1999	A7	NDR	0.00		0.00	N/A						No
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	LVC	2001	A7	NDR	0.00		0.00	N/A						No
Sao Tome and Principe	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A						No
Sierra Leone	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A						No
Somalia	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A						No
COUNTRIES THAT HAV	VE NOT RATI	FIED THE	LONDO	N AMEND	MENT			l	1					
Countries that appear to b	e in complianc	e												
Ethiopia	LVC	2002	A7	0.46	0.38	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.32	0.38	0.06	No
Countries that may need a	additional actio	ns to achie	ve complia	ince										
Albania	LVC	2001	A7	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.00	N/A	0.02		0.02	0.05	0.03	No
Bosnia and Herzegovina	LVC	2002	A7	1.55	2.26	0.71	0.60	100% by 2004	0.11		1.09	1.66	0.58	Yes
Countries with No Consur	nption													
Brunei Darussalem	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Central African Republic	LVC	2002	CP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Lao People's Democratic Republic	LVC	2002	СР	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Lesotho	LVC	2000	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Serbia and Montenegro	Non-LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Swaziland	LVC	2001	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Tonga	LVC	2002	A7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No
Countries with insufficien	t data													
Angola	NDR	2002	A7	NDR	0.00		0.00	N/A						No
Cambodia	NDR			NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A			1			No
Kiribati	LVC	1998	A7	NDR	0.00		0.00	N/A						No
Mauritania	LVC	1999	A7	NDR	0.00		0.00	N/A						No

Country	Status	Latest Consumpt	()	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
		Year S	Source TCA baseline	Latest consumption	Amount needed to meet the 2003 freeze	Phase-out approved but not yet implemented (as of July 2003)	Date for completion of approved projects	Future phase-out needed to meet the freeze	ODS phase-out in Final 2003 business plan	30% TCA reduction	Balance from approved projects	Additional phase-out needed to meet 30% TCA reduction	Received assistance from Fund
					(2) - (1)			(3)-(4)		(1)*.70	(2)-(4)	(9)-(8)	
Rwanda	NDR		NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A						No
Suriname	NDR		NDR	NDR		0.00	N/A						No
** Included in the 200	03-2005 Business Pla	n.	I	1	I			1	1	1			I
