



**United Nations  
Environment  
Programme**



Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
28 July 2010

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF  
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
Sixty-first Meeting  
Montreal, 5-9 July 2010

**REPORT OF THE SIXTY-FIRST MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Introduction

1. The 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol was held at the headquarters of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), Montreal, Canada, from 5 to 9 July 2010.
2. The Meeting was attended by representatives of the following countries, Members of the Executive Committee in accordance with decision XXI/27 of the Twenty-first Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol:
  - (a) Parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: Belgium, Canada (Vice-Chair), France, Japan, Switzerland and the United States of America; and
  - (b) Parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: Colombia (Chair), Grenada, India, Morocco, Namibia, Saudi Arabia and Senegal.
3. In accordance with the decisions taken by the Executive Committee at its Second and Eighth Meetings, representatives of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), both as implementing agency and as Treasurer of the Fund, the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) and the World Bank attended the Meeting as observers.
4. The Deputy Executive Secretary of the Ozone Secretariat was present. The President of the Bureau of the Twenty-first Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol and the President of the Implementation Committee also attended.
5. Representatives of the Alliance for Responsible Atmospheric Policy and the Environmental Investigation Agency also attended as observers.

## **AGENDA ITEM 1: OPENING OF THE MEETING**

6. The Meeting was opened by the Chair, Mr. Javier Camargo (Colombia), who presented the agenda and proposed the organization of work. The Secretariat had adjusted the agencies' business plans for 2010-2014 to reflect the requests and decisions of the Executive Committee, and the revised business plans would be discussed at the Meeting. He emphasized that the figures and volumes in the business plans were only indicative and did not represent actual future requests and that it was important to bear in mind the total resources available for the triennium.

7. There were 15 HCFC investment project proposals to be considered, including one related to pre-blended polyols, on which a decision would have to be taken at the Meeting. The Committee would also review three HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) using the new guidelines adopted at the 60<sup>th</sup> Meeting, and decide on the funding of institutional strengthening (IS) projects, which were an essential component of Article 5 countries' capacity to comply with the Montreal Protocol.

8. In terms of policy issues, he said that there were four papers on HCFCs for the Committee's consideration, covering component upgrade in HCFC conversion projects, the cost of conversion of component manufacturing versus incremental operating costs, the revised template for the draft agreement for HPMPs, and imported pre-blended polyols.

9. On procedural matters, the Committee had to decide whether to keep its current format of three meetings a year or to adopt a new scheme, which could have important implications for the operation of both the Committee and the Secretariat.

10. He concluded by stressing that the Committee had a very heavy agenda, but he was confident that the proposed targets for the Meeting would be met.

## **AGENDA ITEM 2: ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS**

### **(a) Adoption of the agenda**

11. The Executive Committee adopted the following agenda on the basis of the provisional agenda contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/1:

1. Opening of the meeting.
2. Organizational matters:
  - (a) Adoption of the agenda;
  - (b) Organization of work.
3. Secretariat activities.
4. Status of contributions and disbursements.
5. Status of resources and planning:
  - (a) Report on balances and availability of resources;
  - (b) Annual tranche submission delays;
  - (c) Status of implementation of delayed projects and prospects of Article 5 countries in achieving compliance with the next control measures of the Montreal Protocol.

6. Revised 2010-2014 business plans (decision 60/5):
  - (a) Consolidated business plan of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (b) Business plans of the implementing agencies:
    - (i) Bilateral agencies;
    - (ii) UNDP;
    - (iii) UNEP;
    - (iv) UNIDO;
    - (v) World Bank.
  
7. Programme implementation:
  - (a) Progress reports as at 31 December 2009:
    - (i) Consolidated progress report;
    - (ii) Bilateral agencies;
    - (iii) UNDP;
    - (iv) UNEP;
    - (v) UNIDO;
    - (vi) World Bank;
  - (b) Evaluation of the implementation of the 2009 business plans;
  - (c) Report on implementation of approved projects with specific reporting requirements.
  
8. Project proposals:
  - (a) Overview of issues identified during project review;
  - (b) Bilateral cooperation;
  - (c) Amendments to work programmes for 2010:
    - (i) UNDP;
    - (ii) UNEP;
    - (iii) UNIDO;
    - (iv) World Bank;
  - (d) Investment projects.

9. Institutional strengthening: Options for funding and formats for renewal requests.
10. HCFCs:
  - (a) Relevant aspects of component upgrade in HCFC conversion projects (decisions 59/13(b) and 60/43);
  - (b) Cost for conversion of component manufacturing vs. incremental operating cost (decisions 59/14 and 60/45);
  - (c) Revised template for draft agreements for HCFC phase-out management plans (decisions 59/16(b) and 60/46);
  - (d) Consumption arising from HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended foam chemicals (polyols) (decisions 59/12 and 60/50).
11. Report of the Sub-group on the Production Sector.
12. Operation of the Executive Committee (decision 57/39).
13. Provisional 2009 accounts.
14. Draft report of the Executive Committee to the Twenty-second Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol.
15. Other matters.
16. Adoption of the report.
17. Closure of the meeting.

**(b) Organization of work**

12. The Meeting agreed to follow its customary procedure, noting that the Sub-group on the Production Sector would meet in the margins of the Meeting.

**AGENDA ITEM 3: SECRETARIAT ACTIVITIES**

13. The Chief Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/2, which provided an overview of the work done by the Secretariat since the 60<sup>th</sup> Meeting, and advised that she would focus only on key issues that were not fully covered because of further developments since the document had been issued. She said that, with regard to the outstanding contributions of the Russian Federation to the Multilateral Fund an acknowledgement had been sent to the Secretariat by e-mail on 25 May 2010 advising that the letter from the Chief Officer was under serious consideration by the new Deputy Minister. Although the Ministry of Finance was unable to send a representative to the meeting in Geneva, the official representative of the Russian Federation had met with the Fund Secretariat and had advised that the issue was being considered with a view to a meeting being held in the margins of the Twenty-second Meeting of the Parties in November 2010. The Secretariat would continue pursuing the matter with the Russian Federation and would advise the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Executive Committee, the Treasurer and the Ozone Secretariat of the timing and arrangements for the intended meeting.

14. The Chief Officer informed the Meeting that the recruitment process to fill the position of the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer had now been completed by the Secretariat and that recommendations were currently with UNEP for finalization.

15. Several Members expressed support for the continued engagement with the Russian Federation and urged the Secretariat to report back to the Committee on any future developments. It was also proposed that the Chair report to the Twenty-second Meeting of the Parties on the issue.

16. In response to a question from one Member, a representative of the Secretariat said that the Multilateral Fund climate impact indicator (MCII) and methodologies would be available on the Multilateral Fund Intranet by 16 August 2010.

17. The Executive Committee took note with appreciation of the report on Secretariat activities.

#### **AGENDA ITEM 4: STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND DISBURSEMENTS**

18. The Treasurer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/3. Since the document had been published, there had been additional cash contributions from two Parties, Belgium and the Netherlands, amounting to US \$3,279,827. As at the present Meeting, 26 Parties had paid their 2010 pledges either in full or in part, while 11 had made payments toward their pre-2010 pledges.

19. Since the 60<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee, the total amount gained since the inception of the fixed-exchange-rate mechanism (FERM) had been reduced by US \$1,028,637 and the FERM balance thus stood at US \$34,880,158. The Fund's balance was US \$150,725,352, comprising US \$100,329,853 in cash and US \$50,395,499 in promissory notes.

20. The Executive Committee decided:

(a) To note:

(i) The report of the Treasurer on the status of contributions and disbursements and the information on promissory notes, as contained in Annex I to the present report;

(ii) The list of Parties that had opted to use the fixed-exchange-rate mechanism in making their contributions to the Fund during the replenishment period 2009-2011, as contained in Annex I to the present report; and

(b) To urge all Parties to pay their contributions to the Multilateral Fund in full and as early as possible.

**(Decision 61/1)**

#### **AGENDA ITEM 5: STATUS OF RESOURCES AND PLANNING**

**(a) Report on balances and availability of resources**

21. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/4. With the additional balances returned from completed and cancelled projects, and the information provided by the Treasurer in an oral update on the status of the Fund, the total funds available for new commitments at the present Meeting were US \$151,102,991. As the total funding requested for projects submitted to the present Meeting was US \$28,040,611, sufficient funds were available to fund those projects.

22. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The report on balances and availability of resources contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/4;
  - (ii) That the net level of funds being returned to the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting by the implementing agencies was US \$156,455 against projects, which included the return of US \$52,327 from UNDP, US \$3,520 from UNEP, US \$26,351 from UNIDO and US \$74,257 from the World Bank;
  - (iii) That the net level of support costs being returned by the implementing agencies to the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting was US \$221,184, which included the return of US \$5,212 from UNDP, US \$282 from UNEP, US \$2,549 from UNIDO and US \$213,141 from the World Bank;
  - (iv) That the net level of funds and support costs being returned by the bilateral agencies to the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting was US \$27,112, which included a return in cash of US \$31 from the Government of Canada and US \$27,081 from the Government of Spain, noting that the return from Canada was already included in the status of contributions and disbursements;
  - (v) That implementing agencies had balances totalling US \$3,930,493, excluding support costs, from projects completed more than two years previously, which included US \$678,003 for UNDP, US \$867,421 for UNEP, US \$332,569 for UNIDO, and US \$2,052,501 for the World Bank;
  - (vi) That there were balances totalling US \$87,848 for France and US \$20,203, for Japan, including support costs from projects completed more than one year previously;
  - (vii) UNEP's report on the application of the financial rules regarding obligated cash advances, which had been requested by decision 60/3, and the application of those rules as of the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting;
- (b) To request the Treasurer to continue to consult with the Government of Spain on the cash transfer of the returned amount by the Government of Spain mentioned in sub-paragraph (a)(iv) above; and
- (c) To approve the transfer from the World Bank to UNDP of US \$60,000, plus agency costs of US \$4,500, for the preparation of the HCFC phase-out management plan in Sri Lanka (SRL/PHA/57/PRP/36).

**(Decision 61/2)**

**(b) Annual tranche submission delays**

23. The representative of the Secretariat presented document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/5. The Committee agreed that the question of the Government of Haiti's delayed submission of a tranche under the CFC phase-out plan that had been due for submission at the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting should be discussed under "Other matters" in light of the special challenges facing the country as a result of the earthquake (see paragraphs 123 to 125 below).

24. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note with appreciation, the information on annual tranche submission delays under multi-year agreements (MYAs) submitted to the Secretariat by France, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO and the World Bank as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/5;
- (b) Also to note that 18 of the 32 annual tranches of MYAs due for submission had been submitted on time to the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting, but that the remaining 14 had not been;
- (c) To request the Secretariat to send letters to the relevant Article 5 governments and implementing agencies regarding the annual tranches listed in Annex II to the present report, with the reason for non-submission to the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting, requesting them to take action to integrate the funding tranches of those national phase-out plans (NPPs) or terminal phase-out management plans (TPMPs) into the relevant HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs), pursuant to decision 60/11(b);
- (d) To request the Secretariat to send a letter with regard to:
  - (i) The 2009 annual tranche for the CFC phase-out plan in Suriname, noting that it had been withdrawn for consideration at the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting after discussion with the Fund Secretariat owing to low disbursement and slow implementation, and requesting the Government of Suriname and UNEP to take action to integrate the funding tranches of the TPMP into the relevant HPMP pursuant to decision 60/11(b);
  - (ii) The 2009 tranche for the accelerated CFC production sector phase-out plan in India, noting that it had been withdrawn for consideration at the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting after discussion with the Fund Secretariat to enable the release of customs records to the World Bank auditors for review, as required by decision 59/18(a); and
- (e) Also to request the Secretariat to send letters for the annual tranches which had originally been due for submission after the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting, requesting the Governments of Bangladesh, Grenada and Turkey, and UNDP, UNEP and the World Bank, respectively, to take actions to integrate the funding tranches of those NPPs and TPMPs into the relevant HPMPs, pursuant to decision 60/11(b).

**(Decision 61/3)**

**(c) Status of implementation of delayed projects and prospects for Article 5 countries in achieving compliance with the next control measures of the Montreal Protocol**

25. The representative of the Secretariat presented documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/6 and Add.1, an advance copy of which had been submitted to, and presented at, the 44<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Implementation Committee, held on 21 and 22 June 2010.

26. Following the presentation, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The status of implementation of delayed projects and prospects of Article 5 countries in achieving compliance with the next control measures of the Montreal Protocol as contained in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/6 and Add.1;

- (ii) With appreciation, the status reports on projects with implementation delays submitted by the Governments of Australia, France and Japan, and the four implementing agencies, addressed in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/6;
  - (iii) The completion of three of the 19 projects identified as having implementation delays;
  - (iv) That the Secretariat and the bilateral and implementing agencies would take established actions according to the Secretariat's assessments (progress or some progress) and report to, and notify, governments and implementing agencies as required;
- (b) To request:
- (i) Additional status reports on the following projects:

Code	Agency	Project title
BHE/SEV/43/INS/19	UNIDO	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase II) in Bosnia and Herzegovina
KUW/PHA/52/TAS/10	UNEP	Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche) in Kuwait

- (ii) That letters of possible cancellation should be sent in respect of the project for the technical assistance for methyl bromide reduction and formulation of regional phase-out strategies for low-volume-consuming countries in the African region (AFR/FUM/38/TAS/32), implemented by UNDP;
- (c) To cancel the following projects:

Code	Agency	Project title
ETH/REF/44/TAS/14	France	Implementation of the refrigerant management plan (RMP) update in Ethiopia
KUW/HAL/45/PRP/07	UNIDO	Preparation of a halon phase-out plan in Kuwait
LIB/HAL/47/TAS/26	UNIDO	Plan for the phase-out of import and net consumption of halons in the fire fighting sector in Libyan Arab Jamahiriya

- (d) To cancel, by mutual agreement between the Government of Romania and UNIDO, the remaining elements of the terminal phase-out management plan (TPMP) for the CTC production/consumption for process agent uses in Romania (ROM/PAG/50/INV/36) relating to the establishment of a new production line with no CTC use; and
- (e) To request UNIDO to return the balance of funds from the CTC production/consumption project for process agent uses in Romania (ROM/PAG/50/INV/36) to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting.

**(Decision 61/4)**

## **AGENDA ITEM 6: REVISED 2010-2014 BUSINESS PLANS (DECISION 60/5)**

### **(a) Consolidated business plan of the Multilateral Fund**

27. The representative of the Secretariat introduced the revised consolidated business plan for the years 2010-2014, as contained in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/7 and Corr.1.



28. Issues addressed included perceived discrepancies between Executive Committee decisions and projects relating to adjustments to HCFC tonnages with respect to decision 60(5)(p)(iv), although neither the tonnages nor the values in the plans denoted those ultimately approved and were in the business plans for planning purposes only; cost-effectiveness and the climate premium; back-loading the over-programming for the 2009-2011 triennium to the 2012-2014 triennium; application of the funding window for low-volume-consuming (LVC) countries; ODS disposal demonstration projects; funding for national phase-out plans (NPPs) and terminal phase-out management plans (TPMPs) beyond 2010; the need for further revision of the consolidated business plan to ensure that it complied with all past Executive Committee decisions; alternatives to recommendation (c) in the consolidated business plan, including reductions to agency support costs or administrative costs; and performance indicators.

29. During the discussion of the individual business plans, attention was drawn to the need to ensure that sufficient funds were made available for activities required for compliance and it was agreed to remove activities not required for compliance, except resource mobilization and ODS disposal activities not otherwise specified.

30. Following discussions in an informal group, facilitated by Belgium, comprising interested Committee Members, representatives of the Secretariat and the implementing agencies, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the revised consolidated business plan for the years 2010-2014 contained in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/7 and Corr.1, as adjusted, on the understanding that the costs and ODP values related to HCFCs were only indicative and did not restrict the submission of projects to those costs and values;
- (b) To consider, at its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting, the issue of financing activities to meet the 2013 freeze target at future Executive Committee meetings as part of the project approval process;
- (c) For countries for which ODP values in the business plans submitted by the bilateral and implementing agencies exceeded the calculated starting point, to reduce the total ODP tonnage to reflect the calculated starting points as per decision 60/5(p)(iv) and pro-rated by agency;
- (d) To reduce the HCFC investment component tonnage for Colombia in Canada's business plan to zero tonnage;
- (e) To remove the HCFC phase-out management plan for Sri Lanka from the World Bank's business plan;
- (f) To maintain terminal phase-out management plan and national phase-out plan entries for activities initially approved in 2008 or 2009 for Burundi, Eritrea and Iraq and allow for their submission up to the 63<sup>rd</sup> Meeting;
- (g) To apply the cost-effectiveness values for the foam and refrigeration sectors (converted to US \$/ODP) in the category "Combined Replenishment Study and Decision 60/44(f)" in Table 1 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/7/Corr.1, plus a 25 per cent climate premium as provided for in decision 60/44(f)(iv), including agency fees, to the ODP tonnages in the business plans as adjusted by sub-paragraphs (c) and (d) above for those sectors; and
- (h) To allocate US \$22,190,000 included in the adjusted business plans of the bilateral and implementing agencies from the 2010 and 2011 business plans for HCFC investment projects in non-low-volume-consuming countries that had not yet been approved, based

on the overall ratio of reductions to the values in the business plans for the years 2010 and 2011 applied equally to all relevant bilateral and implementing agencies for the 2012-2014 triennium, pro-rated by entry.

**(Decision 61/5)**

**(b) Business plans of the implementing agencies**

**(i) Bilateral agencies**

31. The representative of the Secretariat presented document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/8. Three bilateral agencies had submitted information on their revised business plans. Information from the business plans submitted to the 60<sup>th</sup> Meeting by the other bilateral agencies was included in the document.

32. Following the presentation, the Executive Committee decided to note the 2010-2014 business plans for bilateral cooperation submitted by Canada, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, Italy and Japan, addressed in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/8, as adjusted, taking into account any modifications based on the consideration of the issues and activities addressed in the context of the revised consolidated business plan.

**(Decision 61/6)**

**(ii) UNDP**

33. The representative of UNDP presented the agency's business plan contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/9, indicating that the current level of funding did not reflect the situation on the ground to meet the 2013 freeze and 2015 control target and that UNDP's funding envelope had been reduced disproportionately compared with other agencies. There was a discussion concerning the approach and model employed by UNDP to predict future tonnage to be addressed and the extent to which it took growth into account.

34. Following the discussion, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To endorse the revised 2010-2014 business plan of UNDP contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/9, as adjusted, while noting that endorsement denoted neither approval of the projects identified therein nor their funding levels, and was with any modifications based on consideration of those activities associated with the issues addressed in the revised consolidated business plan;
- (b) To remove activities not required for compliance, except those for resource mobilization and ODS disposal; and
- (c) To approve the 2010 performance indicators and targets for UNDP set out in Annex III to the present report.

**(Decision 61/7)**

**(iii) UNEP**

35. The representative of UNEP introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/10. In addition to the issues contained in the document the questions of new HCFC demonstration projects and cost-effectiveness of projects for the destruction of stockpiled ODS were also raised.

36. Following the discussion, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To endorse the revised 2010-2014 business plan of UNEP contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/10, as adjusted, while noting that endorsement denoted neither approval of the projects identified therein nor their funding levels, and was with any modifications based on consideration of those activities associated with the issues addressed in the revised consolidated business plan;
- (b) To remove activities for the destruction of CFCs in shipbreaking yards in Bangladesh and India;
- (c) To remove activities not required for compliance, except those for resource mobilization and ODS disposal; and
- (d) To approve the 2010 performance indicators and targets for UNEP set out in Annex IV to the present report.

**(Decision 61/8)**

**(iv) UNIDO**

37. The representative of UNIDO introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/11. It was observed that the revised business plan of UNIDO raised similar concerns to those in the revised business plan for UNEP that had already been considered under agenda item 6(b)(iii).

38. Following the discussion, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To endorse the revised 2010-2014 business plan of UNIDO contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/11, as adjusted, while noting that endorsement denoted neither approval of the projects identified therein nor their funding levels, and was with any modifications based on consideration of those activities associated with the issues addressed in the revised consolidated business plan;
- (b) To remove activities not required for compliance, except those for resource mobilization and ODS disposal; and
- (c) To approve the 2010 performance indicators and targets for UNIDO set out in Annex V to the present report.

**(Decision 61/9)**

**(v) World Bank**

39. The representative of the World Bank introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/12. It was noted that efforts should be made to make more resources available for HCFC phase-out activities.

40. Following the discussion, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To endorse the revised 2010-2014 business plan of the World Bank contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/12, as adjusted, while noting that endorsement denoted neither approval of the projects identified therein nor their funding levels, and was with any modifications based on consideration of those activities associated with the issues addressed in the revised consolidated business plan;

- (b) To remove activities not required for compliance, except those for resource mobilization and ODS disposal; and
- (c) To approve the 2010 performance indicators and targets for the World Bank set out in Annex VI to the present report.

**(Decision 61/10)**

## **AGENDA ITEM 7: PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION**

### **(a) Progress reports as at 31 December 2009**

#### **(i) Consolidated progress report**

41. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/13. With respect to UNDP's progress report and incomplete reporting, he pointed out that, since the document had been drafted, UNDP had provided answers to the Secretariat's questions.

42. In the ensuing discussion, the Executive Committee addressed reasons for delays in the submission of HPMPs; co-financing in an effort to maximize climate co-benefits; the potential issues related to timely HPMP submission; the low number of HCFC surveys completed; the need to ensure that the data collected were accurate and would help set a realistic baseline; and the need for the Secretariat and the implementing agencies to consider ways of simplifying the process of preparing progress reports.

43. A number of reasons for the delay in submitting HPMPs were described. All stemmed from the complexity of the task and the vast number of issues that had to be taken into account. The challenges included: uncertainty over priorities and what to include in the first phase of an HPMP; confusion as to whether the 10 per cent reduction required in 2015 was an overall target or in every sector; the desire to meet requirements but at the same time to employ a flexible approach, knowing that some sectors might be more geared up for conversion than others; fears that products from enterprises that had converted from HCFCs would be more expensive than those produced using HCFCs, leading to a lack of competitiveness; concern about funding conversion in companies established after the cut-off date for Multilateral Fund financing; legislative, regulatory and quota issues; and the need to increase awareness among a variety of stakeholders in all sectors.

44. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the consolidated progress report of the Multilateral Fund contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/13;
- (b) In view of the delays occurring in many of the chiller projects approved by the Executive Committee, to encourage implementing agencies to apply lessons learned from chiller activities in future HCFC projects;
- (c) To note with concern, that for the second consecutive year HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) preparation activities were in general expected to take longer than had been planned and to urge bilateral and implementing agencies to complete HPMP development as soon as possible, in close consultation with National Ozone Units;
- (d) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to advise all countries that they could seek co-financing for maximizing climate co-benefits within the HPMP, although doing so should be without prejudice to the timely submission of the HPMP;

- (e) To note the modifications to tonnage in the Inventory of Approved Projects for the projects indicated in Annex III to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/13;
- (f) To request the lead agencies for the respective countries specified in Annex VII to the present report to provide information on the latest status to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee that:
  - (i) Verifies the Secretariat's assessment of the status of implementing agencies' efforts and progress towards establishing and modifying relevant HCFC licensing systems to address the accelerated HCFC control measures;
  - (ii) Specifies actions to endeavour to obtain co-financing; and
- (g) To urge bilateral and implementing agencies to submit HPMPs and HCFC sector plans to the 62<sup>nd</sup> and 63<sup>rd</sup> Meetings of the Executive Committee, bearing in mind that the first HCFC control measures would come into force in less than three years.

**(Decision 61/11)**

**(ii) Bilateral agencies**

- 45. The representative of the Secretariat presented document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/14.
- 46. Following the presentation, the Executive Committee decided:
  - (a) To note with appreciation the progress reports submitted by the Governments of Australia, Canada, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, Sweden and the United States of America contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/14;
  - (b) To also note that the Governments of Australia, Canada, France, Israel, Italy, Japan, Portugal and Spain would report on the projects with implementation delays to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee; and
  - (c) To request:
    - (i) The Governments of Israel, Portugal and Switzerland to provide their progress reports to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee;
    - (ii) The submission to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee of additional status reports for the projects and agencies as listed in Annex VIII to the present report.

**(Decision 61/12)**

**(iii) UNDP**

- 47. The representative of UNDP introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/15. In addition to the issues raised by the Secretariat, the question of monitoring co-financing for HPMPs was raised, in particular monitoring the remainder of the co-financing for the chiller project in Brazil (BRA/REF/47/DEM/275), which was being co-financed by the Global Environment Facility (GEF).

48. Following the presentation, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note UNDP's progress report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/15;
- (b) To request the submission to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee of additional status reports for the projects listed in Annex VIII to the present report;
- (c) To report on the additional work identified, based on the stakeholder workshop to be held in July 2010, for the methyl formate foam demonstration project in Mexico (MEX/FOA/56/DEM/141);
- (d) To request UNDP to integrate the RMP activities in Barbados (BAR/REF/43/TAS/11 and BAR/REF/43/TAS/12) into the country's HPMP in the light of decision 60/11(b); and
- (e) To note that UNDP would report to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting on up to nine projects with implementation delays in 2009, including five projects that had been classified as such in 2008.

**(Decision 61/13)**

**(iv) UNEP**

49. The representative of UNEP introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/16.

50. Following the presentation, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note UNEP's progress report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/16;
- (b) To request the submission to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee of additional status reports on the projects listed in Annex VIII to the present report;
- (c) To note:
  - (i) That the figures for disbursement included obligations for the MDI project in Bangladesh (BGD/ARS/52/TAS/27) and the monitoring of refrigerant management plan (RMP) activities in Brunei Darussalam (BRU/REF/44/TAS/09) as inaccuracies might have an impact on the reconciliation of accounts exercise at the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting;
  - (ii) That the policy training for local authorities (third tranche) in China (CPR/SEV/43/TRA/413) had been completed in December 2009; and
  - (iii) That UNEP would report to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting on six projects with implementation delays in 2009, including three projects that had been classified as such in 2008.

**(Decision 61/14)**

**(v) UNIDO**

51. The representative of UNIDO presented document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/17 and addressed some of the issues raised by the Secretariat. Some concern was expressed that a recommendation on licensing systems and quotas might be premature and that licensing systems, quotas and regulations might not be relevant for all countries.

52. Following the discussion, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note UNIDO's progress report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/17;
- (b) To request:
  - (i) The submission to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee of additional status reports for the projects listed in Annex VIII to the present report;
  - (ii) UNIDO to bring its application of the date of financial completion into line with that required by the Secretariat (from 'completed' (COM) to 'financially completed' (FIN)), for those projects where the date of financial completion was recorded as December 2009, for consistency in reporting with other implementing agencies; and
- (c) To note that UNIDO would report to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting on up to five projects with implementation delays in 2009, including three projects that had been classified as such in 2008.

**(Decision 61/15)**

**(vi) World Bank**

53. The representative of the World Bank introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/18, adding that the study on opportunities for financing the destruction of unwanted ODS in the voluntary carbon market had been finalized and was available to the Meeting as an information document (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/Inf.2).

54. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the World Bank's progress report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/18;
- (b) To request the submission to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee of additional status reports for the projects listed in Annex VIII to the present report;
- (c) To note that the World Bank would report to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting on a total of three projects with implementation delays in 2009, including two projects that had been classified as such in 2008; and
- (d) To consider at the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting the study on financing the destruction of unwanted ODS through the voluntary carbon market, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/Inf.2.

**(Decision 61/16)**

**(b) Evaluation of the implementation of the 2009 business plans**

55. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/19. Members discussed ways of increasing the number of responses from National Ozone Units (NOUs) and qualitative performance assessments, including allowing anonymous submissions and modifying the presentation of submissions, as appropriate. UNDP provided updated information with respect to Colombia.

56. Following the discussion, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The evaluation of the implementing agencies' performance against their 2009 business plans as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/19;
  - (ii) The quantitative assessment of the performance of the implementing agencies for 2009, out of 100, as follows: UNDP (89), UNEP (85), UNIDO (92) and the World Bank (71);
  - (iii) That the bilateral and implementing agencies (Canada, France, Germany, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO and the World Bank) had received "satisfactory" or "highly satisfactory" ratings for their overall performance in 2009 on the basis of the qualitative performance assessments by the National Ozone Units (NOUs);
- (b) To request UNEP:
  - (i) To have an open and constructive discussion with the NOUs in Chad and Paraguay about the areas in which UNEP services were perceived to be "less satisfactory" and "unsatisfactory" and to report to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting on the results of its consultations with those countries on the implementation matters raised in the qualitative performance assessments by the respective countries;
  - (ii) To remind countries, at meetings of regional networks, to complete the surveys on the performance of bilateral and implementing agencies;
- (c) To request UNDP:
  - (i) To provide an additional status report to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting on the status of procurement of the equipment for the terminal phase-out management plan in Togo (TOG/PHA/54/INV/16);
  - (ii) To continue its open and constructive discussion with the NOUs in Colombia and Paraguay about the areas where UNDP's services were perceived to be "less satisfactory" and to report to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting on the results of its consultations on the implementation matters raised in the qualitative performance assessments; and
- (d) To request UNIDO to have an open and constructive discussion with the NOUs about the areas where UNIDO services were perceived to be "less satisfactory" and to report to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting on the results of its consultations with Benin, Kenya and Kyrgyzstan on the implementation matters raised in the qualitative performance assessments.

**(Decision 61/17)**

**(c) Report on implementation of approved projects with specific reporting requirements**

57. The representative of the Secretariat presented documents UNDP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/20 and Add.1. During the ensuing discussion, it was suggested that it might be useful for Members to be provided with information on the methodology used for monitoring CTC use in China at a future Meeting.



58. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) With regard to Bhutan, to take note of the progress report on the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plan (TPMP) for Bhutan;
- (b) With regard to China:

*Sector plan for phase-out of ODS process agent applications (phase II)*

- (i) To take note of the verification of CTC consumption for phase II of the CTC sector plan in 2009;
- (ii) To encourage China to continue its efforts to control the use of CTC as process agent (PA) in converted non-CTC production lines and the monitoring of CTC use in various enterprises;
- (iii) To request the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee at its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting a description of the system for monitoring CTC use in China;
- (iv) To approve reallocation of remaining funding in the China PA I sector plan to support the continuous monitoring, reporting and technical assistance activities under the China PA II sector plan;

*Methyl bromide production sector plan*

- (v) To take note of the information provided on the reallocation of funds for the Agreement for the methyl bromide production sector plan from the first tranche for technical assistance, noting that Multilateral Fund resources should be directly linked to assessing Article 7 data and to clarify the country's non-quarantine and pre-shipment (QPS) usage;
- (c) With regard to India:
    - (i) To take note of the verification of CTC production and consumption for the year 2009 in India;
    - (ii) To note that India had used the full difference between the allowed 2008 consumption and the actual 2008 consumption (1,169 ODP tonnes) as feedstock in 2009;
    - (iii) To approve the 2010 work programme for the CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors;
    - (iv) To request the World Bank to continue verification of the CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors in India, using the established format, until verification of production and consumption for 2011 had been submitted;
    - (v) To request the World Bank to provide reports on implementation for 2010, 2011, and 2012 in time for the second Meeting of the Executive Committee in each subsequent year and to include in the submissions any major changes to the planned activities approved at the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting;

- (d) With regard to Nepal:
  - (i) To take note of the progress report on the implementation of the TPMP for Nepal;
  - (ii) To request UNEP and UNDP to expedite the implementation of the end-user investment component of the TPMP and to report on progress to the Executive Committee at its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting;
  - (iii) To urge the Government of Nepal to ratify the remaining amendments to the Montreal Protocol as soon as possible; and
- (e) With regard to the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, to take note of the verification of CFC consumption in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela required by decision 57/30 for 2008 and 2009.

**(Decision 61/18)**

#### **AGENDA ITEM 8: PROJECT PROPOSALS**

##### **(a) Overview of issues identified during project review**

59. The representative of the Secretariat presented documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/21 and Corr.1.

Syrian Arab Republic: Phase-out of HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment and rigid PU insulation panels at Al Hafez Group (UNIDO)

60. The representative of the Secretariat indicated that the above project had not been included in the documentation for the Meeting because the proposal did not contain the necessary information or components.

61. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To request UNIDO to submit a project proposal for the Syrian Arab Republic to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting with two options relating to:
  - (i) Phase-out of HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment and rigid polyurethane insulation panels at Al Hafez Group;
  - (ii) Phase-out of HCFC-141b only from the manufacture of rigid polyurethane insulation panels at Al Hafez Group; and
- (b) Also to request UNIDO to include in the project proposal:
  - (i) Additional justification for the submission of the HCFC phase-out investment project in advance of the HCFC phase-out management plan;
  - (ii) Detailed information on the HCFC-141b foam sector and justification for the intended prioritization of the phase-out of HCFC-22 on the basis of national circumstances.

**(Decision 61/19)**

List of projects and activities submitted for blanket approval

62. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the projects and activities submitted for blanket approval at the levels of funding indicated in Annex IX to the present report, together with the conditions or provisions included in the corresponding project evaluation documents and the conditions attached to the projects by the Executive Committee;
- (b) To extend the date for funding of institutional strengthening (IS) projects approved at the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee not exceeding a full two-year period, at the levels indicated in Annex IX to the present report in line with decision 61/43; and
- (c) That, for projects related to the renewal of IS, the blanket approval included approval of the observations to be communicated to recipient governments contained in Annex X to the present report.

**(Decision 61/20)**

**(b) Bilateral cooperation**

63. The representative of the Secretariat introduced documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/22/Rev.1 and Add.1, advising that of the six bilateral projects submitted, two were presented to the Committee for individual consideration.

Global: Study on mechanisms and strategies for accounting emission reductions related to HCFC phase-out in the post-2012 climate regime: synergies between the Montreal Protocol and the carbon finance in the introduction of alternatives of HCFCs (Italy)

64. The Executive Committee decided to defer to its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting consideration of the request of the Government of Italy for funding for a study on mechanisms and strategies for accounting emission reductions related to HCFC phase-out in the post-2012 climate regime: synergies between the Montreal Protocol and carbon finance in the introduction of alternatives to HCFCs.

**(Decision 61/21)**

Nigeria: Project preparation for a demonstration project to validate the trans-critical CO<sub>2</sub> refrigeration technology for application to ice-block makers at Austin Laz (US \$30,000) (Japan)

65. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request of the Government of Japan for funding for project preparation for a demonstration project to validate the trans-critical CO<sub>2</sub> refrigeration technology for application to ice-block makers at Austin Laz, Nigeria, at the corresponding level of funding indicated in Annex IX to the present report.

**(Decision 61/22)**

66. The Executive Committee decided to request the Treasurer to offset the costs of the bilateral projects approved at the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting as follows:

- (a) US \$207,355 (including agency fees) against the balance of France's bilateral contribution for 2010;

- (b) US \$418,100 (including agency fees) against the balance of Italy's bilateral contribution for 2010; and
- (c) US \$33,900 (including agency fees) against the balance of Japan's bilateral contribution for 2010.

**(Decision 61/23)**

**(c) Amendments to work programmes for 2010**

**(i) UNDP**

67. The representative of the Secretariat introduced documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/23 and Add.1.

India: Preparation of ODS disposal demonstration project

68. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for project preparation for a pilot project for ODS disposal in India in line with decision 58/19, at the corresponding level of funding indicated in Annex IX to the present report.

**(Decision 61/24)**

Global: Resource mobilization for climate co-benefits

69. The Executive Committee decided to defer consideration of the request submitted by UNDP for funding for technical assistance for resource mobilization for climate co-benefits to its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting.

**(Decision 61/25)**

**(ii) UNEP**

70. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/24.

Afghanistan: Project preparation for the HCFC phase-out management plan

71. The Executive Committee decided not to approve the request for additional funding for HCFC phase-out management plan preparation for Afghanistan in view of its non-eligibility under decision 56/16(c).

**(Decision 61/26)**

Democratic People's Republic of Korea: Renewal of institutional strengthening project (Phase VI)

Democratic People's Republic of Korea: Project preparation for the HCFC phase-out management plan

72. Given the difficulties experienced due to the lack of adequate communication with the National Ozone Unit of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, as raised in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/17, and the continued uncertainty about the future sustainability of projects owing to the continual problems associated with the modality of financial disbursement to the country, the Executive Committee decided to defer consideration of the requests for the renewal of the institutional strengthening project and for the additional HCFC phase-out management plan project preparation funds for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to its 64<sup>th</sup> Meeting.

**(Decision 61/27)**

Timor-Leste: Renewal of institutional strengthening project (Phase I year 2)  
Timor-Leste: Project preparation for the HCFC phase-out management plan

73. The representative of the Secretariat explained that after the document had been issued the Secretariat had received Timor-Leste's consumption data for 2009. The requests for renewal of institutional strengthening projects and for project preparation for the HCFC phase-out management plan had therefore been included in the list of projects for blanket approval under agenda item 8(a).

**(iii) UNIDO**

74. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/25.

Democratic People's Republic of Korea: Additional funding for HPMP preparation  
Democratic People's Republic of Korea: Preparation of investment activities for the phase-out of HCFCs in the polyurethane foam sector

75. In light of decision 61/27, the Executive Committee decided to defer consideration of the requests for project preparation for the HCFC phase-out management plan and HCFC phase-out investment activities for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to its 64<sup>th</sup> Meeting.

**(Decision 61/28)**

Lebanon: Project preparation for ODS disposal demonstration projects

76. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for project preparation of a pilot ODS disposal project in Lebanon in line with decisions 58/19 and 59/10, at the corresponding level of funding indicated in Annex IX to the present report.

**(Decision 61/29)**

Global: Resource mobilization funding

77. The Executive Committee decided to defer consideration of the request submitted by UNIDO for funding for technical assistance for resource mobilization to its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting.

**(Decision 61/30)**

**(iv) World Bank**

78. The Executive Committee had before it document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/26.

Global: Resource mobilization for HCFC phase-out co-benefits study

79. The Executive Committee decided to defer consideration of the request submitted by the World Bank for funding for technical assistance for resource mobilization for an HCFC phase-out co-benefits study to its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting.

**(Decision 61/31)**

**(d) Investment projects**

Foam

Dominican Republic: Conversion from HCFC-141b in the manufacture of polyurethane rigid insulation foam for commercial refrigerators (UNDP)

80. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/37. It was agreed to consider this project in parallel with the discussion under agenda item 10(d) on the policy paper on imported pre-blended polyol systems. The issues of funding for second stage conversions and Multilateral Fund rules on technology updates were also raised.

81. Taking into account the discussions under agenda item 10(d) on consumption arising from HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended foam chemicals (polyols), the Executive Committee decided to approve the conversion from HCFC-141b in the manufacture of polyurethane rigid insulation foam for commercial refrigerators project for the Dominican Republic, at the corresponding level of funding indicated in Annex IX to the present report, on an exceptional basis, without prejudice to any future project, which would be subject to the conditions specified in decision 61/47.

**(Decision 61/32)**

Process agent

Democratic People's Republic of Korea: Phase-out of CTC as process agent at Sinuiju Chemical Fibre Complex and at Vinalon 2.8 Complex (UNIDO)

82. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/34.

83. The Executive Committee decided not to consider the project for phase-out of CTC as process agent at Sinuiju Chemical Fibre Complex and at Vinalon 2.8 Complex in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea as it was not required for compliance.

**(Decision 61/33)**

Refrigeration

Argentina: Phase-out of HCFC-22 in the room and unitary air-conditioning equipment manufacturing sector (UNIDO/Italy)

84. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/28.

85. Among the issues raised was the forthcoming availability of pre-fabricated kits using technology with low/global-warming (GWP) potential for air-conditioning manufacturers. It was stated that the project should not be taken as a precedent for funding of phase-out beyond the 10 per cent reduction in consumption, and that Argentina intended to choose low-GWP alternatives for future projects when available.

86. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note that the Government of Argentina had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption, the consumption level reported under Article 7 for 2008 (356.9 ODP tonnes);

- (b) To approve on an exceptional basis the investment project for the phase-out of HCFC-22 in the room and unitary air-conditioning equipment manufacturing sector at a level of US \$8,435,542, plus agency support costs of US \$632,666 for UNIDO, and US \$300,000, plus agency support costs of US \$39,000 for the Government of Italy, on the understanding that it would not set a precedent for funding the phase-out of more than 10 per cent of the starting point for aggregate reduction until a clear policy on that issue was established;
- (c) To request UNIDO and the Government of Argentina to deduct 53.5 ODP tonnes of HCFCs (972 metric tonnes of HCFC-22) from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption, as established under sub-paragraph (a) above; and
- (d) Also to request UNIDO to provide to the Secretariat at the end of each year of the project's implementation period, or part thereof, progress reports that addressed the issues pertaining to the collection of accurate data in line with the objectives of decision 55/43(b).

**(Decision 61/34)**

China: Demonstration sub-project for conversion of room air-conditioning compressor manufacturing from HCFC-22 to propane at Guangdong Meizhi Co. (UNIDO)

China: Demonstration sub-project for conversion from HCFC-22 to propane at Midea Room Air conditioner Manufacturing Company (UNIDO)

87. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/32.
88. The Executive Committee decided:
- (a) To approve:
    - (i) The demonstration sub-project for the conversion of room air-conditioning compressor manufacturing from HCFC-22 to propane at Guangdong Meizhi Co. at a funding level of US \$1,875,000, plus agency support costs of US \$140,625 for UNIDO;
    - (ii) The demonstration sub-project for conversion from HCFC-22 to propane at Midea Room Air-conditioner Manufacturing Company, including the heat exchanger component, at a funding level of US \$4,026,507, plus agency support costs of US \$301,988 for UNIDO;
  - (b) To request:
    - (i) UNIDO and the Government of China to deduct 13.2 ODP tonnes of HCFCs (240 metric tonnes of HCFC-22) from the starting point for sustained aggregate reductions in HCFC consumption, as set out in China's HCFC phase-out management plan;
    - (ii) UNIDO to provide to the Secretariat at the end of each year of the project's implementation period, progress reports that addressed the issues pertaining to the collection of accurate data in line with the objectives of decision 55/43(b); and

- (c) To note that the funding provided for the demonstration project did not establish a precedent for future funding levels of similar conversions.

**(Decision 61/35)**

CFC phase-out plan

Ecuador: National CFC phase-out plan: 2010 annual programme (UNEP/UNIDO)

89. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/38.

90. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To take note of the progress report on the implementation of the first and second tranches of the national CFC phase-out plan (NPP) in Ecuador;
- (b) To approve:
- (i) The transfer of the third, fourth and fifth tranches of the NPP for Ecuador from the World Bank to UNEP as lead implementing agency, and to UNIDO as co-operating implementing agency, at the following levels of funding:
- a) For the third tranche, US \$92,835, plus agency support costs of US \$12,069 for UNEP, and US \$134,575, plus agency support costs of US \$10,093 for UNIDO;
- b) For the fourth tranche, US \$92,835, plus agency support costs of US \$12,069 for UNEP, and US \$134,576, plus agency support costs of US \$10,093 for UNIDO;
- c) For the fifth tranche, US \$7,485, plus agency support costs of US \$973 for UNEP, and US \$10,849, plus agency support costs of US \$814 for UNIDO;
- (ii) The revised Agreement attached as Annex XI to the present report, which reflects the changes noted above;
- (iii) The 2010 annual implementation programme associated with the third, fourth and fifth tranches and, without establishing a precedent for such approvals, use of part of the funding to set up a CFC destruction facility; and
- (c) To request:
- (i) The World Bank to return the funding associated with the third, fourth and fifth tranches of the NPP for Ecuador at the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee;
- (ii) UNEP, as lead agency, to submit progress reports on the implementation of the work programme associated with the third, fourth and fifth and final tranches of the NPP no later than the 64<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee.

**(Decision 61/36)**



91. In the context of the discussion on the national CFC phase-out plan for Ecuador, the Executive Committee decided that, for national phase-out plans, the flexibility clause could not be used to reallocate resources for activities not related to compliance unless specifically authorized by the Executive Committee.

**(Decision 61/37)**

Democratic People's Republic of Korea: Implementation of the NPP: regulations, training programme and monitoring (fifth tranche) (UNEP)

92. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/34.

93. In light of decision 61/27, the Executive Committee decided to defer consideration of the project for the implementation of the national phase-out plan (NPP): regulations, training programme and monitoring (fifth tranche) for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to the 64<sup>th</sup> Meeting, provided that it met the requirements of decision 60/11.

**(Decision 61/38)**

94. The point was made, however, that continued postponement of decisions regarding projects in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea undermined the credibility of the Executive Committee.

Tunisia: National ODS phase-out plan (second tranche) (World Bank)

95. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/48 and said that since the document had been issued the World Bank had provided information indicating that the conditions of decision 57/15 had been fulfilled.

96. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the first tranche of the national ODS phase-out plan (NPP) in Tunisia;
- (b) Also to note the verification report for 2006 and 2007;
- (c) To approve the 2010 and 2011 annual implementation programme associated with the second tranche;
- (d) Also to approve the second and final tranche of the NPP for Tunisia, requested by the World Bank, at the level of funding of US \$345,395, plus agency support costs of US \$25,905 for the World Bank; and
- (e) To request the World Bank, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the NPP, a verification report for 2008 and 2009, and, if significant funds remained uncommitted at the beginning of 2011, an implementation plan for those funds no later than its 64<sup>th</sup> Meeting.

**(Decision 61/39)**

## HPMP

### Cambodia: HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNDP)

97. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/30. While Cambodia and the implementing agencies were praised for submitting an HPMP early, concern was expressed about a number of issues in the request. These included: the lack of clear reasons for the large increase in consumption reported in 2009; the choice of 2009 consumption as a starting point instead of estimated baseline; front-loading of funding; and uneven distribution of funding tranches throughout project implementation period, inconsistent with the Committee's guidelines on TPMPs. The implementing agencies were advised to ensure that Cambodia strictly enforced HCFC regulations, policies, and training of customs officers in implementation of the HPMP, and possibly impose a tax on virgin HCFCs to combat potential illegal trade.

98. Following informal consultations on those issues among interested Committee Members, and representatives of the Secretariat, UNDP and of UNEP, which consulted the Government of Cambodia, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve in principle, and on an exceptional basis, the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Cambodia, at the amount of US \$1,600,000, plus agency support costs of US \$172,250 (US \$950,000 plus support costs of US \$123,500 for UNEP, and US \$650,000 plus support costs of US \$48,750 for UNDP);
- (b) To approve the Agreement between the Government of Cambodia and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XII to the present document;
- (c) To note that the Government of Cambodia agreed to change its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption to the consumption based on the average consumption forecast for 2009 and 2010 (13.8 ODP tonnes) instead of its 2009 HCFC consumption;
- (d) To further note that the estimated baseline of consumption (13.8 ODP tonnes) was the basis for calculating funding for the HPMP for Cambodia;
- (e) To request the Secretariat, once the actual baseline data was known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption accordingly; and
- (f) To approve the first implementation plan for 2010-2012, and the first tranche of the HPMP for Cambodia at the total amount of US \$150,000, plus agency support costs of US \$19,500 for UNEP, and US \$200,000, plus agency support costs of US \$15,000 for UNDP.

**(Decision 61/40)**

### Croatia: HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, first tranche) (UNIDO)

99. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/33. Concern was expressed about a number of issues related to the request. These included: front-loading of funding; uneven distribution of funding tranches throughout project implementation period, inconsistent with the Committee's guidelines on TPMPs; perceived discrepancies in the document that implied that

consumption would never reach zero; whether the Executive Committee should fund accelerated phase-out that stemmed from European Union-imposed obligations rather than those under the Montreal Protocol; and the possibility of Croatia shouldering more of the responsibility for funding its HPMP.

100. UNIDO had consulted the Government of Croatia, which had agreed to accept the funding level of US \$600,000 proposed by the Executive Committee and, following informal discussions on those issues among interested Committee Members, the Secretariat, and UNIDO, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve in principle, and on an exceptional basis, the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Croatia, at the amount of US \$1,081,150, plus agency support costs of US \$65,336 for UNIDO, noting that US \$271,150 and agency support costs of US \$20,336 for UNIDO had been approved at the 59<sup>th</sup> Meeting, and that US \$210,000, plus agency support costs of US \$27,300 for Italy, had been approved at the 60<sup>th</sup> Meeting for the complete phase-out of HCFC-141b in the foam manufacturing sector;
- (b) To note with appreciation the commitment by the Government of Croatia to accelerate its phase-out of HCFCs by 24 years in advance of the Montreal Protocol schedule;
- (c) To approve the Agreement between the Government of Croatia and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XIII to the present report;
- (d) To request the Secretariat, once the baseline data was known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption accordingly; and
- (e) To approve the first implementation plan for 2010-2011, and the first tranche of the HPMP for Croatia at the amount of US \$180,000, plus agency support costs of US \$13,500 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 61/41)**

Ghana: HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, first tranche) (UNDP/Italy)

101. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/39. Concern was expressed about the front-loading of funding and uneven distribution of funding tranches throughout the project implementation period, inconsistent with the Committee's guidelines on TPMPs.

102. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note with appreciation the submission of the HCFC management plan (HPMP) for Ghana to achieve the complete phase-out of HCFCs at an estimated total cost of US \$3,875,175 (excluding agency support costs), while pointing out that it did not endorse this estimate and that the application of the US \$4.5/kg cost-effectiveness figure beyond 2015 should not set a precedent for future projects;
- (b) To note that the Government of Ghana agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the consumption based on the average consumption forecast for 2009 and 2010 (49.5 ODP tonnes);

- (c) To approve, in principle, Stage 1 of the HPMP for Ghana for the period 2010-2019, at the amount of US \$1,031,311, plus agency support costs of US \$77,348 for UNDP, and US \$325,000, plus agency support costs of US \$42,250 for Italy;
- (d) To approve the Agreement between the Government of Ghana and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XIV to the present report;
- (e) To request the Secretariat, once the baseline data was known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption accordingly; and
- (f) To approve the first implementation plan for 2010-2011, and the first tranche of Stage 1 of the HPMP for Ghana at the amount of US \$200,000, plus agency support costs of US \$15,000 for UNDP, and US \$70,000, plus agency support costs of US \$9,100 for Italy.

**(Decision 61/42)**

**AGENDA ITEM 9: INSTITUTIONAL STRENGTHENING: OPTIONS FOR FUNDING AND FORMATS FOR RENEWAL REQUESTS**

103. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/49. During the discussion, the following issues were raised:

- The possibility of gradually transferring the function and activities of IS projects from the Multilateral Fund to national governments and of preparing, in the future, a study identifying opportunities and mechanisms whereby the activities of the NOUs could, eventually, be integrated into the activities of their respective governments;
- The fact that governments were already providing services and support to their NOUs in several areas; and
- The role of the objectives and indicators in providing information on the effectiveness of IS support, and not imposing conditions on funding for IS projects.

104. Following the discussion, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the document on Institutional strengthening: Options for funding and formats for renewal requests (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/49);
- (b) To maintain funding for institutional strengthening (IS) support at current levels, and to renew IS projects for the full two-year period from the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting, taking into account decisions 59/17 and 59/47(b) that allowed Article 5 Parties to submit their IS projects as stand-alone projects or within their HCFC phase-out management plans, and to review continued IS funding at those levels at the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2015; and

- (c) To approve the revised format for IS renewals with the identified objectives and indicators attached as Annex XV to the present report, and to request the bilateral and implementing agencies to use those formats for requests for renewal of IS projects submitted to the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting and onwards.

**(Decision 61/43)**

**AGENDA ITEM 10: HCFCs**

- (a) Relevant aspects of component upgrade in HCFC conversion projects (decisions 59/13(b) and 60/43)**

105. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/50 and explained that the Secretariat's recommendation would codify the current practice when evaluating component upgrades in HCFC conversion projects for the refrigeration and air-conditioning sectors.

106. The Executive Committee decided to request the Secretariat to maintain the established practice when evaluating component upgrades in HCFC conversion projects for the refrigeration and air-conditioning sectors, such that after conversion the defining characteristics of the components would remain largely unchanged or, when no similar component was available, would only be improved to the extent necessary to allow the conversion to take place, and to keep the Executive Committee informed of any deviation from this practice.

**(Decision 61/44)**

- (b) Cost for conversion of component manufacturing vs. incremental operating cost (decisions 59/14 and 60/45)**

107. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/51.

108. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To consider funding the conversion of component manufacturers only when the funding requests were submitted as part of an HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) or a sector plan under an HPMP, except for those projects originally submitted to the 61<sup>st</sup> or earlier meetings of the Executive Committee;
- (b) That in cases where countries decided to include component manufacturers in their phase-out plans, to request bilateral and implementing agencies to provide, as part of their submission, information on the incremental operating costs of all refrigeration or air-conditioning equipment manufacturers included in the plan, as well as production and export data for compressors for the three years prior to the submissions; and
- (c) To request the Secretariat to prepare a document, based on the relevant sections of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/51, on the level of incremental costs related to retooling for manufacturing of tube-and-fin heat exchangers to be considered by the Executive Committee at its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting.

**(Decision 61/45)**

109. During the discussion of the eligibility for funding of projects for the conversion of heat exchanger manufacturing facilities, it was pointed out that the outstanding issue of the level of incremental costs for the conversion of heat exchanger manufacturing at the Petra Engineering Industries

Company in Jordan remained unresolved. Until a decision had been taken on that, in accordance with decision 60/41(b), there would be a further delay in implementation of the project.

**(c) Revised template for draft agreements for HCFC phase-out management plans (decisions 59/16(b) and 60/46)**

110. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/52. A number of amendments to the draft revised template contained in Annex II to the document were proposed.

111. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the revised template, as amended, attached as Annex XVI to the present report, as a reference for the drafting of an Agreement between a country and the Executive Committee regarding HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs); for countries that decided to submit sector plans, those would be considered on a case-by-case basis;
- (b) To request that future draft agreements schedule the submission of final tranches so that consumption would be reported under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol for 2013 before approval of the last tranche of the agreement;
- (c) To request the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee at the first Meeting of each year, starting in 2012, a list of all countries with a HCFC consumption baseline of 360 metric tonnes and below that had an approved HPMP and an indication of a sample of 20 per cent of countries from that list to enable the Executive Committee to approve such a sample for the purposes of verification of that country's compliance with the HPMP agreement for that year;
- (d) Not to require verification under the HPMP agreement for countries on that list other than those included in the sample; and
- (e) To request bilateral and implementing agencies acting as lead agency for the respective agreements to include the related costs of verification in their work programme amendments in the same year, and to submit the related verification in conjunction with a tranche request in the following year.

**(Decision 61/46)**

**(d) Consumption arising from HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended foam chemicals (polyols) (decisions 59/12 and 60/50)**

112. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/53. Given the importance of the matter and the desire to ensure that all eligible enterprises using HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols could benefit from Multilateral Fund assistance, the Committee established a contact group, facilitated by Canada, comprising interested Committee Members, and representatives of the Fund and Ozone Secretariats and of the implementing agencies to discuss eligibility, together with the other issues raised in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/53, taking into account the discussions held by the Parties to the Montreal Protocol during the 30<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Open-ended Working Group of Parties to the Montreal Protocol in June 2010.

113. Following the report of the contact group, the Executive Committee decided:
- (a) To take note of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/53 on consumption arising from HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended foam chemicals (polyols) (decisions 59/12 and 60/50);
  - (b) To confirm that the phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended polyol systems that were imported and/or manufactured domestically, and counted as consumption under Article 7, was eligible for assistance according to existing guidelines;
  - (c) With regard to the import of HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended polyols, which had not been counted as consumption under Article 7, to request Article 5 countries that wished to seek assistance for the phase-out of such imports:
    - (i) To include in the overarching strategy for their HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) an indicative list of all the foam enterprises established prior to 21 September 2007 that used imported polyol systems, including the amount of HCFC-141b contained therein;
    - (ii) To include in the starting point for aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the annual amount of HCFC-141b contained in imported polyol systems during the 2007-2009 period;
    - (iii) Also to include in their HPMPs a sector plan for the complete phase-out of the use of HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyol systems, covering the cost and funding schedule, taking into account that the share of imported polyol within the HPMP might need support under a schedule beyond 2015, and on the understanding that quantities of HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyol systems that had not been included in the overarching strategy for the HPMP would not be eligible for funding;
    - (iv) To include in the sector plan a commitment from the country to put in place, by the time the last foam manufacturing plant had been converted to a non-HCFC technology, regulations or policies banning the import and or the use of HCFC-141b pre-blended polyol systems;
  - (d) That Article 5 Parties with eligible enterprises manufacturing HCFC-141b pre-blended polyol systems would be provided with assistance calculated on the basis of consumption of HCFC-141b sold domestically, on the understanding that the full consumption of HCFC-141b by those enterprises manufacturing pre-blended polyol systems would be deducted from the starting point.

**(Decision 61/47)**

#### **AGENDA ITEM 11: REPORT OF THE SUB-GROUP ON THE PRODUCTION SECTOR**

114. The convenor of the Sub-group on the Production Sector reported that the Sub-group had not yet resolved all the outstanding issues with respect to HCFC production sector guidelines in order to be able to present a report to the Executive Committee for a decision. It promised to continue its discussions and to ensure that they would be finalized at the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee.

**AGENDA ITEM 12: OPERATION OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (decision 57/39)**

115. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/55. The discussion highlighted the heavy workload for the Executive Committee, the Secretariat and the implementing agencies over the next two years in considering the first phase of the HPMPs and the need to approve those plans as soon as possible to enable Article 5 Parties to meet the control measures for HCFCs, which would begin in 2013.

116. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To maintain the status quo of holding three meetings a year for at least 2011, 2012 and 2013; and
- (b) To review the issue of the number of meetings per year at the first meeting of 2013 in view of the workload related to HCFC phase-out management plans and any other issues at that stage.

**(Decision 61/48)**

**AGENDA ITEM 13: PROVISIONAL 2009 ACCOUNTS**

117. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/56.

118. Following the presentation, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the Fund's 2009 provisional accounts contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/56;
- (b) Also to note the actions taken by the Treasurer to reflect adjustments resulting from the reconciliation of the 2008 accounts exercise; and
- (c) Further to note that the 2009 final accounts of the Multilateral Fund would be submitted to the Executive Committee at its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting.

**(Decision 61/49)**

**AGENDA ITEM 14: DRAFT REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE TO THE TWENTY-SECOND MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL**

119. The Chief Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/57.

120. Following the presentation, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To take note of the draft report of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol to the Twenty-second Meeting of the Parties (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/57); and
- (b) To authorize the Secretariat to finalize the report in the light of the discussions held and decisions taken at the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee.

**(Decision 61/50)**



**AGENDA ITEM 15: OTHER MATTERS**Nepal

121. The representative of India introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/Inf.3, which explained the current situation in Nepal and why the country had not yet ratified the Copenhagen Amendment in relation to its HPMP.

122. Following a discussion, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To thank the Government of Nepal for its letter contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/Inf.3 on the special consideration of an HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP), and to note the concerns expressed;
- (b) To reiterate the Executive Committee's current guidelines requiring the ratification of the Copenhagen Amendment in order to receive assistance to phase out HCFCs;
- (c) To urge the Government of Nepal to ratify the Copenhagen Amendment as soon as possible and to provide a commitment to the Executive Committee that it would do so by a specific date; and
- (d) To consider the HPMP for Nepal at the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee in light of any additional information regarding the commitment of Nepal to ratify the Copenhagen Amendment and to phase out HCFCs in accordance with the Montreal Protocol.

**(Decision 61/51)**

Haiti

123. The representative of UNEP reported on a mission to Haiti conducted by UNEP from 28 to 29 June 2010 to assess the country's ability to fulfill its obligations under the Montreal Protocol following the devastating earthquake it had suffered. He noted that, prior to the earthquake, Haiti had been making progress toward fulfilling its obligations under the Protocol. During the mission, it had been noted that the NOU office had been destroyed and that members of the NOU were working under extremely difficult conditions. Nonetheless, the NOU was still doing its utmost to fulfill its obligations. The representative also pointed out that international agencies and non-governmental organizations were importing many more pieces of refrigeration equipment into Haiti and that it was important to control the entry of ODS and other obsolete technology.

124. Following the presentation, the representative of Grenada, supported by several Members, proposed that funding levels for the country should be increased, and that a strategy to assist Haiti in complying with the Montreal Protocol should be developed. It was also suggested that the Committee should consider at its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting whether Haiti needed more assistance.

125. Following discussions, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the provision of a sum of US \$20,000 as additional emergency assistance for institutional strengthening for Haiti on an exceptional basis, taking into consideration the circumstances facing the National Ozone Unit in Haiti after the devastation of the country's infrastructure by the earthquake in 2010; and
- (b) To request UNEP as lead agency to present, at the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee, a strategy and action plan to assist the country to return to the pre-earthquake implementation level.

**(Decision 61/52)**

Dates and venues of the 62<sup>nd</sup> and 63<sup>rd</sup> Meetings of the Executive Committee

126. Further to a request from a Committee Member, the Chief Officer advised that the ICAO facilities were now available from 29 November to 3 December 2010, and the dates of the 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting (22 to 26 November) could therefore be changed. She also informed the Executive Committee that possible dates for the beginning of the 63<sup>rd</sup> Meeting were: 28 March, 4 April or 11 April 2011, but a final decision would be contingent upon the dates of the meeting of the Open-ended Working Group of Parties to the Montreal Protocol. The Secretariat was urged to select a date as early in the year as possible because the date of the Meeting of the Parties in 2011 was expected to be moved forward.

127. Following the discussion, the Executive Committee decided to hold its 62<sup>nd</sup> Meeting in Montreal from 29 November to 3 December 2010, thus amending decision 60/51.

**(Decision 61/53)**

**AGENDA ITEM 16: ADOPTION OF THE REPORT**

128. The Executive Committee adopted its report on the basis of the draft report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/L.1.

**AGENDA ITEM 17: CLOSURE OF THE MEETING**

129. Following the customary exchange of courtesies, the Chair declared the Meeting closed at 4.30 p.m. on Friday, 9 July 2010.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 1 : STATUS OF THE FUND FROM 1991-2010 (IN US DOLLARS)**

As at 30 June 2010

<b>INCOME</b>		
Contributions received:		
- Cash payments including note encashments		2,306,053,481
- Promissory notes held		50,395,499
- Bilateral cooperation		132,316,032
- Interest earned		200,159,672
- Additional income from loans and other sources		1,198,947
- Miscellaneous income		12,542,913
<b>Total Income</b>		<b>2,702,666,544</b>
<b>ALLOCATIONS* AND PROVISIONS</b>		
- UNDP	594,602,650	
- UNEP	178,996,361	
- UNIDO	569,229,833	
- World Bank	1,024,181,063	
Unspecified projects	1,198,947	
Less Adjustments	-	
<b>Total allocations to implementing agencies</b>		<b>2,368,208,854</b>
Secretariat and Executive Committee costs (1991-2010)		
- includes provision for staff contracts into 2012		78,789,450
Treasury fees (2003-2010)		3,550,550
Monitoring and Evaluation costs (1999-2009)		2,941,754
Technical Audit costs (1998-2005)		909,960
Information Strategy costs (2003-2004)		
- includes provision for Network maintenance costs for 2004		104,750
Bilateral cooperation		132,316,032
Provision for fixed-exchange-rate mechanism's fluctuations		
- losses/(gains) in value		(34,880,158)
<b>Total allocations and provisions</b>		<b>2,551,941,192</b>
Cash		<b>100,329,853</b>
<b>Promissory Notes:</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	9,537,874
	<b>2011</b>	15,029,524
	<b>2012</b>	11,202,696
	<b>2013</b>	4,628,013
	<b>Unscheduled</b>	9,997,392
		<b>50,395,499</b>
<b>BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS</b>		<b>150,725,352</b>

\* Amounts reflect net approvals for which resources are transferred including promissory notes that are not yet encashed by the Implementing agencies. It reflects the Secretariat's inventory figures on the net approved amounts. These figures are under review in the on-going reconciliation exercise.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
**TABLE 2 : 1991 - 2010 SUMMARY STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND OTHER INCOME**  
BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS

As at 30 June 2010

Description	1991-1993	1994-1996	1997-1999	2000-2002	2003-2005	2006-2008	1991 - 2008	2009	2010	1991 - 2010
Pledged contributions	234,929,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,000	368,028,480	2,414,366,078	133,342,202	133,129,961	2,680,838,242
Cash payments/received	206,290,209	381,555,255	412,580,770	407,967,672	417,388,991	329,496,287	2,155,279,185	98,279,597	52,494,699	2,306,053,481
Bilateral assistance	4,366,255	11,909,814	21,699,586	21,315,399	48,181,291	19,098,367	126,570,712	2,764,882	2,980,438	132,316,032
Promissory notes	0	0	0	0	0	9,104,030	9,104,030	27,407,428	13,884,041	50,395,499
Total payments	210,656,464	393,465,069	434,280,356	429,283,071	465,570,282	357,698,685	2,290,953,927	128,451,906	69,359,179	2,488,765,012
Disputed contributions	0	8,098,267	0	0	0	32,471,642	40,569,909	0	216,320	40,786,229
Outstanding pledges	24,272,777	31,376,278	38,286,653	10,716,930	8,429,718	10,329,796	123,412,151	4,890,296	63,770,783	192,073,229
Payments %age to pledges	89.67%	92.61%	91.90%	97.56%	98.22%	97.19%	94.89%	96.33%	52.10%	92.84%
Interest earned	5,323,644	28,525,733	44,685,516	53,946,601	19,374,449	43,537,814	195,393,757	4,403,437	362,478	200,159,672
Additional income						1,198,947	1,198,947	0	0	1,198,947
Miscellaneous income	1,442,103	1,297,366	1,223,598	1,125,282	1,386,177	3,377,184	9,851,710	1,741,884	949,319	12,542,913
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>	<b>217,422,212</b>	<b>423,288,168</b>	<b>480,189,470</b>	<b>484,354,955</b>	<b>486,330,908</b>	<b>405,812,630</b>	<b>2,497,398,341</b>	<b>134,597,227</b>	<b>70,670,976</b>	<b>2,702,666,544</b>
<b>Accumulated figures</b>	<b>1991-1993</b>	<b>1994-1996</b>	<b>1997-1999</b>	<b>2000-2002</b>	<b>2003-2005</b>	<b>2006-2008</b>	<b>1991 - 2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>1991 - 2010</b>
Total pledges	234,929,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,000	368,028,480	2,414,366,078	133,342,202	133,129,961	2,680,838,242
Total payments	210,656,464	393,465,069	434,280,356	429,283,071	465,570,282	357,698,685	2,290,953,927	128,451,906	69,359,179	2,488,765,012
Payments %age to pledges	89.67%	92.61%	91.90%	97.56%	98.22%	97.19%	94.89%	96.33%	52.10%	92.84%
Total income	217,422,212	423,288,168	480,189,470	484,354,955	486,330,908	405,812,630	2,497,398,341	134,597,227	70,670,976	2,702,666,544
Total outstanding contributions	24,272,777	31,376,278	38,286,653	10,716,930	8,429,718	10,329,796	123,412,151	4,890,296	63,770,783	192,073,229
As % to total pledges	10.33%	7.39%	8.10%	2.44%	1.78%	2.81%	5.11%	3.67%	47.90%	7.16%
Outstanding contributions for certain Countries with Economies in Transition (CEITs)	24,272,777	31,376,278	32,614,393	9,811,798	7,511,983	6,366,431	111,953,660	2,946,537	3,352,489	118,252,686
CEITs' outstandings %age to pledges	10.33%	7.39%	6.90%	2.23%	1.58%	1.73%	4.64%	2.21%	2.52%	4.41%

PS: CEITs are Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Slovenia, Tajikistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan, including Turkmenistan up to 2004 as per decision XVI/39.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

TABLE 3 : 1991-2010 Summary Status of Contributions

As at 30 June 2010

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions	Exchange (Gain)/Loss. NB:Negative amount = Gain
Andorra	21,816	8,868	0	0	12,948	0
Australia*	50,993,246	49,721,339	1,271,907	0	0	786,082
Austria	28,385,051	28,253,261	131,790	0	0	-1,026,079
Azerbaijan	885,741	311,683	0	0	574,059	0
Belarus	2,725,273	0	0	0	2,725,273	0
Belgium	35,169,914	35,169,914	0	0	0	597,273
Bulgaria	1,217,575	1,217,575	0	0	0	0
Canada*	94,492,348	80,080,746	10,340,732	0	4,070,870	-4,305,409
Cyprus	486,621	415,396	0	0	71,225	0
Czech Republic	7,608,455	7,360,435	248,020	0	0	109,087
Denmark	23,170,196	23,009,143	161,053	0	0	-1,048,571
Estonia	244,963	219,062	0	0	25,901	3,432
Finland	18,231,475	17,779,605	451,870	0	0	-783,278
France	204,964,091	170,150,918	14,701,335	9,997,393	10,114,445	-17,391,451
Germany	299,477,339	227,502,179	43,552,254	30,761,106	-2,338,200	-3,395,238
Greece	14,512,794	13,162,389	0	0	1,350,404	-1,657,738
Hungary	4,914,611	4,458,166	46,494	0	409,951	-76,259
Iceland	1,047,658	1,047,658	0	0	0	22,369
Ireland	8,688,807	8,688,807	0	0	0	428,027
Israel	10,889,585	3,824,671	152,462	0	6,912,452	0
Italy	160,336,772	142,568,857	14,869,108	0	2,898,808	3,291,976
Japan	530,189,232	507,710,660	16,970,167	0	5,508,406	0
Kuwait	286,549	286,549	0	0	0	0
Latvia	450,832	450,779	0	0	53	-2,483
Liechtenstein	257,652	257,652	0	0	0	0
Lithuania	688,510	195,543	0	0	492,967	0
Luxembourg	2,349,379	2,349,379	0	0	0	-93,761
Malta	153,269	125,750	0	0	27,519	0
Monaco	182,818	182,818	0	0	0	-1,388
Netherlands	54,000,822	54,000,822	0	0	0	0
New Zealand	7,699,207	7,699,206	0	0	0	215,134
Norway	20,282,421	20,282,421	0	0	0	151,511
Panama	16,915	16,915	0	0	0	0
Poland	9,147,011	7,066,002	113,000	0	1,968,009	0
Portugal	12,067,605	9,419,794	101,700	0	2,546,111	198,162
Romania	326,748	213,435	0	0	113,313	0
Russian Federation	103,131,225	0	0	0	103,131,225	0
Singapore	531,221	459,245	71,976	0	0	0
Slovak Republic	2,314,569	2,298,046	16,523	0	0	0
Slovenia	1,250,000	1,250,000	0	0	0	0
South Africa	3,793,691	3,763,691	30,000	0	0	0
Spain	79,439,939	72,316,482	3,211,844	0	3,911,613	-1,077,461
Sweden	35,920,365	34,091,988	1,828,377	0	0	-576,965
Switzerland	39,171,325	37,258,095	1,913,230	0	0	-1,680,340
Tajikistan	104,885	18,086	0	0	86,799	0
Turkmenistan**	293,245	5,764	0	0	287,481	0
Ukraine	9,144,846	1,082,925	0	0	8,061,920	0
United Arab Emirate	559,639	559,639	0	0	0	0
United Kingdom	179,344,061	168,027,306	565,000	0	10,751,755	-7,566,790
United States of America	618,598,275	559,525,211	21,567,191	9,637,000	27,868,873	0
Uzbekistan	677,654	188,606	0	0	489,048	0
SUB-TOTAL	2,680,838,242	2,306,053,481	132,316,032	50,395,499	192,073,229	-34,880,158
Disputed Contributions***	40,786,229	0	0	0	40,786,229	0
TOTAL	2,721,624,471	2,306,053,481	132,316,032	50,395,499	232,859,458	0

NB: (\*) The bilateral assistance recorded for Australia and Canada was adjusted following approvals at the 39<sup>th</sup> Meeting and taking into consideration a reconciliation carried out by the Secretariat through the progress reports submitted to the 40<sup>th</sup> Meeting to read US \$1,208,219 and US \$6,449,438 instead of US \$1,300,088 and US \$6,414,880 respectively.

(\*\*) In accordance with decisions VI/5 and XVI/39 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol, Turkmenistan has been reclassified as operating under Article 5 in 2004 and therefore its contribution of US \$5,764 for 2005 should be disregarded.

(\*\*\*) Amounts for France, Germany, Italy, Japan and the United Kingdom netted off from the 1996 contributions and are shown here for records only. Amount for the United States of America netted off from the 2007 and 2008 contributions. Additional amount of US\$ 216,320 reflected from 2010 contributions.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

TABLE 4 : Status of Contributions for 2010

As at 30 June 2010

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	12,948				12,948
Australia	2,892,711	2,892,711			0
Austria	1,435,834	1,435,834			0
Azerbaijan	8,094				8,094
Belarus	32,375				32,375
Belgium	1,783,865	1,783,865			0
Bulgaria	32,375	32,375			0
Canada	4,819,027		887,922		3,931,105
Cyprus	71,225				71,225
Czech Republic	454,869	363,904	90,965		0
Denmark	1,196,258	1,196,258			0
Estonia	25,900				25,900
Finland	912,976	912,976			0
France	10,199,760				10,199,760
Germany	13,884,041		305,008	13,884,041	(305,008)
Greece	964,777				964,777
Hungary	394,976				394,976
Iceland	59,894	59,894			0
Ireland	720,345	720,345			0
Israel	678,257				678,257
Italy	8,221,645	6,577,316	237,300		1,407,029
Japan	26,910,144	26,411,701	566,243		(67,800)
Latvia	29,138	29,085			53
Liechtenstein	16,188	16,188			0
Lithuania	50,181				50,181
Luxembourg	137,594	137,594			0
Malta	27,519				27,519
Monaco	4,856	4,856			0
Netherlands	3,031,924	3,031,923			0
New Zealand	414,401	414,401			0
Norway	1,265,865	1,265,865			0
Poland	810,995				810,995
Portugal	853,083				853,083
Romania	113,313				113,313
Russian Federation	1,942,503				1,942,503
Slovak Republic	101,981	101,981			0
Slovenia	155,400	155,400			0
Spain	4,804,458		893,000		3,911,458
Sweden	1,733,684	1,733,684			0
Switzerland	1,968,403	1,968,403			0
Tajikistan	1,619				1,619
Ukraine	72,844				72,844
United Kingdom	10,751,755				10,751,755
United States of America	29,117,013	1,248,140			27,868,873
Uzbekistan	12,950				12,950
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>133,129,961</b>	<b>52,494,699</b>	<b>2,980,438</b>	<b>13,884,041</b>	<b>63,770,783</b>
Disputed Contributions(*)	216,320	0	0	0	216,320
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,346,281</b>	<b>52,494,699</b>	<b>2,980,438</b>	<b>13,884,041</b>	<b>63,987,103</b>

(\*) Additional amount on Disputed contribution relating to the USA.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 5 : Status of Contributions for 2009**

As at 30 June 2010

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	8,868	8,868			0
Australia	2,892,711	2,892,711			0
Austria	1,435,834	1,435,834			0
Azerbaijan	8,094				8,094
Belarus	32,375				32,375
Belgium	1,783,865	1,783,865			0
Bulgaria	32,375	32,375			0
Canada	4,819,027	4,579,821	99,440		139,765
Cyprus	71,225	71,225			0
Czech Republic	454,869	363,904	90,965		0
Denmark	1,196,258	1,196,258			0
Estonia	25,900	25,900			0
Finland	912,976	912,976			0
France	10,199,760		287,682	9,997,393	(85,315)
Germany	13,884,041	2,314,007	1,974,067	11,570,034	(1,974,067)
Greece	964,777	579,149			385,628
Hungary	394,976	380,000			14,976
Iceland	59,894	59,894			0
Ireland	720,345	720,345			0
Israel	678,257				678,257
Italy	8,221,645	6,687,842	152,550		1,381,252
Japan	26,910,144	26,749,966	160,178		0
Latvia	29,138	29,138			0
Liechtenstein	16,188	16,188			0
Lithuania	50,181				50,181
Luxembourg	137,594	137,594			0
Malta	27,519	27,519			0
Monaco	4,856	4,856			0
Netherlands	3,031,924	3,031,924			0
New Zealand	414,401	414,401			0
Norway	1,265,865	1,265,865			0
Poland	810,995				810,995
Portugal	853,083				853,083
Romania	113,313	113,313			0
Russian Federation	1,942,503				1,942,503
Slovak Republic	101,981	101,981			0
Slovenia	155,400	155,400			0
Spain	4,804,458	4,239,303			565,155
Sweden	1,733,684	1,733,684			0
Switzerland	1,968,403	1,968,403			0
Tajikistan	1,619				1,619
Ukraine	72,844				72,844
United Kingdom	10,751,755	10,751,755			0
United States of America	29,333,333	23,493,333		5,840,000	0
Uzbekistan	12,950				12,950
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,342,202</b>	<b>98,279,597</b>	<b>2,764,882</b>	<b>27,407,428</b>	<b>4,890,296</b>

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 6 : Status of Contributions for 2008**

As at 30 June 2010

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Australia	2,660,143	2,660,143			0
Austria	1,435,341	1,435,341			0
Azerbaijan	8,355				8,355
Belarus	30,077				30,077
Belgium	1,786,239	1,786,239			0
Bulgaria	28,406	28,406			0
Canada	4,700,366	3,760,293	940,073		0
Cyprus	65,167	65,167			0
Czech Republic	305,783	305,783			0
Denmark	1,199,738	1,199,738			0
Estonia	20,051	20,051			0
Finland	890,613	890,613			0
France	10,075,793	9,148,063	842,980		84,750
Germany*	14,473,719	2,894,744	2,953,920	2,894,744	5,730,311
Greece	885,600	885,600			0
Hungary	210,539	210,539			0
Iceland	56,812	56,812			0
Ireland	584,830	584,830			0
Israel	780,331		114,356		665,975
Italy	8,162,562	4,665,805	1,521,994		1,974,763
Japan	29,362,667	29,362,667	33,900		(33,900)
Latvia	25,064	25,064			0
Liechtenstein	8,355	8,355			0
Lithuania	40,103				40,103
Luxembourg	128,663	128,663			0
Malta	23,393	23,393			0
Monaco	5,013	5,013			0
Netherlands	2,823,896	1,671,687			1,152,209
New Zealand	369,279	369,279			0
Norway	1,134,571	1,134,571			0
Poland	770,305	424,287			346,018
Portugal	785,344				785,344
Romania	100,122	100,122			0
Russian Federation	1,838,039				1,838,039
Slovak Republic	85,218	85,218			0
Slovenia	137,017	137,017			0
Spain	4,210,779	4,044,217	731,562		(565,000)
Sweden	1,667,602	1,667,602			0
Switzerland	2,000,120	1,997,218	91,689		(88,787)
Tajikistan	1,671				1,671
Ukraine	65,167				65,167
United Kingdom	10,237,875	10,237,875			0
United States of America**	11,780,749	7,983,749		3,797,000	(0)
Uzbekistan	23,393				23,393
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>115,984,871</b>	<b>90,004,166</b>	<b>7,230,474</b>	<b>6,691,744</b>	<b>12,058,487</b>
Disputed Contributions**	<b>17,581,918</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17,581,918</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,566,789</b>	<b>90,004,166</b>	<b>7,230,474</b>	<b>6,691,744</b>	<b>29,640,405</b>

(\*) Bilateral assistance of US \$572,817 approved at the 51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of the Excom applied in 2008 and US \$353,814 approved at the 52<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Excom applied in 2008.

(\*\*) Balance of USA Disputed contribution of US \$32,471,642 of which US \$14,889,724 was applied to 2007.



TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
**TABLE 7 : Status of Contributions for 2006-2008**  
As at 30 June 2010

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Australia	7,980,429	7,850,479	129,950	0	0
Austria	4,306,023	4,306,023	0	0	0
Azerbaijan	25,064	0	0	0	25,064
Belarus	90,231	0	0	0	90,231
Belgium	5,358,718	5,358,718	0	0	0
Bulgaria	85,218	85,218	0	0	0
Canada	14,101,098	12,469,209	1,631,889	0	0
Cyprus	195,500	195,500	0	0	0
Czech Republic	917,348	917,348	0	0	0
Denmark	3,599,214	3,599,214	0	0	0
Estonia	60,154	60,154	0	0	0
Finland	2,671,840	2,671,840	0	0	0
France	30,227,380	27,778,425	2,357,630	0	91,325
Germany*	43,421,156	29,429,894	8,743,355	5,307,030	(59,124)
Greece	2,656,801	1,527,311	0	0	1,129,490
Hungary	631,617	631,617	0	0	0
Iceland	170,436	170,436	0	0	0
Ireland	1,754,491	1,754,491	0	0	0
Israel	2,340,993	0	114,356	0	2,226,637
Italy	24,487,687	19,590,142	4,787,018	0	110,527
Japan	88,088,000	88,088,000	96,050	0	(96,050)
Latvia	75,192	75,192	0	0	0
Liechtenstein	25,064	25,064	0	0	0
Lithuania	120,308	0	0	0	120,308
Luxembourg	385,988	385,988	0	0	0
Malta	70,180	70,180	0	0	0
Monaco	15,038	15,038	0	0	0
Netherlands	8,471,687	8,471,687	0	0	0
New Zealand	1,107,836	1,107,836	0	0	0
Norway	3,403,713	3,403,713	0	0	0
Poland	2,310,916	1,964,897	0	0	346,019
Portugal	2,356,031	1,516,085	0	0	839,946
Romania	100,122	100,122	0	0	0
Russian Federation	5,514,116	0	0	0	5,514,116
Slovak Republic	255,654	255,654	0	0	0
Slovenia	411,052	411,052	0	0	0
Spain	12,632,338	12,470,176	731,562	0	(569,400)
Sweden	5,002,807	5,002,807	0	0	0
Switzerland	6,000,361	5,203,789	506,557	0	290,015
Tajikistan	5,013	0	0	0	5,013
Ukraine	195,500	0	0	0	195,500
United Kingdom	30,713,625	30,713,625	0	0	0
United States of America**	55,616,358	51,819,359	0	3,797,000	(1)
Uzbekistan	70,180	0	0	0	70,180
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>368,028,480</b>	<b>329,496,287</b>	<b>19,098,367</b>	<b>9,104,030</b>	<b>10,329,796</b>

\* Bilateral assistance of US \$572,817 approved at the 51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of the Excom applied in 2008 and US \$353,814 approved at the 52<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Excom applied in 2008 for Germany.

\*\* The total contribution shown here for the USA for the replenishment period is after netting off the disputed amount of US \$32,471,642.

**TABLE 8: STATUS OF PROMISSORY NOTES AS AT 30 JUNE 2010**  
**MULTILATERAL FUND'S PROMISSORY NOTES**

Country	HELD BY			IMPLEMENTING AGENCY FOR WHICH HELD OR ASSIGNED TO					
	A WORLD BANK	B TREASURER	C= A+B TOTAL	D UNDP	E UNEP	F UNIDO	G WORLD BANK	H TREASURER	D+E+F+G+H=I I=C TOTAL
	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value
Canada			0					0	0
France		9,997,393	9,997,393					9,997,393	9,997,393
Germany		30,761,106	30,761,106					30,761,106	30,761,106
The Netherlands			0					0	0
United Kingdom			0					0	0
United States of America		9,637,000	9,637,000					9,637,000	9,637,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50,395,499</b>	<b>50,395,499</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50,395,499</b>	<b>50,395,499</b>



TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 10: OUTSTANDING PROMISSORY NOTES SCHEDULE  
OF ENCASHMENT AS AT 30 JUNE 2010 (IN US \$)**

	<u>Due in 2010</u>	<u>Due in 2011</u>	<u>Due in 2012</u>	<u>Due in 2013</u>	<u>Unscheduled</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
<b><u>FRANCE:</u></b>						
Unscheduled					9,997,393	9,997,393
<b><u>GERMANY:</u></b>						
P. Note: (in US \$ at FERM rate of US \$1:Euro 0.8058)						
2007	2,412,287					2,412,287
2008	964,914	1,929,829				2,894,743
2009	2,314,007	4,628,014	4,628,015			11,570,035
2010		4,628,014	4,628,014	4,628,013		13,884,041
<b><u>USA:</u></b>						
2009 Note: (US\$)	1,900,000	1,897,000				3,797,000
2010 Note: (US\$)	1,946,666	1,946,667	1,946,667			5,840,000
	<u>9,537,874</u>	<u>15,029,524</u>	<u>11,202,696</u>	<u>4,628,013</u>	<u>9,997,393</u>	<u>50,395,499</u>

**NOTE:**

For the triennium 2006 - 2008, Germany opted to pay in Euro, using the FERM. Germany's annual payment are made in two tranches, February and August.

USA's promissory notes due in 2010 are payable in November.

**Annex I**

**LIST OF COUNTRIES WHICH AS AT 30 JUNE 2010 HAVE EITHER CONFIRMED TO THE TREASURER IN WRITING THAT THEY WOULD BE USING THE FIXED-EXCHANGE-RATE MECHANISM DURING THE 2009 – 2011 REPLENISHMENT PERIOD OR PAID IN NATIONAL CURRENCIES WITHOUT FORMALLY WRITING TO THE TREASURER**

1. Australia
2. Austria
3. Belgium
4. Canada
5. Czech Republic
6. Denmark
7. Estonia
8. Finland
9. France
10. Germany
11. Greece
12. Iceland
13. Ireland
14. Luxembourg
15. New Zealand
16. Norway
17. Spain
18. Sweden
19. Switzerland
20. United Kingdom

**Annex II**

**TERMINAL PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLANS  
THAT WERE NOT SUBMITTED TO THE 61<sup>ST</sup> MEETING**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Sector</b>	<b>Tranches</b>	<b>Reason for non-submission to the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting</b>
UNEP	Equatorial Guinea	ODS Phase-Out Plan	2010	Delay due to late approval of agreements by Government.
UNEP	Guatemala	CFC Phase-Out Plan	2009	Expenditure level is low due to delays in signing documents between UNDP and the Government.
UNIDO	Kuwait	ODS Phase-Out Plan	2008	The verification report was not ready in time due to delays in contracting the national institute to conduct the verification task.
UNEP	Kuwait	ODS Phase-Out Plan	2008	Not provided.
UNDP	Peru	CFC Phase-Out Plan	2009	Due to inability to sign a legal agreement pending internal Government resolution of institutional issues.
UNEP	Peru	CFC Phase-Out Plan	2009	Funding agreement had not been signed for the previous tranche.
UNDP	Saint Kitts and Nevis	CFC Phase-Out Plan	2009	Low disbursement from the previous tranches
UNEP	Saint Kitts and Nevis	CFC Phase-Out Plan	2009	Low level of disbursement for investment component.
World Bank	Turkey	CFC Phase-Out Plan	2008	Verification audits (2007 and 2008) not completed and annual programme was incomplete, difficulties associated with the closure of the grant agreement.

**Annex III**

**2010 REVISED BUSINESS PLAN PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNDP**

<b>Item</b>	<b>2010 Targets</b>
Number of annual programmes of multi-year agreements approved versus those planned (new plus tranches of ongoing MYAs)	49
Number of individual projects/activities (investment projects, RMPs, halon banks, TAS, institutional strengthening) approved versus those planned	51
Milestone activities completed/ODS levels achieved for approved multi-year annual tranches versus those planned	10
ODS phased-out for individual projects versus those planned per progress reports	250.5
Project completion (pursuant to decision 28/2 for investment projects) and as defined for non-investment projects versus those planned in progress reports	87
Number of policy/regulatory assistance completed versus that planned	100%
Speed of financial completion versus that required per progress report completion dates	On time
Timely submission of project completion reports versus those agreed	On time
Timely submission of progress reports and responses unless otherwise agreed	On time

**Annex IV**

**2010 REVISED BUSINESS PLAN PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNEP**

<b>Item</b>	<b>2010 Targets</b>
Number of annual programmes of multi-year agreements approved versus those planned	105 (26 tranches of approved MYAs and 79 new MYAs)
Number of individual projects/activities (investment projects, RMPs, halon banks, TAS, institutional strengthening) approved versus those planned	88
Milestone activities completed/ODS levels achieved for approved multi-year annual tranches versus those planned	26
ODS phased out for individual projects versus those planned per progress report	0
Project completion (pursuant to decision 28/2 for investment projects) and as defined for non-investment projects versus those planned in progress reports	33
Number of policy/regulatory assistance completed versus that planned	109 countries
Speed of financial completion versus that required per progress report completion dates	On time
Timely submission of project completion reports versus those agreed	On time
Timely submission of progress reports and responses unless otherwise agreed	On time

**2010 REVISED BUSINESS PLAN PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNEP'S COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAMME (CAP)**

<b>Performance Indicator</b>	<b>Data</b>	<b>Assessment</b>	<b>Target</b>
Efficient follow-up to regional network/ thematic meetings	List of recommendations emanating from 2008 regional network/thematic meetings	Implementation rate of those meeting recommendations that are to be implemented in 2010	90% implementation rate
Effective support to NOUs in their work, particularly guidance to new NOUs	List of innovative ways/means/products/services for supporting NOUs in their work, with specification of those destined for new NOUs	Number of innovative ways/means/products/services for supporting NOUs in their work, with specification of those destined for new NOUs	7 such ways/means/products/services; all new NOUs receive capacity building support
Assistance to countries in actual or potential non-compliance (as per MOP decisions and/or as per reported Article 7 data and trend analysis)	List of countries in actual or potential non-compliance that received CAP assistance outside the network meetings	Number of countries in actual or potential non-compliance that received CAP assistance outside the network meetings	All such countries
Innovations in production and delivery of global and regional information products and services	List of global and regional information products and services destined for new target audiences or that reach existing target audiences in new ways	Number of global and regional information products and services destined for new target audiences or that reach existing target audiences in new ways	7 such products and services
Close cooperation between CAP regional teams and bilateral and implementing agencies working in the regions	List of joint missions/undertakings of CAP regional staff with bilateral and implementing agencies	Number of joint missions/undertakings	5 in each region



**Annex V**

**2010 REVISED BUSINESS PLAN PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNIDO**

Item	2010 Targets
Number of annual programmes of multi-year agreements approved versus those planned	25
Number of individual projects/activities (investment projects, RMPs, halon banks, TAS, institutional strengthening) approved versus those planned	36
Milestone activities completed/ODS levels achieved for approved multi-year annual tranches versus those planned	13
ODS phased-out for individual projects vs. those planned per progress reports	311.8
Project completion (pursuant to decision 28/2 for investment projects) and as defined for non-investment projects versus those planned in progress reports	14
Number of policy/regulatory assistance completed versus that planned	N/A
Speed of financial completion versus that required per progress report completion dates	12 months after operational completion
Timely submission of project completion reports versus those agreed	On time
Timely submission of progress reports and responses unless otherwise agreed	On time

**Annex VI**

**2010 REVISED BUSINESS PLAN PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR THE WORLD BANK**

<b>Item</b>	<b>2010 Targets</b>
Number of annual programmes of multi-year agreements approved versus those planned (new plus tranches of ongoing MYAs)	8
Number of individual projects/activities (investment projects, RMPs, halon banks, TAS, institutional strengthening) approved versus those planned	3
Milestone activities completed/ODS levels achieved for approved multi-year annual tranches versus those planned	5/5
ODS phased-out for individual projects versus those planned per progress reports	240.3
Project completion (pursuant to decision 28/2 for investment projects) and as defined for non-investment projects versus those planned in progress reports	5*
Number of policy/regulatory assistance completed versus that planned	100%
Speed of financial completion versus that required per progress report completion dates	11 months
Timely submission of project completion reports versus those agreed	100%
Timely submission of progress reports and responses unless otherwise agreed	100%

*\* Includes one investment project, two institutional strengthening projects and two technical assistance projects.*

**Annex VII**

**LIST OF LEAD AGENCIES FOR HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLANS**

<b>COUNTRY</b>	<b>LEAD AGENCY</b>
Afghanistan	UNEP
Albania	UNIDO
Algeria	UNIDO
Angola	UNDP
Antigua and Barbuda	UNEP
Argentina	UNDP
Armenia	UNDP
Bahamas (the)	UNEP
Bahrain	UNEP
Bangladesh	UNDP
Barbados	UNEP
Belize	UNEP
Benin	UNEP
Bhutan	UNEP
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Germany
Bosnia and Herzegovina	UNIDO
Botswana	Germany
Brazil	UNDP
Brunei Darussalam	UNEP
Burkina Faso	UNEP
Burundi	UNEP
Cambodia	UNEP
Cameroon	UNIDO
Cape Verde	UNEP
Central African Republic (the)	UNEP
Chad	UNEP
Chile	UNDP
China	UNDP
Colombia	UNDP
Comoros (the)	UNEP
Congo (the)	UNEP
Cook Islands (the)	UNEP
Costa Rica	UNDP
Cote D'Ivoire	UNEP
Croatia	UNIDO
Cuba	UNDP
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	UNIDO
Democratic People's Republic of the Congo (the)	UNEP
Djibouti	UNEP
Dominica	UNEP
Dominican Republic (the)	UNDP
Ecuador	UNEP

<b>COUNTRY</b>	<b>LEAD AGENCY</b>
Egypt	UNIDO
El Salvador	UNDP
Equatorial Guinea	UNEP
Eritrea	UNEP
Ethiopia	UNEP
Fiji	UNDP
Gabon	UNEP
Gambia (the)	UNDP
Georgia	UNDP
Ghana	UNDP
Grenada	UNEP
Guatemala	UNEP
Guinea	UNEP
Guinea-Bissau	UNEP
Guyana	UNEP
Haiti	UNEP
Honduras	UNIDO
India	UNDP
Indonesia	UNDP
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	UNDP
Iraq	UNIDO
Jamaica	UNDP
Jordan	UNIDO
Kenya	Germany
Kiribati	UNEP
Kuwait	UNEP
Kyrgyzstan	UNDP
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	UNEP
Lebanon	UNDP
Lesotho	Germany
Liberia	Germany
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	UNIDO
Madagascar	UNEP
Malawi	UNEP
Malaysia	UNDP
Maldives	UNEP
Mali	UNEP
Marshall Islands (the)	UNEP
Mauritania	UNEP
Mauritius	Germany
Mexico	UNIDO
Micronesia (Federated States of)	UNEP
Mongolia	UNEP
Montenegro	UNIDO
Morocco	UNIDO
Mozambique	UNEP
Myanmar	UNEP
Namibia	Germany

<b>COUNTRY</b>	<b>LEAD AGENCY</b>
Nauru	UNEP
Nepal	UNEP
Nicaragua	UNIDO
Niger (the)	UNIDO
Nigeria	UNDP
Niue	UNEP
Oman	UNIDO
Pakistan	UNIDO
Palau	UNEP
Panama	UNDP
Papua New Guinea	Germany
Paraguay	UNEP
Peru	UNDP
Philippines (the)	World Bank
Qatar	UNIDO
Republic of Moldova (the)	UNDP
Rwanda	UNEP
Saint Kitts and Nevis	UNEP
Saint Lucia	UNEP
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	UNEP
Samoa	UNEP
Sao Tome and Principe	UNEP
Saudi Arabia	UNIDO
Senegal	UNIDO
Serbia	UNIDO
Seychelles	Germany
Sierra Leone	UNEP
Solomon Islands	UNEP
Somalia	UNEP
South Africa	UNIDO
Sri Lanka	UNDP
Sudan (the)	UNIDO
Suriname	UNEP
Swaziland	UNEP
Syria	UNIDO
Tanzania	UNEP
Thailand	World Bank
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	UNIDO
Timor-Leste	UNEP
Togo	UNEP
Tonga	UNEP
Trinidad and Tobago	UNDP
Tunisia	UNIDO
Turkey	UNIDO
Turkmenistan	UNIDO
Tuvalu	UNEP
Uganda	UNEP
Uruguay	UNDP

<b>COUNTRY</b>	<b>LEAD AGENCY</b>
Vanuatu	UNEP
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	UNIDO
Viet Nam	World Bank
Yemen	UNEP
Zambia	UNEP
Zimbabwe	Germany

**Annex VIII**

**REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL STATUS REPORTS**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Reason</b>
Canada	BKF/PHA/54/INV/24	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (second tranche)	Reasons for delays not provided.
Canada	BOL/PHA/57/TAS/32	Terminal phase-out management plan (second tranche)	Reasons for delays not provided.
Canada	CUB/PHA/45/INV/32	National ODS phase-out plan for CFCs: 2005 annual implementation plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
Canada	STL/PHA/52/INV/12	Terminal phase-out management plan for the phase-out of ODS in the refrigeration and air conditioning sector (first tranche)	Reasons for delays not provided.
Canada	STL/PHA/56/INV/15	Terminal phase-out management plan for the phase-out of ODS in the refrigeration and air conditioning sector (second tranche)	Reasons for delays not provided.
France	IRA/HAL/28/TAS/49	Halon management programme	To review the new status of tender for halon equipment.
France	LEB/REF/23/TAS/21	Implementation of an ODS recovery and recycling network	To review the status of internal agreements to enable fund disbursement.
Germany	BRA/PHA/55/PRP/282	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (multiple sectors)	Reasons for delays not provided.
Germany	BRA/PHA/57/PRP/286	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (extruded polystyrene foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
Germany	IND/PHA/56/PRP/426	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (overarching strategy)	Reasons for delays not provided.
Italy	MOR/FUM/56/INV/62	Phase-out of methyl bromide used as a soil fumigant in the production of green beans and curcubits (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
Italy	SEN/PHA/52/INV/24	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
Italy	SEN/PHA/57/INV/28	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (second tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
Sweden	ROM/PHA/45/TAS/31	National CFC phase-out plan (first tranche)	To review the status of operation of reclamation equipment.
Sweden	YUG/PHA/43/TAS/22	National CFC phase-out plan (first tranche)	Operationalization of the project management unit.
UNDP	AFR/FUM/38/TAS/32	Technical assistance for methyl bromide reductions and formulation of regional phase out strategies for low volume consuming countries	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	ANG/PHA/55/PRP/08	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	ARG/PHA/55/PRP/157	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	ARM/REF/57/PRP/04	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	BGD/FOA/57/PRP/33	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	BGD/PHA/56/PRP/29	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	BGD/REF/57/PRP/34	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	BRA/FOA/57/PRP/287	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	BRA/PHA/55/PRP/283	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNDP	BRA/REF/47/DEM/275	Demonstration project for integrated management of the centrifugal chiller sub-sector, focusing on application of energy-efficient CFC-free technologies for replacement of CFC-based chillers	To review latest status on co-financing.
UNDP	BRA/REF/57/PRP/289	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration manufacturing sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex VIII

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNDP	BRA/REF/57/PRP/290	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (air-conditioning manufacturing)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	BRA/SOL/57/PRP/291	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (solvent sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	BRU/REF/44/TAS/10	Implementation of the RMP: technical assistance for the refrigeration service and MAC sectors	To review the activities undertaken for implementation of this project.
UNDP	CHD/PHA/53/INV/16	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	CHI/FOA/57/PRP/167	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	CHI/HAL/51/TAS/164	Halon consumption phase-out: technical assistant programme and halon recycling and recovery equipment	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	CHI/PHA/55/PRP/165	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNDP	CHI/REF/57/PRP/170	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration manufacturing)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	COL/ARS/56/INV/71	Phase-out of CFC in the manufacturing of MDIs	To review latest status of development of the formulations for this MDI project.
UNDP	COL/FOA/57/PRP/72	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	COL/PHA/55/PRP/69	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNDP	COL/REF/47/DEM/65	Demonstration project for integrated management of the centrifugal chiller sub-sector, focusing on application of energy-efficient CFC-free	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	COS/PHA/55/PRP/39	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	COS/REF/57/PRP/41	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration manufacturing sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/460	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan: industrial and commercial refrigeration sub-sectors	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/461	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan: solvent sector	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/464	Preparation of an overarching HPMP strategy	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/471	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan: extruded polystyrene foam	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	CUB/FOA/57/PRP/41	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	CUB/PHA/56/PRP/40	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.
UNDP	CUB/REF/58/PRP/42	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (manufacturing sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	DOM/FOA/57/PRP/44	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	DOM/HAL/51/TAS/39	National halon bank management plan update	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	DOM/PHA/55/PRP/42	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	ELS/PHA/55/PRP/23	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was changes in Government or internal approval.
UNDP	FIJ/PHA/55/PRP/19	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	GAM/PHA/55/PRP/20	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.



Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNDP	GBS/REF/43/TAS/07	Implementation of the RMP: technical assistance project for MAC and refrigeration service and end-user sub-sectors	To review latest status of the MAC recycling component since the award of the contract for purchasing equipment.
UNDP	GEO/PHA/55/PRP/26	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNDP	GEO/REF/57/PRP/27	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	GEO/SEV/57/INS/28	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	GUA/PHA/56/INV/35	Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	IDS/PHA/55/PRP/183	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IDS/REF/57/PRP/185	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration manufacturing sector except air-to-air air-conditioning)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IDS/REF/57/PRP/188	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (air-to-air air-conditioning sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IND/PHA/56/PRP/428	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (overarching strategy)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IND/PHA/56/PRP/430	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (fire fighting and solvent sectors)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IND/PHA/56/PRP/431	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IND/PHA/56/PRP/432	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (air conditioning sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IND/PHA/56/PRP/433	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (refrigeration sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IRA/FOA/57/PRP/195	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (rigid foam)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IRA/PHA/56/PRP/188	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (overarching strategy)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IRA/REF/57/PRP/193	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration manufacturing sector except air-to-air air-conditioning)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	IRA/SOL/57/PRP/194	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (fire-fighting and solvent sectors)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	JAM/PHA/55/PRP/24	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	KYR/PHA/55/PRP/20	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was changes in Government or internal approval.
UNDP	KYR/REF/57/PRP/21	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	LAC/REF/47/DEM/36	Demonstration project for integrated management of the centrifugal chiller sub-sector in the Caribbean, focusing on application of energy-efficient CFC-free technologies for replacement of CFC-based chillers	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to the establishment of a mechanism to reimburse companies in this chiller project.
UNDP	LEB/FOA/57/PRP/71	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	LEB/PHA/55/PRP/67	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	LEB/REF/57/PRP/69	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration manufacturing sector except air-to-air air-conditioning)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	LEB/REF/57/PRP/70	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (air-to-air air-conditioning sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	MAL/FOA/57/PRP/164	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex VIII

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNDP	MAL/PHA/55/PRP/161	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	MAL/REF/57/PRP/162	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration manufacturing sector except air-to-air air-conditioning)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	MAL/REF/57/PRP/163	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (air-to-air air-conditioning sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	MAU/PHA/57/INV/22	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (second tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	MDV/PHA/53/INV/15	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	MEX/FOA/56/DEM/141	Pilot project for validation of methyl formate in microcellular polyurethane applications (phase I)	To report on additional work identified, based on the stakeholder workshop to be held in July 2010.
UNDP	MEX/FUM/26/DEM/86	Alternatives to methyl bromide for structural fumigation in Mexico	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	MOL/PHA/55/PRP/21	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNDP	MOZ/PHA/56/INV/15	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	NIR/FOA/57/PRP/123	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	NIR/PHA/55/PRP/119	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to difficulties in initiating activities.
UNDP	NIR/PHA/56/PRP/121	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to difficulties in initiating activities.
UNDP	PAK/SEV/57/INS/73	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	PAN/FOA/57/PRP/30	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	PAN/PHA/55/PRP/28	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was changes in Government or internal approval.
UNDP	PAR/FOA/57/PRP/21	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	PAR/PHA/57/PRP/22	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	PER/PHA/55/INV/41	Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	PER/PHA/55/PRP/40	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to difficulties in initiating activities.
UNDP	SIL/HAL/51/TAS/15	Technical assistance for awareness raising in the halon sector	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to the desire to complete RMP and TPMP activities
UNDP	SIL/REF/41/TAS/06	Implementation of the RMP: incentive programme for the commercial and industrial refrigeration end-user sector	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	SIL/REF/41/TAS/07	Implementation of the RMP: MAC recovery/recycling of CFC-12	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	SRL/MUS/57/PRP/35	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam and solvent sectors)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	SRL/PHA/55/PRP/33	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	SUR/PHA/56/INV/16	Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	TRI/PHA/55/PRP/23	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	TRI/PHA/59/PRP/25	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	Reasons for delays not provided.

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNDP	URT/FOA/57/PRP/25	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	URT/PHA/54/INV/23	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNDP	URU/FOA/57/PRP/52	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNDP	URU/PHA/55/PRP/48	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNDP	URU/SEV/56/INS/49	Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNEP	AFG/PHA/47/TAS/05	National phase-out plan (1 <sup>st</sup> tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNEP	AFG/PHA/55/PRP/09	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reasons for delays were the security situation in the country and the funding of foam production.
UNEP	ANT/PHA/55/PRP/12	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.
UNEP	BAH/PHA/50/TAS/17	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNEP	BAH/PHA/55/PRP/19	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	BDI/PHA/55/TAS/22	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNEP	BDI/SEV/57/INS/25	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V)	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	BEN/PHA/57/PRP/21	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	BGD/PHA/56/PRP/30	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	BHA/PHA/55/PRP/15	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	BHU/PHA/55/PRP/13	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	BHU/PHA/56/PRP/14	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional)	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	BKF/PHA/55/PRP/25	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	BKF/PHA/56/PRP/27	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional)	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	BOT/SEV/53/INS/10	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase III)	To review the status of pending reports and signature of the MoU for IS.
UNEP	BRU/PHA/55/PRP/11	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	BRU/SEV/43/INS/05	Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase II)	To review the status of the signing of the MoU for IS.
UNEP	BZE/PHA/53/TAS/19	Terminal phase-out management plan for the phase-out of ODS in the refrigeration and air-conditioning sector (first tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex VIII

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNEP	BZE/PHA/55/PRP/21	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.
UNEP	CBI/PHA/56/PRP/13	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	CHD/PHA/55/PRP/17	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	COI/PHA/55/PRP/15	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	COI/PHA/56/TAS/16	Terminal phase-out management plan (second tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNEP	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/465	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan: refrigeration servicing sub-sector	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/472	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan: enabling components	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	DJI/PHA/55/PRP/14	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	DJI/PHA/58/PRP/16	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	DMI/PHA/57/PRP/16	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	DRC/PHA/55/PRP/27	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.
UNEP	ECU/PHA/59/PRP/44	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	EQG/PHA/56/PRP/03	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	EQG/PHA/57/TAS/04	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNEP	ERI/PHA/54/TAS/04	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNEP	ERI/PHA/55/PRP/07	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	ETH/PHA/56/PRP/19	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	GAB/PHA/55/PRP/22	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	GBS/PHA/57/PRP/12	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	GRN/PHA/55/PRP/13	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.
UNEP	GUA/PHA/56/TAS/34	Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNEP	GUA/PHA/57/PRP/37	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	GUI/PHA/56/PRP/21	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	GUY/PHA/55/PRP/16	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.
UNEP	HON/PHA/55/PRP/25	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to political instability.
UNEP	IND/PHA/56/PRP/429	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (overarching strategy)	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	IRA/PHA/56/PRP/189	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (overarching strategy)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	IVC/PHA/57/PRP/30	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	KAM/PHA/55/PRP/18	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	MAG/PHA/55/PRP/17	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	MAG/PHA/56/TAS/18	Terminal phase-out management plan (second tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNEP	MAR/SEV/53/INS/19	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase III)	To review the issue of the outstanding reporting for IS.
UNEP	MAU/PHA/53/TAS/18	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNEP	MAU/PHA/55/PRP/20	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	MAU/SEV/57/INS/23	Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase V)	To review the change in the National Ozone Unit (NOU).
UNEP	MLI/PHA/55/PRP/25	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	MLI/PHA/57/TAS/27	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (second tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNEP	MLW/PHA/55/PRP/27	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	MOL/PHA/56/TAS/22	Terminal CFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNEP	MON/PHA/55/PRP/14	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	MOZ/PHA/55/PRP/14	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	MOZ/PHA/58/PRP/17	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	MYA/PHA/57/PRP/10	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex VIII

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNEP	MYA/SEV/58/INS/11	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase II)	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	NEP/PHA/55/PRP/23	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	NIC/PHA/56/TAS/22	Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNEP	OMA/PHA/55/PRP/17	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	PAK/ARS/56/TAS/72	National strategy for transition to non-CFC MDIs	To review the organization of additional workshops and progress toward project completion in November 2011 given that 88 per cent of funds has been disbursed up to the end of 2009.
UNEP	PAK/PHA/55/PRP/69	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	PAN/PHA/50/TAS/27	National phase-out plan for Annex A (Group I) substances (third tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNEP	PER/PHA/55/TAS/42	Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNEP	PRC/PHA/55/PRP/18	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	QAT/PHA/55/PRP/12	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was the non-availability of local experts to conduct the survey.
UNEP	RWA/PHA/55/PRP/15	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	SAM/PHA/57/TAS/12	Terminal phase-out management plan (second tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNEP	SAU/PHA/53/TAS/04	National phase-out plan	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNEP	SAU/PHA/55/PRP/06	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by prioritization of the implementation of the NPP, the country size and national capacity.
UNEP	SAU/SEV/53/INS/02	Institutional strengthening (establishment of Ozone Unit)	To review the issue of the outstanding reporting for the IS.
UNEP	SIL/PHA/56/PRP/18	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	SIL/PHA/57/TAS/19	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNEP	SRL/PHA/55/PRP/34	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNEP	STK/PHA/56/PRP/14	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.
UNEP	STL/PHA/55/PRP/13	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	STP/PHA/55/PRP/15	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred in the signing of the MoU.
UNEP	STV/PHA/55/PRP/13	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNEP	SUR/PHA/55/PRP/14	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.
UNEP	SWA/PHA/56/PRP/13	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	TLS/PHA/59/PRP/02	Preparation of country programme	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	TLS/PHA/59/PRP/03	Preparation of HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	TOG/PHA/56/PRP/17	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	UGA/PHA/56/PRP/14	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	URT/PHA/57/PRP/26	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	ZAM/PHA/56/PRP/22	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNEP	ZAM/SEV/57/INS/24	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV)	To review the issue of the outstanding reporting for the IS.
UNIDO	AFR/REF/48/DEM/37	Strategic demonstration project for accelerated conversion of CFC chillers in 5 African Countries (Cameroon, Egypt, Namibia, Nigeria and Sudan)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNIDO	ALB/PHA/55/PRP/17	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNIDO	ALB/PHA/57/PRP/18	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNIDO	ALG/DES/59/PRP/74	Preparation for a pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal	To review progress on the initial stakeholder workshop for the ODS disposal project preparation.
UNIDO	ALG/PHA/55/PRP/68	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNIDO	ALG/PHA/58/PRP/70	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNIDO	ARG/PHA/47/INV/147	National CFC phase-out plan: 2006 work programme	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	ARG/PHA/50/INV/150	National CFC phase-out plan: 2007 work programme	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	ARG/PHA/53/INV/152	National CFC phase-out plan: 2008 work programme	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	ARG/PHA/55/PRP/158	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNIDO	BAH/PHA/55/PRP/20	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNIDO	BDI/PHA/55/INV/24	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNIDO	BHE/PHA/44/INV/21	National ODS phase-out plan: second tranche	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	BHE/PHA/52/INV/22	National ODS phase-out plan (third tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	BHE/PHA/55/PRP/23	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to difficulties in initiating activities.

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex VIII

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNIDO	CMR/PHA/55/PRP/30	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	CMR/PHA/58/PRP/32	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	CPR/ARS/56/INV/473	Sector plan for phase-out of CFCs consumption in MDI sector	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to the completion of the policies and regulations for essential uses of CFCs so that contracts could be awarded for the MDI sector plan.
UNIDO	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/466	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (XPS foam sector)	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNIDO	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/470	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (Room air-conditioning sector)	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNIDO	CPR/PRO/56/INV/475	Sector plan for methyl bromide production sector (second tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	DRK/PHA/55/INV/52	Plan for terminal phase-out of CTC (sixth tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	DRK/PHA/55/PRP/51	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to lack of an adequate communication with the NOU.
UNIDO	ECU/PHA/59/PRP/45	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	EGY/ARS/50/INV/92	Phase-out of CFC consumption in the manufacture of aerosol metered dose inhalers (MDIs)	To review the completion of the construction of the new production premises needed to complete the MDI project.
UNIDO	EGY/PHA/55/PRP/96	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNIDO	ERI/PHA/54/INV/05	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNIDO	GUA/PHA/57/PRP/36	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	GUI/PHA/55/INV/20	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNIDO	HON/PHA/55/PRP/28	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was changes in Government or internal approval.
UNIDO	IND/PHA/45/INV/385	CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors: 2005 annual programme	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	IND/PHA/49/INV/402	CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors: 2006 annual programme	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	IND/PHA/56/PRP/427	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (transportation refrigeration and air-conditioning sectors)	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNIDO	IRQ/PHA/55/PRP/04	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	IRQ/PHA/59/PRP/11	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	IVC/REF/57/INV/32	ODS phase out in 50 existing centrifugal chillers units	To review the efforts to obtain cost-sharing for the chiller project.
UNIDO	JOR/PHA/55/PRP/82	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was changes in Government or internal approval.
UNIDO	KUW/PHA/55/PRP/13	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	LIB/PHA/45/INV/25	National ODS phase-out plan: 2 <sup>nd</sup> tranche	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
UNIDO	LIB/PHA/55/PRP/29	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.



Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNIDO	MEX/PHA/55/PRP/139	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNIDO	MEX/REF/58/PRP/145	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration manufacturing sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	MOG/PHA/55/PRP/05	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	MOR/FUM/56/INV/61	Phase-out of methyl bromide used as a soil fumigant in the production of green beans and curcurbits (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNIDO	MOR/PHA/55/PRP/59	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration manufacturing sector)	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNIDO	MYA/PHA/57/PRP/09	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	NER/PHA/54/INV/21	Terminal phase out management plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNIDO	NER/PHA/55/PRP/22	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	NER/PHA/58/INV/23	Terminal phase out management plan (second tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
UNIDO	NIC/PHA/55/PRP/21	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
UNIDO	NIR/PHA/56/PRP/120	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	OMA/PHA/55/PRP/16	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNIDO	PAK/PHA/55/PRP/70	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	PAK/PHA/59/PRP/76	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	QAT/PHA/55/PRP/11	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
UNIDO	SAU/PHA/55/PRP/05	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	SEN/PHA/55/PRP/26	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	SOA/PHA/55/PRP/01	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to difficulties in initiating activities.
UNIDO	SUD/PHA/55/PRP/21	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	SUD/PHA/59/PRP/26	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	SYR/PHA/55/PRP/97	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	SYR/REF/47/DEM/93	Demonstration project on the replacement of CFC centrifugal chillers	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to the decision on replacing the chiller instead of retrofitting in the chiller project.
UNIDO	TKM/PHA/55/PRP/05	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	TUN/PHA/55/PRP/48	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
UNIDO	TUR/PHA/55/PRP/91	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to difficulties in initiating activities.
UNIDO	VEN/PHA/55/PRP/112	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex VIII

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reason
UNIDO	YEM/PHA/55/PRP/29	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by a prioritization of completion of CFC phase-out activities before starting HPMP preparation.
UNIDO	YUG/PHA/55/PRP/32	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred caused by delays in the completion of the survey.
World Bank	ANT/PHA/44/INV/10	CFC phase-out management plan	To review the status on the Government providing funding for the budget for the second tranche and on whether the agency had changed the reimbursement agreement to enable an advance to be made for those activities.
World Bank	ARG/FUM/29/DEM/93	Demonstration project for testing methyl bromide alternatives in post-harvest disinfection for cotton and citrus (phase I)	To review ongoing delays.
World Bank	ARG/HAL/26/TAS/80	National halon management programme to help eliminate the use of halon-1301 in new applications and to manage recovered halon-1301	To review ongoing delays.
World Bank	ARG/PHA/47/INV/148	National CFC phase-out plan: 2006 work programme	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
World Bank	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/467	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan: HCFC production sector	To review latest status in light of the fact that delays had occurred due to difficulties in initiating activities.
World Bank	CPR/PHA/55/PRP/468	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan: polyurethane foam sector	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.
World Bank	GLO/REF/47/DEM/268	Global chiller replacement project (China, India, Indonesia, Malaysia and Philippines)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
World Bank	IDS/PHA/57/PRP/186	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (foam sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
World Bank	IDS/REF/51/INV/178	Phase-out of CFCs in the refrigeration sector (MAC) (fifth tranche)	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
World Bank	IND/PRO/59/INV/435	Accelerated CFC production phase-out (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
World Bank	MAL/PHA/52/INV/158	National CFC phase-out plan: 2007 annual programme	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
World Bank	MAL/PHA/55/INV/160	National CFC phase-out plan: 2008 annual programme	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
World Bank	MAL/PHA/59/INV/166	National CFC phase-out plan: 2009 annual programme	Delays in ongoing MYAs.
World Bank	PHI/PHA/55/PRP/84	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
World Bank	PHI/REF/59/PRP/88	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (domestic air-conditioning sector)	Reasons for delays not provided.
World Bank	THA/FUM/50/INV/147	National methyl bromide phase-out plan (second tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
World Bank	THA/HAL/29/TAS/121	Halon management programme, halon recovery, recycling and banking	To review ongoing delays.
World Bank	THA/PHA/55/PRP/151	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Reasons for delays not provided.
World Bank	TUN/PHA/49/INV/47	National ODS phase-out plan (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
World Bank	VIE/FUM/50/INV/48	National phase-out plan of out methyl bromide (first tranche)	To review latest status in light of the low level of disbursement.
World Bank	VIE/PHA/55/PRP/50	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	To review latest status in light of the fact that the reason for delays was absence of HCFC policies and guidelines until 60 <sup>th</sup> ExCom.

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>AFGHANISTAN</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IV, additional funding)	UNEP		\$68,750	\$0	\$68,750	
<i>Approved in accordance with decision 59/47.</i>						
<b>Total for Afghanistan</b>			<b>\$68,750</b>		<b>\$68,750</b>	
<b>ALBANIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase IV)	UNEP		\$109,200	\$0	\$109,200	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Albania</b>			<b>\$109,200</b>		<b>\$109,200</b>	
<b>ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>CFC phase out plan</b>						
CFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	IBRD		\$27,900	\$2,511	\$30,411	
<i>The Government was requested, with the assistance from the World Bank, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the CFC phase-out management plan no later than the 66th Meeting.</i>						
<b>Total for Antigua and Barbuda</b>			<b>\$27,900</b>	<b>\$2,511</b>	<b>\$30,411</b>	
<b>ARGENTINA</b>						
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Air conditioning</b>						
Phase-out of HCFC-22 in the room and unitary air-conditioning equipment manufacturing sector	Italy		\$300,000	\$39,000	\$339,000	
<i>Approved on an exceptional basis on the understanding that it would not set a precedent for funding the phase-out of more than 10 per cent of the starting point for aggregate reduction until a clear policy on that issue was established. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption, the consumption level reported under Article 7 for 2008 (356.9 ODP tonnes). UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 53.5 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. UNIDO was also requested to provide to the Secretariat at the end of each year of the project's implementation period, or part thereof, progress reports that addressed the issues pertaining to the collection of accurate data in line with the objectives of decision 55/43(b).</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
Phase-out of HCFC-22 in the room and unitary air-conditioning equipment manufacturing sector <i>Approved on an exceptional basis on the understanding that it would not set a precedent for funding the phase-out of more than 10 per cent of the starting point for aggregate reduction until a clear policy on that issue was established. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption, the consumption level reported under Article 7 for 2008 (356.9 ODP tonnes). UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 53.5 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. UNIDO was also requested to provide to the Secretariat at the end of each year of the project's implementation period, or part thereof, progress reports that addressed the issues pertaining to the collection of accurate data in line with the objectives of decision 55/43(b).</i>	UNIDO	53.5	\$8,435,542	\$632,666	\$9,068,208	8.99
<b>Total for Argentina</b>		<b>53.5</b>	<b>\$8,735,542</b>	<b>\$671,666</b>	<b>\$9,407,208</b>	
<b>BAHAMAS</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV) <i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Bahamas</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>BANGLADESH</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI) <i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>	UNDP		\$130,000	\$9,750	\$139,750	
<b>Total for Bangladesh</b>			<b>\$130,000</b>	<b>\$9,750</b>	<b>\$139,750</b>	
<b>BARBADOS</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase IV) <i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>	UNEP		\$117,000	\$0	\$117,000	
<b>Total for Barbados</b>			<b>\$117,000</b>		<b>\$117,000</b>	
<b>BHUTAN</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase III, additional funding) <i>Approved in accordance with decision 59/47.</i>	UNEP		\$27,500	\$0	\$27,500	
<b>Total for Bhutan</b>			<b>\$27,500</b>		<b>\$27,500</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>CAMBODIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, first tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. Noted that the Government of Cambodia agreed to change its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption to the consumption based on the average consumption forecast for 2009 and 2010 (13.8 ODP tonnes) instead of its 2009 HCFC consumption; and that the estimated baseline of consumption (13.8 ODP tonnes) was the basis for calculating funding for the HPMP for Cambodia. The Secretariat was requested, once the actual baseline data was known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption accordingly.</i>	UNEP		\$150,000	\$19,500	\$169,500	
HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, first tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. Noted that the Government of Cambodia agreed to change its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption to the consumption based on the average consumption forecast for 2009 and 2010 (13.8 ODP tonnes) instead of its 2009 HCFC consumption; and that the estimated baseline of consumption (13.8 ODP tonnes) was the basis for calculating funding for the HPMP for Cambodia. The Secretariat was requested, once the actual baseline data was known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption accordingly.</i>	UNDP		\$200,000	\$15,000	\$215,000	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V, additional funding) <i>Approved in accordance with decision 59/47.</i>	UNEP		\$51,639	\$0	\$51,639	
<b>Total for Cambodia</b>			<b>\$401,639</b>	<b>\$34,500</b>	<b>\$436,139</b>	
<b>CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>CFC phase out plan</b>						
Terminal phase-out management plan (second tranche) <i>The Government was requested, with the assistance from UNEP and France, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the TPMP no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>	France	0.6	\$45,000	\$5,850	\$50,850	
Terminal phase-out management plan (second tranche) <i>The Government was requested, with the assistance from UNEP and France, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the TPMP no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>	UNEP		\$45,000	\$5,850	\$50,850	
<b>Total for Central African Republic</b>		<b>0.6</b>	<b>\$90,000</b>	<b>\$11,700</b>	<b>\$101,700</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>CHINA</b>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
National phase-out of methyl bromide (phase II, fifth tranche)	UNIDO		\$600,000	\$45,000	\$645,000	
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Compressor</b>						
Demonstration sub-project for conversion of room air-conditioning compressor manufacturing from HCFC-22 to propane at Guangdong Meizhi Co.	UNIDO		\$1,875,000	\$140,625	\$2,015,625	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the funding provided did not establish a precedent for future funding levels of similar conversions. UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 13.2 ODP tonnes of HCFCs (240 metric tonnes of HCFC-22) from the starting point for sustained aggregate reductions in HCFC consumption, as set out in China's HCFC phase-out management plan. UNIDO was also requested to provide to the Secretariat at the end of each year of the project's implementation period, progress reports that addressed the issues pertaining to the collection of accurate data in line with the objectives of decision 55/43(b).</i>						
<b>Air conditioning</b>						
Demonstration sub-project for conversion from HCFC-22 to propane at Midea Room Air-conditioning Manufacturing Company	UNIDO	13.2	\$4,026,507	\$301,988	\$4,328,495	21.26
<i>Approved on the understanding that the funding provided did not establish a precedent for future funding levels of similar conversions. UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 13.2 ODP tonnes of HCFCs (240 metric tonnes of HCFC-22) from the starting point for sustained aggregate reductions in HCFC consumption, as set out in China's HCFC phase-out management plan. UNIDO was also requested to provide to the Secretariat at the end of each year of the project's implementation period, progress reports that addressed the issues pertaining to the collection of accurate data in line with the objectives of decision 55/43(b).</i>						
<b>Total for China</b>		<b>13.2</b>	<b>\$6,501,507</b>	<b>\$487,613</b>	<b>\$6,989,120</b>	
<b>COLOMBIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VII, additional funding)	UNDP		\$114,833	\$8,612	\$123,445	
<i>Approved in accordance with decision 59/47.</i>						
<b>Total for Colombia</b>			<b>\$114,833</b>	<b>\$8,612</b>	<b>\$123,445</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>CROATIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, first tranche)	UNIDO		\$180,000	\$13,500	\$193,500	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. Noted with appreciation the commitment by the Government to accelerate its phase-out of HCFCs by 24 years in advance of the Protocol schedule. The Secretariat was requested once the baseline data was known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption accordingly.</i>						
<b>Total for Croatia</b>			<b>\$180,000</b>	<b>\$13,500</b>	<b>\$193,500</b>	
<b>DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>CFC phase out plan</b>						
National CFC phase-out plan (third tranche)	UNDP	46.5	\$71,875	\$5,391	\$77,266	
<i>The Government was requested, with the assistance from UNEP and UNDP, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the third and final tranche of the NPP no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>						
National CFC phase-out plan (third tranche)	UNEP		\$71,875	\$9,344	\$81,219	
<i>The Government was requested, with the assistance from UNEP and UNDP, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the third and final tranche of the NPP no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>						
<b>Total for Democratic Republic of the Congo</b>		<b>46.5</b>	<b>\$143,750</b>	<b>\$14,735</b>	<b>\$158,485</b>	
<b>DOMINICA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>CFC phase out plan</b>						
Terminal CFC phase-out management plan (fourth tranche)	UNEP		\$13,000	\$1,690	\$14,690	
<i>The Government was requested, with assistance from UNEP and UNDP, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the fourth and final tranche of the TPMP no later than the 63rd Meeting.</i>						
Terminal CFC phase-out management plan (fourth tranche)	UNDP	0.7	\$6,000	\$540	\$6,540	
<i>The Government was requested, with assistance from UNEP and UNDP, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the fourth and final tranche of the TPMP no later than the 63rd Meeting.</i>						
<b>Total for Dominica</b>		<b>0.7</b>	<b>\$19,000</b>	<b>\$2,230</b>	<b>\$21,230</b>	
<b>DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Rigid (insulation refrigeration)</b>						
Conversion from HCFC-141b in the manufacture of polyurethane rigid insulation foam for commercial refrigerators	UNDP	3.7	\$332,775	\$24,958	\$357,733	9.79
<i>Approved on an exceptional basis, without prejudice to any future project, which would be subject to the conditions specified in decision 61/47.</i>						
<b>Total for Dominican Republic</b>		<b>3.7</b>	<b>\$332,775</b>	<b>\$24,958</b>	<b>\$357,733</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>ECUADOR</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>CFC phase out plan</b>						
National CFC phase-out plan (fifth tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the revised Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. UNEP was requested to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>	UNEP		\$7,485	\$973	\$8,458	
National CFC phase-out plan (fifth tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the revised Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee.</i>	UNIDO	42.0	\$10,849	\$814	\$11,663	
National CFC phase-out plan (fourth tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the revised Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee.</i>	UNIDO		\$134,576	\$10,093	\$144,669	
National CFC phase-out plan (fourth tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the revised Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. UNEP was requested to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>	UNEP		\$92,835	\$12,069	\$104,904	
National CFC phase-out plan (third tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the revised Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee.</i>	UNIDO		\$134,575	\$10,093	\$144,668	
National CFC phase-out plan (third tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the revised Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. UNEP was requested to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>	UNEP		\$92,835	\$12,069	\$104,904	
	<b>Total for Ecuador</b>	<b>42.0</b>	<b>\$473,155</b>	<b>\$46,111</b>	<b>\$519,266</b>	
<b>EGYPT</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (appliance foam sector)	UNIDO		\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII) <i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>	UNIDO		\$228,323	\$17,124	\$245,447	
	<b>Total for Egypt</b>		<b>\$328,323</b>	<b>\$24,624</b>	<b>\$352,947</b>	
<b>FIJI</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VII) <i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
	<b>Total for Fiji</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	



# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>GAMBIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Gambia</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>GHANA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, first tranche)	Italy		\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. Noted with appreciation the submission of the HPMP for Ghana to achieve the complete phase-out of HCFCs at an estimated total cost of US \$3,875,175 (excluding agency support costs), while pointing out that it did not endorse this estimate and that the application of the US \$4.5/kg cost-effectiveness figure beyond 2015 should not set a precedent for future projects; and that the Government agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the consumption based on the average consumption forecast for 2009 and 2010 (49.5 ODP tonnes). The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data was known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption accordingly.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, first tranche)	UNDP		\$200,000	\$15,000	\$215,000	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee. Noted with appreciation the submission of the HPMP for Ghana to achieve the complete phase-out of HCFCs at an estimated total cost of US \$3,875,175 (excluding agency support costs), while pointing out that it did not endorse this estimate and that the application of the US \$4.5/kg cost-effectiveness figure beyond 2015 should not set a precedent for future projects; and that the Government agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the consumption based on the average consumption forecast for 2009 and 2010 (49.5 ODP tonnes). The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data was known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption accordingly.</i>						
<b>Total for Ghana</b>			<b>\$270,000</b>	<b>\$24,100</b>	<b>\$294,100</b>	
<b>GUATEMALA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase VII)	UNEP		\$124,800	\$0	\$124,800	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Guatemala</b>			<b>\$124,800</b>		<b>\$124,800</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>HAITI</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Additional emergency assistance for institutional strengthening	UNEP		\$20,000	\$0	\$20,000	
<i>Approved on an exceptional basis, taking into consideration the circumstances facing the National Ozone Unit in Haiti after the devastation of the country's infrastructure by the earthquake in 2010. UNEP was requested as lead agency to present, at the 62nd Meeting of the Executive Committee, a strategy and action plan to assist the country to return to the pre earthquake implementation level.</i>						
<b>Total for Haiti</b>			<b>\$20,000</b>		<b>\$20,000</b>	
<b>INDIA</b>						
<b>DESTRUCTION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation of a project for demonstration of a sustainable technological, financial and management model for disposal of ODS	UNDP		\$80,000	\$6,000	\$86,000	
<i>Approved in line with decisions 58/19.</i>						
<b>Total for India</b>			<b>\$80,000</b>	<b>\$6,000</b>	<b>\$86,000</b>	
<b>INDONESIA</b>						
<b>SOLVENT</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (solvent sector)	UNIDO		\$10,000	\$750	\$10,750	
<b>Total for Indonesia</b>			<b>\$10,000</b>	<b>\$750</b>	<b>\$10,750</b>	
<b>IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII)	UNDP		\$173,511	\$13,013	\$186,524	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Iran (Islamic Republic of)</b>			<b>\$173,511</b>	<b>\$13,013</b>	<b>\$186,524</b>	
<b>JAMAICA</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	UNDP		\$30,000	\$2,250	\$32,250	
<b>Total for Jamaica</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$2,250</b>	<b>\$32,250</b>	
<b>JORDAN</b>						
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC refrigeration sector plan (additional funding for the commercial refrigeration subsector)	IBRD		\$30,000	\$2,250	\$32,250	
<b>Total for Jordan</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$2,250</b>	<b>\$32,250</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>KYRGYZSTAN</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V)	UNEP		\$115,830	\$0	\$115,830	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Kyrgyzstan</b>			<b>\$115,830</b>		<b>\$115,830</b>	
<b>LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>CFC phase out plan</b>						
Terminal phase-out management plan (second tranche)	France	6.5	\$138,500	\$18,005	\$156,505	
<i>The Government was requested, with the assistance from France, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the TPMP no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase V)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Lao People's Democratic Republic</b>			<b>6.5</b>	<b>\$198,500</b>	<b>\$18,005</b>	<b>\$216,505</b>
<b>LEBANON</b>						
<b>DESTRUCTION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal	UNIDO		\$85,000	\$6,375	\$91,375	
<i>Approved in line with decisions 58/19 and 59/10.</i>						
<b>Total for Lebanon</b>			<b>\$85,000</b>	<b>\$6,375</b>	<b>\$91,375</b>	
<b>MALAYSIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII, additional funding)	UNDP		\$139,750	\$10,481	\$150,231	
<i>Approved in accordance with decision 59/47.</i>						
<b>Total for Malaysia</b>			<b>\$139,750</b>	<b>\$10,481</b>	<b>\$150,231</b>	
<b>MALDIVES</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Maldives</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>MICRONESIA (FEDERATED STATES OF)</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase III)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>Total for Micronesia (Federated States of)</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>MONTENEGRO</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Institutional strengthening project (phase II)	UNIDO		\$60,000	\$4,500	\$64,500	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Montenegro</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>	<b>\$4,500</b>	<b>\$64,500</b>	
<b>NEPAL</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Nepal</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>NIGERIA</b>						
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for a demonstration project to validate the trans-critical CO2 refrigeration technology for application to ice-block makers at Austin Laz	Japan		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<b>Total for Nigeria</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>PARAGUAY</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Paraguay</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI)	UNEP		\$69,334	\$0	\$69,334	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Republic of Moldova</b>			<b>\$69,334</b>		<b>\$69,334</b>	
<b>SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>CFC phase out plan</b>						
Terminal phase out management plan for CFCs (fourth tranche)	UNEP		\$14,000	\$1,820	\$15,820	
<i>The Government was requested, with assistance from UNEP and UNDP, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the fourth and final tranche of the TPMP no later than the 63rd Meeting.</i>						
<b>Total for Saint Vincent and the Grenadines</b>			<b>\$14,000</b>	<b>\$1,820</b>	<b>\$15,820</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SAMOA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V, additional funding) <i>Approved in accordance with decision 59/47.</i>	UNEP		\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000	
<b>Total for Samoa</b>			<b>\$25,000</b>		<b>\$25,000</b>	
<b>SAUDI ARABIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>CFC phase out plan</b>						
National phase-out plan (second tranche) <i>The Government was requested, with the assistance from UNIDO and UNEP, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the NPP, accompanied by a verification report for 2009 covering CFC, CTC, TCA and halon consumption, no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>	UNEP		\$40,500	\$5,265	\$45,765	
National phase-out plan (second tranche) <i>The Government was requested, with the assistance from UNIDO and UNEP, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the NPP, accompanied by a verification report for 2009 covering CFC, CTC, TCA and halon consumption, no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>	UNIDO	532.0	\$143,000	\$10,725	\$153,725	
<b>Total for Saudi Arabia</b>		<b>532.0</b>	<b>\$183,500</b>	<b>\$15,990</b>	<b>\$199,490</b>	
<b>SERBIA</b>						
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration air-conditioning manufacturing sector)	UNIDO		\$60,000	\$4,500	\$64,500	
<b>Total for Serbia</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>	<b>\$4,500</b>	<b>\$64,500</b>	
<b>SIERRA LEONE</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>CFC phase out plan</b>						
Terminal phase-out management plan (second tranche) <i>The Government was requested, with assistance from UNEP and UNDP, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the TPMP no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>	UNEP		\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150	
Terminal phase-out management plan (second tranche) <i>The Government was requested, with assistance from UNEP and UNDP, to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the TPMP no later than the 64th Meeting.</i>	UNDP		\$20,000	\$1,800	\$21,800	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IV) <i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>	UNEP		\$85,800	\$0	\$85,800	
<b>Total for Sierra Leone</b>			<b>\$160,800</b>	<b>\$8,950</b>	<b>\$169,750</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SRI LANKA</b>						
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (refrigeration and air-conditioning sector)	UNDP		\$60,000	\$4,500	\$64,500	
<i>Project transferred from the World Bank.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII)	UNDP		\$134,056	\$10,054	\$144,110	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Sri Lanka</b>			<b>\$194,056</b>	<b>\$14,554</b>	<b>\$208,610</b>	
<b>SYRIA</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	UNIDO		\$40,000	\$3,000	\$43,000	
<b>Total for Syria</b>			<b>\$40,000</b>	<b>\$3,000</b>	<b>\$43,000</b>	
<b>THAILAND</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for a HCFC foam sector plan	IBRD		\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for a HCFC refrigeration sector plan	IBRD		\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	
Preparation for a HCFC air-conditioning sector plan	IBRD		\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	
<b>Total for Thailand</b>			<b>\$300,000</b>	<b>\$22,500</b>	<b>\$322,500</b>	
<b>TIMOR LESTE</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (additional funding)	UNEP		\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Institutional strengthening project (phase II, year 1)	UNEP		\$40,000	\$0	\$40,000	
<b>Total for Timor Leste</b>			<b>\$95,000</b>	<b>\$7,150</b>	<b>\$102,150</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>TUNISIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>ODS phase out plan</b>						
National ODS phase-out plan (second tranche)	IBRD	172.5	\$345,395	\$25,905	\$371,300	
<i>The World Bank was requested to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the second and final tranche of the NPP, a verification report for 2008 and 2009, and, if significant funds remained uncommitted at the beginning of 2011, an implementation plan for those funds no later than its 64th Meeting.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI)	IBRD		\$247,270	\$18,545	\$265,815	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Tunisia</b>		<b>172.5</b>	<b>\$592,665</b>	<b>\$44,450</b>	<b>\$637,115</b>	
<b>TURKMENISTAN</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase III)	UNEP		\$77,000	\$0	\$77,000	
<i>Approved in accordance with decisions 61/20 and 61/43.</i>						
<b>Total for Turkmenistan</b>			<b>\$77,000</b>		<b>\$77,000</b>	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>871.3</b>	<b>\$21,399,620</b>	<b>\$1,567,048</b>	<b>\$22,966,668</b>	

## Summary

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/61/58  
Annex IX

Sector	Tonnes (ODP)	Funds approved (US\$)		
		Project	Support	Total
<b>BILATERAL COOPERATION</b>				
Refrigeration		\$330,000	\$42,900	\$372,900
Phase-out plan	7.1	\$253,500	\$32,955	\$286,455
<b>TOTAL:</b>	7.1	\$583,500	\$75,855	\$659,355
<b>INVESTMENT PROJECT</b>				
Foam	3.7	\$332,775	\$24,958	\$357,733
Fumigant		\$600,000	\$45,000	\$645,000
Refrigeration	66.7	\$14,337,049	\$1,075,279	\$15,412,328
Phase-out plan	793.7	\$2,056,700	\$187,102	\$2,243,802
<b>TOTAL:</b>	864.2	\$17,326,524	\$1,332,339	\$18,658,863
<b>WORK PROGRAMME AMENDMENT</b>				
Foam		\$270,000	\$20,250	\$290,250
Refrigeration		\$350,000	\$26,250	\$376,250
Solvent		\$10,000	\$750	\$10,750
Phase-out plan		\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150
Destruction		\$165,000	\$12,375	\$177,375
Several		\$2,639,596	\$92,079	\$2,731,675
<b>TOTAL:</b>		\$3,489,596	\$158,854	\$3,648,450
<b>Summary by Parties and Implementing Agencies</b>				
France	7.1	\$183,500	\$23,855	\$207,355
Italy		\$370,000	\$48,100	\$418,100
Japan		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900
IBRD	172.5	\$950,565	\$71,711	\$1,022,276
UNDP	51.0	\$1,692,800	\$127,349	\$1,820,149
UNEP		\$2,049,383	\$82,880	\$2,132,263
UNIDO	640.7	\$16,123,372	\$1,209,253	\$17,332,625
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	871.3	\$21,399,620	\$1,567,048	\$22,966,668



**ADJUSTMENTS ARISING FROM THE 61ST MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR BALANCES ON PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Project Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Support Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Total (US\$)</b>
Canada (per decision 61/2(a)(iv))*	31	0	31
Spain (per decision 61/2(a)(iv)**)	24,393	2,688	27,081
UNDP (per decision 61/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	52,327	5,212	57,539
UNEP (per decision 61/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	3,520	282	3,802
UNIDO (per decision 61/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	26,351	2,549	28,900
World Bank (per decision 61/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	74,257	213,141	287,398
<b>Total</b>	<b>180,879</b>	<b>223,872</b>	<b>404,751</b>

\*Cash transfer per decision 61/2(a)(iv) already reflected in the status of contributions as at 30 June 2011.

\*\*Cash transfer per decision 61/2(a)(iv).

**ADJUSTMENTS ARISING FROM THE 61ST MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR TRANSFERRED PROJECTS**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Project Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Support Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Total (US\$)</b>
World Bank (per decision 61/2(c))	-60,000	-4,500	-64,500
UNDP (per decision 61/2(c))*	60,000	4,500	64,500

\*Amount included in funds approved in Table 1.

**NET ALLOCATIONS TO IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES AND BILATERAL CONTRIBUTIONS BASED ON DECISIONS OF THE 61ST MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Project Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Support Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Total (US\$)</b>
France (1)	183,500	23,855	207,355
Italy (1)	370,000	48,100	418,100
Japan (1)	30,000	3,900	33,900
UNDP	1,640,473	122,137	1,762,610
UNEP	2,045,863	82,598	2,128,461
UNIDO	16,097,021	1,206,704	17,303,725
World Bank	816,308	-145,930	670,378
<b>Total</b>	<b>21,183,165</b>	<b>1,341,364</b>	<b>22,524,529</b>

(1) Total amount to be assigned to 2010 bilateral contributions.

## Annex X

### VIEWS EXPRESSED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ON RENEWALS OF INSTITUTIONAL STRENGTHENING PROJECTS SUBMITTED TO THE 61<sup>st</sup> MEETING

#### **Albania**

1. The Executive Committee reviewed the progress report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal for Albania and noted with appreciation that Albania has reported 2008 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country succeeded in attaining zero consumption of CFCs and other ODSs indicating that Albania's compliance with the 2010 Montreal Protocol targets. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Albania will continue with the implementation of its country programme activities with outstanding success in achieving ODSs phase-out schedule as per provisions of the Montreal Protocol including the activities to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

#### **Bahamas**

2. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for the Bahamas and noted with appreciation that the Bahamas reported 2009 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat demonstrating that the Party is in compliance with reduction steps for all controlled substances. The Executive Committee acknowledged with appreciation that the Bahamas was able to attain zero CFC consumption one year ahead of the target date under the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee also noted that the Bahamas continues its commitment to tackle illegal ODS trade by strengthening its licensing system in cooperation with the customs administration. With the activities planned for the fourth phase, the Executive Committee is confident that the Bahamas will maintain zero CFC consumption and will start phasing-out HCFCs with exceptional success.

#### **Bangladesh**

3. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the request for the renewal of the institutional strengthening project (sixth phase) for Bangladesh and notes with appreciation that the country programme data reported by Bangladesh for the year 2009 to the Multilateral Fund Secretariat is in line with the targets under the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee also notes with appreciation the measures put in place by your Government for fast-track implementation of ODS phase-out projects and the cabinet approval of your Government's intention to ratify the Beijing Amendment. The Executive Committee also notes that Bangladesh has taken significant steps to complete implementation of the CFC metered-dose inhaler phase-out project. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Bangladesh will continue with the implementation of its activities to sustain ODS phase-out with focus on fast-track completion of remaining activities in the national phase-out plan and CFC metered-dose inhaler phase-out project, and institutionalize processes for achieving HCFC phase-out in line with targets specified under Decision XIX/6 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol.

#### **Barbados**

4. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Barbados and notes with appreciation that the country reported 2009 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat and 2009 country programme implementation data to the Multilateral Fund Secretariat, demonstrating that the Party has attained zero consumption of CFCs and other ODS. With

the activities planned for the fourth phase, the Executive Committee also notes that Barbados has a fully functional national ozone office supported through the institutional strengthening project and that there is a continued commitment at a high level of the Government to support all national Montreal Protocol activities. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Barbados will continue to sustain the phase-out of CFCs and will initiate activities for the phase-out of HCFCs.

### **Egypt**

5. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Egypt and notes with appreciation that Egypt is in compliance with data reporting obligations, to both the Ozone and Fund Secretariats. The Executive Committee also notes that within the framework of the institutional strengthening project, Egypt has taken significant steps to phase out its ODS consumption. Specifically, it has implemented strategies in different sectors of ODS phase-out as well as continued with regulatory efforts through its licensing and quota system. Egypt is an active member of the regional ozone network for English-speaking Africa and supports African and Arab countries through the dissemination of numerous publications. The Executive Committee noted the ratification by Egypt of the Beijing Amendment in 2009 and is hopeful that, in the next two years, Egypt will create conditions to expedite the phase-out of ODS, including those activities required in view of the 2013 and 2015 Montreal Protocol control measures for HCFCs.

### **Fiji**

6. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the institutional strengthening project extension for Fiji. The Committee noted with appreciation that Fiji has successfully completed the implementation of its terminal phase-out management plan and methyl bromide phase-out plan which have allowed Fiji to maintain its zero consumption of CFC since 2000 and to comply with the methyl bromide plan of action thus returning to compliance with methyl bromide control measures. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, Fiji will continue to maintain the CFC phase-out and to finalize and start the implementation of its HCFC phase-out management plan.

### **Gambia**

7. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal for Gambia and notes with appreciation that the country has reported data to the Ozone Secretariat showing that its CFC consumption in 2008 is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee is hopeful that, in the next two years, Gambia will continue with the implementation of its phase-out activities with outstanding success towards sustaining zero consumption of CFCs beyond 2010. The Executive Committee is also encouraging Gambia to initiate activities to phase-out HCFCs following the accelerated phase-out schedule.

### **Guatemala**

8. The Executive Committee has reviewed the progress report submitted with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Guatemala and noted with appreciation that Guatemala reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat demonstrating that the Party is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol reduction steps for all controlled substances. The Executive Committee acknowledges with appreciation that Guatemala has been able to comply with the methyl bromide national compliance action plan approved by the 18<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Parties and further, has exceeded the expectations with respect to the national established quota for methyl bromide. With the activities planned for the seventh phase, the Executive Committee is confident that Guatemala will maintain zero CFC consumption after 2010 and will continue the phase-out of methyl bromide with exceptional success and will initiate the implementation of activities to meet the 2013 and 2015 HCFC control measures.

### **Islamic Republic of Iran**

9. The Executive Committee has reviewed the information reported for the seventh phase of the institutional strengthening project for the Islamic Republic of Iran and notes with appreciation the fact that the Islamic Republic of Iran has been able to achieve its compliance targets in its Agreement for 2008 and 2009. In its request for renewal, in addition to its successful coordination with implementing agencies in managing and monitoring implementation of phase-out activities, the Islamic Republic of Iran reported a number of initiatives, including full enforcement of import/export licensing system for CFC, expeditious steps to complete implementation of the CFC metered-dose inhaler phase-out project and training of responsible officers from concerned ministries/organizations, supported by the establishment of integrated ODS database management system. It also notes the country's efforts to prepare the HCFC phase-out management plan to achieve HCFC freeze at baseline level by 2013 and 10 per cent reduction compared to baseline level by 2015. The Executive Committee greatly appreciates the effort of the Islamic Republic of Iran to reduce ODS consumption and expresses the expectation that in the next two years, the Islamic Republic of Iran will continue to sustain its ODS phase-out targets including completion of remaining activities under the national phase-out plan and CFC metered-dose inhaler phase-out project and institutionalize processes for achieving HCFC phase-out in line with targets specified under Decision XIX/6 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol.

### **Kyrgyzstan**

10. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the institutional strengthening project renewal and notes with appreciation that Kyrgyzstan has reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the total phase-out of CFCs as at 1 January 2010. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Kyrgyzstan will continue with the implementation of its country programme activities with outstanding success to achieve ODSs phase-out schedule as per provisions of the Montreal Protocol and will initiate the activities to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

### **Lao People's Democratic Republic**

11. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report of the institutional strengthening project extension for the Lao People's Democratic Republic and notes with appreciation that it has reported data to the ozone secretariat indicating that the Lao People's Democratic Republic is on track to phase-out its CFC consumption. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next year, the Lao People's Democratic Republic will complete the implementation of terminal phase-out management plan with France with outstanding success and start the implementation of HCFC phase-out management plan so it could meet the initial targets to freeze and reduce the consumption of HCFC in 2013 and 2015, respectively.

### **Maldives**

12. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the institutional strengthening project extension for Maldives and noted with appreciation that Maldives has reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that Maldives is in compliance with 2010 Montreal Protocol control measures. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next year, Maldives will continue with the implementation of its country programme activities with outstanding success, in particular, the implementation of the remaining activities under its terminal phase-out plan and its recently approved HCFC phase out management plan. The Executive Committee commends Maldives for developing an innovative and unique HCFC phase-out management plan including elements of co financing and climate co benefits, and encourages the country to implement the HPMP as soon as possible.

### **Federated States of Micronesia**

13. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report of the institutional strengthening project extension for Micronesia and notes with appreciation that Micronesia has reported data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that Micronesia is in compliance with the CFC consumption as at 1 January 2010. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Micronesia will complete the implementation of its activities under the Regional Strategy, and start the preparation and implementation of HPMP with outstanding success.

### **Republic of Moldova**

14. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal and noted with appreciation that the Republic of Moldova reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the total phase-out of CFCs as at 1 January 2010. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that the Republic of Moldova will continue with the implementation of its country programme activities with outstanding success in achieving ODSs phase out schedule as per the provisions of the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee is hopeful that, in the next year, the Republic of Moldova will complete the preparation, and start the implementation of its HCFC phase-out management plan.

### **Montenegro**

15. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Montenegro and notes with appreciation that Montenegro reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country is fully in compliance to the ODS phase-out targets. The Executive Committee also notes that within the framework of the institutional strengthening project, Montenegro has taken significant steps to phase out its ODS consumption, including the implementation of import/export permits and establishing quota system and that Montenegro is an active member of the Regional Ozone Network in Europe and Central Asia. The Executive Committee greatly supports the efforts of Montenegro and is hopeful that, in the next two years, Montenegro will continue with the implementation of its country programme with outstanding success and be able to meet the Montreal Protocol HCFC freeze in 2013.

### **Nepal**

16. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the institutional strengthening project extension for Nepal and noted with appreciation that Nepal reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that Nepal is in compliance with the phase-out of CFC consumption as at 1 January 2010. The Executive Committee is, therefore, hopeful that, in the next year, Nepal will continue with the implementation of its activities with outstanding success, particularly, the implementation the remaining activities in the terminal phase-out plan and the initiation of the HCFC phase-out management plan.

### **Paraguay**

17. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Paraguay and noted with appreciation that Paraguay reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat demonstrating that the Party is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol reduction steps for all controlled substances. The Executive Committee acknowledge with appreciation that Paraguay has been able to adopt a new ODS legal regulatory framework and that efforts have been made to work jointly with the customs administration to sustain the country's compliance with the total CFC consumption phase out as at 1 January 2010. With the activities planned for the next phase, the Executive

Committee is confident that Paraguay will maintain control of CFC imports and will tackle illegal traffic of the same. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next year, Paraguay will start the implementation of its HCFC phase-out management plan.

### **Sierra Leone**

18. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal for Sierra Leone and notes with appreciation that the country has reported data to the Ozone Secretariat showing that its 2008 CFC consumption meets compliance with the Montreal Protocol targets. The Executive Committee also notes the need for the country to initiate stronger actions especially in the strict implementation and enforcement of its ODS licensing system to support their phase out activities. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Sierra Leone will continue with the implementation of its country programme and related activities with outstanding success towards to meet the complete phase out of CFCs in 2010, as well as initiate activities to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

### **Sri Lanka**

19. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the request for the renewal of institutional strengthening for Sri Lanka and notes with appreciation that Sri Lanka reported zero CFC consumption in 2008 and 2009 to the Ozone Secretariat. The Executive Committee also notes that, within the framework of the institutional strengthening project, Sri Lanka has taken significant steps to phase out its ODS consumption through a number of activities, specifically: implementation of the methyl bromide phase-out project; organizing training workshops and capacity building activities for refrigeration technicians, end-users of CFC based equipment and customs officers in order to assist industry to comply with the phase-out targets for CFCs; controlling imports of CFCs and CFC-based equipment through a licensing system; and, implementation of activities for developing and implementing the HCFC phase-out management plan for Sri Lanka. The Executive Committee greatly supports Sri Lanka's efforts to reduce the consumption of ODS. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Sri Lanka will continue with the implementation of its country programme and remaining national phase-out plan activities with outstanding success to sustain ODS phase-out activities and achieve HCFC phase-out line with targets specified under Decision XIX/6 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol.

### **Timor-Leste**

20. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the institutional strengthening project extension for Timor-Leste and noted with appreciation that Timor-Leste had set up the national ozone unit and fulfilled its Article 7 data reporting requirement to the Ozone Secretariat. The Executive Committee is hopeful that the Government of Timor-Leste would be able to complete the preparation the country programme and HCFC phase-out management plan by 2011 and to establish the licensing system in order to control and monitor the import/export of ODS according to the Montreal Protocol obligations.

### **Tunisia**

21. The Executive Committee has reviewed the terminal report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Tunisia. The Committee commends the Government of Tunisia for having successfully met its phase-out commitments under the Montreal Protocol and its performance targets under the Executive Committee multi-year agreement for the national ODS phase-out plan as of 1 January 2010. It notes with appreciation the efforts made by the Government of Tunisia to ensure sustained phase-out of Annex A substances through the implementation of the national ODS phase-out plan while actively reaching out to stakeholders concerning new HCFC phase-out obligations through public and private sector consultations. The Executive Committee encourages Tunisia to continue on the

successful path of control and phase-out of ODS through its policy promulgation, monitoring, enforcement and public awareness raising activities and also encourages the timely completion of the national ODS phase-out plan for Tunisia.

### **Turkmenistan**

22. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal and noted with appreciation that Turkmenistan has reported the Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that they succeeded to further reduce their consumption of CFCs and is therefore in compliance with the Montreal Protocol control measures. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Turkmenistan will continue with the implementation of its country programme and activities with outstanding success in achieving the phase-out of ODSs phase in accordance with the Montreal Protocol's control measures.

## Annex XI

### AGREEMENT BETWEEN ECUADOR AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE PHASE-OUT OF CFCs

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of Ecuador (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the complete phase-out of controlled use of the ozone depleting substances set out in Appendix 1-A (the “Substances”) prior to 1 January 2010 in compliance with Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to phase out the controlled use of the Substances in all Sectors in accordance with the annual phase-out targets set out in row 2 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets”) and this Agreement. The annual phase-out targets will, at a minimum, correspond to the reduction schedules mandated by the Montreal Protocol. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to the Substances.
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 7 of Appendix 2-A (the “Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the “Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each Substance as indicated in row 2 in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification by the relevant Implementing Agency of achievement of these consumption limits as described in paragraph 9 of this Agreement.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Disbursement Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 30 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Disbursement Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country has met the Target for the applicable year;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified as described in paragraph 9;
  - (c) That the Country has substantially completed all actions set out in the last Annual Implementation Programme; and
  - (d) That the Country has submitted and received endorsement from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation programme in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Annual Implementation Programmes”) in respect of the year for which funding is being requested.
6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the “Monitoring”) will monitor and report on that monitoring in accordance with the roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 9.
7. While the Funding was determined on the basis of estimates of the needs of the Country to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds according to the evolving circumstances



to achieve the goals prescribed under this Agreement. Reallocations which are considered as major changes should be accounted for in the verification report and reviewed by the Executive Committee.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the servicing sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation;
- (b) The recovery and recycling programme for the refrigeration service sector would be implemented in stages so that resources can be diverted to other activities, such as additional training or procurement of service tools, if the proposed results are not achieved, and will be closely monitored in accordance with Appendix 5-A of this Agreement.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfill the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNIDO has agreed to be cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-A including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Country also agrees to periodic evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-B. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 8 and 9 of Appendix 2-A.

10. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances in all Sectors or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Disbursement Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, Funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Disbursement Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next instalment of Funding under the Funding Disbursement Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year.

11. The Funding components of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the Funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

12. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

13. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## Appendices

### Appendix 1-A: The Substances

Annex A:	Group I	CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113 CFC 114 and CFC-115
Annex B:	Group I	CFC-13

### Appendix 2-A: The Targets, and Funding

		2003*	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
1	Montreal Protocol Reduction Schedules	301.4	301.4	150.7	150.7	45.2	45.2	45.2	0
2	Max allowable total consumption of Annex A, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	246	235	150	80	42	21	21	0**
3	Reduction from ongoing projects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Total annual reduction of Annex A, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	0	11	85	70	38	21	21	0
5	Lead IA agreed funding (US \$)***	777,326	-	439,319	92,835	92,835	7,485	-	-
6	Cooperating agreed funding (US \$)	-	-	-	134,575	134,576	10,849	-	-
7	Total agreed funding (US \$)	777,326	-	439,319	227,410	227,411	18,334	-	-
8	Lead IA support costs (US \$)	58,300	-	32,349	12,069	12,069	973	-	-
9	Cooperating IA support costs (US \$)	-	-	-	10,093	10,093	814	-	-
10	Total agreed support costs (US \$)	58,300	-	32,349	22,162	22,162	1,787	-	-
11	Grand total agreed funding (US \$)	835,626	-	471,668	249,572	249,573	20,121	-	-

\* 2003 is not a control year.

\*\* Except essential uses agreed upon by the Parties.

\*\*\* Lead IA 1st and 2nd tranche (2003 and 2005) World Bank, Lead IA for remaining tranches UNEP

### Appendix 3-A: Funding Approval Schedule

1. Funding will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year of the annual implementation programme, except for the 2004 annual implementation programme which is submitted to be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year preceding the annual programme (at the 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting).

### Appendix 4-A: Format of Annual Implementation Programme

1. **Data**

Country \_\_\_\_\_  
 Year of plan \_\_\_\_\_  
 # of years completed \_\_\_\_\_  
 # of years remaining under the plan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Target ODS consumption of the preceding year \_\_\_\_\_  
 Target ODS consumption of the year of plan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Level of funding requested \_\_\_\_\_  
 Lead implementing agency \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cooperating agency(ies) \_\_\_\_\_

2. **Targets**

Indicators		Preceding year	Year of plan	Reduction
Supply of ODS	Import			
	<b>Total (1)</b>			
Demand of ODS	Manufacturing			
	Servicing			
	Stockpiling			
	<b>Total (2)</b>			

3. **Industry Action**

Sector	Consumption preceding year (1)	Consumption year of plan (2)	Reduction within year of plan (1)-(2)	Number of projects completed	Number of servicing related activities	ODS phase-out (in ODP tonnes)
<b>Manufacturing</b>						
Aerosol						
Foam						
Refrigeration						
Solvents						
Other						
<b>Total</b>						
<b>Servicing</b>						
Refrigeration						
<b>Total</b>						
<b>Grand total</b>						

4. **Technical Assistance**

Proposed Activity: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Objective: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Target Group: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Impact: \_\_\_\_\_

5. **Government Action**

Policy/Activity Planned	Schedule of Implementation
Type of policy control on ODS import: servicing, etc	
Public awareness	
Others	

6. **Annual Budget**

Activity	Planned Expenditures (US \$)
Total	

7. **Administrative Fees**

**Appendix 5-A: Monitoring Institutions and Roles**

1. The project management team will assist the Ministry of External Trade, Industrialization, Fish and Competitiveness (MICIP) to carry out the following tasks:

- (a) Set up a web site with a list of importers, their annual quotas, and the actual amount already imported within the current calendar year;
- (b) Update the information on the actual amount of imported CFCs with the Custom Department on a quarterly basis;
- (c) Monitor import of HFC-134a, HCFC-22, and HCFC-141b;
- (d) Train MICIP state officers to identify and monitor CFC use at the enterprise level;
- (e) Inspect warehouse of importers of CFCs, HCFCs, and HFC-134a;
- (f) Report any incidents of illegal import of CFCs;
- (g) Carry out safety and technical audits of all projects undertaken under this plan;
- (h) Update the consumption data at the end-user level once every two years and prepare a revised strategy, if necessary, for MICIP;
- (i) Prepare progress reports and annual work plans for submission to the Executive Committee;
- (j) Maintain good account of all the expenditures incurred by this project.

### **Appendix 6-A: Role of the Lead IA**

1. The World Bank is the Lead IA for the activities under the first two tranches of the NPP. UNEP is the Lead IA for the remainder of the NPP. The World Bank agrees to transfer all necessary information to UNEP in regards to the implementation of the NPP activities and its role as Lead IA. UNEP agrees to take over from the World Bank as Lead IA effective 9 July 2010. UNEP will notify the World Bank not later than 30 September 2010, of any outstanding information or implementation activities.

2. The Lead IA will be responsible for the following:

- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;
- (b) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the annual implementation programme;
- (c) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Annual Implementation Programme;
- (d) Ensuring that achievements in previous Annual Implementation Programmes are reflected in future Annual Implementation Programmes;
- (e) Reporting on the implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme commencing with the Annual Implementation Programme for the 2004 year to be prepared and submitted in 2005;
- (f) Ensuring that technical reviews undertaken by the Lead IA are carried out by appropriate independent technical experts;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Verification for the Executive Committee that consumption of the Substances has been eliminated in accordance with the Targets;
- (j) Coordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the Indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

### **Appendix 6-B: Role of Cooperating Implementing Agency**

1. The Cooperating IA will:

- (a) Provide policy development assistance when required;

- (b) Assist Ecuador in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded for by the Cooperating IA; and
- (c) Provide reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports.

**Appendix 7-A: Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply**

1. In accordance with paragraph 10 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$6,950 per ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in the year.

## Annex XII

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN CAMBODIA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Cambodia and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (the “Substances”) to a sustained level of 0.4 ODP tonnes prior to 1 January 2035 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets and Funding”) in this Agreement. The country also agrees to meet the annual consumption limits specified in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances as well as for those ODS where the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule has already lead to complete phase-out, except to the degree that the Parties have agreed on essential or critical use exemptions for the Country. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this agreement for all ODS specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the substances which exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3.
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the “Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification, to be commissioned by the relevant implementing agency (IA), of achievement of these consumption limits as described in sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochlorofluorocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved. Exempt are years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee Meeting at which the funding request is being presented;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, if requested by the Executive Committee consistent with paragraph (d) of decision 45/54;
  - (c) That the Country has substantially completed all actions set out in the previous tranche implementation plan and submitted a tranche implementation report in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Report and Plan”) for each previous calendar year; and

- (d) That the Country has submitted and received endorsement from the Executive Committee for tranche implementation plans in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans”) for each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the “Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on that monitoring in accordance with the roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in sub-paragraph 5(b).

7. While the Funding was determined on the basis of estimates of the needs of the Country to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the goals prescribed under this Agreement. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in a tranche implementation plan and endorsed by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Major changes would relate to reallocations affecting in total 30% or more of the funding of the last approved tranche, issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund, or changes which would modify any clause of this agreement. Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved tranche implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the tranche implementation report. Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon closure of the last tranche of the plan.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular that the:

- (a) Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) Country and the implementing agencies will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfill the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNDP has agreed to be cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the IA taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities of the plan as detailed in the first submission of the HPMP with the changes approved as part of the subsequent tranche submissions, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by being responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have entered into a formal agreement regarding planning, reporting and



responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised funding approval schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the country did not comply with this agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5.

12. The funding components of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time activities be still outstanding which were foreseen in the plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d), the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per Appendix 4-A (a), (b), (d) and (e) continue until the time of the completion if not specified by the Executive Committee otherwise.

15. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reduction in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22 HCFC-123	C	I	13.8

**APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING**

		2010	2011- 2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017- 2018	2019	2020- 2021 <sup>1</sup>	2022	2023- 2024 <sup>1</sup>	2025	2026- 2030 <sup>1</sup>	2031 <sup>2</sup>	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes) <sup>3</sup>															n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes) <sup>4</sup>			13.8	13.8	12.4	12.4	12.4	12.4	8.9	8.9	8.9	4.5	4.5	0.4	n/a
2.1	Lead IA [UNEP] agreed funding (US \$)	150,000		100,000			150,000		100,000		200,000		200,000		50,000	950,000
2.2	Support cost for Lead IA (US \$)	19,500		13,000			19,500		13,000		26,000		26,000		6,500	123,500
2.3	Cooperating IA [UNDP] agreed funding (US \$)	200,000		200,000			100,000		150,000							650,000
2.4	Support cost for Cooperating IA (US \$)	15,000		15,000			7,500		11,250							48,750
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	350,000		300,000			250,000		250,000		200,000		200,000		50,000	1,600,000
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	34,500		28,000			27,000		24,250		26,000		26,000		6,500	172,250
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	384,500		328,000			277,000		274,250		226,000		226,000		56,500	1,772,250
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFCs agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)															13.8
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFCs to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)															0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFCs (ODP tonnes)															0

<sup>1</sup> Amount per year

<sup>2</sup> Remaining for service tail

<sup>3</sup> Cannot be determined until Article 7 data has been officially reported for 2010.

<sup>4</sup> The figures are based on the starting point for aggregate reduction which is the estimated baseline consumption of 251.6 MT (13.8 ODP tonnes).

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval not earlier than the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plan will consist of five parts:
  - (a) A narrative report regarding the progress in the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the plan, reflecting on changes in the circumstances in the country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information about and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted tranche plan, such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information about activities in the current year;
  - (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If requested by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
  - (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken in the next tranche, highlighting their interdependence and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain any revisions to the overall plan which were found to be necessary;
  - (d) A set of quantitative information for the report and plan, submitted online into a database, as per the relevant decisions of the Executive Committee in respect to the format required. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), and will cover the same time periods and activities; it will also capture the quantitative information regarding any necessary revisions of the overall plan as per sub-paragraph 1(c) above. While the quantitative information is required only for previous and future years, the format will include the option to submit in addition information regarding the current year if desired by the country and agency; and
  - (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. Appendix 5-A, Monitoring Institutions and Roles, may vary from agreement to agreement. Previous agreements entered by the Committee as reflected in the Reports of the Meetings as well as the existing agreements for the TPMP should be referenced to provide relevant examples. The principle need is for the appendix to provide a detailed and credible indication of how progress is to be monitored and which organizations will be responsible for the activities. Please take into account any experiences from implementing the TPMP, and introduce the relevant changes and improvements.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These can be specified in the project document further, but include at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future tranche implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the tranches and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A as well as project completion reports for submission to the Executive Committee; this responsibility includes the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews undertaken by the Lead IA;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent organization to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These might be included, as relevant, in this plan or they may have received funding previously, but be considered to be part of the HPMP. These activities can be specified in the respective project document further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$10,000 per ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in the year.

**Annex XIII**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA AND THE EXECUTIVE  
COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION  
IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Republic of Croatia (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (the “Substances”) to a sustained level of zero ODP tonnes from 1 January 2016, 24 years ahead of the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this agreement for all ODS specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the substances which exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3.
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the “Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification to be commissioned by the relevant implementing agency (IA) of achievement of these consumption limits as described in sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochlorofluorocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved when an obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee Meeting at which the funding request is being presented;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, except if the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country has substantially completed all actions set out in the previous tranche implementation plan and submitted a tranche implementation report in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Report and Plan”) for each previous calendar year; and

- (d) That the Country has submitted and received endorsement from the Executive Committee for a tranche implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Report and Plan”) for each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the “Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on that monitoring in accordance with the roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in sub-paragraph 5(b).

7. While the Funding was determined on the basis of estimates of the needs of the Country to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the goals prescribed under this Agreement. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in the next tranche implementation plan and endorsed by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved tranche implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the tranche implementation report. Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon closure of the last tranche of the plan.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular that the:

- (a) Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) Country and the implementing agencies will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and the Government of Italy has agreed to be cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the IA taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities of the plan as detailed in the first submission of the HPMP with the changes approved as part of the subsequent tranche submissions, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by being responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have entered into a formal agreement regarding planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised funding approval schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the country did not comply with this agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5.

12. The funding components of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time activities be still outstanding which were foreseen in the plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d), the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per Appendix 4-A (a), (b), (d) and (e) continue until the time of the completion if not specified by the Executive Committee otherwise.

15. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	4.27
HCFC-141b	C	I	3.08
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.14



## APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Baseline	Baseline	90% of baseline	90% of baseline	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	4.0	3.0	2.0	-	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	271,150*	180,000	0	260,000	100,000	0		60,000	871,150
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA(US \$)	20,336*	13,500	0	19,500	7,500	0		4,500	65,336
2.3	Cooperating IA (Italy) agreed funding (US \$)	0	210,000**	0	0	0	0		0	210,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	0	27,300**	0	0	0	0		0	27,300
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	271,150	390,000	0	260,000	100,000	0		60,000	1,081,150
3.2	Total support cost	20,336	40,800	0	19,500	7,500	0		4,500	92,636
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	291,486	430,800	0	279,500	107,500	0		64,500	1,173,786
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)									4.27
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									0.00
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)									0.00
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)									0.00
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									3.71
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)									0.00

(\* ) Funded at the 59<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee.

(\*\* ) Funded at the 60<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee.

## APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval not earlier than the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

## APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT AND PLAN

1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plan will consist of five parts:
  - (a) A narrative report regarding the progress in the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the plan, reflecting on changes in the circumstances in the country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information about and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted tranche plan, such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided

for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information about activities in the current year;

- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken in the next tranche, highlighting their interdependence and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan foreseen. The description should cover the year specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain any revisions to the overall plan which were found to be necessary;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for the report and plan, submitted online into a database, as per the relevant decisions of the Executive Committee in respect to the format required. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), and will cover the same time periods and activities; it will also capture the quantitative information regarding any necessary revisions of the overall plan as per sub-paragraph 1(c) above. While the quantitative information is required only for previous and future years, the format will include the option to submit in addition information regarding the current year if desired by the country and agency; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The NOU will appoint a national institution to monitor all activities of the HPMP. This institute will submit annual progress reports of status of implementation of the HPMP through the NOU to UNIDO.

2. Verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the Plan, will be undertaken, upon specific request of the Executive Committee, by an independent local company or independent local consultants contracted by UNIDO.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities to be specified in the project document as follows:

- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;
- (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the tranche Implementation Plan and subsequent report as per Appendix 4-A;
- (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future tranche implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the tranches and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A as well as project completion reports for submission to the Executive Committee; this responsibility includes the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews undertaken by the Lead IA;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent organization to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will:
  - (a) Assist the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded for by the Cooperating IA (Phase-out of HCFC-141b at Poli-Mix company), and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
  - (b) Provide reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$50,000 per ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in the year.

## Annex XIV

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN GHANA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Ghana (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (the “Substances”) to a sustained level as set out in Appendix 2-A (the “Targets and Funding”). This would correspond to 32.2 ODP tonnes prior to 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time in 2011, when the HCFC baseline consumption for compliance would be established based on Article 7 data.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as corresponding to the reduction step under this agreement for all ODS specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of the substances which exceeds the level defined in row 3.3.

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 2.5 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the “Funding Approval Schedule”).

4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification to be commissioned by the relevant implementing agency (IA) of achievement of these consumption limits as described in sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

- (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochloroflurocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved when an obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee Meeting at which the funding request is being presented;
- (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, except if the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
- (c) That the Country has substantially completed all actions set out in the previous tranche implementation plan and submitted a tranche implementation report in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Report and Plan”) for each previous calendar year; and

- (d) That the Country has submitted and received endorsement from the Executive Committee for a tranche implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Report and Plan”) for each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the “Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on that monitoring in accordance with the roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in sub-paragraph 5(b).

7. While the Funding was determined on the basis of estimates of the needs of the Country to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the goals prescribed under this Agreement. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in the next tranche implementation plan and endorsed by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved tranche implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the tranche implementation report. Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon closure of the last tranche of the plan.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular that the:

- (a) Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) Country and the implementing agencies will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and Italy has agreed to be cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the IA taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities of the plan as detailed in the first submission of the HPMP with the changes approved as part of the subsequent tranche submissions, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by being responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA will enter into a formal agreement regarding planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised funding approval schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the country did not comply with this agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5.

12. The funding components of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time activities be still outstanding which were foreseen in the plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d), the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per Appendix 4-A (a), (b), (d) and (e) continue until the time of the completion if not specified by the Executive Committee otherwise.

15. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	35.7
HCFC-142b	C	I	13.8
Total	C	I	49.5

## APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		Estim. Baseline	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	49.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	49.5	49.5	44.5	44.5	44.5	44.5	44.5	32.2	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	49.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	49.5	49.5	44.5	44.5	44.5	44.5	44.5	32.2	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US \$)		200,000		200,000		190,000		195,000		125,000	121,311		1,031,311
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)		15,000		15,000		14,250		14,625		9,375	9,098		77,348
2.3	Cooperating IA (Italy) agreed funding (US \$)		70,000		60,000		70,000		65,000		60,000			325,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)		9,100		7,800		9,100		8,450		7,800			42,250
2.5	Total agreed funding (US \$)		270,000		260,000		260,000		260,000		185,000	121,311		1,356,311
2.6	Total support costs (US \$)		24,100		22,800		23,350		23,075		17,175	9,098		119,598
2.7	Total agreed costs (US \$)		294,100		282,800		283,350		283,075		202,175	130,409		1,475,909
3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 and HCFC-142b (used in R-406a) under this agreement (ODP tonnes)													17.3
3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 and HCFC-142b (used in R-406a) in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)													0.0
3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFCs													32.2

## APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval not earlier than the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

## APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT AND PLAN

1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plan will consist of five parts:
  - (a) A narrative report regarding the progress in the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the plan, reflecting on changes in the circumstances in the country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information about and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted tranche plan, such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided



for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information about activities in the current year;

- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken in the next tranche, highlighting their interdependence and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan foreseen. The description should cover the year specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain any revisions to the overall plan which were found to be necessary;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for the report and plan, submitted online into a database, as per the relevant decisions of the Executive Committee in respect to the format required. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), and will cover the same time periods and activities; it will also capture the quantitative information regarding any necessary revisions of the overall plan as per sub-paragraph 1(c) above. While the quantitative information is required only for previous and future years, the format will include the option to submit in addition information regarding the current year if desired by the country and agency; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. All the monitoring activities will be coordinated and managed through the National Ozone Unit, which is included within this HPMP.
2. The Lead IA will have a particularly prominent role in the monitoring arrangements because of its mandate to monitor ODS imports, whose records will be used as a crosschecking reference in all the monitoring programmes for the different projects within the HPMP. This organization, along with the cooperating IA will also undertake the challenging task of monitoring illegal ODS imports and exports with advisements made to the appropriate national agencies through the National Ozone Office.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities to be specified in the project document as follows:

- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;
- (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the tranche Implementation Plan and subsequent report as per Appendix 4-A;
- (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future tranche implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the tranches and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A as well as project completion reports for submission to the Executive Committee; this responsibility includes the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews undertaken by the Lead IA;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent organization to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will:
  - (a) Provide policy development assistance when required;
  - (b) Assist the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded for by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
  - (c) Provide reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$50,000 per ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in the year.

**Annex XV**

**REVISED FORMAT FOR INSTITUTIONAL STRENGTHENING TERMINAL REPORTS AND  
INSTITUTIONAL STRENGTHENING RENEWAL REQUESTS**

**TERMINAL REPORT AND REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF INSTITUTIONAL  
STRENGTHENING FUNDING**

20xx to 20xx

Sections 1 to 12 and 15 to be completed by the country concerned prior to transmission to the implementing agency for comments in 14.

1. Country: \_\_\_\_\_
2. National implementing agency / ozone unit: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Implementing agency: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Institutional strengthening (IS) project phases (approved):

<b>Phase</b>	<b>Duration (dd/mm/yy)</b>	<b>Multilateral Fund funding (approved)</b>	<b>Multilateral Fund funding (disbursed)</b>

5. a) Reporting period (mm/yy to mm/yy): \_\_\_\_\_
- b) Requested (phase funding (US \$), and period): \_\_\_\_\_
6. Data reporting:

<b>Reporting requirement</b>	<b>Reported</b>		<b>Year reported</b>	<b>Year submitted</b>
	yes	no		
Article 7				
Country programme implementation				

7. Describe the role and position of the National Ozone Unit (NOU) within the national administration, the way its work is supervised and its access to senior decision-makers (this may include cooperation with steering committees, advisory groups or inter-ministerial bodies).

---



---



---

8. Indicate the total number staff in the NOU:
- How many are paid under the IS? \_\_\_\_\_ Full time \_\_\_\_\_ Half time \_\_\_\_\_
  - How many are paid by the Government? \_\_\_\_\_ Full time \_\_\_\_\_ Half time \_\_\_\_\_

9. Is the unit fully staffed?  YES  NO

If no, explain \_\_\_\_\_

10. Please provide details on the status of the implementation of the activities approved from the previous IS phase and planned activities for the requested phase. Please add specific indicators if necessary.

Objectives (please add as necessary)	Activities in current phase	Achievements in current phase (specify phase/mm/yy)	Planned activities in next phase (specify phase/mm/yy)	Expected results for next phase
<b>Objective 1: Adoption/implementation of ODS legislation and regulation to control and monitor ODS consumption</b>				
Introduction of licensing and quota system for HCFCs				
Enforcement of control measures to sustain CFC phase out				
Monitoring illegal ODS trade (all ODS)				
Ratification of Amendments to the Montreal Protocol				
<b>Objective 2: Efficient and timely data collection and reporting</b>				
Monitoring customs import/export				
Article 7 data reporting				
Country programme data reporting				
<b>Objective 3: Consultations and coordination with other national agencies/stakeholders</b>				
Steering Committee				
Industry associations				

Objectives (please add as necessary)	Activities in current phase	Achievements in current phase (specify phase/mm/yy)	Planned activities in next phase (specify phase/mm/yy)	Expected results for next phase
<b>Objective 4: Supervision of timely implementation of phase-out activities and reduction in ODS consumption</b>				
Terminal phase-out management plan implementation				
HCFC phase-out management plan preparation/implementation				
Project 1				
Project 2				
<b>Objective 5: Awareness raising and information exchange</b>				
Information dissemination to key stakeholders				
International Ozone Day				
<b>Objective 6: Regional cooperation and participation to Montreal Protocol meetings</b>				
Regional network participation				
Open-ended Working Group / Meeting of the Parties				

11. Financial report:

Item of expenditure	Budget for current phase (US \$)	Disbursement (for current phase) (US \$)		Estimated budget (for requested phase) (US \$)	Government funding (in kind contribution) (US \$)	
		Actual	Obligated		Current phase	Requested phase
Staff (including consultants)						
Equipment						
Operational cost (i.e. meetings, consultations, etc.)						
Public awareness						
Other						
<b>TOTAL</b>						

12. Please evaluate the IS performance in meeting the following indicators:

Indicator	Evaluation			Comments
	Very good	Satisfactory	Poor	
1. Effectiveness of import control measures				
2. Integration of ozone protection issues into national plans				
3. Completion of phase-out projects				
4. Efficient data reporting				

13. Government endorsement:

Action plan authorized by ( <i>name</i> ):	
Signature of authorising authority:	<i>(to be signed on hard copy)</i>
Title:	
Supervising Organization/Agency/Ministry:	
Date:	

14. Submission of action plan:

Name of implementing agency:	
Name of Project Officer:	
Signature of Project Officer:	
Date:	
Comments of the implementing agency:	

15. Executive summary: please provide summaries for the information required below in no more than one paragraph each. These paragraphs will be used in documents for the Executive Committee Meeting.

- a) Terminal report
- b) Plan of action

## Annex XVI

### **DRAFT AGREEMENT BETWEEN [COUNTRY NAME] AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of [Country name] (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained [level of [figure] ODP tonnes / maximum consumption allowed for [year] under the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule [minus [value/per cent] ] prior to 1 January [year] in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A . The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances) as the final reduction step under this agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row[s] 4.1.3 [and 4.2.3, ...] (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the “Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification, to be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency, of achievement of these consumption limits as described in sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochlorofluorocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved. Exempt are years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee Meeting at which the funding request is being presented;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, except if the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;



- (c) That the Country had submitted tranche implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Report and Plan”) covering each previous calendar year, that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches, and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and
- (d) That the Country has submitted and received approval from the Executive Committee for a tranche implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the “Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on Implementation of the activities in the previous tranche implementation plan in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in sub-paragraph 5(b).

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest phase-down and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in a Tranche Implementation Plan and approved by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Major changes would relate to reallocations affecting in total 30 per cent or more of the funding of the last approved tranche, issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund, or changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement. Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the Tranche Implementation Report. Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon closure of the last tranche of the plan.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. [Lead agency name] has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and [Cooperating agency name] has/ have agreed to be cooperating implementing agency/agencies (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the IA taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities of the plan as detailed in the first submission of the HPMP with the changes approved as part of the subsequent tranche submissions, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). [This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence

of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have entered into a formal agreement regarding planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings.] The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA [and the Cooperating IA] with the fees set out in row[s] 2.2 [and 2.4...] of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA [and the Cooperating IA] to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA [and the Cooperating IA] with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time activities be still outstanding which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per Appendix 4-A (a), (b), (d) and (e) continue until the time of the completion if not specified by the Executive Committee otherwise.

15. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	
HCFC-141b	C	I	
[substance name]	C	I	

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)							n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)							n/a
2.1	Lead IA [agency name] agreed funding(US \$)							
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA(US \$)							
2.3	Cooperating IA [agency name] agreed funding (US \$)							
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)							
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)							
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)							
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)							
4.1.1	Total phase-out of [substance 1] agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)							
4.1.2	Phase-out of [substance 1] to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for [substance 1] (ODP tonnes)							
4.2.1	Total phase-out of [substance 2] agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)							
4.2.2	Phase-out of [substance 2] to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for [substance 2] (ODP tonnes)							

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval not earlier than the [first/second/last] meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plan will consist of five parts:
  - (a) A narrative report regarding the progress in the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting on changes in the circumstances in the country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information about and justification

for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted tranche plan, such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information about activities in the current year;

- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken in the next tranche, highlighting their interdependence, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches. The description should also include a reference to the overall Plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain any revisions to the overall plan which were found to be necessary;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for the report and plan, submitted into a database. As per the relevant decisions of the Executive Committee in respect to the format required, the data should be submitted online. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), and will cover the same time periods and activities; it will also capture the quantitative information regarding any necessary revisions of the overall plan as per sub-paragraph 1(c) above. While the quantitative information is required only for previous and future years, the format will include the option to submit in addition information regarding the current year if desired by the country and lead implementing agency; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

*1. Appendix 5-A, Monitoring Institutions and Roles, may vary from agreement to agreement. Previous agreements entered by the Committee as reflected in the Reports of the Meetings as well as the existing agreements for the TPMP should be referenced to provide relevant examples. The principle need is for the appendix to provide a detailed and credible indication of how progress is to be monitored and which organizations will be responsible for the activities. Please take into account any experiences from implementing the TPMP, and introduce the relevant changes and improvements.*

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These can be specified in the project document further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;
- (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
- (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall Plan and in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the tranches and the overall Plan as specified in Appendix 4-A as well as project completion reports for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the co-ordinating implementing agencies, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent organization to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

## **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities can be specified in the respective project document further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

## **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$[figure] per ODP tonne of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

## **APPENDIX 8-A: SECTOR SPECIFIC ARRANGEMENTS**

-----