



**United Nations  
Environment  
Programme**

Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/55/18/Add.1  
4 July 2008



ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF  
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
Fifty-fifth Meeting  
Bangkok, 14-18 July 2008

**Addendum**

**BILATERAL COOPERATION**

- **Replace** the following rows in Table 4

Country	Activity/Project	Amount Requested (US \$)	Amount Recommended (US \$)
<b>SECTION B: ACTIVITIES RECOMMENDED FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION</b>			
<b>B1. Project preparation for HCFC phase-out plans:</b>			
Mauritius	Preparation of HCFC phase-out management plan	75,000	
Namibia	Preparation of HCFC phase-out management plan	75,000	

**with**

Country	Activity/Project	Amount Requested (US \$)	Amount Recommended (US \$)
<b>SECTION B: ACTIVITIES RECOMMENDED FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION</b>			
<b>B1. Project preparation for HCFC phase-out plans:</b>			
Mauritius	Preparation of HCFC phase-out management plan	75,000	75,000
Namibia	Preparation of HCFC phase-out management plan	75,000	50,000

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

- **Replace** paragraphs 27 and 28 with the following paragraphs:

### **Fund Secretariat's recommendations**

27. Following the issuance of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/55/18, Germany and the Secretariat continued to discuss the costs for the HPMP preparation particularly for the two countries where it was the only implementing agency. In these discussions, Germany agreed to the approach proposed by the Secretariat and has also agreed to reconsider the costs of their requests for Namibia and Mauritius in line with the Secretariat's proposed table of standard costs. During these discussions, Germany requested the Secretariat to note that Namibia has HCFC consumption reported in their country programme data for 2007. However, they recognise that this has not yet been submitted or reflected in the Article 7 data therefore the country will fall within the range for those with zero HCFC consumption and thus could only qualify for a funding level of US\$50,000. Germany reiterated however, that if the 2007 Article 7 data will show HCFC consumption, it could come back to request additional funds in accordance with the Secretariat's proposed costs for HPMP preparation. This request was considered reasonable and was agreed upon by the Secretariat.

*27bis.* In the case of Mauritius, Germany believes that its current request for US\$75,000 is sufficient for the preparation of the HPMP.

*27ter.* With regards to the requests for HPMP preparation for the other countries in Germany's work programme amendment (i.e. Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, China, India and Iran), since these requests involve also other agencies and no agreement has been reached on the final amount for HPMP preparation, these requests remain pending.

28. In view of the above, the Executive Committee may wish to approve the preparation of HCFC phase-out management plans for Namibia and Mauritius at the amounts recommended by the Fund Secretariat in Table 4 above.

*28bis.* The Executive Committee may wish to note that the remaining requests for the preparation of HCFC management plans where no agreement has been reached are still pending.

-----