



**United Nations
Environment
Programme**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/55/7
13 June 2008

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Fifty-fifth Meeting
Bangkok, 14-18 July 2008

**REPORT ON STANDARDIZATION OF ANNUAL WORK PROGRAMMES,
PROGRESS AND VERIFICATION REPORTS OF MULTI-YEAR AGREEMENTS
AND ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF COUNTRY PROFILES**

1. The work accomplished has focused on developing two web-based reporting and information tools:

- (a) Finalizing the overview tables for multi-year agreements (MYAs) which had been approved on an interim basis by decision 51/13 (c) of the Executive Committee; and
- (b) Creation of country profiles, in accordance with decision 53/8 (b), with the aim of providing easy access to all relevant information regarding a country's performance record related to ODS consumption, phase-out achieved and the compliance with phase-out targets, compliance risks, project activities completed and approved, etc.

2. The MYA tables will be best accessed via the country profiles which will provide links to various databases maintained by the Fund Secretariat.

I Overview tables for MYAs, annual implementation reports and verifications

3. The MYA overview tables were developed with the objective of standardizing the information on results obtained under the previous funding tranche and activities planned under the tranche for which funding is requested. In paragraph (d) of decision 51/13, the Executive Committee had requested the Secretariat to organize a workshop for implementing agencies and other interested Executive Committee Members in the margins of the 53rd Meeting to review and discuss reporting on MYAs in the light of experience gained in using the new format. This workshop was held on 26 November 2007.

4. As reported already to the 53rd Meeting of the Executive Committee in the review of the new reporting on MYAs (document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/53/11), and as confirmed by the workshop discussions, the experience with using the overview tables has generally been positive, although agencies had reported some difficulties in filling in the data, in particular tables 8 and 9 on "implementation details" and "the annual plan submitted compared with the overall plan". These difficulties were sorted out during the last months and the format is now being used on a regular basis for the preparation and review of requests for new tranches of existing CFC phase-out plans including national and terminal phase-out management plans, and recently also for preparing new phase-out plans. The data collected are also used to generate the cover sheets for the project documents submitted to the Executive Committee.

5. The final report on evaluation of management, monitoring, and verification of national phase-out plans (NPPs) in non-low-volume-consuming (non-LVC) countries had described most annual implementation reports (AIPs) as being bulky, repetitive and unclear on overall progress achieved in various areas to date, and on the contributions of completed activities to the phase-out (see document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/54/12, paragraph 76). The lack of guidelines for structuring and formatting such reports and the different styles of the various agencies involved also played a role in fostering the large variety of formats and approaches observed. The introduction of the MYA overview tables has already contributed to improving the quality of reporting in some cases. Their generalized use, as requested by decision 54/11 (c), combined with efforts to improve the clarity of the AIPs also requested in this decision should be sufficient to improve reporting without introducing guidelines on a standardized format of AIPs.

6. With regard to verification reports, the evaluation of NPPs in non-LVC countries concluded that no amendments to the existing guidelines for the preparation of verification reports were needed but rather their full application in all cases as requested by decision 54/11 (c). This decision also requested the implementing agencies to indicate the cost of verification reports in the AIPs and annual work plans, as well as to find other means of cross-checking Customs data to provide the Executive Committee with the required assurances in countries where individual company data are not accessible, and to explore options of working with government auditors in countries where this would result in better access to data.

II Creation of country profiles

7. This development is based on decision 53/8 (b) which requested the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to initiate the development of web-based country profiles including information compiled for the compliance-risk assessments requested under decision 53/4 (b).

8. So far, a prototype set of tables has been developed (see draft example with data for Bosnia and Herzegovina as Annex I) and programmed for presentation on the web site of the Secretariat. The data are extracted from various databases maintained by the Ozone and the Fund Secretariats. The country profiles will be automatically updated when those source databases are changed, thereby minimizing the maintenance effort. The main purpose is to provide a user-friendly, one stop access to all relevant country data with regard to ODS phase-out achieved and scheduled to be realized, with an indication of cases of non-compliance and risks of non-compliance.

9. In addition to the data presented in the attached tables, various links will enable readers interested in more background information and/or more details to consult online ODS consumption data in tables and graphs for the last 10 years, compliance related decisions of the Meeting of the Parties, phase-out agreements with the Executive Committee, remarks on progress reports by the implementing agencies, in particular with regard to implementation delays, their causes and measures taken to overcome them, terminal reports of institutional strengthening (IS) projects, MYA overview tables and evaluation sheets for MYAs. A set of pre-formatted queries will facilitate rapid searches for more specific information.

10. Decision 53/8 (c) requested the Secretariat to ensure, prior to the initial posting of country profiles on its web site, that the countries concerned and UNEP's Compliance Assistance Programme were notified for comment. In accordance with this decision, the countries concerned, UNEP and also other implementing agencies will be given occasion to comment, in particular with regard to the assessment of risks for non-compliance. Comments and amendments received from the country concerned will be shown in the published version, which is scheduled for completion by the 57th Meeting of the Executive Committee.

III Other developments

11. The development of a format for completion reports for MYAs has started, taking into account the information in the overview tables and adding assessment sections as used in current project completion reports (PCRs) for individual investment projects. This is timely as the first two MYAs were completed in 2006 and a further seven in 2007. Completion reports on these

MYAs will be the first ones in the draft format, which is being developed in cooperation with the implementing agencies.

12. The formats for terminal reports and extension requests for IS projects are being analyzed during the current evaluation of IS with a view to including the logical chain approach to planning and reporting on activities and results. In this context, possibilities for creating a web-based format and database for these reports are also being examining.

Annex I
DRAFT COUNTRY PROFILE
EXAMPLE OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

STATUS OF RATIFICATION

	Ratification	Entry into force
Vienna Convention	September 1, 1993	November 30, 1993
Montreal Protocol	September 1, 1993	November 30, 1993
London Amendment	August 11, 2003	November 9, 2003
Copenhagen Amendment	August 11, 2003	November 9, 2003
Montreal Amendment	August 11, 2003	November 9, 2003
Beijing Amendment		

DATA REPORTED

Chemical	Baseline	2006 Data Reported	MYA Maximum Allowable Consumption 2006	MOP Maximum Allowable Consumption 2006	MP Maximum Allowable Consumption 2005	MP Maximum Allowable Consumption 2007	MP Maximum Allowable Consumption 2010
CFC	24.2	32.6	12.1	33.0	12.1	3.63	0.0
CTC	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	-	0.0
Halons	4.1	0.0	-	-	2.1	-	0.0
HCFC	-	4.0	-	-	-	-	-
MB	3.5	0.0	-	5.6	2.8	-	-
TCA	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.1	-	0.5
CFC (prod.)	-	-			-	-	-
CTC (prod.)	-	-			-	-	-
Halons (prod.)	-	-			-	-	-
HCFC (prod.)	-	-			-	-	-
MB (prod.)	-	-			-	-	-
TCA (prod.)	-	-			-	-	-

[Link to Graph](#)
[Link to Graph](#)
[Link to Graph](#)
[Link to Graph](#)
[Link to Graph](#)
[Link to Graph](#)

SECTORAL DATA REPORTED IN THE COUNTRY PROGRAMME

Year: 2006 [Link to Previous Years](#)

Chemical	Aerosol	Foam	Fire Fighting	Refrigeration		Solvent	Process Agent	MDI	Lab Use	Methyl Bromide		Total
				Manufacturing	Servicing					QPS	Non-QPS	
CFC					32.6							32.6
CTC												0.0
Halon												0.0
HCFC				1.7	2.3							4.0
MB												0.0
TCA												0.0

RISK ASSESSMENT

[Link to Detail Analysis](#)

Established Licensing System	Operational Licensing System	Importers Registered	Operational NOU	Integration into National Institution (from Country)	Country's view on ability to achieve compliance	Comments on the Risk Assessment	Forces encouraging ability to achieve compliance	Forces hindering ability to achieve compliance
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

DECISIONS ON COMPLIANCE TAKEN BY THE PARTIES

Chemical	Compliance Issues	Decisions	Actions	
CFC	CFC phase out	Decision XV/30	2007 CFC consumption no greater than 3ODPT	Link to Decision (if applicable)
CTC				Link to Decision (if applicable)
Halons				Link to Decision (if applicable)
HCFC				Link to Decision (if applicable)
MB	Methyl bromide phase out	Decision XV/30	2007 MB consumption no greater than zero.	Link to Decision (if applicable)
TCA				Link to Decision (if applicable)
Others	Regulatory measures	Decision XV/30		

STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION BY CHEMICAL

[Link to Inventory](#)

Chemical	Terminal Project Approved	Status of Implementation (based on Fund Secretariat Analysis)	
CFC	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Non-compliance with the 2006 maximum allowable consumption under the agreement. 3rd tranche of the NPP under implementation with the completion projected to be 12 months late.	Link to Agreement and MYA Database (if applicable)
CTC			
Halons	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project		Link to Agreement and MYA Database (if applicable)
HCFC			
MB	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB		Link to Agreement and MYA Database (if applicable)
TCA	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	TCA phase-out part of NPP was completed in 2006 with the conversion of the only TCA-using company which was supplied with a new machine using non-ODS solvent (perchloroethylene).	Link to Agreement and MYA Database (if applicable)

IMPLEMENTATION OVERVIEW BY SECTOR AS PER INVENTORY AND LATEST PROGRESS REPORT

Sector	Subsector	Phase-Out Approved	Phase-Out Reported	US\$ Approved	US\$ Disbursed
Aerosol	Preparation of project proposal				
Foam	Flexible slabstock	21.0	21.0	\$116,514	\$113,502
	Preparation of project proposal			\$49,267	\$49,198
	Rigid	33.0	33.0	\$391,999	\$339,890
Fumigant	Methyl bromide	11.8	11.8	\$249,610	\$240,936
Halon	Banking	4.1		\$70,414	\$3,759
Refrigeration	Commercial	31.1	31.1	\$364,173	\$347,227
	Domestic	29.0	29.0	\$606,729	\$605,139
	Preparation of project proposal			\$36,039	\$35,988
Phaseout plan	ODS phase out plan	121.1	28.4	\$928,973	\$298,498
	Preparation of project proposal			\$88,212	\$88,088
Several	Country programme/country survey			\$90,398	\$90,379
	Ozone unit support			\$220,783	\$118,180
TOTAL		251.0	154.3	\$3,213,109	\$2,330,784

ONGOING PROJECTS AND MULTI-YEAR AGREEMENTS**Individual**

Code	Agency	Subsector	Date Approved	Approved Date of Completion	Planned Date of Completion	Delays (Months)	Funds Approved (US\$)	Funds Disbursed (US\$)	Remarks from Progress Report
BHE/HAL/42/TAS/18	UNIDO	Banking	Apr-04	Apr-06	Oct-07	18	64,600	3,449	Halon awareness and training workshop was carried out in February 2007. The annual activities for 2007 have been coordinated with the NOU, which is to confirm the selection of the beneficiary for halon R&R equipment. The project is expected to be completed in Dec 2008.
BHE/SEV/43/INS/19	UNIDO	Ozone unit support	Jul-04	Jul-06	Jun-09	35	95,333	43	The NOO informed that the new Min. of Environment is favorably considering the project and the legal advice of a National Administrative Agency was being sought as to the legislative aspects associated with the implementation of the project when it is signed. The project is expected to be completed in Dec 2009.

[Link to 03 Report with comments on Progress reports](#)

[Links to 03 Report with comments on Progress reports and to Terminal Report](#)

Multi-Year Agreements

MYA Sector	Agency	Date Approved	Planned Date of Completion	Funds Approved in Principle (US\$)	Funds Released by ExCom (US\$)	Funds Disbursed to the Country (US\$)	Number of Tranches Approved in Principle	Number of Tranches Released by ExCom	Submission Delayed	Remarks from Progress Report
ODS Phase Out Plan	UNIDO	Dec-03	Dec-08	864,160	864,160	375,422	3	3	Yes	Verification report 2005 on the ODS consumption has been received and forwarded with request for the third tranche to the 52nd ExCom. The tranche was approved. The government supported action plan targeting to achieve the phase out objectives in the shortest possible time frame has been prepared and accepted. Implementation activities are underway.

[Link to Evaluation Sheet](#)