UNITED NATIONS





# United Nations Environment Programme

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/55/1/Add.2 27 June 2008

**ORIGINAL: ENGLISH** 

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL Fifty-fifth Meeting Bangkok, 14-18 July 2008

#### Addendum

#### ANNOTATED PROVISIONAL AGENDA

## 9. Production sector

(a) Further elaboration and analysis of issues pertaining to the phase-out of HCFC Production Sector (decision 53/37(g))

<u>UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/55/45</u> contains a report from the Secretariat pursuant to the Executive Committee's request at its 53<sup>rd</sup> Meeting in decision 53/37 paragraph (g). The Secretariat is incorporating the views received from production sector experts from both A5 and non-A5 countries into the document that will be issued to the Executive Committee.

### Issues to be addressed:

- The continued applicability of the current approach to funding HCFC production phase-out being based on the assumption of plant closures;
- The timing of funding HCFC production phase-out in view of the long duration between the HCFC freeze in 2013 and the final phase-out in 2030, taking into consideration that the phase-out of production and consumption could be undertaken simultaneously;

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

- The eligibility of the CFC/HCFC-22 swing plants in view of the commitment in the CFC production phase-out agreement not to seek funding from the Multilateral Fund for closing down HCFC facilities that use existing CFC infrastructure:
- The cut-off date for funding eligibility of HCFC production phase-out; and
- Other issues related to the HCFC production sector, taking in account subparagraph (b) above (decision 53/37(g)).

<u>Action expected from the Executive Committee</u>: the Committee may wish to consider:.

- (a) Maintaining paragraphs (a) to (d) decision 19/36 for the HCFC production sector;
- (b) Continuing to calculate production costs on the basis of closure taking into account foreign ownership and export to non-Article 5 components of facilities being closed;
- (c) Encouraging the submission of a synchronized production/consumption phase-out as part of the first HPMP;
- (d) Provide incentives for early phase-out of production facilities;
- (e) Require a robust monitoring system during the control period with the verification report system used for CFC phase-out to monitor facilities that receive funding but continue to produce HCFCs for feedstock uses;
- (f) The issues of:
  - (i) Cut-off dates; and
  - (ii) Swing plants;
- (g) Inviting representatives of the UNFCCC Secretariat dealing with the CDM to the next Production Sector Sub-group meeting to provide information on the CDM process and its relation to HCFC production.
- 11. Assessment of the administrative costs required for the 2009-2011 triennium (follow-up to decisions 50/27, 51/38 and 54/42)

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/55/48</u> contains the report of the Consultant on the administrative cost study authorized in decision 50/42 based on terms of reference adopted at the 51<sup>st</sup> Meeting (decision 51/38). The report has been submitted to the implementing agencies for comment following receipt of which a document will be issued to the Executive Committee.

## <u>Issues to be addressed</u>:

- Standard definition of administrative costs;
- Reporting of administrative costs within CAP;
- Adjustment to the calculation of CAP;
- Trust fund accounting with UNIDO;
- Project disbursement rates;
- Activities relating to CFC phase-out;
- HCFC start up activities;
- Current cost regime comparison with GEF;
- Suitability of the current cost regime into the next triennium;
- Monitoring of administrative fees;
- Non-committed grants;
- Foreign currency exchange risk; and
- Reporting requirements.

<u>Action expected from the Executive Committee</u>: the Committee may wish to consider the Consultant's recommendations in light of its review of core unit costs at its 56<sup>th</sup> Meeting.

-----