



**Programa de las
Naciones Unidas
para el Medio Ambiente**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/54/8
7 de marzo de 2008

ESPAÑOL
ORIGINAL: INGLÉS

COMITÉ EJECUTIVO DEL FONDO MULTILATERAL
PARA LA APLICACIÓN DEL
PROTOCOLO DE MONTREAL
Quincuagésima cuarta Reunión
Montreal, 7 al 11 de abril de 2008

PLAN ADMINISTRATIVO DEL PNUD PARA LOS AÑOS 2008-2010

Los documentos previos al período de sesiones del Comité Ejecutivo del Fondo Multilateral para la Aplicación del Protocolo de Montreal no van en perjuicio de cualquier decisión que el Comité Ejecutivo pudiera adoptar después de la emisión de los mismos.

Para economizar recursos, sólo se ha impreso un número limitado de ejemplares del presente documento. Se ruega a los delegados que lleven sus propios ejemplares a la reunión y eviten solicitar otros.

COMENTARIOS Y RECOMENDACIONES DE LA SECRETARÍA DEL FONDO

1. En este documento se presenta un resumen de las actividades del PNUD previstas para la eliminación de sustancias que agotan la capa de ozono (SAO) durante el trienio 2008-2010. También proporciona los indicadores de desempeño del PNUD, comentarios generales y recomendaciones sobre el plan administrativo que se someten a la consideración del Comité Ejecutivo. El plan administrativo del PNUD para 2008-2010 figura en el Anexo I.

Actividades previstas para 2008-2010

2. Si bien el plan administrativo es un plan administrativo trienal renovable, la mayor parte de los detalles proporcionados son para 2008. Las actividades planificadas para 2009 y 2010, excepto aquellas relacionadas con los acuerdos plurianuales existentes, se incluyen solamente de manera tentativa y podrían ser modificadas durante el proceso de ejecución del plan administrativo definitivo para 2008-2010.

Actividades previstas para 2008

3. Para 2008, el valor total de los proyectos que el PNUD tiene previsto presentar es de 31,86 millones \$EUA (costos de apoyo incluidos), lo que equivale a una eliminación de SAO de 1 480 toneladas PAO. El plan administrativo incluye:

- a) Tramos relacionados con veintisiete acuerdos plurianuales en curso para eliminación por sectores y por sustancias, valorados en un total de 7,3 millones \$EUA, que conducirán a la eliminación de 1 175 toneladas PAO, cuando sean ejecutados;
- b) Doce acuerdos plurianuales nuevos con un valor total de 4,6 millones \$EUA en 2008 (20,97 millones \$EUA en total para el trienio), con una eliminación de SAO relacionada en 2008 de 120 toneladas PAO (734 toneladas PAO en total para el trienio);
- c) Dos proyectos individuales para planes de gestión de eliminación definitiva por un monto total de 268 750 \$EUA, con una eliminación de SAO relacionada de 5,8 toneladas PAO;
- d) Tres proyectos de inversión nuevos por valor de 2,46 millones \$EUA;
- e) Preparación de proyecto por valor de 3,53 millones \$EUA;
- f) Sesenta y nueve proyectos ajenos a la inversión con un valor total de 11,35 millones \$EUA, que constan de 10 proyectos de fortalecimiento institucional (2,28 millones \$EUA), 50 proyectos de demostración (8,77 millones \$EUA) y 9 proyectos de asistencia técnica (294 300 \$EUA); y
- g) Costos de unidad central de 1,86 millón \$EUA más 500 000 \$EUA para actividades de unidad central adicionales para las actividades del PNUD relacionadas con los HCFC.

Actividades previstas para 2009

4. En 2009, el PNUD tiene previsto presentar proyectos por un monto total de 102 millones \$EUA, con una eliminación relacionada de 2 133 toneladas PAO. Esto incluye 10 millones \$EUA para actividades requeridas para el cumplimiento, conforme al modelo orientado al cumplimiento, 71 millones \$EUA para actividades relacionadas con los HCFC y 15 millones \$EUA para actividades relacionadas con inhaladores de dosis medidas y 6 millones \$EUA para desecho de SAO.

Actividades previstas para 2010

5. En 2010, el PNUD tiene previsto presentar proyectos por un valor total de 82 millones \$EUA, con una eliminación relacionada de 1 087 toneladas PAO. Esto incluye 5 millones \$EUA requeridos para el cumplimiento, 71 millones \$EUA para actividades relacionadas con los HCFC y 6 millones \$EUA para desecho de SAO.

Asignación de recursos

6. La Tabla 1 presenta un resumen de la asignación de recursos prevista en el plan administrativo para 2008-2010 del PNUD.

Tabla 1
ASIGNACIÓN DE RECURSOS (en miles de \$EUA)

	2008	2009	2010
Requerido para el cumplimiento			
Acuerdos plurianuales aprobados	7 297	4 003	509
Costos de unidad central para los organismos de ejecución	1 858	1 913	1 971
Fortalecimiento institucional	2 280	2 559	2 280
Tetracloruro de carbono (CTC)	1 300		
Plan de gestión para la eliminación definitiva	1 721	1 517	152
Subtotal (requerido para el cumplimiento)	14 455	9 992	4 912
No requerido para el cumplimiento			
HCFC – Demostración	8 770		
HCFC – Costos de unidad central adicionales	500	515	530
Plan de gestión para la eliminación de los HCFC	0	70 898	70 898
Preparación de Plan de gestión para la eliminación de los HCFC	2 763		
Inhaladores de dosis medidas	4 459	15 000	
Inhaladores de dosis medidas – Estrategias	262		
Desecho de SAO	656	5 913	5 913
Subtotal (No requerido para el cumplimiento)	17 409	92 325	77 341
Total	31 864	102 317	82 253

7. El PNUD solicita financiación por un monto total de casi 31,9 millones \$EUA en 2008. En el plan administrativo del PNUD, 14,5 millones \$EUA son para actividades requeridas para el cumplimiento y 17,4 millones \$EUA son para actividades no requeridas para el cumplimiento.

Las actividades no requeridas para el cumplimiento incluyen desecho de SAO (655 750 \$EUA), proyectos relacionados con los HCFC (12 millones \$EUA) y proyectos en el sector de inhaladores de dosis medidas (4,7 millones \$EUA).

8. Tal como antes se mencionó, la mayoría de las actividades del plan administrativo del PNUD para los años 2009 y 2010 no son requeridas para el cumplimiento. Asimismo, el nivel esperado de financiación se incrementa de 31,9 millones \$EUA en 2008 a 102,3 millones \$EUA en 2009 y disminuye a 82,3 millones \$EUA en 2010. El PNUD ha identificado actividades valoradas en 94,52 millones \$EUA para después de 2010. El total de éstos, excepto 23,96 millones \$EUA, estarán dirigidos a actividades relacionadas con los HCFC.

Asistencia para la ejecución y el cumplimiento

9. Durante el trienio 2008-2010, el PNUD tiene previsto eliminar 4 743 toneladas PAO a través de los proyectos y acuerdos plurianuales en curso. Además, el PNUD ha indicado que eliminará 632 toneladas PAO por medio de proyectos que se presentarán para la aprobación durante el trienio.

10. La Sección 6 del plan administrativo del PNUD se ocupa de medidas para agilizar la ejecución de los proyectos aprobados y aquellos críticos para el cumplimiento, e incluye una descripción de los esfuerzos del PNUD para fortalecer la red de personal y expertos del PNUD en el terreno.

Comentarios generales

11. Estos comentarios generales abordan cuestiones no requeridas para el cumplimiento de conformidad con el modelo orientado al cumplimiento e incluyen áreas de posible superposición de proyectos.

Actividades no requeridas para el cumplimiento

12. Las actividades no requeridas para el cumplimiento se listan en la Tabla 1 según el monto de las solicitudes para 2008, 2009 y 2010.

Actividades relacionadas con HCFC

13. El PNUD ha incluido dos tipos de proyectos para los HCFC en su plan administrativo para 2008: solicitudes de preparación de proyecto y proyectos de demostración. Se espera que los programas de inversión de seguimiento se presenten en los planes administrativos para 2009 y/o 2010.

14. El PNUD ha incluido 37 solicitudes para preparación de proyectos en su plan administrativo para 2008, destinadas a ayudar a los países a preparar sus planes de gestión para la eliminación de los HCFC. Estas solicitudes de financiación se han reducido de manera proporcional para los países que ya habían recibido financiación para realizar un estudio sobre los HCFC. El PNUD ha incluido proyectos de demostración para probar enfoques, probar la aplicación de las tecnologías que no utilizan HCFC actuales en diferentes sectores y demostrar la viabilidad técnica y económica de las alternativas propuestas por medio del inicio de esfuerzos

de aumento de la sensibilización. El organismo señaló que puede considerarse esencial comenzar estos proyectos tan pronto como sea posible, de manera de poder incorporarlos en las actividades de los planes de gestión para la eliminación de los HCFC.

15. Para calcular los costos de los proyectos de demostración incluidos en el plan administrativo para 2008, el PNUD tomó en cuenta el nivel de consumo, aplicando 50 000 \$EUA (más costos de apoyo) como monto mínimo. El PNUD espera recibir más orientación del Comité Ejecutivo acerca de estas propuestas en la 54ª Reunión, después de la cual se incluirán presentaciones más concretas en las Enmiendas al programa de trabajo a partir de la 55ª Reunión.

16. Para el plan administrativo para 2008, el PNUD programó sus necesidades de financiación para los programas de inversión relacionados con los HCFC de seguimiento separando las entradas entre los diferentes requerimientos para abordar el HCFC-141b y el HCFC-22 por un lado, y la necesidad de cumplir con la congelación de los HCFC y la reducción del 10 por ciento por otro lado. Para arribar a los costos estimativos para los planes de gestión para la eliminación de los HCFC, el PNUD usó su modelo de cálculo de costos, que usa el consumo de HCFC más reciente notificado por los países que operan al amparo del Artículo 5. Para los 12 países donde se realizarán estudios, el PNUD aplicó factores específicos de distribución por sectores y crecimiento para cada país sobre la base de los resultados de los estudios. Para otros países, se utilizó un factor de distribución por sectores y crecimiento medio. Los valores de relación de costo a eficacia se basan en los valores en PAO y en las hojas de datos técnicos preliminares preparadas por el PNUD, que tomó en cuenta situaciones de mercado y factores de desarrollo técnico y alternativas de precios. El PNUD señaló que estos costos podrían ser bastante más bajos si los proyectos de demostración propuestos demuestran que se pueden lograr ahorros de costos en los diversos subsectores en cuestión. Los costos propuestos tomaron en cuenta si otros organismos estaban trabajando en el mismo país.

17. El nivel de costo a eficacia de los proyectos relacionados con los HCFC en el plan administrativo del PNUD varía entre 80 \$EUA/kg y 1 200 \$EUA/kg. El PNUD ha incluido actividades relacionadas con los HCFC para 24 países con valores de costo a eficacia de alrededor de 179 \$EUA/kg, y ninguna de éstas representa una eliminación de más de 0,6 tonelada PAO. El PNUD explicó que para los países de muy bajo volumen de consumo, tales como Kirguistán, se presuponía un valor mínimo de 50 000 \$EUA (más costos de apoyo) por tramo, que se aplicaba independientemente del tonelaje que se había notificado. Se consideró que, por debajo de este valor mínimo, no se podría ejecutar nada significativo. Sin embargo, el PNUD incluye 90 actividades relacionadas con los HCFC con una eliminación registrada con relaciones de costo a eficacia de entre 80 \$EUA/kg y 176 \$EUA/kg. Estas relaciones se basan en tonelajes que incluían los aumentos de consumo proyectados. Las relaciones de costos a eficacia basadas únicamente en el consumo más reciente hubieran resultado más altas.

Inhaladores de dosis medidas

18. El modelo orientado al cumplimiento incluye actividades de inhaladores de dosis medidas para países que resultan admisibles para la financiación, de conformidad con la decisión 35/57, para proyectos de CFC y para aquellos países que fabrican inhaladores.

19. En el plan administrativo para 2008, el PNUD incluyó siete estrategias para inhaladores de dosis medidas a un costo de 30 000 \$EUA (más tasas de organismo) para países que no fabrican inhaladores de dosis medidas. El PNUD solicita que se aclare si estos países tienen derecho a 30 000 \$EUA adicionales para una estrategia para los inhaladores de dosis medidas, aun cuando no sea parte de la presentación de un plan de gestión para la eliminación definitiva. El organismo señaló que considera que es aceptable que aquellos países que no incluyeron una solicitud para inhaladores de dosis medidas en su plan de gestión para la eliminación definitiva la soliciten por separado, por un valor de 30 000 \$EUA.

20. En su plan administrativo para 2008, el PNUD también ha incluido nuevamente, en nombre del Gobierno de Colombia, la actividad de inversión en inhaladores de dosis medidas para preparación de proyecto que no había sido aprobada en la 53ª Reunión del Comité Ejecutivo. El PNUD ha presentado un calendario que confirma que la compañía en cuestión se había establecido antes de que se presentara el plan nacional de eliminación para la consideración para la financiación y la aprobación en septiembre de 2003, pero que el tonelaje relacionado con la compañía de inhaladores de dosis medidas no se había registrado hasta que no se recopilaron las estadísticas para 2003 en 2004. El PNUD indicó que, por este motivo, el consumo de CFC financiado en el plan nacional de eliminación no incluía el CFC usado para los inhaladores de dosis medidas y que desearía pedir que el Comité reconsidere la situación a fin de que se pueda proporcionar asistencia al país de conformidad con la decisión 51/34. Además, el PNUD ha reiterado que la compañía puede y está dispuesta a financiar una parte de la conversión a inhaladores de dosis medidas sin CFC, y señaló que el Gobierno de Colombia está de acuerdo con las mismas condiciones que se impusieron a México y la India en la 53ª Reunión del Comité Ejecutivo.

21. El PNUD señaló que solicita aclaración al Comité Ejecutivo acerca de este asunto en el caso de que el proyecto no se considere aceptable nuevamente.

Desecho de SAO

22. En los últimos años, el PNUD ha explorado con los países interesados posibles actividades en diferentes áreas de gestión de desechos relacionados con las SAO. El PNUD es consciente que la Secretaría del Fondo Multilateral está conduciendo un estudio para investigar temas relacionados con la destrucción. Sin embargo, los países se han dirigido al PNUD en busca de asistencia para encontrar soluciones que satisfagan sus necesidades específicas tan pronto como sea posible y, consiguientemente, han solicitado estudios de factibilidad específicos para los países. El Comité Ejecutivo eliminó los proyectos de desecho de SAO de los planes administrativos para 2007-2009 en la inteligencia de que el Comité consideraría la cuestión en su primera reunión de 2008, en el contexto de los planes administrativos.

23. En su plan administrativo para 2008, el PNUD ha propuesto asignaciones de recursos de 656 000 \$EUA para 2008, 5 913 000 \$EUA para 2009 y 5 913 000 \$EUA para 2010 para proyectos relacionados con el desecho de SAO, con una eliminación relacionada de 393,8 toneladas PAO tanto para 2009 como para 2010. El PNUD sostuvo que se ha podido lograr el potencial de recuperación, gestión apropiada y desecho de las SAO no deseadas en los países desarrollados, pero que las condiciones varían en diferentes países y que los países en desarrollo carecen de información y capacidad técnica y financiera para hacer frente a este tema. Por lo

tanto, propuso proyectos de demostración que ofrecerían fondos iniciales para evaluar adecuadamente la situación en los países en desarrollo y transferir conocimientos de los países desarrollados.

24. El PNUD señala que todos los países incluidos en su plan administrativo tienen grandes cantidades de SAO no deseadas en bancos de equipos que posiblemente podrían destruirse. El PNUD calculó el tonelaje relacionado con los proyectos de demostración, equivalente a 5 por ciento a 20 por ciento de lo que teóricamente se podría destruir.

Aumento de la financiación de unidad central

25. En su plan administrativo para 2008, el PNUD incluyó una solicitud adicional de financiación de unidad central para abordar “Costos iniciales relacionados con los HCFC”, con un nivel de 500 000 \$EUA por año, para 2008, 2009 y 2010 (ajustado para un índice de inflación de 3 por ciento en 2009 y 2010). El organismo ha calculado que el aumento en la carga de trabajo durante este período intermedio, que requiere esfuerzos adicionales para eliminar todos los CFC y la labor inicial relacionada con los HCFC, requeriría que se agreguen tres miembros de personal. Además de los sueldos, el PNUD ha incluido en su solicitud previsiones para alquiler, viajes y otros gastos adicionales, tales como equipos. Actualmente se está realizando el estudio de costos administrativos, y se presentará un informe sobre la marcha de las actividades en el marco de la cuestión 13 del orden del día, intitulada “Evaluación de los costos administrativos requeridos para el trienio 2009-2011 (seguimiento a la decisión 50/27)”. El Comité Ejecutivo pudiera considerar todas las solicitudes de cambios en la financiación de costos de unidad central en el contexto de los resultados de ese estudio.

Tramos anuales de acuerdos plurianuales con demoras

26. El PNUD es el único organismo que tienen dos proyectos de CFC para financiar después de 2010: uno en Nigeria y uno en Bangladesh. Éstos consisten en tramos anuales para acuerdos plurianuales con demoras, por un valor total de alrededor de 180 000 \$EUA. El PNUD ha explicado que los fondos se requieren después de 2010 debido al inicio tardío o a dificultades en los planes de ejecución. Hay varias actividades relacionadas con el sector de servicio y mantenimiento de refrigeración (tal como capacitación, sensibilización acerca de los refrigerantes “*drop-in*”, mayores esfuerzos de recuperación/reciclaje de los sistemas de refrigeración existentes, incentivos para usuarios finales y proyectos de retroadaptación) que continuarán después de 2010. El PNUD señaló que, una vez que no haya importaciones de CFC, estos tipos de actividades resultarían inclusive más pertinentes y necesarias que lo que habían sido antes de 2010. El PNUD no considera que exista ningún problema relacionado con la legalidad de la financiación de proyectos de CFC después de la eliminación, sobre la base de la decisión 35/37 relativa a los acuerdos plurianuales.

Posibles superposiciones

27. En la reunión de coordinación de enero de 2007, la Secretaría pidió que todos los organismos de ejecución enviaran notas para las actividades con las que podían existir posibles superposiciones. El PNUD envió todas las notas correspondientes, excepto las notas de Pakistán

y Siria. Ante la falta de las notas, sigue existiendo una posible superposición con las actividades planificadas con otros organismos.

Indicadores de desempeño

28. A continuación, en la Tabla 2, se presenta un resumen de los indicadores de desempeño del PNUD de conformidad con las decisiones 41/93, 47/51 y 49/4 d).

Tabla 2
INDICADORES DE DESEMPEÑO

Rubro	PNUD 2008
Cantidad de programas anuales de acuerdos plurianuales aprobada en comparación con la proyectada (tramos nuevos más tramos de acuerdos plurianuales en curso)	40
Cantidad de proyectos/actividades individuales (proyectos de inversión, planes de gestión de refrigerantes, bancos de halones, asistencia técnica, fortalecimiento institucional) aprobados en comparación con los planificados	74
Actividades importantes terminadas/Niveles de SAO logrados para las partidas anuales plurianuales aprobados frente a los niveles planificados	47
Eliminación en SAO de proyectos individuales en comparación con la proyectada según los informes sobre la marcha de las actividades	1 741
Terminación de proyectos (de conformidad con la decisión 28/2 para proyectos de inversión) y según se define para proyectos ajenos a la inversión en comparación con los planificados en los informes sobre la marcha de las actividades	61
Cantidad de asistencia en materia de políticas y reglamentos en comparación con lo planificado	4/6 (67%)
Rapidez de conclusión financiera en comparación con lo que se requiere según las fechas de terminación de los informes sobre la marcha de las actividades	A tiempo
Presentación oportuna de los informes de terminación de proyecto en comparación con lo acordado	A tiempo
Presentación oportuna de los informes sobre la marcha de las actividades y de las respuestas a menos que se haya convenido otra cosa	A tiempo

29. El PNUD estableció una meta de 40 para la cantidad de tramos anuales que serían presentados en 2008, como se indica supra y en el plan administrativo narrativo del PNUD. Sin embargo, los datos en la hoja de cálculo del plan administrativo del PNUD indican que el organismo presentará financiación para tramos anuales de 27 acuerdos existentes y 12 acuerdos nuevos en 2008, para un total de 39 tramos anuales a ser presentados en 2008. A los efectos de la uniformidad con otros organismos, la meta de PNUD para la cantidad de programas anuales aprobados debería ser 39.

30. La meta del PNUD respecto de la cantidad de actividades importantes completadas/niveles de SAO alcanzados por tramos anuales en proyectos plurianuales es de 47. Puesto que este indicador solamente se aplica a acuerdos plurianuales aprobados, la meta del PNUD para actividades importantes completadas debería ser de 27.

31. Del mismo modo, el PNUD estableció un objetivo de 1 741 toneladas PAO como indicador de desempeño para el volumen de SAO a ser eliminadas con proyectos individuales. Sin embargo, la hoja de cálculo del plan administrativo indica que el PNUD eliminaría

1 888 toneladas PAO con las actividades individuales y aprobadas en curso en 2008, sobre la base de la clasificación de proyectos individuales de la Secretaría del Fondo. Por consiguiente, a los efectos de la uniformidad con los demás organismos, el objetivo de eliminación debería ser de 1 888 toneladas PAO.

32. El PNUD ha establecido una meta de asistencia de políticas a cuatro de seis países (Bangladesh, Barbados, Bolivia, Chile, El Salvador y Haití) en 2008.

RECOMENDACIONES

33. El Comité Ejecutivo pudiera:

- a) Avalar el plan administrativo para 2008-2010 del PNUD que figura en UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/54/8, sin perjuicio de la decisión de las Partes sobre la reposición para el año 2009 y siguientes, advirtiendo que ello no significa la aprobación de los proyectos indicados en dicho plan ni de sus niveles de financiación, y que dicho aval incluye las modificaciones que pudieran introducirse al examinar las siguientes actividades:
 - i) Actividades relacionadas con los HCFC;
 - ii) Actividades relacionadas con los inhaladores de dosis medidas;
 - iii) Actividades de desecho de SAO;
 - iv) Fondos adicionales para la unidad central del PNUD para cubrir actividades relacionadas con los HCFC con antelación a los resultados de la consideración por parte del Comité Ejecutivo de la “Evaluación de los costos administrativos requeridos para el trienio 2009-2011”; y
- b) Pedir al PNUD que informe a la 54ª Reunión sobre las esferas de posible superposición de actividades con respecto a los planes administrativos de otros organismos y tomar nota de la información facilitada, según proceda; y
- c) Aprobar los indicadores de desempeño para el PNUD indicados en la Tabla 2 de los comentarios de la Secretaría del Fondo que figuran en el documento UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/54/8, y establecer como objetivo la aprobación de 39 programas anuales de acuerdos plurianuales, la finalización de 27 actividades importantes de acuerdos plurianuales y la eliminación de 1 888 toneladas PAO.

**54th Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the
Implementation of the Montreal Protocol
(7 – 11 April 2008)**

UNDP 2008 BUSINESS PLAN NARRATIVE

1. Introduction

This narrative is based on two excel tables that are included as **annex 1** to this report.

- The first table lists all ongoing and planned activities for which funding is expected during the period 2008 through 2010 but also contains information for “after 2010” (which includes estimated information from 2011 through 2015).
- The second table lists the same activities, but also adds ongoing individual projects for which no further funding is required, but for which ODP phase out is expected during the same time frame. Unlike the first table, this one doesn’t include funding figures and while the former lists ODP phase out values corresponding to the expected budget that is listed in a given year, the latter only contains ODP phase out values which are listed in the year that they are supposed to be eliminated, i.e. at the completion of the activities.

While activities are included for 2008 and future years, it should be noted that planned activities included in the 2008 column are firm and future years are indicative and are provided for planning purposes only. This explains why the report is called “2008 Business Plan”.

Apart from the HCFC and ODS-Waste/Destruction activities, UNDP will prepare and submit 13 new TPMP activities over the course of 2008, which are mostly addressing the needs in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, 11 projects in MDIs, and 10 requests for extension of institutional strengthening projects. UNDP will continue to implement 47 approved multi-year agreements out of which 27 will receive a new funding tranche in 2008. Furthermore, UNDP will be requesting 4 project preparation activities and will receive its yearly core unit funding. Excluding HCFCs and ODS-Waste/Destruction activities, the total value of UNDP’s 2008 Business Plan including support costs is US\$ 19.6 million.

Taking into account the decisions taken at the 19th Meeting of the Parties with regards to HCFCs, UNDP has included 41 countries in its business plan, out of which 37 will include requests for project preparation and demonstration projects in 2008. As for ODS-Waste/Destruction Management, project preparation for 7 countries were included, which would result in refrigerator de-manufacturing pilot programmes in 2009/2010. When these activities are included, the total value of UNDP’s 2008 Business Plan including support costs is US\$ 31.8 million.

2. Resource allocation

The excel tables are grouped into various categories, which are reflected in the following summary table.

TABLE 1 – UNDP Business Plan Resource Allocations

Category	2008	2009	2010	After	TOTAL
1. Approved Multi-Year	7,297	4,003	509	180	11,989
2. Planned Inst. Str.	2,280	2,559	2,280	13,004	20,124
3. Core Unit Support	2,358	2,428	2,501	10,777	18,064
4. Planned TPMPs	1,725	1,521	152	-	3,397
5. Planned / Individual	2,752	-	-	-	2,752
6. Planned / Multi-Year	3,200	15,000	-	-	18,200
7. HCFC	11,486	70,898	70,898	70,554	223,836
8. ODS Waste Disposal	656	5,913	5,913	-	12,481
TOTAL	31,753	102,321	82,253	94,516	310,842

Notes:

- All values in US\$ '000 and include agency support costs.
- Column "After" covers projects from 2011 through 2015 (even though HCFC activities were only projected through 2012)
- The Core Unit includes a separate request for HCFC-start-up costs (see paragraph 4.8)

3. Geographical distribution

UNDP will again cover all regions, with approved MYAs and new activities in 73 countries, 58 of which have funding requests in 2008. The number of countries, activities and budgets per region for 2008 is listed in table 2.

TABLE 2 – UNDP 2008 MYA Tranches and New Activities per Region

	Nr of Countries	Nr of Activities	2008 Value
AFR	14	26	3,951
ASP	14	50	13,382
EUR	4	12	995
LAC	26	72	11,151
Total	58	160	29,479

Notes:

- "2008 Values" in US\$ '000 and include agency support costs.
- The Global entry for the Core Budget for the agency is not included, which explain why the total for 2008 is different than in table 1.

4. Programme Expansion in 2008

4.1. Background

UNDP's 2008-2010 Business Plan has been developed by drawing upon the analysis provided by the Multilateral Fund's strategic planning framework, through communication with countries that have expressed an interest in working with UNDP to address their compliance and other needs, as well as through negotiation and discussion with the MLF Secretariat and other Implementing Agencies during and post the Inter-Agency meeting held on 29-30 January 2008 in Montreal.

Countries Contacted. Except for the activities which were deferred from last year's business plan, UNDP communicated with each of the countries that figure in the plan -- especially when activities related to HCFCs and ODS-waste/Destruction. Correspondence indicating an interest in working with UNDP was received from these countries.

Coordination with other bilateral and implementing agencies. As it has done in the past, during 2008 UNDP will continue to collaborate with both bilateral and other implementing agencies. Collaborative arrangements in programming will continue with the Government of Canada, the Government of Japan, the Government of Germany and the Government of Italy, as well as with UNEP.

4.2. ODP Impact on the 3-year Phase-out Plan

In the next table – which is based on the first excel sheet of annex 1 – the ODP amount listed in a given year corresponds to the US\$ amount that is approved in that same year. This is even the case for the approved/multi-year category, where the overall cost-effectiveness was applied to each individual funding tranche.

TABLE 3 - Impact upon Project Approval (phase-out in ODP T)

Chemical	2008	2009	2010	After	TOTAL
CFC	1,387.8	1,092.3	104.7	103.2	2,688.1
CTC	-	-	-	-	-
TCA	45.7	45.7	-	-	91.5
Halons	-	-	-	-	-
MeBr	51.3	-	-	-	51.3
HCFC	-	3,932.3	3,932.3	2,996.9	10,861.5
ODS-Waste	-	393.8	393.8	-	787.5
TOTAL	1,484.8	5,464.1	4,430.7	3,100.1	14,479.8

Notes:

- Tonnage in ODP and based on date of project approvals
- Column “After” covers projects from 2011 through 2015 (even though HCFC activities were only projected through 2012)
- Most ODP for CFCs “after 2010” relate to automatic CFC-deductions for Institutional Strengthening projects of non-LVC countries and are therefore not to be seen as real phase-out.

If however the ODP impact were calculated at the time of project completion rather than at the time of approval, the table would look as in the next table 4, which is based on the second excel sheet of annex 1. As already mentioned in the introduction of this narrative, the figures are not only different because of different timing, but also because they include ongoing individual projects that will be completed over the next few years. As these projects have been approved before 2008, the ODP of such projects are zero in the above table (ODP at date of approval), but will add a considerable amount in the table below (ODP at date of completion).

TABLE 4 – Impact upon project completion (phase-out in ODP T)

Chemical	2008	2009	2010	After	TOTAL
CFC	2,278.8	1,187.1	639.7	817.7	4,923.3
CTC	2.1	-	-	-	2.1
TCA	99.0	84.0	85.0	-	268.0
Halons	773.1	2.1	1.1	-	776.3
MeBr	54.0	60.0	114.0	-	228.0
HCFC	-	-	-	10,861.5	10,861.5
ODS-Waste	-	-	-	787.5	787.5
TOTAL	3,207.0	1,333.2	839.8	12,466.7	17,846.7

Notes:

- Tonnage in ODP and based on date of project completions
- Column “After” covers projects from 2011 through 2015 (even though HCFC activities were only projected through 2012)

4.3. Project preparation

Requests for project preparation that will be submitted in 2008 are listed in annex 1, and the table below shows that there are 48 such activities amounting to US\$ 3,531,350, including support costs. More details on these requests is provided in the following paragraphs related to HCFCs (see 5.1) and ODS Waste Management/Destruction (see 5.3), and will also be included in the respective Work Programmes to be submitted in 2008. They include 3 requests for TPMPs, one for MDIs, 7 for ODS-Waste/Destruction programmes and 37 for HCFCs:

TABLE 5 – Project Preparation in 2008

Category	Country	Chemical	Title	'000 US\$	Remark
Non-HCFC/Waste	Barbados	CFC	PRP for Refrigeration Servicing	16.1	With UNEP
Non-HCFC/Waste	Brunei Darussalem	CFC	PRP for Refrigeration Servicing	16.1	With UNEP
Non-HCFC/Waste	Haiti	CFC	PRP for Refrigeration Servicing	16.1	With UNEP
Non-HCFC/Waste	Pakistan	CFC	PRP for Strat & MDI-Investment	64.5	No TPMP approved
HCFCs	Angola	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Argentina	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	86.0	
HCFCs	Armenia	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	64.5	
HCFCs	Bangladesh	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Bolivia	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	With GTZ
HCFCs	Brazil	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	107.5	With GTZ
HCFCs	Cambodia	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	With UNEP
HCFCs	Chile	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	80.6	
HCFCs	China	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	215.0	Solvents / Ref Manuf
HCFCs	Colombia	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	86.0	
HCFCs	Costa Rica	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Cote d'Ivoire	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	80.6	
HCFCs	Cuba	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Dominican Rep	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	El Salvador	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Fiji	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	43.0	
HCFCs	Gabon	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	With UNEP
HCFCs	Gambia	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Georgia	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Ghana	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	India	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	107.5	
HCFCs	Indonesia	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	107.5	
HCFCs	Iran	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	80.6	
HCFCs	Jamaica	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Kyrgyzstan	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	64.5	
HCFCs	Lebanon	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Malaysia	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	107.5	
HCFCs	Mexico	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	107.5	
HCFCs	Moldova	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Nepal	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	With UNEP
HCFCs	Nigeria	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	215.0	
HCFCs	Panama	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Paraguay	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Peru	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Sri Lanka	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	With UNEP
HCFCs	Trinidad & Tob	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	53.8	
HCFCs	Uruguay	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Plan	80.6	
ODS Waste	Brazil	CFC	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot	118.3	In coop with GTZ
ODS Waste	Colombia	CFC	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot	64.5	With Japan
ODS Waste	Cuba	CFC	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot	64.5	With Japan
ODS Waste	Egypt	CFC	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot	107.5	
ODS Waste	India	CFC	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot	118.3	
ODS Waste	Indonesia	CFC	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot	107.5	
ODS Waste	Lebanon	CFC	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot	75.3	
	48			3,531.350	

4.4. Non-investment projects

Also including in annex 1 are UNDP's 57 individual planned demonstration and technical assistance projects with a total value of US\$ 8,949,725 including support costs. Here also, more details on these requests is provided in the following paragraphs related to HCFCs and MDIs, and will also be included in the respective Work Programmes to be submitted throughout 2008.

TABLE 6 – Individual Non-Investment projects (TAS) in 2008

Category	Country	Type	Title	'000 US\$
HCFC Demonstrations	Angola	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Argentina	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	268.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Argentina	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	268.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Armenia	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Bangladesh	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Bolivia	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Brazil	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	537.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Brazil	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	537.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Cambodia	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Chile	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	215.0
HCFC Demonstrations	China	DEM	Demonstration in Solvents	215.0
HCFC Demonstrations	Colombia	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	215.0
HCFC Demonstrations	Colombia	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	215.0
HCFC Demonstrations	Colombia	DEM	Demonstration in Flushing	215.0
HCFC Demonstrations	Costa Rica	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	161.3
HCFC Demonstrations	Costa Rica	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	161.3
HCFC Demonstrations	Cote d'Ivoire	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	150.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Cuba	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Dominican Rep	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	107.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Dominican Rep	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	107.5
HCFC Demonstrations	El Salvador	DEM	Demonstrations in Foam, Flushing and Refr	241.9
HCFC Demonstrations	Fiji	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Gabon	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Gambia	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Georgia	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	129.0
HCFC Demonstrations	Ghana	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	129.0
HCFC Demonstrations	India	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	537.5
HCFC Demonstrations	India	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	537.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Indonesia	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	268.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Indonesia	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	268.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Iran	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	161.3
HCFC Demonstrations	Iran	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	161.3
HCFC Demonstrations	Jamaica	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Servicing	129.0
HCFC Demonstrations	Kyrgyzstan	DEM	Demonstration in AC Sector	129.0
HCFC Demonstrations	Kyrgyzstan	DEM	Demonstration in Flushing	129.0
HCFC Demonstrations	Lebanon	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	161.3
HCFC Demonstrations	Lebanon	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	161.3
HCFC Demonstrations	Malaysia	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	268.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Malaysia	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	268.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Mexico	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	322.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Moldova	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Nepal	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Nigeria	DEM	Demonstration in Foam/Ser v Sect	107.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Panama	DEM	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8
HCFC Demonstrations	Peru	DEM	Demonstration in Foam	107.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Peru	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	107.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Sri Lanka	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Sector	107.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Sri Lanka	DEM	Demonstration in Foams Sector	107.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Trinidad & Tob	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	107.5
HCFC Demonstrations	Uruguay	DEM	Demonstration in Refr Servicing	53.8
MDI transition Strategy	Armenia	TAS	MDI transition Strategy	32.3
MDI transition Strategy	Bolivia	TAS	MDI transition Strategy	32.3
MDI transition Strategy	Chile	TAS	MDI transition Strategy	32.3
MDI transition Strategy	Dominican Rep	TAS	MDI transition Strategy	32.3
MDI transition Strategy	Ghana	TAS	MDI transition Strategy	32.3
MDI transition Strategy	Lebanon	TAS	MDI transition Strategy	32.3
MDI transition Strategy	Panama	TAS	MDI transition Strategy	32.3
57				8,949.7

In addition, UNDP will prepare 10 non-investment Institutional Strengthening projects in 2008, as indicated in the table below. The total value of IS renewal programming in 2008 is US \$2,280,371

TABLE 7 – Non-Investment Institutional Strengthening requests

Country	Title	'000 US\$
Trinidad and Tobago	Extension Institutional Strengthening	64.5
Sri Lanka	Extension Institutional Strengthening	144.1
Ghana	Extension Institutional Strengthening	149.5
Uruguay	Extension Institutional Strengthening	162.1
Lebanon	Extension Institutional Strengthening	166.7
Iran	Extension Institutional Strengthening	186.5
Nigeria	Extension Institutional Strengthening	279.5
Venezuela	Extension Institutional Strengthening	306.9
India	Extension Institutional Strengthening	401.2
China	Extension Institutional Strengthening	419.3
10		2,280.4

4.5. Submission of new tranches of ongoing Multi-Year agreements in 2008.

UNDP has currently 47 ongoing Multi-Year agreements (including ongoing TPMPs) of which 27 would need to receive an additional funding tranche in 2008. The total from these tranches in 2008 would amount to US\$ 7,296,685. They are as listed below.

TABLE 8 – Ongoing Multi-Year Agreements and their funding in 2008

Country	Chemical	Title	'000 US\$
Bahrain	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	43.0
Bangladesh	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	757.9
Belize	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Bolivia	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan (CFC)	238.7
Bolivia	CTC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan (CTC)	
Brazil	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	262.5
Cambodia	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Chad	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
China	TCA	Solvent Sectoral phaseout plan	1,591.0
Colombia	CFC	ODS Phase Out Plan (CFC)	
Colombia	Halons	ODS Phase Out Plan (Halons)	
Comoros	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	34.9
Congo DR	CFC	CFC phase out plan	77.3
Costa Rica	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	215.0
Costa Rica	MeBr	Fumigant Methyl bromide	781.3
Cuba	CFC	ODS phase out plan	114.0
Djibouti	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Dominica	CFC	CFC phase out plan	49.1
Dominican Rep	CFC	CFC phase out plan	227.5
El Salvador	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	247.3
Gabon	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	43.6
Gambia	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Georgia	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Ghana	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	

continued on next page

TABLE 8 – Continued

Country	Chemical	Title	'000 US\$
Grenada	CFC	CFC phase out plan	54.5
Guyana	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
India	CFC	Refrigeration Servicing	163.8
Indonesia	CFC	Refrigeration Manufacturing	197.3
Indonesia	CFC	Refrigeration Servicing	
Kyrgyzstan	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	67.7
Lebanon	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	69.9
Liberia	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Malawi	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Maldives	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Mali	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Mauritania	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Moldova	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	163.9
Nepal	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	27.3
Nigeria	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	1,397.7
Panama	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	197.8
Paraguay	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	150.5
Rwanda	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
Samoa	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
St Kitts and Nevis	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	49.1
St Vincent & the Grenadines	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	22.9
Uruguay	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	51.6
Zambia	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	
	47	27	7,296.7

4.6. Formulation of new TPMPs in 2008

While UNDP has prepared and received approvals for 23 new Terminal Phaseout Management Plans (TPMPs) in 2007, 13 new TPMP requests will be formulated in 2008 which will be jointly done with UNEP.

This activity will receive highest priority as it will represent the only remaining financial assistance to tackle CFCs before the final phase out target of 1 January 2010. They are listed in the following table. However, in some cases, efforts are being delayed because some countries have not adopted the London Amendment, while others do not yet have a functioning licensing system in place. The Executive Committee has ruled that for such cases, TPMPs cannot be approved.

TABLE 9 – New TPMPs in 2008

Country	Title	'000 US\$	Remark
Angola	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	139.8	With UNEP
Barbados	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	79.3	With UNEP
Brunei Darussalem	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	139.8	With UNEP
Guatemala	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	151.8	With UNEP
Haiti	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	151.8	With UNEP
Mozambique	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	129.0	With UNEP
Nicaragua	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	139.8	With UNEP
Peru	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	151.8	With UNEP
Sierra Leone	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	139.8	With UNEP
Suriname	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	92.7	With UNEP
Swaziland	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	92.7	With UNEP
Tanzania	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	151.8	With UNEP
Togo	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	116.1	With UNEP
13		1,676.2	

4.7. Investment Projects in 2008 (except for TPMPs).

Only 4 investment projects will be submitted in 2008. Three of them are MDI manufacturing conversion programme and one is a process agent project for Brazil. Three of these proposals are individual, while one (India) would be a multi-year agreement.

TABLE 10 – Investment Programmes in 2008

Country	Title	'000 US\$
Colombia	MDI Investment Project	185.0
Pakistan	MDI Investment & strat Project	1,009.1
Brazil	Solvents, Process Agents	1,266.9
India	MDI Investment Project	3,200.0
		5,661.0

4.8. Request of UNDP-MPU's Core Funding

As is the case every year, UNDP will request funding for the operation of its core unit funding at the last ExCom meeting of the year.

However, an additional request called “HCFC Start-up Costs” is being added in addition to the usual amount of core-funding, and this for a 3-year period. The need for such additional request in support cost is to allow the agency to cope with the simultaneous peak of activity resulting from the 2010 CFC total phaseout in a large number of LVCs, combined with the need to initiate HCFC activities rapidly, as described in length in paragraphs 5.1 and 6.3 below.

As the CFC-activities are likely to decrease significantly after 2010, UNDP only requests the start-up cost for 2008, 2009 and 2010 for US\$ 500,000 per year, adjusted with a 3% inflation rate in 2009/2010.

5. Activities included in the Business plan that needs special consideration.

While the preceding paragraph 4 of this report dealt specifically with 2008 activities only, this and following paragraphs are relating to 2008 and future years.

5.1. HCFCs

During 2006/2007 UNDP has assisted twelve countries (Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, India, Indonesia, Iran, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mexico, Syria, Sri Lanka, and Venezuela) to complete their HCFC surveys and has submitted the reports to the Executive Committee. In view of increased concerns related to the large growth of HCFC consumption, several countries have requested inclusion in the last year's Business Plan to conduct additional surveys as well as to prepare HCFC-strategies and follow-up investment activities. However, having found that such requests were premature without clear guidance from the Meeting of the Parties, the Executive Committee decided to defer all such requests to 2008 and future years.

Meanwhile, important decisions on HCFCs were taken by the Meeting of the Parties at its 19th meeting in September 2007, and as a result the 53rd meeting of the Executive Committee took decision 53/37 related to HCFCs which requests the MLF Secretariat to prepare guidelines for "HCFC phase-out management plans incorporating HCFC surveys, taking into consideration comments and views relating to such guidelines expressed by Executive Committee members at the 53rd Meeting and the submissions to the 54th Meeting referred to in paragraph (1) below, and that the Executive Committee would do its utmost to approve the guidelines at its 54th Meeting". At the time of writing this narrative, these guidelines are currently being reviewed by the implementing agencies.

As far as the overarching strategy for "full phaseout", it is UNDP's views that it is too early to establish and therefore the HCFC Management Plans should be prepared following a staged approach which will focus on the 2013 and 2015 targets now, followed by a review process to look into longer-term actions required, at a later stage.

UNDP has thus included three types of HCFC-related activities in its business plan which are described in more details in the following paragraphs:

- requests for project preparation (2008)
- demonstration projects (2008)
- follow-up investment programmes (2009-2015)

Project Preparation (2008).

Further to written requests received from the countries concerned, UNDP is submitting to the 54th meeting of the Executive Committee, 37 project preparation activities (see table 5 in paragraph 4.3) to assist countries to prepare their HCFC Management Plans focusing first on helping countries to reach the 2013 freeze and the 2015 10%- reduction control measures for HCFCs. While conducting such project preparation activities, UNDP will fully take into account the new HCFC guidelines which will be considered at the 54th meeting of the Executive Committee.

The 12 surveys finalized were very helpful to those 12 countries and they are ready to start immediately the work needed to finalize the required action plan to meet the tight reduction schedule until 2015. Others will have to move fast to be able to meet agreed targets. For the twelve countries which already received funding to conduct a survey, the requested PRP funds were proportionally decreased. These requests will be included in UNDP's Work Programme to be submitted simultaneously to the business plan.

Demonstration Projects (2008).

Table 6 in paragraph 4.4 provides a list of demonstration projects being submitted at the same time as the requests for project preparation. These are being submitted in view of the rapidly changing market, technology options and the special situation within each individual country and one of the aims of such demonstrations is to find cost-saving methods to the MLF in order to carry out HCFC-investment activities in future years. More details in this connection are provided in the following bullet-points:

- As the conversion from HCFCs progressed in developed countries, so did technology options. Such developments can be divided into cost optimization, blends of known substances and newly developed, zero ODP, low GWP substances. Just in the foam industry there are at least five (5) alternatives to “business as usual” and in the RAC sectors, where blending has been developed into an “art”, even more.
- These approaches have, with few exceptions, not yet been applied in developing countries. The general assumption that they will work in developing countries - just as well and without piloting - would be optimistic and ignoring expensive lessons learned from the virtually completed CFC phaseout in manufacturing applications.
- In view of that and the special situation of individual countries, it is deemed necessary to further the testing of the application of the current non-HCFC technologies in different sectors in order to verify the feasibility of conversion, looking at application of low or zero GWP technologies, costs, including potential cost reduction, and of the application of low or zero GWP technologies.
- It is also necessary to demonstrate the technical and economic viability of the proposed alternatives locally by initiating awareness-raising efforts. This would be carried out by involving local stakeholders, such as industry-associations, major technicians and chemical distributors in this technology transfer process in order to raise much-needed confidence at the local level.

In our view this is also critical to ascertain in a more realistic way the conversion costs and demonstrate the potential to reduce it, as well as to bring to the committee any technology conversion issues identified. It is UNDP’s opinion that the small surcharge related to supply investigation, extra prototyping and testing avoids costly mistakes in subsequent projects and is therefore cost-effective. It also would provide the MLF with valuable cost information early in the phaseout process.

Let us not forget that for CFCs, article-5 countries almost had 8 years to experiment with the various available technologies before the 1999 CFC-freeze kicked in, while this is now reduced to barely 2 years for HCFCs. It is therefore deemed essential to start these demonstration efforts immediately, so that the results may be incorporated into the HCFC Management Plans activities that will come forward from 2009 on.

Follow-up Investment Programmes (2009-2015)

As can be seen from the time-chart below, HCFC Management Programmes should be approved from early 2009 onwards in order to achieve the 2013 and 2015 benchmarks. In view of the typical implementation-time of 2 years (optimistic), there is absolutely no time to waste, failing which it will be very hard for countries to meet the first two HCFC benchmarks.

2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
PRP and Demos							
	Approval of tranche 1 of HCFC-freeze plan	Approval of tranche 2 of HCFC-freeze plan					
			Completion of tranche 1 of HCFC-freeze plan	Completion of tranche 2 of HCFC-freeze plan			
					HCFC freeze achieved		
			Approval of tranche 1 of 10%-reduction plan	Approval of tranche 2 of 10%-reduction plan			
					Completion of tranche 1 of 10%-reduction plan	Completion of tranche 2 of 10%-reduction plan	
							10% reduction achieved

This is also how UNDP programmed funding-needs for HCFCs in its current business plan. Programme entries were separated between HCFC-141b and HCFC-22 needs on the one hand, and between the needs to achieve the HCFC-freeze and the 10%-Reduction benchmarks on the other hand. In countries where both HCFCs are present, the total needs till 2015 are therefore split out over four rows in the business plan tables.

To arrive at the estimated costs for the HCFC Management Plans, UNDP has used its cost-calculator / model which it developed prior to the MOP last September and which has been updated to take into consideration the agreed control targets. The model uses the latest HCFC consumption data reported by article-5 countries. For the 12 survey-countries, we applied specific sector distribution and growth-factor for each country based on the survey-results, while for all other countries, an average sector-distribution and growth-factor was used. Cost-effectiveness values were based on ODP-values and on preliminary technical fact-sheets that were prepared by UNDP which took market situation as well as technical development factors and price of alternatives into account. As mentioned, these costs may become significantly lower if the proposed demonstration projects can demonstrate that cost-savings can be attained in the various subsectors involved. Where we were informed that other agencies would also work in the same countries, deductions were made in the estimated costs accordingly.

5.2. MDIs

MDI Transition Strategies.

MOP Decision XII/2 (7) requests the Executive Committee to consider providing technical, financial and other assistance to Article 5(1) Parties to facilitate the development of metered-dose inhaler transition strategies and the implementation of approved activities contained therein. This is why Executive Committee Decision 45/54 (e) allowed for this and why UNDP has included 7 MDI-Strategies for countries that do not manufacture MDIs (see above paragraph 4.4). The same Decision of the MOP (6a) encourages each Article 5(1) Party to develop a transition strategy and submit the text of any such a strategy to the Ozone Secretariat by 31 January 2005 and report to the Secretariat by 31 January each year thereafter on progress made on transition to CFC free MDIs. Article 5(1) countries have not made progress in meeting this request from Parties so far. In the absence of preparatory funds for the Transition Strategy, which would allow the country to identify the situation of the sector, the number of asthma sufferers and their medication needs, products available in the market, price etc., no progress can be made as the country is unable to identify properly where it stands as far as the sector is concerned. In view of this, UNDP will include the requests from those countries in its Work Programme throughout 2008.

We wish to note that decision 51/34 provides additional clarifications as to the conditions that a country needs to meet in order to be eligible to receive funding for an MDI transition strategy. It does refer to decision 45/54 on TPMPs in this regard, which allowed countries to add US\$ 30,000 to the recommended maximum TPMP-amount if it wishes to include an MDI transition strategy. However, nowhere does decision 45/54 state that the US\$ 30,000 for MDIs **has to be** part of the TPMP submission. UNDP

therefore believes it is acceptable that for those countries that did not include such request in their TPMPs, a separate request for US\$ 30,000 could still be submitted in 2008. A clarification on this point from the Executive Committee would be very helpful.

MDI Investment Activities.

Inclusion of MDI-related activities was also considered in the light of ExCom Decision 50/6 (a) mentioned above in paragraph 4.7 (3 MDI-investment projects).

Decision XVIII/16 of the Meeting of the Parties (MOP) recognized the difficulties faced by countries on metered-dose inhaler transition and requested the Executive Committee to consider as a matter of urgency the funding of projects in relation to article-5 countries that experience difficulties due to high CFC consumption for manufacturing of MDIs and to review its Decision 17/7 on eligibility criteria related to cut off date.

After this Decision of the 18th MOP, the Executive Committee approved other MDI projects and project preparation funds requests, on a case by case basis. One project was approved last meeting and another one was not, even though both got recommended by the Secretariat. Colombia, that got its request denied, requested an explanation from UNDP, but due to lack of clarity during the deliberations, UNDP was unable to provide a clear explanations to the country. UNDP has been asked by Colombia to re-submit the request for project preparation for MDI and include it in the UNDP 2008 Business Plan. UNDP needs guidance from the Committee on this matter.

During the years remaining until full phase out of CFCs, manufacturing countries with high consumption of MDIs will have difficulties to remain in compliance, unless their MDI sector is tackled soon. Additional requests for information on top of other impediments, required postponement of the project preparation request for India and Pakistan to 2008 and both are included in UNDP's business plan.

5.3. Waste Management/Destruction

For the last few years, UNDP has continuously been requested by some countries to include in its Business Plan, activities that would help them to manage their stocks of ODS which can not be reused, as well as the ODS-containing waste, in a sound way. These stocks/waste are dispersed in the countries, in old equipment, containers, cylinders, and to say the least, in the millions of appliances in the countries. Without proper regulatory framework and a programme to deal with them, they are improperly handled and disposed of, adding to the ODS emissions to the atmosphere.

With the CFC phaseout approaching, its increasing price, and the establishment and implementation of the recovery schemes in many countries, those banks of unwanted ODS are increasing, not counting illegally traded ODS, apprehended as a result of the enforcement of legislation in place.

In addition, if one considers ODS containing foams, those banks are really large and potential for sustainable recovery and disposal programmes exist, especially in countries that have reclamation facilities and are engaging in refrigeration replacement and other programs to manage ODS and reduce demand, which also bring important energy savings benefits.

The potential for recovery, proper management and disposal of such unwanted ODS banked, has been proven as being possible in developed countries. The business model can be sustainable if certain conditions are in place. Those need to be ascertained for the different countries as they vary from country to country.

Developing countries lack access to that information and to technical and financial assistance to help them to understand the issues, size them, and be able to design a management system / business model, estimate costs and partnerships needed for such programme to happen, an identify sources of finance.

Demonstration projects would bring the seed money necessary to identify their current situation and potential public-private partnerships, and bring “lessons learned” from developed countries that will help them to think through and establish a solid “unwanted ODS” management system taking into account considerations of sound management of chemicals, as well as finding sound environment solutions that will benefit both ozone and climate.

6. MEASURES TO EXPEDITE IMPLEMENTATION OF APPROVED PROJECTS AND THOSE CRITICAL TO COMPLIANCE

6.1. Phase-out from Approved Ongoing Individual Projects.

Table 11 below indicates the amount that will be phased out from approved, ongoing individual projects.

TABLE 11 – Phase-out from Approved Ongoing Individual projects (ODP tonnes)

Chemical	2008	2009	2010	After	TOTAL
CFC	1,082.0	164.0	-		1,246.0
CTC	2.0	-	-		2.0
TCA	14.0	-	-		14.0
Halons	772.0	1.0	-		773.0
MeBr	18.0	-	-		18.0
HCFC	-	-	-		-
ODS-Waste	-	-	-		-
TOTAL	1,888.0	165.0	-	-	2,053.0

The total amount reported in the same table last year was 2,445, two years ago it was 3054 and three years earlier 4,497 ODP tonnes. The amount of tonnes to be phased out in individual ongoing projects is going down each year. This is due to the fact that most new approvals are in the form of “multi-year agreements” rather than “individual projects”.

It should however be noted that information about 2008 project completion only becomes available at progress report time, so that the above figures are only estimates and may in fact become lower (i.e. more may have been phased out in 2007). In addition one should note that, in 2006, the ODP of all RMP components were revised upwards by the MLFS to reflect true 85% CFC phase out. If not, the figures in the above table would also be significantly lower.

As mentioned in last year’s business plan, UNDP continues to make efforts to expedite the implementation of approved projects and especially for those that are critical to compliance. UNDP’s Montreal Protocol Unit (MPU) evaluates on an annual basis and adjusts the way it operates so as to better assist countries to comply with the MP control measures in accordance with the strategic direction provided by the Multilateral Fund during this triennium. The efforts will continue in 2008 as highlighted below:

6.2. Strengthening the Network of UNDP staff and Experts in the Field and Challenges

- In respect of implementation, upon the retirement of the MPU Deputy, UNDP redesigned the position and formulated TORs which were mostly focused on operations including M&E. The new Deputy has 15 years of experience in project/programme execution. Jointly with the Chief of the Unit, the new Deputy will use her experience to improve monitoring, follow up and trouble shooting as well as re-design the MPU operational structure, increasing flexibility and strengthening the focus on implementation.
- UNDP will continue to work with national consultants/ national associations/ partners at the country level so as to better address the needs of countries and speed up response time at the field level.
- Monitoring and evaluation of multi-year performance-based phase-out projects with agreements will continue to be conducted in close cooperation with national experts and government focal points as well as with other IAs.
- While UNDP believes that enhanced field presence allows for more direct supervision of activities, UNDP continues to encounter difficulties for LVCs in which RMP components and TPMPs are being implemented as the level of support cost does not allow for reimbursing the country office at a rate that would bring sufficient level of monitoring at the UNDP country office level and/or at the level of consultancy components to ensure smooth implementation.
- UNDP has shifted most of the daily management of its approved national and sector plans to implementation according to the National Execution (NEX) modality. This execution modality also serves to enhance the role of national experts and national institutions, thereby building national capacity, and is in line with the “Country-Driven Approach” recommended by the Executive Committee. UNDP continues to give preference to this modality.
- UNDP MP Unit is maintaining its outposted positions in Bangkok, Bratislava and Panama. As was the case from the outset, these posts are all funded 50/50 by the MLF and the GEF administrative budgets.
- In 2008 UNDP will continue to focus on follow up with executing agencies and country offices to financially close outstanding operationally completed projects in order to return remaining funds to MLF. Our finance team will continue to ensure adequate management of financial reporting and follow-up on requirements related to the implementation of national and sector phase-out plans, and maintain close contacts with Secretariat and Treasurer.
- With regards to the future HCFC work, upon approval of the Business Plan, UNDP will undertake an evaluation of current human resources capacity and core unit budget required to deliver the HCFC reduction to meet the 2013 freeze and the 2015 10%-reduction targets. UNDP is already operating with insufficient core unit resources which are stretched to the limit due to increasing workload to speed up implementation to reach the 2010 phaseout target, large number of small projects in LVCs with associated very low support costs. In this regard, UNDP is proposing a “HCFC start-up cost” as referred to in paragraphs 4.8 and 6.3 below.

6.3. Management and Supervision of National/Sector Plans

There are currently 47 ongoing Performance Based National and Sector Plans with UNDP which are listed above in paragraph 4.5.

- UNDP will continue to assist the countries in which it is implementing national and sector phase-out plans to establish and sustain the infrastructure for the National Implementation and Monitoring/ Management Units approved under the national/sector Plans, working closely with Government and operating under MLF and UNDP guidelines related to procurement of goods, data verification

requirements, proper financial management and auditing, as well as required reporting on the progress of the Plans.

- National ODS legislative and regulatory frameworks are assessed and, if deemed inadequate to support and sustain the target reductions contained in a performance-base agreement, are presented to the relevant Government authorities with suggested revisions. Monitoring of CFC imports and distribution will continue to be strengthened as a mechanism to prevent enterprises (who have converted) from making future purchases of CFCs. UNDP will also continue to assist countries put in place, or strengthen, verification mechanisms, both from a top-down approach - ensuring that appropriate licensing systems are in place, as well as a bottom-up approach – supporting enhancement of government registries that detail purchasers of CFCs, as well as enterprises that have been assisted by the Fund.
- As far as meeting agreed targets, UNDP and Government staff will continue to work in partnership to establish the mechanisms for preparation of projects to be funded under the Plans (in accordance with MLF guidelines, independent technical reviews etc.), as well as to monitor their implementation (procurement of equipment/materials, list of equipment to be destroyed, technology selection regulations, etc.). Reports on progress, key to measuring success of implementation and phase-out, as well as identifying challenges, are the result of a collaborative effort between National Management teams and UNDP.

UNDP believes that the aforementioned measures will continue to assist countries to expedite implementation, as well as allow for a comprehensive assessment of additional needs at the country-level, thereby more effectively supporting the compliance-driven model. Specific ODP related information on on-going UNDP projects, on a country-by-country basis, has been provided as part of the BP tables. The measures above are intended, as before, to be extended to all programming, on-going and planned, so as to maintain momentum, accelerate implementation where required, improve supervision, as well as financial accountability, at the field level.

As already pointed out in section 6.2 however, the number of on-going National Plans/TPMPs has increased substantially, from 26 in 2007 to 47. In addition, most of the new TPMPs are in low volume consuming countries with relatively lower budgets and associated support costs. As the work-volume is expected to rise significantly due to the new control measures related to HCFCs, this will put a lot of strain to UNDP's already limited staff resources which is even more critical given that the final CFC phase-out of 1 January 2010 is around the corner. UNDP is concerned about this situation and is proposing an increased level of core-funding beyond the usual 3% increase related to inflation as referred to in paragraphs 4.8 and 6.2 with regards to the "HCFC start-up costs".

6.4. Country Developments and UNDP Efforts to Address Compliance

6.4.1. UNDP efforts in countries addressed by the Implementation Committee and by the MOP

UNDP is working to assist a number of countries address their compliance commitments, following issues raised by the Implementation Committee in 2007 and corresponding decisions taken by the 19th Meeting of the Parties. These include countries where UNDP manages the Institutional Strengthening programmes, as well as countries where UNDP is playing a significant role in a particular sector. In addition to the measures mentioned above, the following efforts are being put in place:

Bangladesh: MOP decision XVII/27 requested Bangladesh to submit a report on implementation of its National Phase-out Plan. A revised Work Plan for the National Phase Out plan is being prepared. UNDP

has scheduled a mission in February 2008 to assist the Government and to discuss the revised work plan which will take into consideration the 2006 consumption data and the verification report.

Barbados: MOP decision XIX/26 requested the Government to report on the establishment of a licensing system. Until November 2008 RMP update activities were on hold, pending enactment of legislation. We have received evidence that it has been enacted and we have initiated our activities in Barbados.

Bolivia: MOP decision XIX/26 requested the Government to report on the establishment of a licensing system. UNDP contributes to the reduction of CFC consumption through timely implementation of UNDP TPMP components.

Chile: MOP decision XVII/29 requested the Government to submit an update on its regulatory commitments to introduce an enhanced ODS licensing and import quota system, and to submit an update on its TCA phase out projects. During 2007 UNDP took on oversight management of Chile's Institutional Strengthening and continued the implementation of the Solvents Technical Assistance Project. During the same year the country maintained compliance with TCA consumption levels achieved since 2006, and approved/started application of ODS legislation including licensing and quota system to import ODS. During 2008 UNDP will continue efforts to assist the country through the Institutional Strengthening in the application of the licensing system and through the completion of the Solvents Technical Assistance Project in maintaining compliance with TCA and ensuring sustainability of these results. As done in previous years, UNDP will continue providing the necessary assistance to the country to fulfill the requirements of the implementation committee, including the update report on the licensing system and the Solvents Technical Assistance Project, due on 29th February 2008.

Haiti: MOP decision XIX/26 requested the Government to report on the establishment of a licensing system. Pending approval of the ODS legislation, UNDP has not been able to start implementation of its project. Anticipating the approval of the legislation, we have hired an international consultant and we are in the process of hiring a local consultant to prepare the revised work plan and implement the adjusted RMP update. A new Ozone Officer entered office recently, and UNEP and UNDP have been guiding him in his new position.

El Salvador: MOP requested the Government to continue CTC phase out efforts. The TPMP for El Salvador was approved at ExCom 53. In order to assist El Salvador, we will try to see under the TPMP if some non-ODS alternatives exist for the CTC applications.

6.4.2. UNDP efforts to support verification of Article 7 data (in support of Decision 41/16)

As part of the activities that UNDP will undertake in 2007, and as done in the past for UNDP-IS countries, UNDP will continue to work with National Ozone Units in partner countries to verify the consistency of their Article 7 data reporting and project phase-out data presented. The underlying aim of such an exercise is to ensure the accuracy of data in order to facilitate verification of phase-out achievements and identify potential and/or existing problem areas, such that remedial action, as necessary, may be initiated. In addition, lessons learned and recommendations gathered from independent verification reports are taken into consideration by UNDP and partner Governments in order to enhance reliability and consistency of data reporting.

6.4.3 UNDP efforts to sustain implementation of servicing sector projects in countries where UNDP has received funds for implementation of RMPs/TPMPs and/or components thereof

UNDP has implemented, and continues to implement, many activities in the refrigeration servicing sector. These include: early MLF domestic and MAC sector recovery and recycling projects, full RMPs approved prior to Decision 31/48, recovery and recycling RMP components, both pre- and post-Decision 31/48, end-user incentive programmes and more recently Terminal Phase Out Management Plans (TPMPs). UNDP maintains an active cooperation with UNEP on the implementation of projects in the servicing sector, where UNEP manages the non-investment and UNDP the investment components. Over the course of 2008, UNDP will concentrate efforts on the formulation and implementation of TPMPs in order to assist countries in establishing strategic plans that allow for achievement of the 2007 CFC consumption reduction target and place them well on track to meet the upcoming 2010 100% phase-out target. UNDP will also collaborate in the formulation of Terminal Phase Out Plans required for compliance.

7. PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

UNDP 2008 Investment Project Performance Indicator Targets:

Decision 41/93 of the Executive Committee approved the following indicators to allow for the evaluation of performance of implementing agencies, with the weightings indicated in the table below. UNDP has added a column containing the “2008 targets” for those indicators. Some of these targets can be extracted from UNDP’s 2008 business plan to be approved at the 54th ExCom meeting in April 2008.

Category of performance indicator	Item	Weight	UNDP’s target for 2008	Remark
Approval	Number of annual programmes of multi-year agreements approved vs. those planned.	20	27	(See paragraph 4.5 above)
Approval	Number of individual projects/activities (DEM, INV, TAS, one-off TPMPs, TRA) approved vs. those planned	20	62	(7 TAS, 50 DEM, 3 INV, 2 one-offs TPMPs) (See paragraphs 4.4, 4.7)
Implementation	Milestone activities completed /ODS levels achieved for approved multi-year annual tranches vs. those planned	20	47	(See paragraph 4.5 above → 1 milestone per ongoing MYA)
Implementation*	ODP phased-out for individual projects vs. those planned per progress reports	5	1,265	(See Table 11 → 67% of 1888)
Implementation*	Project completion (pursuant to Decision 28/2 for investment projects) and as defined for non-investment projects vs. those planned in progress reports	5	60	This can be better determined after progress report is submitted in May 08 but we took 60 as an estimate for the time being.
Implementation	Percentage of policy/regulatory assistance completed vs. that planned	10	67%	4 out of 6 countries with compliance issues as listed in paragraph 6.4.1. will have received policy assistance by UNDP
Administrative	Speed of financial completion vs. that required per progress report completion dates	10	On time	
Administrative*	Timely submission of project completion reports vs. those agreed	5	On time	
Administrative*	Timely submission of progress reports and responses unless otherwise agreed	5	On time	

Annex 1 – See Excel Tables

Category	Country	Region	IA	LVC	Type	Chemical/ Substance	Short Title	Value (\$000) in 2008	ODP in 2008*	Value (\$000) in 2009	ODP in 2009*	Value (\$000) in 2010	ODP in 2010*	Value (\$000) after 2010	ODP after 2010	Approved MYA (Yes/ Blank)	A-Appr P-Plan'd	I-Indiv M-Multi- Year	Remark
1. Approved Multi-Year	Bahrain	ASP	UNDP	LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	43.0	7.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Bangladesh	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	757.9	193.7	59.1	14.3	59.1	14.3	59.1	14.3	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Belize	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	78.5	1.4	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Bolivia	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan (CFC)	238.7	16.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Bolivia	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CTC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan (CTC)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	262.5	63.6	105.0	25.4	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Cambodia	ASP	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	91.4	4.1	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Chad	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	89.4	2.5	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	China	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	TCA	Solvent Sectoral phaseout plan	1,591.0	48.7	1,591.0	45.7	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	ODS Phase Out Plan (CFC)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	Halons	ODS Phase Out Plan (Halons)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Comoros	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	34.9	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Congo DR	AFR	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	CFC phase out plan	77.3	11.0	77.3	11.0	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	215.0	13.3	177.4	11.0	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PHO	MeBr	Fumigant Methyl bromide	781.3	51.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Cuba	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	ODS phase out plan	114.0	22.6	53.8	10.6	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Djibouti	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Dominica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PHO	CFC	CFC phase out plan	49.1	0.3	13.1	0.1	6.5	0.0	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Dominican Republic	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	CFC phase out plan	227.5	38.5	215.0	36.4	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	El Salvador	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	247.3	20.5	59.1	4.9	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Gabon	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	43.6	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Gambia	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	33.2	0.8	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Georgia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Ghana	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Grenada	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PHO	CFC	CFC phase out plan	54.5	1.2	32.7	0.7	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Guyana	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	99.2	3.4	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	Refrigeration Servicing	163.8	21.9	214.4	28.7	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	Refrigeration Manufacturing	197.3	32.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	Refrigeration Servicing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Kyrgyzstan	EUR	UNDP	LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	67.7	1.4	64.5	1.3	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	69.9	13.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Liberia	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	30.5	1.8	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Malawi	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	51.8	2.4	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Maldives	ASP	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Mali	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	161.3	7.5	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Mauritania	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	60.0	1.2	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Moldova	EUR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	163.9	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Nepal	ASP	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	27.3	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Nigeria	AFR	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	1,397.7	572.4	417.8	95.8	369.4	75.4	121.3	88.9	yes	A	M	Two tranches merged
1. Approved Multi-Year	Panama	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	197.8	33.5	76.3	12.7	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Paraguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	150.5	11.9	53.8	4.3	22.6	1.8	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Rwanda	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	47.4	1.2	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Samoa	ASP	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	32.7	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	St Kitts and Nevis	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	49.1	0.3	10.9	0.2	3.3	0.1	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	St Vincent & the Grenadines	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	22.9	0.3	6.5	0.1	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Uruguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PHO	CFC	Phaseout plan CFC phase out plan	51.6	4.3	-	-	48.4	4.0	-	-	yes	A	M	
1. Approved Multi-Year	Zambia	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yes	A	M	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Argentina	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	335.0	25.8	-	-	1,105.4	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Bangladesh	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	139.8	10.7	-	-	461.2	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	377.3	29.0	-	-	1,245.2	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Chile	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	200.5	15.4	-	-	661.7	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	China	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	419.3	32.2	-	-	419.3	-	838.5	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	296.3	22.8	-	-	977.7	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	151.1	-	-	-	498.6	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Cuba	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	160.2	12.0	-	-	528.7	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Georgia	EUR	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	65.2	-	-	-	215.2	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Ghana	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	149.5	-	-	-	149.5	-	299.1	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	401.2	30.3	-	-	401.2	-	802.4	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	291.6	22.4	-	-	962.2	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Iran	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	186.5	14.3	-	-	186.5	-	373.0	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	166.7	12.3	-	-	166.7	-	333.4	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Malaysia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	300.5	23.1	-	-	991.5	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Nigeria	AFR	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	279.5	22.0	-	-	279.5	-	559.0	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Pakistan	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	-	-	241.3	18.6	-	-	796.3	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Sri Lanka	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	144.1	11.1	-	-	144.1	-	288.2	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Trinidad and Tobago	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	64.5	-	-	-	64.5	-	129.0	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Uruguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	162.1	-	-	-	162.1	-	324.2	-		P	I	
2. Planned Inst. Str.	Venezuela	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INS	CFC	Several Ozone unit support	306.9	24.0	-	-	306.9	-	613.8	-		P	I	
3. Core Unit Support	Global	GLO	UNDP	LVC	TAS	CFC	Core Unit Support	1,857.6	-	1,913.3	-	1,970.7	-	10,776.8	-		P	I	
3. Core Unit Support	Global	GLO	UNDP	LVC	TAS	CFC	HCFC Start-up Costs	500.0	-	515.0	-	530.5	-	-	-		P	I	

Category	Country	Region	IA	LVC	Type	Chemical/ Substance	Short Title	Value (\$000) in 2008	ODP in 2008*	Value (\$000) in 2009	ODP in 2009*	Value (\$000) in 2010	ODP in 2010*	Value (\$000) after 2010	ODP after 2010	Approved MYA (Yes/ Blank)	A-Appr P-Plan'd	I-Individ M-Multi- Year	Remark
4. Planned TPMPs	Angola	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	139.8	4.3					-	-		P	I	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Barbados	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	CFC	Refrigeration Servicing	16.1						-	-		P	I	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Barbados	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	79.3	0.8	79.3	0.8			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Brunei Darus	ASP	UNDP	LVC	PRP	CFC	Refrigeration Servicing	16.1						-	-		P	I	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Brunei Darus	ASP	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	139.8	2.9	139.8	2.9			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Guatemala	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	151.8	6.7	151.8	6.0			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Haiti	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	CFC	Refrigeration Servicing	16.1						-	-		P	I	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Haiti	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	151.8	6.3	151.8	6.3			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Mozambique	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	129.0	1.9					-	-		P	I	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Nicaragua	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	139.8	3.1	139.8	3.1			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Peru	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	151.8	10.3	151.8	10.6			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Sierra Leone	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	139.8	2.9	139.8	2.9			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Somalia	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan			151.8	9.0	151.8	9.0	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Suriname	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	92.7	0.1	92.7	0.1			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Swaziland	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	92.7	0.1	92.7	0.1			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Tanzania	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	151.8	9.5	151.8	9.5			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
4. Planned TPMPs	Togo	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TPMP	CFC	Terminal Phaseout Management Plan	116.1	1.5	77.4	1.5			-	-		P	M	With UNEP
5. Planned / Individual	Armenia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	TAS	CFC	MDI transition Strategy	32.3						-	-		P	I	No TPMP approved
5. Planned / Individual	Bolivia	LAC	UNDP	LVC	TAS	CFC	MDI transition Strategy	32.3						-	-		P	I	TPMP approved in Mar 2007
5. Planned / Individual	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	CTC	Solvents, Process Agents	1,266.9						-	-		P	I	Resubmission
5. Planned / Individual	Chile	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	TAS	CFC	MDI transition Strategy	32.3						-	-		P	I	TPMP approved in 2006
5. Planned / Individual	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	CFC	MDI Investment Project	185.0	9.0					-	-		P	I	Resubmission
5. Planned / Individual	Dominican R	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	TAS	CFC	MDI transition Strategy	32.3						-	-		P	I	TPMP approved in 2005
5. Planned / Individual	Ghana	AFR	UNDP	LVC	TAS	CFC	MDI transition Strategy	32.3						-	-		P	I	TPMP approved in 2006
5. Planned / Individual	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	TAS	CFC	MDI transition Strategy	32.3						-	-		P	I	TPMP approved in 2004
5. Planned / Individual	Pakistan	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	CFC	PRP for Strat & MDI-Investment	64.5						-	-		P	I	No TPMP approved
5. Planned / Individual	Pakistan	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	CFC	MDI Investment & strat Project	1,009.1	27.3					-	-		P	I	No TPMP approved
5. Planned / Individual	Panama	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	TAS	CFC	MDI transition Strategy	32.3						-	-		P	I	TPMP approved in 2004
6. Planned / Multi-Year	India	ASP	UNDP	LVC	INV	CFC	MDI Investment Project	3,200.0	79.4	15,000.0	576.0			-	-		P	M	With Italy
7. HCFC	Angola	AFR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Angola	AFR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Angola	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			81.4	0.9	81.4	0.9	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Angola	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	1.0		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Argentina	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	86.0						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Argentina	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	268.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Argentina	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	268.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Argentina	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			1,951.2	16.5	1,951.2	16.5	-	-		P	M	Only HCFC-141b
7. HCFC	Argentina	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	2,315.4	19.6		P	M	Only HCFC-141b
7. HCFC	Armenia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	64.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Armenia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Armenia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.4	53.5	0.4	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Armenia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.5		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Bangladesh	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Bangladesh	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Bangladesh	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			213.8	2.3	213.8	2.3	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Bangladesh	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	253.7	2.7		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Bolivia	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	With GTZ
7. HCFC	Bolivia	LAC	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Bolivia	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			213.8	2.3	213.8	2.3	-	-		P	M	With GTZ
7. HCFC	Bolivia	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	253.7	2.7		P	M	With GTZ
7. HCFC	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	107.5						-	-		P	I	With GTZ
7. HCFC	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	537.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	537.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			6,406.5	49.4	6,406.5	49.4	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	7,602.1	58.7		P	M	
7. HCFC	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			3,626.9	47.3	3,626.9	47.3	-	-		P	M	With GTZ
7. HCFC	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	4,303.8	56.1		P	M	With GTZ
7. HCFC	Cambodia	ASP	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Cambodia	ASP	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Cambodia	ASP	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			62.1	0.7	62.1	0.7	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Cambodia	ASP	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.8		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Chile	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	80.6						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Chile	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	215.0						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Chile	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			317.3	3.1	317.3	3.1	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Chile	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	376.5	3.7		P	M	
7. HCFC	Chile	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			634.5	5.7	634.5	5.7	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Chile	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	752.9	6.7		P	M	
7. HCFC	China	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	215.0						-	-		P	I	Solvents / Ref Manuf
7. HCFC	China	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Solvents	215.0						-	-		P	I	Solvents / Ref Manuf

Category	Country	Region	IA	LVC	Type	Chemical/ Substance	Short Title	Value (\$000) in 2008	ODP in 2008*	Value (\$000) in 2009	ODP in 2009*	Value (\$000) in 2010	ODP in 2010*	Value (\$000) after 2010	ODP after 2010	Approved MYA (Yes/ Blank)	A-Appr P-Plan'd	I-Individ M-Multi- Year	Remark
7. HCFC	China	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			5,439.1	1,075.9	5,439.1	1,075.9	-	-		P	M	Various agencies
7. HCFC	China	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	3,849.3	761.4		P	M	Various agencies
7. HCFC	China	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			12,597.4	2,288.7	12,597.4	2,288.7	-	-		P	M	Various agencies
7. HCFC	China	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	8,915.3	1,619.7		P	M	Various agencies
7. HCFC	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	86.0									P	I	
7. HCFC	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	215.0									P	I	
7. HCFC	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	215.0									P	I	
7. HCFC	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Flushing	215.0									P	I	
7. HCFC	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			1,349.7	9.9	1,349.7	9.9	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	1,656.8	12.2		P	M	
7. HCFC	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			746.9	6.7	746.9	6.7	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	916.9	8.2		P	M	
7. HCFC	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	161.3									P	I	
7. HCFC	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	161.3									P	I	
7. HCFC	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.2	53.5	0.2	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.2		P	M	
7. HCFC	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			104.0	0.9	104.0	0.9	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Costa Rica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	123.4	1.1		P	M	
7. HCFC	Cote d'Ivoire	AFR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	80.6									P	I	
7. HCFC	Cote d'Ivoire	AFR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	150.5									P	I	
7. HCFC	Cote d'Ivoire	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			60.9	0.7	60.9	0.7	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Cote d'Ivoire	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.8		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Cuba	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Cuba	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Cuba	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			245.4	1.8	245.4	1.8	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Cuba	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	291.2	2.1		P	M	
7. HCFC	Dominican R	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Dominican R	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	107.5									P	I	
7. HCFC	Dominican R	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	107.5									P	I	
7. HCFC	Dominican R	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			74.6	0.7	74.6	0.7	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Dominican R	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.9		P	M	
7. HCFC	Dominican R	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			385.9	3.4	385.9	3.4	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Dominican R	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	458.0	4.1		P	M	
7. HCFC	El Salvador	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	El Salvador	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstrations in Foam, Flushing and Refr	241.9									P	I	
7. HCFC	El Salvador	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.3	53.5	0.3	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	El Salvador	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.4		P	M	
7. HCFC	El Salvador	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			214.9	1.9	214.9	1.9	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	El Salvador	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	255.1	2.3		P	M	
7. HCFC	Fiji	ASP	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	43.0									P	I	
7. HCFC	Fiji	ASP	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Fiji	ASP	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			72.9	0.5	72.9	0.5	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Fiji	ASP	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.6		P	M	
7. HCFC	Gabon	AFR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8									P	I	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Gabon	AFR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Gabon	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.4	53.5	0.4	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Gabon	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.4		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Gambia	AFR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Gambia	AFR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Gambia	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.1	53.5	0.1	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Gambia	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.1		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Georgia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Georgia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	129.0									P	I	
7. HCFC	Georgia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.2	53.5	0.2	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Georgia	EUR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.2		P	M	
7. HCFC	Ghana	AFR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Ghana	AFR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	129.0									P	I	
7. HCFC	Ghana	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			168.2	1.2	168.2	1.2	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Ghana	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	199.6	1.4		P	M	
7. HCFC	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	107.5									P	I	
7. HCFC	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	537.5									P	I	
7. HCFC	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	537.5									P	I	
7. HCFC	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			5,430.8	46.3	5,430.8	46.3	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	4,833.4	41.2		P	M	
7. HCFC	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			6,319.6	54.0	6,319.6	54.0	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	5,624.4	48.1		P	M	
7. HCFC	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	107.5									P	I	
7. HCFC	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	268.8									P	I	
7. HCFC	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	268.8									P	I	

Category	Country	Region	IA	LVC	Type	Chemical/ Substance	Short Title	Value (\$000) in 2008	ODP in 2008*	Value (\$000) in 2009	ODP in 2009*	Value (\$000) in 2010	ODP in 2010*	Value (\$000) after 2010	ODP after 2010	Approved MYA (Yes/ Blank)	A-Appr P-Plan'd	I-Individ M-Multi- Year	Remark
7. HCFC	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			2,290.3	26.4	2,290.3	26.4	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	2,038.3	23.5		P	M	
7. HCFC	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			1,378.4	20.7	1,378.4	20.7	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	1,226.7	18.4		P	M	
7. HCFC	Iran	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	80.6						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Iran	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	161.3						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Iran	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	161.3						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Iran	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			997.8	22.1	997.8	22.1	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Iran	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	935.1	20.7		P	M	
7. HCFC	Iran	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			707.4	15.3	707.4	15.3	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Iran	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	662.9	14.3		P	M	
7. HCFC	Jamaica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Jamaica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Servicing	129.0						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Jamaica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.1	53.5	0.1	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Jamaica	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.1		P	M	
7. HCFC	Kyrgyzstan	EUR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	64.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Kyrgyzstan	EUR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in AC Sector	129.0						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Kyrgyzstan	EUR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Flushing	129.0						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Kyrgyzstan	EUR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.1	53.5	0.1	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Kyrgyzstan	EUR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.1		P	M	
7. HCFC	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	161.3						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	161.3						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.3	53.5	0.3	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.3		P	M	
7. HCFC	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			251.7	2.2	251.7	2.2	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	284.8	2.5		P	M	
7. HCFC	Malaysia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	107.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Malaysia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	268.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Malaysia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	268.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Malaysia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			777.4	12.3	777.4	12.3	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Malaysia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	988.4	15.6		P	M	
7. HCFC	Malaysia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			1,840.4	24.2	1,840.4	24.2	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Malaysia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	2,339.9	30.8		P	M	
7. HCFC	Mexico	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	107.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Mexico	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	322.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Mexico	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			9,860.2	96.0	9,860.2	96.0	-	-		P	M	Only 141b
7. HCFC	Mexico	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	10,809.8	105.3		P	M	Only 141b
7. HCFC	Moldova	EUR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Moldova	EUR	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Moldova	EUR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.1	53.5	0.1	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Moldova	EUR	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.2		P	M	
7. HCFC	Nepal	ASP	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Nepal	ASP	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8						-	-		P	I	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Nepal	ASP	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			53.5	0.1	53.5	0.1	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Nepal	ASP	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.2		P	M	
7. HCFC	Nigeria	AFR	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	215.0						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Nigeria	AFR	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam/Ser v Sect	107.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Nigeria	AFR	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			186.2	2.3	186.2	2.3	-	-		P	M	Foam and servicing only
7. HCFC	Nigeria	AFR	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	220.9	2.8		P	M	Foam and servicing only
7. HCFC	Pakistan	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			123.0	1.8	123.0	1.8	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Pakistan	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	145.9	2.2		P	M	
7. HCFC	Pakistan	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			404.7	5.4	404.7	5.4	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Pakistan	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	480.3	6.4		P	M	
7. HCFC	Panama	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Panama	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Servicing	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Panama	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			326.7	2.3	326.7	2.3	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Panama	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	387.7	2.8		P	M	
7. HCFC	Paraguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Paraguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			83.0	0.9	83.0	0.9	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Paraguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	1.1		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Peru	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Peru	LAC	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foam	107.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Peru	LAC	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	107.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Peru	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			126.5	1.4	126.5	1.4	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Peru	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	150.1	1.6		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Philippines	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			180.6	5.4	180.6	5.4	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Philippines	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	214.3	6.4		P	M	
7. HCFC	Philippines	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			726.1	19.4	726.1	19.4	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Philippines	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	861.6	23.1		P	M	

Category	Country	Region	IA	LVC	Type	Chemical/ Substance	Short Title	Value (\$000) in 2008	ODP in 2008*	Value (\$000) in 2009	ODP in 2009*	Value (\$000) in 2010	ODP in 2010*	Value (\$000) after 2010	ODP after 2010	Approved MYA (Yes/ Blank)	A-Appr P-Plan'd	I-Individ M-Multi- Year	Remark
7. HCFC	Sri Lanka	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Sri Lanka	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Sector	107.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Sri Lanka	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foams Sector	107.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Sri Lanka	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			104.3	1.9	104.3	1.9	-	-		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Sri Lanka	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	1.7		P	M	With UNEP
7. HCFC	Syria	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			77.1	1.0	77.1	1.0	-	-		P	M	With UNIDO
7. HCFC	Syria	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	107.0	0.9		P	M	With UNIDO
7. HCFC	Syria	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			268.2	6.7	268.2	6.7	-	-		P	M	With UNIDO
7. HCFC	Syria	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	238.7	6.0		P	M	With UNIDO
7. HCFC	Trinidad & To	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Trinidad & To	LAC	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Refr Manuf	107.5						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Trinidad & To	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			1,093.4	7.8	1,093.4	7.8	-	-		P	M	
7. HCFC	Trinidad & To	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	1,297.5	9.3		P	M	
7. HCFC	Uruguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	HCFC	PRP to prepare Phaseout Management Pla	80.6						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Uruguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	DEM	HCFC	Demonstration in Foams Sector	53.8						-	-		P	I	
7. HCFC	Uruguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			194.0	1.7	194.0	1.7	-	-		P	M	With UNIDO
7. HCFC	Uruguay	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	230.2	2.1		P	M	With UNIDO
7. HCFC	Venezuela	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b Freeze Phaseout Plan			416.2	6.4	416.2	6.4	-	-		P	M	With UNIDO
7. HCFC	Venezuela	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-141b 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	529.1	8.2		P	M	With UNIDO
7. HCFC	Venezuela	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 Freeze Phaseout Plan			1,178.1	25.6	1,178.1	25.6	-	-		P	M	With UNIDO
7. HCFC	Venezuela	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	HCFC	HCFC-22 10%-Reduction Phaseout Plan			-	-	-	-	1,497.8	32.6		P	M	With UNIDO
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	ODS-waste	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pil	118.3						-	-		P	I	In coop with GTZ
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Brazil	LAC	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	ODS-waste	ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot			1,075.0	75.0	1,075.0	75.0	-	-		P	M	In coop with GTZ
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	ODS-waste	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pil	64.5						-	-		P	I	With Japan
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Colombia	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	ODS-waste	ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot			537.5	37.5	537.5	37.5	-	-		P	M	With Japan
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Cuba	LAC	UNDP	LVC	PRP	ODS-waste	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pil	64.5						-	-		P	I	With Japan
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Cuba	LAC	UNDP	LVC	INV	ODS-waste	ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot			537.5	18.8	537.5	18.8	-	-		P	M	With Japan
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Egypt	AFR	UNDP	LVC	PRP	ODS-waste	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pil	107.5						-	-		P	I	
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Egypt	AFR	UNDP	LVC	INV	ODS-waste	ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot			1,075.0	75.0	1,075.0	75.0	-	-		P	M	
8. ODS Waste Disposal	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	ODS-waste	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pil	118.3						-	-		P	I	
8. ODS Waste Disposal	India	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	ODS-waste	ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot			1,075.0	75.0	1,075.0	75.0	-	-		P	M	
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	ODS-waste	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pil	107.5						-	-		P	I	
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Indonesia	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	ODS-waste	ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot			1,075.0	75.0	1,075.0	75.0	-	-		P	M	
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	PRP	ODS-waste	PRP to prepare ODS Waste/Destruction Pil	75.3						-	-		P	I	
8. ODS Waste Disposal	Lebanon	ASP	UNDP	Non-LVC	INV	ODS-waste	ODS Waste/Destruction Pilot			537.5	37.5	537.5	37.5	-	-		P	M	
								31,752.9	1,484.8	102,320.6	5,464.1	82,252.8	4,430.7	94,516.1	3,100.1				