



**United Nations
Environment
Programme**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/54/1/Rev.1/Add.2
3 April 2008

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Fifty-fourth Meeting
Montreal, 7-11 April 2008

Addendum

PROVISIONAL ANNOTATED AGENDA

Agenda item 3: Secretariat Activities

- **Add** at the end of the paragraph under Action expected from the Executive Committee “and to provide guidance to the Secretariat on how to respond to the request from the Executive Secretary to the Rotterdam Convention and to agree that the Secretariat could provide information to UNEP DTIE Chemicals Branch on experiences gained in technology transfer or technical support to developing countries”.

Agenda item 9 (c)(i): Amendments to the 2008 work programme of UNEP

- **Add** under Issues to be addressed:
 - Institutional strengthening (Phase I) for Eritrea: Non-compliance with Article 4B.
- **Add** under Action expected from the Executive Committee:
 - (f) Consider approving Phase I of the institutional strengthening project for Eritrea at the level of US \$40,000 for one year, without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol’s mechanism on non-compliance, and on the understanding that funding would not be released until confirmation of the reporting of the licensing system to the Ozone Secretariat is received by the Fund Secretariat.

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

For reasons of economy, this document is printed in a limited number. Delegates are kindly requested to bring their copies to the meeting and not to request additional copies.

Agenda item 9 (d): Investment projects

- **Replace** Table 1 with the following table:

Table 1: List of projects for individual consideration

Country	Project	Agency	Issue
Methyl bromide			
Mexico	National methyl bromide phase-out plan (first tranche)	Canada, Italy, Spain and UNIDO	All policy and cost issues agreed. Level of funding over US \$10 million.
Multi-year Agreements			
Burkina Faso	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (second tranche)	Canada, UNEP	TPMP approved but disbursement of remaining funds from RMP need endorsement
Eritrea	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche)	UNEP and UNIDO	Non compliance with the 2005 MP CFC control level, licensing system established but not yet reported to the Ozone Secretariat
Kenya	Terminal CFCs phase-out management plan (second tranche)	France	CFC consumption levels in 2004 and 2005 were over the maximum allowable levels under the Agreement
India	National CFC consumption phase-out plan focusing on the refrigeration service sector: 2008 work programme	Germany, Switzerland, UNEP, UNDP and UNIDO	Non-compliance with the agreement
Togo	Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche)	UNEP, UNDP	Cost and other issues under discussion
Process agent			
Brazil	Phase-out of carbon tetrachloride as process agent in two applications at Braskem	UNDP	Deferred by Committee to 54 th Meeting
Production sector			
India	Verification of 2007 CFC production and 2008 work programme	World Bank	Need to be considered in the overall context of solution for the national CFC consumption phase out plan

Agenda item 11 (b): Preliminary discussion paper providing analysis on all relevant cost considerations surrounding the financing of HCFC phase-out (decision 53/37 (i))

- **Add** the following under Issues to be addressed:

The Executive Committee might wish to consider in particular the following issues at this or future meetings:

- Funding pilot/demonstration projects;
- Incremental operating costs (IOC) and factors that influence their determination;

- Selection of environmental indicators and potential incentives for minimizing environmental impacts of alternatives;
 - Cost effectiveness thresholds in the foam sector;
 - New system house approaches in the foam sector;
 - Access to possible co-funding;
- **Add** the following under Action expected from the Executive Committee:

The Executive Committee may wish to include these items as part of the priority issues to be considered in its preliminary discussions:

- (a) Incremental operating costs (IOC) and factors that influence its determination including the duration for payment of IOC, chemical prices and modalities for establishing their levels in a reliable manner;
- (b) Replacement of manufacturing equipment to accommodate for the alternative technology well before the end of its useful life;
- (c) Environmental indicators and potential incentives to promote the selection of alternatives to HCFCs that minimize environmental impacts, in particular impacts on climate. In the short-term, priority could be given to activities phasing-out HCFCs with the highest ODP values to adopting alternatives with a low GWP when feasible or with other environmental benefits such as energy efficiency ;
- (d) Other issues:
 - (i) Outstanding issues from decision XIX/6, in particular the cut-off date for newly established manufacturing enterprises and the eligibility of second conversions; and
 - (ii) Issues related to co-financing.

Agenda item 15: Revised staffing structure for the Secretariat (decision 53/43 (d))

- **Replace** “Pending” with the following:

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/54/58 presents a revision of the 2008, 2009 and 2010 budgets which were approved by decision 53/43(a) at the 53rd Meeting. This revision is in line with decision 53/43(e) where the request from the Secretariat regarding flexibility to revert to the Committee during 2008 with a revised staffing structure was noted. The intention of the revised structure is to ensure that the Secretariat can continue to support the Committee’s requirements and mandate in a most efficient and cost effective manner.

Issues to be addressed: Additional cost to the Secretariat’s budget in order to address the necessary revision of the current structure of the Secretariat.

Action requested from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee is invited to:

- (a) Approve the upgrading of one Deputy Chief Officer post from P5 to D1;

- (b) Approve a new P3 post and downgrade one post from P5 to P3;
- (c) Upgrade the post of the Associate Executive Assistant from P2 to P3;
- (d) Approve three new G6 posts;
- (e) Approve a revised budget of US \$6,104,859 in 2008, US \$3,486,811 in 2009 and US \$3,661,152 in 2010.
