



**United Nations
Environment
Programme**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/53/51
25 October 2007



ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Fifty-third Meeting
Montreal, 26-30 November 2007

PROJECT PROPOSAL: SAMOA

This document consists of the comments and recommendation of the Fund Secretariat on the following project proposal:

Phase-out

- Terminal phase-out management plan: first UNDP and UNEP
tranche

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

For reasons of economy, this document is printed in a limited number. Delegates are kindly requested to bring their copies to the meeting and not to request additional copies.

**PROJECT EVALUATION SHEET – MULTI-YEAR PROJECTS
SAMOA**

PROJECT TITLE**BILATERAL/IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

Terminal phase-out management plan: first tranche	UNDP and UNEP
---	---------------

SUB-PROJECT TITLES

(a)	Policy regulatory and institutional support including further customs and enforcement training	UNEP
(b)	Training/capacity building and awareness for refrigeration service technicians for refrigeration servicing technicians	UNEP
(c)	Technical assistance and equipment support for servicing and training establishments	UNDP
(d)	Technical assistance and incentive programme for MACs	UNDP
(e)	Project coordination and monitoring	UNDP

NATIONAL CO-ORDINATING AGENCY:	Samoa Meteorology Division, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment
---------------------------------------	---

LATEST REPORTED CONSUMPTION DATA FOR ODS ADDRESSED IN PROJECT**A: ARTICLE-7 DATA (ODP TONNES, 2006, AS OF SEPTEMBER 2007)**

CFC	0.0		

B: COUNTRY PROGRAMME SECTORAL DATA (ODP TONNES, 2006, AS OF MAY 2007)

	Aerosol	Foam	Ref. Mfg.	Ref. Servicing	Solvents	Process agent	Fumigant
CFC-12				0.0			

CFC consumption remaining eligible for funding (ODP tonnes)	0.0
--	-----

CURRENT YEAR BUSINESS PLAN: Total funding US \$232,000: total phase-out 0 ODP tonnes.

PROJECT DATA		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
Annex A CFC (ODP tonnes)	Montreal Protocol limit	2.3	0.67	0.67	0.67	0	n/a
	Annual consumption limit	2.3	0.67	0.67	0.67	0	n/a
	Annual phase-out from ongoing projects		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Annual phase-out newly addressed		0	0	0.67	0	0.67
	Annual unfunded phase-out						
TOTAL ODS CONSUMPTION TO BE PHASED OUT			0	0	0.67	0	0.67
Final project costs (US \$):							
	Funding for Lead IA: UNEP		55,000	20,000	0	0	75,000
	Funding for Cooperating IA: UNDP		45,000	30,000	0	0	75,000
	Total project funding		100,000	50,000	0	0	150,000
Final support costs (US \$):							
	Support cost for Lead IA: UNEP		7,150	2,600	0	0	9,750
	Support cost for Cooperating IA: UNDP		4,050	2,700	0	0	6,750
	Total support costs		11,200	5,300	0	0	16,500
TOTAL COST TO MULTILATERAL FUND (US \$)			111,200	55,300	0	0	166,500
Final project cost effectiveness (US \$/kg)							

FUNDING REQUEST: Approval of funding for the first tranche (US \$100,000 plus support costs) as indicated above.

SECRETARIAT'S RECOMMENDATION[S]	Blanket approval
--	------------------

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. On behalf of the Government of Samoa, UNEP, as the lead implementing agency, has submitted a terminal CFC phase-out management plan (TPMP) for consideration by the Executive Committee at its 53rd Meeting. The project will also be implemented with assistance from UNDP. The total cost of the Samoa TPMP as submitted is US \$205,000 (US \$115,000 plus agency support costs of US \$14,950 for UNEP and US \$90,000 plus agency support cost of US \$8,100 for UNDP). The project proposes the complete phase of CFCs by the end of 2009. The CFC baseline for compliance is 4.46 ODP tonnes.

Background

2. At its 32nd Meeting, the Executive Committee approved the refrigerant management plan (RMP) project for Samoa, at a total cost of US \$102,300, to be implemented by UNEP. The implementation of the RMP, together with other activities undertaken by the Government of Samoa, reduced CFC consumption in the country from a CFC baseline of 4.5 ODP tonnes to zero in 2003. The country has reported zero consumption of CFC since then.

3. The RMP resulted in the training of 39 refrigeration technicians in good practices in refrigeration. Likewise, 45 customs officers and stakeholders received customs training, six refrigerant identifiers were distributed, and the training school was provided with a set of equipment.

The refrigeration servicing sector

4. Samoa has achieved advance CFC phase-out since 2003 through strong enforcement of its ODS regulation and technical assistance from the projects under the RMP. The initial legislative framework for addressing ODS phase out included the country's Environment Act of 1989, supported by the Customs Act and the National Policy for the Protection of the Atmosphere in 2004. The ODS import licensing system was introduced in 2006 under the Protection of the Ozone Layer regulations. This forms a strong basis for the country's control of its ODS imports.

5. CFCs were mainly used for servicing refrigeration and air conditioning equipment, the highest share going to the mobile air conditioning sector. Since CFC imports were banned, recovered and recycled CFCs are used to meet servicing needs. The estimated demand in 2006 for CFCs in the servicing sector was 0.2 ODP tonnes for domestic refrigeration, 0.4 ODP tonnes for commercial and industrial refrigeration and 1.8 ODP tonnes for the MAC sector.

6. Samoa does not produce any metered dose inhalers (MDIs). Non-CFC MDIs are already available in the country mainly from Australia. The project does not seek funding for CFC MDI assistance.

7. There are 21 licensed refrigeration service and repair workshops, 14 of which cater to the domestic, commercial and industrial sectors, while 7 cater to the MAC sub-sector. There are a total of 120 refrigeration service technicians operating in these service shops, 39 of which received training on good practices under the RMP. One set of recovery and recycling equipment was handed over to the Samoa Polytechnic to be used for further training. Under the RMP, the

service technicians were trained in good practices to avoid unnecessary losses of ODS during maintenance, servicing and repair of existing ODS based equipment.

Activities proposed in the TPMP

8. Samoa's TPMP includes the following sub-projects:
 - (a) Policy regulatory and institutional support including further customs and enforcement training;
 - (b) Training/capacity building and awareness for refrigeration service technicians for refrigeration servicing technicians;
 - (c) Technical assistance and equipment support for servicing and training establishments;
 - (d) Technical assistance and incentive programme for MACs; and
 - (e) Project coordination and monitoring.

SECRETARIAT'S COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATION

COMMENTS

Level of funding and implementation modalities

9. During the review of the TPMP, the Secretariat noted that:
 - (a) Samoa has already been able to reduce the use of CFCs to zero from 2003, as a result of the activities implemented in the RMP as reported by the agency;
 - (b) The consumption of CFCs in the country is predominantly in the MAC sub-sector, with around 6,800 vehicles still equipped with CFC MACs in 2006. The RMP did not include an incentive programme for end users and this should be a priority under the TPMP to address the consumption of CFCs in this sector;
 - (c) Even if the country has demonstrated zero imports of CFCs since 2003, it has continued to strengthen its current ODS legislation by introducing a more comprehensive licensing system in 2006. This regulation includes among other provisions a ban on the possession and use of portable halon fire extinguishers after June 2006; a requirement for motor vehicle service shops and garages to have recovery and recycling machines in their premises; and the prohibition on manufacture, sale and import of products containing ODS;

- (d) The RMP of Samoa focused only on training of customs officers and refrigeration technicians, and a minimum amount of equipment was provided to the country and to its training centre. The capacity of customs officers and other enforcement personnel has therefore been strengthened and enhanced with training and with the provision of 6 refrigerant identifiers;
- (e) The main training institute (Samoa Polytechnic) was also provided with a set of recovery and recycling equipment for further training purposes; and
- (f) The country is not requesting funding assistance for the MDI sector.

10. Based on the above, the Secretariat discussed with UNEP and UNDP the technical issues related to the current levels of CFC consumption in Samoa particularly those associated with the small sector of private resorts where assistance is required. It also discussed the sustainability of the training programmes for good servicing practice, enforcement by strengthening the existing technical institute, and initiating an end-user incentive programme for the MAC sector. UNEP and UNDP adjusted the sub-project components of the TPMP accordingly. The final amount agreed for the TPMP is US\$150,000 plus support costs at the amount of US \$16,500.

Agreement

11. The Government of Samoa submitted a draft agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee with the conditions for the complete phase-out of CFCs in Samoa, which is contained in Annex I to the present document.

RECOMMENDATION

12. The Secretariat recommends blanket approval of the terminal phase-out management plan for Samoa. The Executive Committee may wish to:

- (a) Approve, in principle, the terminal phase-out management plan for Samoa, at the amount of US \$75,000 plus agency support costs of US \$9,750 for UNEP and US \$75,000 plus support costs of US\$6,750 for UNDP;
- (b) Approve the draft agreement between the Government of Samoa and the Executive Committee for the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plan as contained in Annex I to this document;
- (c) Urge UNEP and UNDP to take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 of the Executive Committee during the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plan; and
- (d) Approve the first tranche of the plan at the funding levels shown in the table below:

	Project Title	Project Funding (US\$)	Support Cost (US\$)	Implementing Agency
(a)	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	55,000	7,150	UNEP
(b)	Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche)	45,000	4,050	UNDP

Annex I

DRAFT AGREEMENT BETWEEN SAMOA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR PHASE-OUT OF OZONE-DEPLETING SUBSTANCES

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Samoa (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the complete phase-out of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances set out in Appendix 1-A (the “Substances”) prior to 1 January 2010 in compliance with Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 2 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to the Substances.
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 9 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets, and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the “Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification by the relevant implementing agency of achievement of these consumption limits as described in sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
 - (a) That the Country has met the Targets for the applicable year;
 - (b) That the meeting of these Targets will be independently verified, if requested by the Executive Committee consistent with paragraph (d) of decision 45/54;
 - (c) That the Country has substantially completed all actions set out in the last annual implementation programme; and
 - (d) That the Country has submitted and received endorsement from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation programme in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Annual Implementation Programme”) in respect of the year for which tranche funding is being requested.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the “Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on that monitoring in accordance with the roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in sub-paragraph 5(b).

7. While the Funding was determined on the basis of estimates of the needs of the Country to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the goals prescribed under this Agreement. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in the next annual implementation programme and endorsed by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation programme, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the report on implementation of the annual implementation programme.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration-servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation;
- (b) The technical assistance programme for the refrigeration-servicing sub-sector will be implemented in stages so that remaining resources can be diverted to other phase-out activities such as additional training or procurement of service tools in cases where the proposed results are not achieved, and will be closely monitored in accordance with Appendix 5-A of this Agreement; and
- (c) The Country and the implementing agencies will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNDP has agreed to be cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-A including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Country also agrees to periodic evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund. (The Cooperating IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities listed in Appendix 6-B.) The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in row 12 of Appendix 2-A.

10. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in Appendix 2-A of the Montreal Protocol or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will

be reinstated according to a revised funding approval schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year.

11. The funding components of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

12. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

13. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Annex-A	Group-I	CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113 CFC-114 and CFC-115
---------	---------	---

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
1 Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex A, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	0.67	0.67	0.67	0	n/a
2 Max. allowable total consumption of CFCs (ODP tonnes)	0.67	0.67	0.67	0	n/a
3 Reduction from on-going projects (ODP tonnes)	0	0	0	0	
4 New reduction under plan (ODP tonnes)	0	0	0.67	0	
5 Unfunded reductions (ODP tonnes)	0	0	0	0	
6 Total annual reduction (ODP tonnes)	0	0	0	0	
7 Lead IA agreed funding (US \$)	55,000	20,000	0	0	75,000
8 Cooperating IA agreed funding (US \$)	45,000	30,000	0	0	75,000
9 Total agreed funding (US \$)	100,000	50,000	0	0	150,000
10 Lead IA support costs (US \$)	7,150	2,600	0	0	9,750
11 Cooperating IA support costs (US \$)	4,050	2,700	0	0	6,750
12 Total agreed support costs (US \$)	11,200	5,300	0	0	16,500
13 Grand total agreed funding (US \$)	111,200	55,300	0	0	166,500

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding will be considered for approval at the third meeting of the Executive Committee in 2007 and 2008.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME**1. Data**

Country:

Year of plan:

of years completed:

of years remaining under the plan:

Target ODS consumption of the preceding year:

Target ODS consumption of the year of plan:

Level of funding requested:

Lead implementing agency:

Cooperating agency(ies):

2. Targets

Indicators		Preceding year	Year of plan	Reduction
Supply of ODS	Import			
	Total (1)			
Demand of ODS	Manufacturing			
	Servicing			
	Stockpiling			
	Total (2)			

3. Industry Action

Sector	Consumption preceding year (1)	Consumption year of plan (2)	Reduction within year of plan (1) – (2)	Number of projects completed	Number of servicing related activities	ODS phase-out (ODP tonnes)
Manufacturing						
Total						
Refrigeration						
Total						
Grand total						

4. Technical Assistance

Proposed Activity:

Objective:

Target Group:

Impact:

5. Government Action

Policy/Activity planned	Schedule of implementation
Type of policy control on ODS import: servicing, etc.	
Public awareness	
Others	

6. Annual Budget

Activity	Planned expenditures (US \$)
Total	

7. Administrative Fees

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

1. The Lead IA will have a particularly prominent role in the monitoring arrangements because of its mandate to monitor ODS imports, whose records will be used as a crosschecking reference in all the monitoring programmes for the different projects within the terminal phase-out management plan. All the monitoring activities have so far been done through the efforts of the National Ozone Unit (Samoa Meteorology Division, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment) with assistance from UNDP and UNEP Regional Offices in Bangkok.

2. The success of the monitoring programme will be based on well designed forms for data collection, evaluation and reporting; regular programme of monitoring visits; and appropriate cross-checking of information from different sources.

Verification and reporting

3. In accordance to decision 45/54 (d), the Executive Committee reserves the right for independent verification in case the Executive Committee selects Samoa for related auditing. Based on discussion with the Lead IA, Samoa should select the independent organization (auditing) to carry out the verification of the TPMP results and this independent monitoring programme.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities to be specified in the project document as follows:

- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;
- (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Annual Implementation Programme;
- (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Annual Implementation Programme consistent with Appendix-5A. In case the Executive Committee selects Samoa consistent with paragraph (d) of decision 45/54, separate funding will be provided by the Executive Committee to the Lead IA for this undertaking;
- (d) Ensuring that the achievements in previous annual implementation programmes are reflected in the future annual implementation programme;
- (e) Reporting on the implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme of the preceding year and preparing for annual implementation programme for the year of submission for submission to the Executive Committee, commencing with the 2008 annual implementation programme combined with the report on the 2007 annual implementation programme;

- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews undertaken by the Lead IA;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Annual Implementation Programme and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Providing verification for the Executive Committee that consumption of the Substances has been eliminated in accordance with the Targets, if requested by the Executive Committee;
- (j) Coordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

1. The Cooperating IA will:

- (a) Provide policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assist Samoa in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded for by the Cooperating IA; and
- (c) Provide reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 10 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$10,000 per ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in the year.

SAMOA

(1) PROJECT TITLE: Terminal phase-out management plan

(2) EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE APPROVALS AND PROVISIONS: Not applicable for first tranche

(3) ARTICLE 7 DATA (ODP TONNES)

Substances	Baseline	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
CFC	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	2.6	6.1	0.6	2.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Halons	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MBR	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TCA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Source: A7 Data from the Ozone Secretariat

(4) LATEST COUNTRY PROGRAMME SECTORAL DATA (ODP TONNES)

Year: 2006

Substances	Aerosol	Foam	Halon	Refrigeration		Solvent	Process Agent	MDI	Lab Use	Methyl Bromide		Tobacco Fluffing	Total
				Manufacturing	Servicing					QPS	Non-QPS		
CFC					0.0								0.0
CTC													0.0
Halons													0.0
MBR													0.0
TCA													0.0

Source: Country Programme Data

(5) PHASE-OUT (ODP TONNES)

Substances	Calendar year	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total	Decision
CFC	Maximum Allowable Consumption (Agreement: per substance if valid)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Compliance Action Target (MOP)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		N/A
	Reduction Under Plan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Remaining Phase-Out to be Achieved	0	0	0	0	N/A	

Source: Agreement, Inventory, Progress Report, MOP Report, Project Document (Annual Plan) and Verification Reports.

(6a) PROJECT COSTS (US\$)

Calendar year	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
UNEP					
Funding as per Agreement	75,000	40,000	0	0	115,000
Disbursement as per Annual	0	75,000	40,000		115,000
[Comments]					
UNDP					
Funding as per Agreement	50,000	40,000	0	0	90,000
Disbursement as per Annual	0	50,000	40,000	0	90,000
[Comments]					

Source: Agreement, Inventory, Progress Reports and Project Document (Annual Plan)

(6b) SUBMISSION SCHEDULES (planned and actual)

Submission year as per agreement	2007	2008	2009	2010
UNEP/UNDP				
Planned submission as per Agreement	125,000	80,000		205,000
Tranche Number	1	2		

Source: Agreement, Inventory and Final ExCom Report Decisions

(7) INFORMATION ON POLICIES FROM COUNTRY PROGRAMME AND VERIFICATION REPORTS

TYPE OF ACTION / LEGISLATION	Country Programme	
	(Yes/No)	Since when (Date)
1. REGULATIONS:		
1.1 Establishing general guidelines to control import (production and export) of ODSs		
1.1.1 ODS import/export licensing or permit system in place for import of bulk ODSs		
1.1.1.1 ODS import licensing system in place for import of bulk ODSs	Yes	Mar-06
1.1.1.2 ODS export licensing system in place for export of bulk ODSs	Yes	Mar-06
1.1.1.3 Permit System in place for import of bulk ODSs	Yes	Mar-06
1.1.1.4 Permit System in place for export of bulk ODSs	Yes	Mar-06
1.1.2 Regulatory procedures for ODS data collection and reporting in place		
1.1.2.1 Regulatory procedures for ODS data collection in place	Yes	Mar-06
1.1.2.2 Regulatory procedures for ODS data reporting in place	Yes	Mar-06
1.1.3 Requiring permits for import or sale of bulk ODSs		
1.1.3.1 Requiring permits for import of bulk ODSs	Yes	Mar-06
1.1.3.2 Requiring permits for sale of bulk ODSs	Yes	Mar-06
1.1.4 Quota system in place for import of bulk ODSs	Yes	Mar-06
1.2 Banning import or sale of bulk quantities of:		
1.2.1 Banning import of bulk quantities of:		
1.2.1.1 CFCs	No	
1.2.1.2 Halons	No	
1.2.1.3 CTC	No	
1.2.1.4 TCA	No	
1.2.1.5 Methyl Bromide	No	
1.2.2 Banning sale of bulk quantities of:		
1.2.2.1 CFCs	No	
1.2.2.2 Halons	No	
1.2.2.3 CTC	No	
1.2.2.4 TCA	No	
1.2.2.5 Methyl Bromide	No	
1.3 Banning import or sale of:		
1.3.1 Banning import of:		
1.3.1.1 Used domestic refrigerators using CFC	Yes	Mar-06
1.3.1.2 Used freezers using CFC	Yes	Mar-06
1.3.1.3 MAC systems using CFC	Yes	Mar-06
1.3.1.4 Air conditioners using CFC	Yes	Mar-06
1.3.1.5 Chillers using CFC	Yes	Mar-06
1.3.1.6 CFC-containing aerosols except for metered dose inhalers	Yes	Mar-06
1.3.1.7 Use of CFC in production of some or all types of foam	Yes	Mar-06
1.3.2 Banning sale of:		
1.3.2.1 Used domestic refrigerators using CFC	No	
1.3.2.2 Used freezers using CFC	No	
1.3.2.3 MAC systems using CFC	No	
1.3.2.4 Air conditioners using CFC	No	
1.3.2.5 Chillers using CFC	No	
1.3.2.6 CFC-containing aerosols except for metered dose inhalers	No	
1.3.2.7 Use of CFC in production of some or all types of foam	No	
2. ENFORCEMENT OF ODS IMPORT CONTROLS		
2.1 Registration of ODS importers (Yes/No)	Yes	Mar-06
D: QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT OF THE OPERATION OF RMP		
The ODS import licensing scheme functions	Satisfactorily	
The CFC recovery and recycling programme functions	NA	

Source: Country Programme and Verification Report

(8) IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS: Not applicable for first tranche

(9) ANNUAL PLAN SUBMITTED COMPARED TO OVERALL PLAN

	Activities		Budget		Explanations
	Planned (future tranche)	Cumulative achievement as compared to overall plan [%]	Planned (future tranche)	Cumulative achievement as compared to overall plan [%]	
Policy, Regulatory and Institutional support			10,000		
Training workshops for enforcement officers	1				
refrigeration identifiers					
Support regulations review and fine-tuning	1				
Training, capacity-building awareness			10,000		
Train the Trainers workshop					
Technicians training workshops	1				
Awareness programme	1				
Refrigeration Service investment component			40,000		
Training/demonstration equipment					
Servicing equipment supply					
MAC recovery and recycling equipment					
End user conversion of MAC & RAC	500				
PMU & Monitoring	1		20,000		
Unforeseen Activities					