

United Nations Environment Programme

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/53/7 25 October 2007

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL Fifty-third Meeting Montreal, 26-30 November 2007

MODEL ROLLING THREE-YEAR PHASE-OUT PLAN: 2008-2010

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

For reasons of economy, this document is printed in a limited number. Delegates are kindly requested to bring their copies to the meeting and not to request additional copies.

INTRODUCTION

1. The Secretariat is submitting herewith the model rolling three-year phase-out plan for the 2008-2010 triennium as a follow-up to decision 50/5(d). This paper contains:

- (a) An estimate of the maximum amounts of CFCs, halons, methyl bromide (MB), CTC and TCA that need to be funded in the 2008-2010 triennium to achieve compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out targets;
- (b) An analysis of the level of funding that has been agreed in principle by the Executive Committee for multi-year agreements;
- (c) An estimate of the funding level of projects submitted to the 53rd Meeting; and
- (d) A set of conclusions and recommendations.

Background

2. At the 37th Meeting, the Secretariat submitted a compliance-oriented model for the 2003-2005 triennium, which was adopted by the Executive Committee as a flexible guide for resource planning for the triennium. The Secretariat was also requested to prepare a model rolling three-year phase-out plan (the model) for the Multilateral Fund. Since then, the Secretariat has updated the model after each meeting of the Executive Committee.

3. At its 50th Meeting, the Executive Committee considered the updated model for the 2007-2009 period, and decided, *inter alia*:

- (a) To adopt the model three-year phase-out plan as a flexible guide for resource planning to phase out eligible ODS for the 2007-2009 triennium; and
- (b) To request the Secretariat to present an updated model for the 2008-2010 period to the last meeting of the Executive Committee in 2007 to provide guidance as relevant for the preparation of the 2008-2010 business plan of the Multilateral Fund (decision 50/5).

4. In compliance with decision 50/5, the Secretariat reviewed the model as usual, taking into account the amounts of ODS to be phased out in projects and sectoral/national phase-out plans that have been approved by the Executive Committee since the 50th Meeting, and the latest data reported by Article 5 countries under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol (data provided by the Ozone Secretariat as of 17 October 2007).

5. A summary of the projects approved at the 50th, 51st and 52nd meetings of the Executive Committee is presented in Annex I to the present report; the assumptions on which the model is based are contained in Annex II; and the results of the analysis (by ODS and country) are presented in Annex III.

Scope of the model

6. Of the current 191 Parties to the Montreal $Protocol^1$, 146 countries are classified as operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol (102 of these countries are considered low-volume consuming, or LVC, countries²). Four of the current 146 Article 5 countries were reclassified as operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 and have agreed or were urged not to seek assistance from the Multilateral Fund³. These countries therefore have not been considered in the model. Additionally, 5 countries have not yet become Parties to the Montreal Protocol⁴.

7. The analysis covers the following ODS: CFCs, halons, methyl bromide (MB), CTC and TCA.

ODS phase-out in the 2006-2008 triennium

8. After taking into consideration the phase-out projects and activities approved by the Executive Committee at its 50th to 52nd Meetings, the model was run to determine the maximum amounts of CFCs, halons, MB, CTC and TCA that need to be funded during the 2008-2010 triennium to achieve the 2010 Montreal Protocol phase-out targets.

<u>CFCs</u>

9. The analysis for CFCs includes a revision of: CFC consumption for non-low-volume consuming (non-LVC) countries (40 countries); CFC consumption for low-volume consuming (LVC) countries (102 countries); and CFC in the production sector (7 countries).

10. The 40 non-LVC countries are divided into 2 major groups (Table 1 of Annex III) according to the level of assistance the countries might require to achieve the Montreal Protocol control limits:

Group I: Comprises of 4 countries that may need additional assistance to meet the 2007 and 2010 reductions in CFC consumption (total CFC baseline of 6,542.9 ODP tonnes and 2005/2006 total reported consumption under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol of 1,757.6 ODP tonnes). The aggregated remaining CFC consumption eligible for funding is 1,270.5 ODP tonnes;

Three of the 4 countries (Algeria, Chile and Yemen) had a RMP approved in accordance with decision 31/48 (i.e., to achieve the 50 per cent and 85 per cent reductions in CFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector);

¹ As of 11 October 2007, the number of countries that are Parties to the London, Copenhagen, Montreal and Beijing Amendments are 186, 179, 159 and 134, respectively.

² Countries with CFC baseline consumption below 360 ODP tonnes.

³ These countries are: Republic of Korea, Singapore, South Africa and United Arab Emirates. At their 19th Meeting, the Parties decided that South Africa, as an Article 5 Party is eligible for technical and financial assistance from the Fund for fulfilling its commitments to phase out both production and consumption of HCFCs.

⁴ These countries are: Andorra, Holy See, Iraq, Timor Leste, and San Marino.

Two countries (Algeria and Saudi Arabia⁵) have submitted to the 53rd Meeting project projects to achieve complete phase-out of CFCs. The total CFC baseline for these countries is 3,918.0 ODP tonnes but the 2006 reported consumption under Article 7 is 1,181.1 ODP tonnes.

Group II: Comprises of 36 countries that have an approved CFC phase-out plan addressing all the CFC consumption eligible for funding. The total CFC baseline for these countries is 139,523.8 ODP tonnes, and the 2005/2006 CFC consumption is 30,921.1 ODP tonnes;

Three of the 36 countries (Argentina, China and Indonesia) have a total aggregated remaining CFC consumption of 613.3 ODP tonnes additional to the phase-out agreed in their sectoral/national phase-out plans, that is used for the production of metered dose inhalers (MDIs). One project for the total phase-out of CFCs in MDI applications (China) has been submitted to the 53rd Meeting;

Seventeen countries (Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, China, Cuba, Egypt, India, Indonesia, Lebanon, Macedonia FYR, Nigeria, Pakistan, Panama, Serbia, Sudan, Thailand and Turkey) have submitted tranches of already approved national/sectoral CFC phase out plans to the 53rd Meeting;

One additional country (Mexico) with an approved plan for the complete phase-out of CFCs has also submitted a project proposal for the phase-out of CFCs used for the production of MDIs;

The institutional strengthening projects of these countries will continue to be funded, as appropriate.

11. The 102 LVC countries are divided into 4 groups (Table 2 of Annex III) according to the level of assistance they have received to meet Montreal Protocol limits:

- Group I: Comprises of 4 countries (Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Montenegro and Somalia) that do not have an approved country programme and RMP project. The total CFC baseline for these countries is 418.9 ODP tonnes, and the 2005/2006 CFC consumption is 118.4 ODP tonnes;
 One country (Montenegro) has submitted to the 53rd Meeting a terminal phase-out management plan to achieve complete phase-out of CFCs.
- Group II: Comprises of one country (Cote D'Ivoire), which had an RMP approved prior to the 31st Meeting (i.e., no CFC phase-out commitment). The total CFC baseline for this country is 294.2 ODP tonnes but the 2005/2006 reported consumption under Article 7 is 85.5 ODP tonnes;

⁵ At its 49th Meeting, the Executive Committee approved, for the first time, funding for the preparation of a country programme/national phase-out plan for Saudi Arabia. For the purpose of the compliance-oriented model, the remaining CFC consumption eligible for funding for this country has been estimated at 269.8 ODP tonnes (i.e., the 2007 allowable level of consumption).

Group III: Comprises of 44 countries which have had RMPs approved in accordance with decision 31/48 (i.e., they are all committed to achieving their 50 per cent and 85 per cent reduction targets without further assistance from the Fund, except for the continued funding of their institutional strengthening projects). The total CFC baseline for these countries is 3,132.9 ODP tonnes and the 2005/2006 reported consumption under Article 7 is 742.3 ODP tonnes;

Twenty two countries (Belize, Burundi, Cambodia, Chad, Djibouti, El Salvador, Gambia, Guyana, Honduras, Liberia, Malawi, Maldives, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Niger, Qatar, Rwanda, Tanzania, Togo, Western Samoa, and Zambia) have submitted to the 53rd Meeting TPMP project proposals to achieve complete phase-out of CFCs in accordance with decision 45/54.

Group IV: Comprises of 53 countries, which have total phase-out plans approved and which will not seek further assistance from the Multilateral Fund to achieve phase-out by 2010. The total CFC baseline for these countries is 3,914.8 ODP tonnes, and the 2005/2006 CFC consumption is 870.3 ODP tonnes;

Two countries (Armenia and Turkmenistan) have received funding outside the Multilateral Fund to achieve the complete phase-out of CFCs;

Seven countries (Dominica, Georgia, Ghana, Grenada, Mongolia, Saint Kitts and Nevis, and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines) have submitted requests for tranches for already approved terminal phase-out management plans to the 53rd Meeting;

The institutional strengthening projects of these countries will continue to be funded, as appropriate.

- 12. The CFC production sector can be described as follows:
 - (a) Seven countries eligible for funding (Argentina, China, India, DPR Korea, Mexico, Romania and Venezuela), have a CFC production baseline (total of 88,624.16 ODP tonnes);
 - (b) Projects for the closure of all CFC production facilities in all 7 countries have been approved by the Executive Committee and are under current implementation;
 - (c) The CFC production closure projects in two countries (China excluding production of pharmaceutical grade CFCs and Mexico) have been completed;
 - (d) Three countries (Argentina, China and Romania) have submitted tranches of already approved CFC phase-out closure plans to the 53rd Meeting.

<u>Halons</u>

13. The analysis for halons includes a revision of both halon consumption (71 countries) and halon production (2 countries). Table 3 of Annex III lists the 71 countries with an established halon baseline. These countries are divided into 3 major groups:

- Group I: Comprises of 3 countries that might need immediate assistance to achieve the 2010 halon phase out target. The total halon baseline for these countries is 21.9 ODP tonnes and the 2005/2006 reported consumption under Article 7 is 19.1 ODP tonnes.
- Group II: Comprises of 12 countries with a halon baseline but without reported halon consumption between 2004 and 2006. The total halon baseline for these countries is 41.8 ODP tonnes;

Two countries (Kuwait and Morocco), with a total baseline of 10.0 ODP tonnes but zero consumption reported for 2006, have submitted to the 53rd Meeting project proposals for the establishment of halon banking.

Group III: Comprises of 56 countries that have approved investment projects, halon banking and/or technical assistance programmes (at the national or regional levels) for the complete phase-out of halons. The total halon baseline of these countries is 41,542.3 ODP tonnes and the 2005/2006 halon consumption is 5,540.5 ODP tonnes;

One country (China) has submitted to the 53rd Meeting a request for a tranche of the approved halon sector plan.

14. Two countries have an established halon production baseline (China, 40,993.0 ODP tonnes, and India, 288.8 ODP tonnes). One country (India) has reported zero production levels under Article 7 since 1998; the total reported halon production under Article 7 in 2005 for the other country (China) was 5,475.8 ODP tonnes. Projects for halon production closure have been funded in both countries.

Methyl bromide

15. The analysis for MB includes a revision of MB consumption (131 countries) and MB production (2 countries). For the MB consumption sector, all countries are divided into the following 6 major groups (Table 4 of Annex III):

- Group I: Comprises of 6 countries that might need immediate assistance to achieve the 2005 MB phase out target. The total MB baseline for these countries is 34.6 ODP tonnes and the 2005/2006 reported consumption under Article 7 is 11.1 ODP tonnes.
- Group II: Comprises of 1 country (Tunisia) with a MB baseline of 8.3 ODP tonnes, which might need assistance to achieve the 20 per cent reduction target in

a future year in accordance with decision $XV/12^6$ of the Fifteenth Meeting of the Parties.

Group III: Comprises of 16 countries with approved projects that would enable, as a minimum, compliance with the 2005 phase-out target. The total MB baseline for these countries is 3,925.0 ODP tonnes and the 2005/2006 reported consumption under Article 7 is 2,224.3 ODP tonnes. The total remaining unfunded MB consumption for these countries is 1,812.9 ODP tonnes;

One country (Morocco) has submitted to the 53rd Meeting a request for a tranche of an already approved multi-year MB project.

Group IV: Comprises of 50 countries with approved projects that would achieve the complete phase-out of all controlled uses of MB. The total MB baseline for these countries is 4,697.4 ODP tonnes and the 2005/2006 reported consumption under Article 7 is 1,953.6 ODP tonnes;

One country (Honduras) has submitted to the 53rd Meeting a request for a tranche of an already approved multi-year MB project.

- Group V: Comprises of 48 countries with a MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline, or with no (or very little) current consumption;
- Group VI: Comprises of 10 countries that are not yet Parties to the Copenhagen Amendment.
- 16. The MB production sector can be described as follows:
 - (a) Two countries (China and Romania) have a MB production baseline totalling 794.6 ODP tonnes;
 - (b) The 2005 production level reported under Article 7 is 322.8 ODP tonnes (China);
 - (c) Projects for the closure of the MB production facilities in these 2 countries have been approved by the Executive Committee and are under current implementation.

⁶ Through its Decision XV/12, the Parties to the Montreal Protocol decided as follows:

^{1.} That the Implementation Committee and Meeting of the Parties should defer the consideration of the compliance status of countries that use over 80 per cent of their consumption of methyl bromide on high-moisture dates until two years after the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel formally finds that there are alternatives to methyl bromide that are available for high moisture dates;

^{2.} That the above provision shall apply so long as the relevant Party does not increase consumption of methyl bromide on products other than high-moisture dates beyond 2002 levels, and the Party has noted its commitment to minimizing the use of methyl bromide for dates to the extent necessary to ensure effective control of pests;

^{3.} To request the Executive Committee to consider appropriate demonstration projects for alternatives on highmoisture dates, and to ensure that the results of those projects are shared with the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel.

CTC

17. The analysis for CTC includes a revision of both CTC consumption and CTC production. Table 5 of Annex III lists the 56 countries with an established CTC baseline and with current consumption (reported for 2005 and/or 2006), and countries with no established CTC baseline but with current reported consumption. The countries are divided into 2 major groups:

Group I: Comprises of 17 countries that might need immediate assistance to achieve the 2005 CTC phase-out target. The total CTC baseline for these countries is 563.9 ODP tonnes and the 2005/2006 reported consumption under Article 7 is 4.1 ODP tonnes;

One country (Brazil with a CTC baseline of 411.6 ODP tonnes) has submitted to the 53rd Meeting a project proposal for the complete phaseout of CTC used as solvents and as process agents;

Group II: Comprises of 39 countries⁷ with approved CTC phase-out plans, investment projects or technical assistance programmes for the complete phase-out of CTC consumption. The total CTC baseline for these countries is 53,673.4 ODP tonnes;

Two countries (China⁸ and Pakistan) have submitted to the 53rd Meeting tranches requests for already approved multi-year phase out plans.

- 18. The CTC production sector can be described as follows:
 - (a) Five countries (Brazil, China, India, DPR Korea, and Romania), have a CTC production baseline totalling 36,535.9 ODP tonnes;
 - (b) Projects for the complete phase-out of CTC in the production and consumption sectors in 4 countries have been approved by the Executive Committee and are under current implementation (China, India, DPR Korea and Romania, with a total CTC production baseline of 24,906.3 ODP tonnes);
 - (c) The model has not taken into account the phase-out of the CTC production facilities in 1 country (Brazil) with a baseline of 11,629.6 ODP tonnes because no information is available on the level of controlled and/or other uses of CTC (e.g., feedstock) and the eligibility for funding (e.g., foreign ownership of the production facilities).

⁷ The CTC baseline in 1 country (Venezuela) is 1,107.2 ODP tonnes. Before 2000, CTC consumption in this country was relatively low, except for 1995 and 1999 when the reported consumption was 1,708.8 and 3,321.5 ODP tonnes, respectively. From 2000, CTC consumption has been nil. Since the country is a CFC producer and has reported very low consumption of CTC, it would appear that the consumption reported in 1995 and 1999 could have been related to feedstock applications. Therefore, the model has not taken into account the CTC baseline for this country.

⁸ The CTC consumption level reported by China under Article 7 decreased from 20,019.9 ODP tonnes in 2003 to 1,060.3 ODP tonnes in 2005 (data for 2006 was not available at the time of preparation of this paper).

TCA

19. The analysis for TCA includes a revision of both TCA consumption and TCA production. Table 6 of Annex III lists 41 countries with an established TCA baseline. These countries are divided into 2 major groups:

- Group I: Comprises of 14 countries that might need immediate assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase-out target. The total TCA baseline for these countries is 235.7 ODP tonnes and the 2005/2006 reported consumption under Article 7 is 4.4 ODP tonnes.
- Group II: Comprises of 27 countries with an approved TCA phase-out plan, investment projects or technical assistance programmes for the complete phase-out of TCA consumption. The total TCA baseline for these countries is 1,082.9 ODP tonnes;

One country (China) has submitted to the 53rd Meeting a tranche request for an already approved multi-year phase out plan.

- 20. The TCA production sector can be described as follows:
 - (a) Three countries (Brazil⁹, China and DPR Korea), have a TCA production baseline totalling152.9 ODP tonnes;
 - (b) Projects for the complete phase-out of TCA in the production sector have been approved by the Executive Committee in 2 countries (China and DPR Korea) with a total TCA production baseline of 120.5 ODP tonnes, and are under current implementation.

ODS reductions to be achieved in 2008-2010 from approved phase-out plans and projects

21. The results of the model are presented in Tables 1 to 9 of Annex III to this document. The total maximum amount of ODS that needs to be funded during the 2008-2010 triennium is 3,809.4 ODP tonnes, with the following annual distribution:

| Amount of ODS to be funded | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Total |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|------|---------|
| CFC in non-LVC countries* | 941.9 | 941.9 | | 1,883.8 |
| CFC in LVC countries** | 841.1 | 341.9 | | 1,183.0 |
| CFC production sector | | | | - |
| Halon | 5.5 | 5.5 | | 11.0 |
| MB (consumption)** | 2.7 | - | | 2.7 |
| MB (production) | | | | - |
| CTC** | 479.3 | 84.6 | | 563.9 |
| TCA** | 82.5 | 82.5 | | 165.0 |
| Total ODS to be funded | 2,353.0 | 1,456.4 | | 3,809.4 |

ODS to be funded for phase-out (ODP tonnes) during 2008-2010

⁹ The model has not taken into account the phase-out of TCA production facilities in Brazil (baseline of 32.4 ODP tonnes), taking into consideration that, since 2000, production of TCA has been nil.

(*) Based on the remaining CFC consumption eligible for funding (decision 35/57). This consumption also includes 613.3 ODP tonnes of CFCs used for the production of MDIs.

(**) Based on baselines and not current (2005/2006) reported consumption.

22. The Executive Committee should also be cognizant of the fact that, in addition to the amounts of new ODS phase-out to be funded in the 2008-2010 period:

- (a) Some 30,137.9 ODP tonnes of ODS consumption and 38,986.0 ODP tonnes of ODS production have yet to be phased out in approved multi-year sectoral and national phase-out plans during the remainder of 2007 and in the 2008-2010 triennium;
- (b) Some 27,747.6 ODP tonnes of ODS consumption and 15,469.0 ODP tonnes of ODS production have yet to be phased out in approved individual or umbrella projects (on the basis of the progress report submitted by bilateral and implementing agencies to the 52nd Meeting of the Executive Committee¹⁰);
- (c) Forty-four RMP projects for LVC countries approved in accordance with decision 31/48 (e.g., to achieve the 2005 and 2007 CFC phase-out targets on time) are under current implementation; and
- (d) Fifty-three TPMPs for LVC countries¹¹, 42 national ODS phase-out plans for non-LVC countries, and 10 phase-out plans in the production sector in 5 non-LVC countries are under current implementation.

23. In summary, about 57,885.5 ODP tonnes of ODS consumption and 54,455.0 ODP tonnes of ODS production have yet to be phased out during the remainder of 2007 and in the 2008-2010 triennium. The level of effort still required by both the Article 5 countries concerned and the bilateral and implementing agencies to implement the already approved reductions in ODS consumption and production (where applicable), and the additional amounts of ODS to be funded in new phase-out plans or projects to enable compliance with the Protocol's phase-out targets, should not be underestimated and should be fully kept in mind during future planning activities.

Level of funding agreed in principle

24. For the remainder of 2007 and in the 2008-2010 triennium, the total level of funding approved in principle and other funding commitments (i.e., Fund Secretariat and Executive Committee meetings, institutional strengthening projects, UNEP's CAP and core units of the implementing agencies), amount to US \$263,265,747.

25. Of the total level of funding that has been approved in principle by the Executive Committee for 2007 in multi-year projects (US \$87,924,999), US \$82,625,565 has already been approved or submitted in tranches of national or sectoral phase-out plans to the 53rd Meeting. However, an amount of US \$5,299,434 associated with the tranches for phase-out projects in 15 countries has not been submitted or was subsequently withdrawn by the relevant bilateral and

¹⁰ The data contained in the progress reports submitted by bilateral and implementing agencies to the 52nd Meeting of the Executive Committee is as of December 2006.

¹¹ Including TPMPs for Armenia and Turkmenistan funded outside the Multilateral Fund.

| Country | Sector | Total funding (US\$) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Albania | ODS phase-out plan | 45,496 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | CFC phase-out plan | 30,000 |
| Bangladesh | CFC phase out plan | 909,495 |
| Congo, DR | CFC phase out plan | 158,266 |
| Dominica | CFC phase out plan | 99,900 |
| Egypt | ODS phase-out plan | 645,000 |
| Grenada | CFC phase out plan | 108,740 |
| Kenya | Terminal phase out plan | 372,900 |
| Kyrgyzstan | Terminal phase out plan | 141,725 |
| Libya | CFC phase-out plan | 298,793 |
| Libya | MB project | 565,000 |
| Nigeria | CFC phase out plan | 1,397,710 |
| Pakistan | Solvent sector plan | 271,589 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | CFC phase out plan | 117,050 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | CFC phase out plan | 38,710 |
| Uruguay | CFC phase-out | 99,060 |
| Total | | 5,299,434 |

implementing agencies for approval by the Executive Committee at its 53rd Meeting, as shown in the table below:

Funding level of projects submitted to the 53rd Meeting

26. The total value of new multi-year projects (including national/sectoral phase-out plans in the production and consumption sectors) as submitted to the 53rd Meeting, is over US \$103,119,005 (prior to determination of the eligibility of their incremental costs). However, the exact amounts and the funding implications for the 2008-2010 triennium will be known only after the conclusion of the 53rd Meeting of the Executive Committee.

Conclusions

27. To achieve the 2007 and 2010 Montreal Protocol's phase-out targets, an additional 3,809.4 ODP tonnes of ODS will need to be addressed during the 2008-2010 triennium. About 81 per cent of this amount is related to the phase out of CFCs as follows:

- (a) 1,883.8 ODP tonnes in 4 non-LVC countries; and
- (b) 1,183.0 ODP tonnes in 49 LVC countries.

28. The level of phase-out for CTC and TCA to be addressed during the 2008-2010 triennium, while relatively small, is distributed among a large number of countries:

- (a) In 17 countries for CTC (total aggregate baseline of 563.9 ODP tonnes); and
- (b) In 14 countries for TCA (total aggregate baseline of 235.7 ODP tonnes).

29. Of the total funding that has been approved in principle for 2007, an amount of US \$5,299,434 associated with tranches of phase-out projects in 15 countries has not been submitted to the 53rd Meeting.

30. In addition to the amounts of new ODS phase-out to be funded during the remainder of 2007 and in the 2008-2010 triennium as identified in paragraph 27 above the Executive Committee should be cognizant of the fact that:

- (a) Some 30,137.9 ODP tonnes of ODS consumption and 38,986.0 ODP tonnes of ODS production have yet to be phased out through approved multi-year sectoral and national phase-out plans;
- (b) Some 27,747.6 ODP tonnes of ODS consumption and 15,469.0 ODP tonnes of ODS production have yet to be phased out in approved individual or umbrella projects; and
- (c) Fifty-three TPMPs for LVC countries, 42 national ODS phase-out plans for non-LVC countries, and 10 phase-out plans in the production sector in 5 non-LVC countries are under current implementation.

Recommendations

- 31. The Executive Committee may wish to:
 - (a) Consider adopting the 2008-2010 model three-year phase-out plan as a flexible guide for resource planning for the corresponding triennium;
 - (b) Urge Article 5 countries with approved but not implemented projects, and the relevant implementing and bilateral agencies, to accelerate the pace of implementation during the 2008-2010 triennium;
 - (c) Urge bilateral and implementing agencies to work with those countries that have been identified in need of immediate assistance to achieve the 2007 and 2010 Protocol's phase-out targets, and include activities in their 2008-2010 business plans as appropriate; and
 - (d) Request the Secretariat to present an updated model three-year rolling phase-out plan for the years 2009-2011 to the last meeting in 2008 to provide guidance, as relevant, for the preparation of the 2009-2011 business plan of the Multilateral Fund; and
 - (e) To note that:
 - (i) In total about 57,885.5 ODP tonnes of ODS consumption and 54,455.0 ODP tonnes of ODS production have yet to be phased out during the remainder of 2007 and in the 2008-2010 triennium;
 - (ii) Fifty-three TPMPs for LVC countries, 42 national ODS phase-out plans for non-LVC countries, and 10 phase-out plans in the production sector in 5 non-LVC countries are under current implementation; and
 - (iii) National phase-out plans for two non-LVC countries and TPMPs for 31 LVC countries are yet to be submitted for consideration and approval by the Executive Committee.

Annex I

SUMMARY OF THE PROJECTS APPROVED AT THE 50TH, 51ST AND 52ND MEETINGSOF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

| ODS/Sector | Country | Project |
|------------------|--------------------|---|
| CFC | | |
| MDIs/pharma- | | |
| ceutical aerosol | Bangladesh | Phase-out of CFC consumption in the manufacture of aerosol MDIs |
| | China | Phase-out of CFC consumption in the pharmaceutical aerosol sector |
| | Egypt | Phase-out of CFC consumption in the manufacture of aerosol MDIs |
| | Iran | Phase-out of CFC consumption in the manufacture of aerosol MDIs |
| Foam | Indonesia | Phase-out of residual CFCs in the foam sector (third tranche) |
| Refrigeration | | |
| servicing | Albania | National ODS phase out plan (third tranche) |
| | Argentina | National CFC phase-out plan (2007 work programme) |
| | Bahamas | Terminal phase-out management plan: 2006-2007 biennial programme |
| | Bahrain | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | Bhutan | Terminal phase-out management plan |
| | Bolivia | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | Bosnia and | |
| | Herzegovina | National ODS phase-out plan (third tranche) |
| | Brazil | National CFC phase-out plan (fifth tranche) |
| | Burkina Faso | Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche) |
| | Cameroon | Terminal CFC/TCA phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | China | Refrigeration servicing sector CFC phase-out plan (third tranche) |
| | Comoros | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | | Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances |
| | Costa Rica | (first tranche) |
| | | Terminal phase-out plan for Annex A (Group I) substances: (third |
| | Dominican Republic | tranche) |
| | Ecuador | National CFC phase-out plan (2007 annual programme) |
| | Egypt | National CFC phase-out plan (second tranche) |
| | Gabon | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | Georgia | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | Ghana | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | | National CFC consumption phase-out plan focusing on the refrigeration |
| | India | service sector: 2007 work programme |
| | | Phase-out of CFCs in the refrigeration sector (manufacturing, MAC and |
| | Indonesia | servicing) (fifth tranche) |
| | Iran | National CFC phase-out plan: (2007 annual implementation programme) |
| | Korea, DPR | Implementation of the NPP: (third tranche) |
| | | Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances |
| | Kuwait | (first tranche) |
| | Kyrgyzstan | Terminal CFC phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | Lesotho | Terminal CFC phase-out management plan (third tranche) |
| | Macedonia, FYR | Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (second tranche) |
| | Madagascar | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | Malaysia | National CFC phase-out plan: 2007 annual programme |
| | | Implementation of an ODS terminal phase-out management plan (third |
| | Mauritius | tranche) |
| | Mexico | National CFC phase-out plan: 2006 work programme |
| | Moldova | Terminal CFC phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | Namibia | Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (second tranche) |
| | Nepal | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/53/7 Annex I

| ODS/Sector | Country | Project |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Oman | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | | National phase-out plan for Annex A (Group I) substances (third |
| | Panama | tranche) |
| | | Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances |
| | Paraguay | (first tranche) |
| | Philippines | National CFC phase-out plan (2007 annual programme) |
| | Romania | National CFC phase-out plan (third tranche) |
| | Saint Lucia | Terminal phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | Senegal | Terminal phase-out management plan for CFCs (first tranche) |
| | Serbia | National CFC phase-out plan (third tranche) |
| | Seychelles | Terminal ODS phase-out management plan (first tranche) |
| | Sudan | National CFC/CTC phase-out plan (second tranche) |
| | Turkey | Total phase-out of CFCs plan: 2007 annual programme |
| | * | Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I Substances |
| | Uruguay | (first tranche) |
| | Venezuela | National CFC phase-out plan: 2006 work programme |
| | | National phase-out of Annex A (Group I) substances (phase II, first |
| | Zimbabwe | tranche) |
| Methyl bromide | | |
| | Algeria | Technical assistance to phase out MB in pulses disinfestations |
| | Cameroon | Total phase-out of MB used in stored commodities fumigation |
| | China | National phase-out of MB (phase II, second tranche) |
| | Honduras | National MB phase-out plan, phase II (first tranche) |
| | Tioliduras | Technology transfer leading to MB phase-out in soil fumigation in cut |
| | Kenya | flowers and in all other horticulture (third tranche) |
| | Kenya | Phase-out of MB used for soil fumigation in tomato production (third |
| | Morocco | tranche) |
| | Saint Kitts and Nevis | Technical assistance to phase out the use of MB |
| | | |
| | Thailand | National MB phase-out plan (second tranche) |
| | Vietnam | National phase-out plan of out MB (first tranche) |
| | 7 | Total phase-out of MB used in tobacco seedlings (second tranche) and |
| TT 1 | Zimbabwe | grain fumigation |
| Halon | | |
| | C1 '1 | Halon consumption phase-out: technical assistant programme and halon |
| | Chile | recycling and recovery equipment |
| | China | Sector plan for halon phase-out in China (2007 annual programme) |
| | Dominican Republic | National halon bank management plan update |
| | Haiti | Establishing a halon management plan |
| | Romania | Halon management programme |
| | Sierra Leone | Technical assistance for awareness raising in the halon sector |
| CTC/Process | | |
| agent | | |
| | | Sector plan for phase-out of ODS process agent applications (phase II) |
| | China | and corresponding CTC production (2007 annual programme) |
| | | Phase-out of the production and consumption of CTC for process agent |
| | China | and other non-identified uses (phase I) (2007 annual programme) |
| | | CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors: 2007 |
| | India | annual programme |
| | Korea, DPR | Plan for terminal phase-out of CTC (fifth tranche) |
| | Mexico | Umbrella project for terminal phase-out of CTC |
| | | Terminal phase-out management plan of CTC production/consumption |
| | Romania | for process agent uses |
| Solvents | | |
| | China | ODS phase-out in China solvent sector (2007 annual programme) |
| | Congo, DR | Umbrella project for terminal phase-out of ODS in the solvent sector |

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/53/7 Annex I

| ODS/Sector | Country | Project |
|------------|-----------|--|
| | | (first phase) |
| | Iran | Terminal solvent sector umbrella project |
| | | Terminal ODS phase-out umbrella project in the solvent sector (fourth |
| | Nigeria | tranche) |
| | | Technical assistance for the phase-out of ODS in the solvent/sterilant |
| | Zimbabwe | sector |
| Production | | |
| | | Strategy for gradual phase-out of CFC-11 and CFC-12 production: 2006 |
| | Argentina | annual programme |
| | China | Sector plan for CFC production phase-out: 2007 annual programme |
| | | CFC production sector gradual phase-out: 2007 annual implementation |
| | India | plan |
| | Venezuela | National CFC production closure plan (fourth tranche) |

Annex II

ASSUMPTIONS USED FOR DEVELOPING THE 2008-2010 PHASE-OUT PLAN OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND

<u>CFCs</u>

1. For Annex A CFCs, countries were divided into two categories: large-volume-consuming Article 5 countries, or non-LVC countries, (countries with a compliance baseline for Annex A Group I substances greater than 360 ODP tonnes); and low-volume-consuming countries, or LVC countries.

CFCs for non-LVC countries

2. The total eligible amount of CFCs needed to enable compliance with the Protocol's CFC phase-out reduction targets by 4 non-LVC countries without an approved sectoral/national phase-out plan will be funded between 2008 and 2009.

CFCs for LVC countries

3. The total amount of CFC phase-out needed to enable compliance with the Protocol's CFC phase-out targets by all LVC countries without approved TPMP is based on the calculated CFC baseline (3,846.0 ODP tonnes) and not on the 2005/2006 consumption reported under Article 7 (946.2 ODP tonnes).

4. The remaining unfunded eligible amount of CFCs will be funded in 2008 and 2009.

CFC production sector

5. Projects for the closure of all CFC production facilities have been approved.

<u>Halon</u>

6. The total amount of halon phase-out needed to enable compliance with the Protocol's halon phase-out target by all countries without an approved investment projects, halon banking and/or technical assistance programmes (at the national or regional levels) for the complete phase-out of halons, will be funded between 2008 and 2009.

Methyl bromide

7. The total amounts required to enable compliance with the 20 per cent reduction target in 2005 by 7 countries will be funded in 2008.

8. Except for small MB consumption in two countries that have temporarily been exempted from achieving the 2005 MB phase-out limit (decision XV/12 of the 15th Meeting of the Parties), no additional phase-out over the 2008-2010 period is proposed in the model, since the complete phase-out of MB does not come until 2015.

CTC

9. The analysis only includes: countries with an established CTC baseline; countries with CTC consumption reported in 2005 and/or 2006 under Article 7; and countries with no established CTC baseline but with CTC consumption reported in 2005 and/or 2006.

10. The total amount of CTC reported under Article 7 is eligible for funding.

11. The total amount of CTC required to enable compliance with the 85 per cent CTC phase-out reduction target in 2005 is based on the total calculated CTC baseline (660.3 ODP tonnes) and not on the 2005/2006 consumption reported under Article 7 (132.3 ODP tonnes), and will be funded in 2008. The remaining unfunded amount of CTC (15 per cent of the baseline), will be funded in 2009.

12. The model has not taken into consideration CTC consumption or production in the following countries:

- (a) CTC consumption in one country (Venezuela), with a CTC baseline of 1,107.2 ODP tonnes. Before 2000, CTC consumption in the country was relatively low, except for 1995 and 1999 when the reported consumption was 1,708 and 3,321 ODP tonnes, respectively. Since 2000, CTC consumption has been nil. Since the country is a CFC producer and has reported very low consumption of CTC, it would appear that the consumption reported in 1995 and 1999 could have been related with feedstock applications; and
- (b) CTC production in one country (Brazil with a baseline of 11,629.6 ODP tonnes) because no information is available on the level of controlled uses of CTC (e.g., feedstock), the eligibility for funding (e.g., foreign ownership of the production facilities) and no production was reported for 2004 and 2005.

<u>TCA</u>

13. The analysis only includes countries with an established TCA baseline.

14. The total amount of TCA required to enable compliance with the 30 per cent TCA phase-out reduction target in 2005 is based on the calculated TCA baseline and not on the 2005/2006 consumption reported under Article 7, and will be funded in 2007.

15. The total amount of TCA required to enable compliance with the 70 per cent TCA phase-out reduction target in 2010 will be funded in 2008.

16. The model has not taken into consideration TCA consumption or production in one country (Brazil with a TCA production baseline of 32.4). Since 2000, the TCA production reported by this country has been zero.

- - - -

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 1. Analysis of CFC consumption in non-LVC countries (ODP tonnes)

| Country | LatestCons | Baseline | 50%Base | 15%Base | CFCeligible | CFCapproved | CFCnet | odp2008 | odp2009 | |
|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|--|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4)=(3)*0.50 | (5)=(3)*0.15 | (6) | (7) | (8)=(6)-(7) | (9) | (10) | |
| Non-LVC countries that might require further assistance to achieve the 2005, 2007 and/or 2010 phase out targets (Gro | | | | | | | | | | |
| Algeria(*) | 302.6 | 2,119.5 | 1,059.8 | 317.9 | 1,052.6 | 693.9 | 358.7 | 179.4 | 179.4 | |
| Chile(*) | 181.8 | 828.7 | 414.4 | 124.3 | 828.7 | 337.9 | 490.8 | 245.4 | 245.4 | |
| Saudi Arabia(**) | 878.5 | 1,798.5 | 899.3 | 269.8 | 269.8 | - | 269.8 | 134.9 | 134.9 | |
| Yemen(*) | 394.7 | 1,796.1 | 898.0 | 269.4 | 852.0 | 700.8 | 151.2 | 75.6 | 75.6 | |
| Total | 1,757.6 | 6,542.9 | 3,271.4 | 981.4 | 3,003.1 | 1,732.6 | 1,270.5 | 635.2 | 635.2 | |
| Explanatory notes to | the columns o | f the Table | | | | | | | | |
| (1) Non LVC countries | with reported C | EC concumptio | on data | | | | | | | |

(1) Non-LVC countries with reported CFC consumption data

(2) Latest (2005 or 2006) CFC consumption reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(3) CFC baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(4) Allowable CFC consumption in 2005 = (3) *0.5

(5) Allowable CFC consumption in 2007 = (3) * 0.15

(6) Total amount of CFCs eligible for funding (as per Decision 35/57 Proviso B)

(7) CFC consumption to be phased out in projects approved at and since the 35th Meeting of the Executive Committee

(8) Net amount of CFCs eligible for funding

(9-10) Amount of CFCs to be phased out

(*) Countries with an approved RMP in accordance to decision 31/48 (i.e., achieve the 50% and 85% CFC reductions in the refrigeration servicing sector)

(**) At its 49th Meeting, the Executive Committee approved, for the first time funding for the preparation of a country programme/national phase-out plan

| | 2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund | |
|-----------------|--|--------------|
| Table 1 (cont). | Analysis of CFC consumption in non-LVC countries | (ODP tonnes) |

| Country | LatestCons | Baseline | 50%Base | 15%Base | CFCeligible | CFCapproved | CFCnet | odp2008 | odp2009 |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4)=(3)*0.50 | (5)=(3)*0.15 | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Non-LVC countries | with an appr | oved termin | al CFC phas | se out plan | (Group II) | | | | |
| Afghanistan | 94.5 | 380.0 | 190.0 | 57.0 | 177.9 | 177.9 | - | - | - |
| Argentina | 1,654.2 | 4,697.3 | 2,348.6 | 704.6 | 2,609.1 | 2,128.7 | 160.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 |
| Bangladesh | 196.2 | 581.6 | 290.8 | 87.2 | 664.4 | 260.5 | - | - | - |
| Brazil | 477.8 | 10,525.8 | 5,262.9 | 1,578.9 | 6,228.9 | 5,801.0 | - | - | - |
| China | 13,123.8 | 57,818.7 | 28,909.4 | 8,672.8 | 4,745.0 | 2,395.2 | 423.2 | 211.6 | 211.6 |
| Colombia | 660.4 | 2,208.2 | 1,104.1 | 331.2 | 1,456.9 | 973.3 | - | - | - |
| Congo, DR | 170.7 | 665.7 | 332.8 | 99.8 | 386.6 | 386.8 | - | - | - |
| Cuba | 239.5 | 625.1 | 312.6 | 93.8 | 585.7 | 482.4 | - | - | - |
| Dominican Republic | 156.2 | 539.9 | 269.9 | 81.0 | 350.8 | 329.1 | - | - | - |
| Egypt | 593.6 | 1,668.0 | 834.0 | 250.2 | 784.6 | 754.5 | - | - | - |
| India | 3,411.8 | 6,681.1 | 3,340.5 | 1,002.2 | 2,317.2 | 2,317.2 | - | - | - |
| Indonesia | 2,385.3 | 8,332.7 | 4,166.3 | 1,249.9 | 3,951.4 | 3,576.9 | 30.1 | 15.1 | 15.1 |
| Iran | 1,032.6 | 4,571.7 | 2,285.8 | 685.8 | 2,511.5 | 2,526.1 | - | - | - |
| Jordan | 21.8 | 673.3 | 336.6 | 101.0 | 272.9 | 202.9 | - | - | - |
| Korea, DPR | 91.8 | 441.7 | 220.8 | 66.3 | 291.7 | 192.7 | - | - | - |
| Lebanon | 224.4 | 725.5 | 362.8 | 108.8 | 312.5 | 312.5 | - | - | - |
| Libya | 252.0 | 716.7 | 358.4 | 107.5 | 765.0 | 147.0 | - | - | - |
| Macedonia, FYR | 7.0 | 519.7 | 259.9 | 78.0 | 97.2 | 49.3 | - | - | - |
| Malaysia | 565.2 | 3,271.1 | 1,635.5 | 490.7 | 1,744.4 | 1,878.1 | - | - | - |
| Mexico | (441.3) | 4,624.9 | 2,312.4 | 693.7 | 3,059.5 | 1,964.2 | - | - | - |
| Morocco | 40.0 | 802.3 | 401.1 | 120.3 | 49.8 | 31.4 | - | - | - |
| Nigeria | 454.0 | 3,650.0 | 1,825.0 | 547.5 | 2,883.3 | 2,803.0 | - | - | - |
| Panama | 92.8 | 384.2 | 192.1 | 57.6 | 299.2 | 12.4 | - | - | - |
| Pakistan | 626.0 | 1,679.4 | 839.7 | 251.9 | 533.9 | 533.9 | - | - | - |
| Philippines | 1,014.2 | 3,055.9 | 1,527.9 | 458.4 | 2,527.4 | 1,771.8 | - | - | - |
| Romania | 180.2 | 675.8 | 337.9 | 101.4 | 145.8 | 145.8 | - | - | - |
| Serbia | 233.8 | 849.2 | 424.6 | 127.4 | 640.1 | 449.3 | - | - | - |
| Sri Lanka | 135.0 | 445.6 | 222.8 | 66.8 | 348.1 | 366.1 | - | - | - |
| Sudan | 120.0 | 456.8 | 228.4 | 68.5 | 359.2 | 12.1 | - | - | - |
| Syria | 541.2 | 2,224.7 | 1,112.3 | 333.7 | 485.2 | 485.2 | - | - | - |
| Thailand | 453.7 | 6,082.1 | 3,041.0 | 912.3 | 3,396.5 | 3,094.6 | - | - | - |
| Tunisia | 59.0 | 870.1 | 435.0 | 130.5 | 177.8 | 177.8 | - | - | - |
| Turkey | 0.2 | 3,805.7 | 1,902.9 | 570.9 | 1,939.3 | 977.0 | - | - | - |
| Venezuela | 1,841.8 | 3,322.4 | 1,661.2 | 498.4 | 2,235.9 | 1,226.1 | - | - | - |
| Vietnam | 148.7 | 500.0 | 250.0 | 75.0 | 242.1 | 281.5 | - | - | - |
| Zimbabwe | 63.0 | 451.4 | 225.7 | 67.7 | 430.2 | 269.5 | - | - | - |
| Total | 30,921.1 | 139,523.8 | 69,761.9 | 20,928.6 | 50,007.0 | 39,493.8 | 613.3 | 306.7 | 306.7 |

Explanatory notes to the columns of the Table

(1) Non-LVC countries with reported CFC consumption data

(2) Latest (2005 or 2006) CFC consumption reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(3) CFC baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(4) Allowable CFC consumption in 2005 = (3) * 0.5

(5) Allowable CFC consumption in 2007 = (3) * 0.15

(6) Total amount of CFCs eligible for funding (as per Decision 35/57 Proviso B)

(7) CFC consumption to be phased out in projects approved at, and since the 35th Meeting of the Executive Committee

(8) Possible additional funding for medical aerosols (MDIs) or pharmaceutical aerosols that has been foreshadowed in the relevant agreements

(9-10) Amount of CFCs to be phased out

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 2. Analysis of CFC consumption in LVC countries (ODP tonnes)

| Country | LatestCons | Baseline | 50%Base | 15%Base | odp2008 | odp2009 | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4)=(3)*0.50 | (5)=(3)*0.85 | (6) | (7) | | | |
| LVC countries with no RM | P (Group I) | | | | | | | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | | 31.5 | 15.8 | 4.7 | 26.8 | 4.7 | | | |
| Eritrea | 30.2 | 41.2 | 20.6 | 6.2 | 35.0 | 6.2 | | | |
| Montenegro | | 104.9 | 52.5 | 15.7 | 89.2 | 15.7 | | | |
| Somalia | 88.2 | 241.4 | 120.7 | 36.2 | 205.2 | 36.2 | | | |
| Subtotal | 118.4 | 418.9 | 209.5 | 62.8 | 356.1 | 62.8 | | | |
| LVC countries with RMP p | rior to decisi | on 31/48 (Gr | oup II) | | | | | | |
| Cote D'Ivoire | 85.5 | 294.2 | 147.1 | 44.1 | 250.1 | 44.1 | | | |
| Subtotal | 85.5 | 294.2 | 147.1 | 44.1 | 250.1 | 44.1 | | | |
| Total | 203.9 | 713.1 | 356.6 | 107.0 | 606.1 | 107.0 | | | |
| Explanatory notes to the column | ns of the Table | | | | | | | | |
| (1) LVC countries | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) Latest (2005 or 2006) CEC cor | sumption roport | tod to the Ozona | Socratariat | | | | | | |

(2) Latest (2005 or 2006) CFC consumption reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(3) CFC baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(4) Allowable CFC consumption in 2005 = (3) * 0.5

(5) Allowable CFC consumption in 2007 = (3) * 0.15

(6-7) Amount of CFCs to be phased out (based on the CFC baseline)

| (1) (2) (3) (4)=(3)*0.50 (5)=(3)*0.85 (6) (7) Angola 52.0 114.8 57.4 17.2 8.6 8.6 Barbados 7.9 21.5 10.8 3.2 1.6 1.6 Bein 10.0 59.9 30.0 9.0 4.5 4.5 Beinin 10.0 59.9 30.0 9.0 4.5 4.5 Botswana 0.7 6.8 3.4 1.0 0.5 0.5 Burnei Darussalam 27.8 78.2 39.1 11.7 5.9 5.5 Burnoli 3.5 59.0 29.5 8.9 4.4 4.4 Cambodia 28.3 94.2 47.1 14.1 7.1 7.1 7.0 8 0.8 Cambodia 28.3 94.2 47.1 14.1 7.1 7.1 7.0 8 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0. | Table 2 (cont.). Analysis of CFC consumption in LVC countries (ODP tonnes) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| LVC countries with RMP/RMP update approved in accordance to decision 31/48 (Group III) Angola 52.0 114.8 57.4 17.2 8.6 8.6 Angola 52.0 144.8 57.4 17.2 8.6 8.6 Beitze 3.9 24.4 12.2 3.7 1.8 1.6 1.6 Beinin 10.0 55.9 30.0 9.0 4.5 4.5 Botswana 0.7 6.8 3.4 1.0 0.5 0.5 Brunei Darussalam 27.8 78.2 39.1 11.7 5.9 5.6 Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Chad 11.3 34.6 17.3 5.2 2.6 2.6 Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 | Country | LatestCons | Baseline | 50%Base | 15%Base | odp2008 | odp2009 | | | | |
| Angola 52.0 114.8 57.4 17.2 8.6 8.6 Barbados 7.9 21.5 10.8 3.2 1.6 1.6 Benin 10.0 59.9 30.0 9.0 4.5 4.5 Benin 0.7 6.8 3.4 1.0 0.5 5.5 Burundi 3.5 59.0 29.5 8.9 4.4 4.4 Cambodia 28.3 94.2 47.1 14.1 7.1 7.1 Capto Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.9 Oplibouti 7.1 12.10 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 2.0 Gambia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.6 1.6 Gambia 1.0 23.8 13.2 3.9 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 | | | | | | | (7) | | | | |
| Barbados 7.9 21.5 10.8 3.2 1.6 1.6 Beize 3.9 24.4 12.2 3.7 1.8 1.8 Beinin 10.0 59.9 30.0 9.0 4.5 4.5 Botswana 0.7 6.8 3.4 1.0 0.5 0.5 Brunei Darussalam 27.8 78.2 39.1 11.7 5.9 5.5 Brundi 3.5 59.0 2.8 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Congo 3.7 1.19 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.5 Dibouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 22.0 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 1.8 0.9 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 < | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Belize 3.9 24.4 12.2 3.7 1.8 1.8 Benin 10.0 59.9 30.0 9.0 4.5 4.5 Berunei Darussalam 0.7 6.8 3.4 1.0 0.5 0.5 Brunei Darussalam 27.8 78.2 39.1 11.7 5.9 5.9 Burundi 3.5 59.0 29.5 8.9 4.4 4.4 Cambodia 28.3 94.2 47.1 14.1 7.1 7.1 Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.9 Oplouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0 </td <td>Angola</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8.6</td> | Angola | | | | | | 8.6 | | | | |
| Benin 10.0 59.9 30.0 9.0 4.5 4.5 Botswana 0.7 6.8 3.4 1.0 0.5 0.5 Brunei Darussalam 27.8 78.2 39.1 111.7 5.9 5.5 Burundi 3.5 59.0 29.5 8.9 4.4 4.4 Cambodia 28.3 94.2 47.1 14.1 7.1 7.1 Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 2.6 Chad 11.3 34.6 17.3 5.2 2.6 <td>Barbados</td> <td>7.9</td> <td>21.5</td> <td>10.8</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>1.6</td> | Barbados | 7.9 | 21.5 | 10.8 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | | | | |
| Botswana 0.7 6.8 3.4 1.0 0.5 0.5 Brunei Darussalam 27.8 78.2 39.1 11.7 5.9 5.9 Brunei Darussalam 27.8 78.2 39.1 11.7 5.9 5.9 Cambodia 28.3 94.2 47.1 14.1 7.1 7.1 Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.9 Dijbouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 Gambia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.6 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.6 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 | Belize | 3.9 | 24.4 | 12.2 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | | | | |
| Brunei Darussalam 27.8 78.2 39.1 11.7 5.9 5.5 Burundi 3.5 59.0 29.5 8.9 4.4 4.4 Cambodia 28.3 94.2 47.1 14.1 7.1 7.1 Capter Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Central African Republic 2.6 11.3 34.6 17.3 5.2 2.6 2.6 Chad 11.3 34.6 17.3 5.2 2.6 2.6 2.6 Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.9 2.3 2.1 6 1.6 | Benin | 10.0 | | 30.0 | 9.0 | | 4.5 | | | | |
| Burundi 3.5 59.0 29.5 8.9 4.4 4.4 Cambodia 28.3 94.2 47.1 14.1 7.1 7.1 Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Central African Republic 2.6 11.3 5.7 1.7 0.8 0.8 Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 18 0.9 0.5 Dibouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 Guinea 15.0 33.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea 9.3 42.4 41.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea 9.3 42.4 41.2 6.4 <t< td=""><td></td><td>0.7</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.5</td></t<> | | 0.7 | | | | | 0.5 | | | | |
| Cambodia 28.3 94.2 47.1 14.1 7.1 7.1 Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Central African Republic 2.6 11.3 5.7 1.7 0.8 0.8 Chad 11.3 34.6 17.3 5.2 2.6 2.6 Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.5 Dibouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 Ethiopia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.8 Guatemala 12.7 224.6 112.3 33.7 16.8 16.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea 9.3 24.4 21.2 6.6 | Brunei Darussalam | | 78.2 | | 11.7 | 5.9 | 5.9 | | | | |
| Cape Verde 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 Central African Republic 2.6 11.3 5.7 1.7 0.8 0.8 Chad 11.3 34.6 17.3 5.2 2.6 2.6 Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.9 Djibouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 66.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 < | Burundi | | | 29.5 | | | 4.4 | | | | |
| Central African Republic 2.6 11.3 5.7 1.7 0.8 0.8 Chad 11.3 34.6 17.3 5.2 2.6 2.6 Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.9 Djibouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 Gambia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 7 1.4< | Cambodia | 28.3 | | | | | 7.1 | | | | |
| Chad 11.3 34.6 17.3 5.2 2.6 2.6 Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.5 Dibouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 Ethiopia 15.0 33.8 16.9 5.1 2.5 2.5 Gambia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Haiti 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 </td <td>Cape Verde</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.2</td> | Cape Verde | | | | | | 0.2 | | | | |
| Congo 3.7 11.9 6.0 1.8 0.9 0.9 Dibouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 Ethiopia 15.0 33.8 16.9 5.1 2.5 2.5 Gambia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.8 Guatemala 12.7 224.6 112.3 33.7 16.8 16.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Haiti 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 | Central African Republic | | | 5.7 | | | 0.8 | | | | |
| Djibouti 7.1 21.0 10.5 3.2 1.6 1.6 El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 Ethiopia 15.0 33.8 16.9 5.1 2.5 2.5 Gambia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.8 Guatemala 12.7 224.6 112.3 33.7 16.8 16.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea-Bissau 13.1 26.3 13.2 3.9 2.0 2.0 Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Haiti 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Liberia 5.0 56.1 28.1 8.7 4.3 4.3 Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 <td>Chad</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>17.3</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>2.6</td> | Chad | | | 17.3 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 | | | | |
| El Salvador 64.4 306.6 153.3 46.0 23.0 23.0 Ethiopia 15.0 33.8 16.9 5.1 2.5 2.5 Gambia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea-Bissau 13.1 26.3 13.2 3.9 2.0 2.0 Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Haiti 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Iberia 5.0 56.1 28.1 8.4 4.2 4.2 Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Malawi 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 | Congo | 3.7 | 11.9 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | | | | |
| Ethiopia 15.0 33.8 16.9 5.1 2.5 2.5 Gambia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.8 Guatemala 12.7 224.6 112.3 33.7 16.8 16.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea-Bissau 13.1 26.3 13.2 3.9 2.0 2.0 Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Hait 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Malavi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Malavi 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 1.2 1.2 Mozambique 1.2 18.2 9.1 2.7 | Djibouti | 7.1 | | 10.5 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | | | | |
| Gambia 1.0 23.8 11.9 3.6 1.8 1.8 Guatemala 12.7 224.6 112.3 33.7 16.8 16.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea-Bissau 13.1 26.3 13.2 3.9 2.0 2.0 Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Haiti 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Liberia 5.0 56.1 28.1 8.4 4.2 4.2 Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Matifies 16.2 108.1 54.1 16.2 8.1 8.1 Maritania 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 | El Salvador | 64.4 | 306.6 | 153.3 | 46.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | | | | |
| Guatemala 12.7 224.6 112.3 33.7 16.8 16.8 Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea-Bissau 13.1 26.3 13.2 3.9 2.0 2.0 Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Haiti 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Iberia 5.0 56.1 28.1 8.4 4.2 4.2 Malavi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Malavi 3.6 15.7 7.9 2.4 1.2 1.2 Mauritania 16.2 108.1 54.1 16.2 8.1 8.1 Mozambique 1.2 18.2 9.1 2.7 | Ethiopia | 15.0 | 33.8 | 16.9 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | | | | |
| Guinea 9.3 42.4 21.2 6.4 3.2 3.2 Guinea-Bissau 13.1 26.3 13.2 3.9 2.0 2.0 Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Haiti 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Liberia 5.0 56.1 28.1 8.4 4.2 4.2 Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Malii 16.2 108.1 54.1 16.2 8.1 8.1 Mauritania 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 1.2 1.2 Mozambique 1.2 18.2 9.1 2.7 1.4 1.4 Nicaragua 27.6 82.8 41.4 12.4 | Gambia | 1.0 | 23.8 | 11.9 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | | | | |
| Guinea-Bissau 13.1 26.3 13.2 3.9 2.0 2.0 Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Haiti 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Liberia 5.0 56.1 28.1 8.4 4.2 4.2 Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Malives 1.1 4.6 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Mali 16.2 108.1 54.1 16.2 8.1 8.1 Mauritania 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 1.2 1.2 Mozambique 1.2 18.2 9.1 2.7 1.4 1.4 Myanmar - 54.3 27.2 8.1 4.1 | Guatemala | 12.7 | 224.6 | 112.3 | 33.7 | 16.8 | 16.8 | | | | |
| Guyana 8.8 53.2 26.6 8.0 4.0 4.0 Haiti 50.4 169.0 84.5 25.4 12.7 12.7 Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Liberia 50.0 56.1 28.1 8.4 4.2 4.2 Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Maldives 1.1 4.6 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Mali 16.2 108.1 54.1 16.2 8.1 8.1 Mauritania 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 1.2 1.2 Mozambique 1.2 18.2 9.1 2.7 1.4 1.4 Myanmar - 54.3 27.2 8.1 4.1 4.1 Nicaragua 27.6 82.8 41.4 12.4 6.2< | Guinea | 9.3 | 42.4 | 21.2 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 3.2 | | | | |
| Haiti50.4169.084.525.412.712.7Honduras94.7331.6165.849.724.924.9Lao, PDR17.843.321.76.53.23.2Liberia5.056.128.18.44.24.2Malawi3.657.728.98.74.34.3Malives1.14.62.30.70.30.3Mali16.2108.154.116.28.18.1Mauritania3.015.77.92.41.21.2Mozambique1.218.29.12.71.41.4Myanmar-54.327.28.14.14.1Micaragua27.682.841.412.46.26.2Niger15.932.016.04.82.42.4Peru87.2289.5144.843.421.721.7Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo </td <td>Guinea-Bissau</td> <td>13.1</td> <td>26.3</td> <td>13.2</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>2.0</td> | Guinea-Bissau | 13.1 | 26.3 | 13.2 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | | | | |
| Honduras 94.7 331.6 165.8 49.7 24.9 24.9 Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Liberia 5.0 56.1 28.1 8.4 4.2 4.2 Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Malives 1.1 4.6 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Mali 16.2 108.1 54.1 16.2 8.1 8.1 Mozimiana 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 1.2 1.2 Mozambique 1.2 18.2 9.1 2.7 1.4 1.4 Myanmar - 54.3 27.2 8.1 4.1 4.1 Nicaragua 27.6 82.8 41.4 12.4 6.2 6.2 Niger 15.9 32.0 16.0 4.8 2.4 2.4 Peru 87.2 289.5 144.8 43.4 21.7 | Guyana | 8.8 | 53.2 | 26.6 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | | |
| Lao, PDR 17.8 43.3 21.7 6.5 3.2 3.2 Liberia 5.0 56.1 28.1 8.4 4.2 4.2 Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Maldives 1.1 4.6 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Mali 16.2 108.1 54.1 16.2 8.1 8.1 Mauritania 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 1.2 1.2 Mozambique 1.2 18.2 9.1 2.7 1.4 1.4 Myanmar - 54.3 27.2 8.1 4.1 4.1 Micaragua 27.6 82.8 41.4 12.4 6.2 6.2 Niger 15.9 32.0 16.0 4.8 2.4 2.4 Peru 87.2 289.5 144.8 43.4 21.7 21.7 Qatar 31.4 101.4 50.7 15.2 7.6 | Haiti | 50.4 | 169.0 | 84.5 | 25.4 | 12.7 | 12.7 | | | | |
| Liberia5.056.128.18.44.24.2Malawi3.657.728.98.74.34.3Maldives1.14.62.30.70.30.3Mali16.2108.154.116.28.18.1Mauritania3.015.77.92.41.21.2Mozambique1.218.29.12.71.41.4Myanmar-54.327.28.14.14.1Nicaragua27.682.841.412.46.26.2Niger15.932.016.04.82.42.4Peru87.2289.5144.843.421.721.7Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriame18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.6< | Honduras | 94.7 | 331.6 | 165.8 | 49.7 | 24.9 | 24.9 | | | | |
| Malawi 3.6 57.7 28.9 8.7 4.3 4.3 Maldives 1.1 4.6 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Mali 16.2 108.1 54.1 16.2 8.1 8.1 Mauritania 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 1.2 1.2 Mozambique 1.2 18.2 9.1 2.7 1.4 1.4 Myanmar - 54.3 27.2 8.1 4.1 4.1 Nicaragua 27.6 82.8 41.4 12.4 6.2 6.2 Niger 15.9 32.0 16.0 4.8 2.4 2.4 Peru 87.2 289.5 144.8 43.4 21.7 21.7 Qatar 31.4 101.4 50.7 15.2 7.6 7.6 Rwanda 12.3 30.4 15.2 4.6 2.3 2.3 Sao Tome and Principe - 4.7 2.4 0.7 0.4 | Lao, PDR | 17.8 | | 21.7 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | | | | |
| Maldives 1.1 4.6 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Mali 16.2 108.1 54.1 16.2 8.1 8.1 Mauritania 3.0 15.7 7.9 2.4 1.2 1.2 Mozambique 1.2 18.2 9.1 2.7 1.4 1.4 Myanmar - 54.3 27.2 8.1 4.1 4.1 Nicaragua 27.6 82.8 41.4 12.4 6.2 6.2 Niger 15.9 32.0 16.0 4.8 2.4 2.4 Peru 87.2 289.5 144.8 43.4 21.7 21.7 Qatar 31.4 101.4 50.7 15.2 7.6 7.6 Rwanda 12.3 30.4 15.2 4.6 2.3 2.3 Sao Tome and Principe - 4.7 2.4 0.7 0.4 0.4 Swariane 18.2 41.3 20.7 6.2 | Liberia | 5.0 | 56.1 | 28.1 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 4.2 | | | | |
| Mali16.2108.154.116.28.18.1Mauritania3.015.77.92.41.21.2Mozambique1.218.29.12.71.41.4Myanmar-54.327.28.14.14.1Nicaragua27.682.841.412.46.26.2Niger15.932.016.04.82.42.4Peru87.2289.5144.843.421.721.7Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | Malawi | 3.6 | | | 8.7 | | 4.3 | | | | |
| Mauritania3.015.77.92.41.21.2Mozambique1.218.29.12.71.41.4Myanmar-54.327.28.14.14.1Nicaragua27.682.841.412.46.26.2Niger15.932.016.04.82.42.4Peru87.2289.5144.843.421.721.7Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | | | | 2.3 | | 0.3 | 0.3 | | | | |
| Mozambique1.218.29.12.71.41.4Myanmar-54.327.28.14.14.1Nicaragua27.682.841.412.46.26.2Niger15.932.016.04.82.42.4Peru87.2289.5144.843.421.721.7Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | Mali | 16.2 | 108.1 | 54.1 | 16.2 | 8.1 | 8.1 | | | | |
| Myanmar-54.327.28.14.14.1Nicaragua27.682.841.412.46.26.2Niger15.932.016.04.82.42.4Peru87.2289.5144.843.421.721.7Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | Mauritania | | | 7.9 | | 1.2 | 1.2 | | | | |
| Nicaragua27.682.841.412.46.26.2Niger15.932.016.04.82.42.4Peru87.2289.5144.843.421.721.7Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.03.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | Mozambique | 1.2 | | | | | 1.4 | | | | |
| Niger15.932.016.04.82.42.4Peru87.2289.5144.843.421.721.7Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | Myanmar | - | 54.3 | 27.2 | | 4.1 | 4.1 | | | | |
| Peru87.2289.5144.843.421.721.7Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | Nicaragua | | | 41.4 | 12.4 | | 6.2 | | | | |
| Qatar31.4101.450.715.27.67.6Rwanda12.330.415.24.62.32.3Sao Tome and Principe-4.72.40.70.40.4Sierra Leone1.778.639.311.85.95.9Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | Niger | | | 16.0 | 4.8 | | 2.4 | | | | |
| Rwanda 12.3 30.4 15.2 4.6 2.3 2.3 Sao Tome and Principe - 4.7 2.4 0.7 0.4 0.4 Sierra Leone 1.7 78.6 39.3 11.8 5.9 5.9 Suriname 18.2 41.3 20.7 6.2 3.1 3.1 Swaziland 0.1 24.6 12.3 3.7 1.8 1.8 Tanzania 0.2 253.9 127.0 38.1 19.0 19.0 Togo 54.0 39.8 19.9 6.0 3.0 3.0 Uganda 10.1 12.8 6.4 1.9 1.0 1.0 Western Samoa - 4.5 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Zambia 6.6 27.4 13.7 4.1 2.1 2.1 | Peru | | | | | | 21.7 | | | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe - 4.7 2.4 0.7 0.4 0.4 Sierra Leone 1.7 78.6 39.3 11.8 5.9 5.9 Suriname 18.2 41.3 20.7 6.2 3.1 3.1 Swaziland 0.1 24.6 12.3 3.7 1.8 1.8 Tanzania 0.2 253.9 127.0 38.1 19.0 19.0 Togo 54.0 39.8 19.9 6.0 3.0 3.0 Uganda 10.1 12.8 6.4 1.9 1.0 1.0 Western Samoa - 4.5 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Zambia 6.6 27.4 13.7 4.1 2.1 2.1 | Qatar | | | | | | 7.6 | | | | |
| Sierra Leone 1.7 78.6 39.3 11.8 5.9 5.9 Suriname 18.2 41.3 20.7 6.2 3.1 3.1 Swaziland 0.1 24.6 12.3 3.7 1.8 1.8 Tanzania 0.2 253.9 127.0 38.1 19.0 19.0 Togo 54.0 39.8 19.9 6.0 3.0 3.0 Uganda 10.1 12.8 6.4 1.9 1.0 1.0 Western Samoa - 4.5 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Zambia 6.6 27.4 13.7 4.1 2.1 2.1 | Rwanda | 12.3 | 30.4 | 15.2 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 | | | | |
| Suriname18.241.320.76.23.13.1Swaziland0.124.612.33.71.81.8Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | Sao Tome and Principe | | | | | | 0.4 | | | | |
| Swaziland 0.1 24.6 12.3 3.7 1.8 1.8 Tanzania 0.2 253.9 127.0 38.1 19.0 19.0 Togo 54.0 39.8 19.9 6.0 3.0 3.0 Uganda 10.1 12.8 6.4 1.9 1.0 1.0 Western Samoa - 4.5 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Zambia 6.6 27.4 13.7 4.1 2.1 2.1 | Sierra Leone | | | | | | 5.9 | | | | |
| Tanzania0.2253.9127.038.119.019.0Togo54.039.819.96.03.03.0Uganda10.112.86.41.91.01.0Western Samoa-4.52.30.70.30.3Zambia6.627.413.74.12.12.1 | Suriname | | | | | 3.1 | 3.1 | | | | |
| Togo 54.0 39.8 19.9 6.0 3.0 3.0 Uganda 10.1 12.8 6.4 1.9 1.0 1.0 Western Samoa - 4.5 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Zambia 6.6 27.4 13.7 4.1 2.1 2.1 | Swaziland | | | | | 1.8 | 1.8 | | | | |
| Uganda 10.1 12.8 6.4 1.9 1.0 1.0 Western Samoa - 4.5 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Zambia 6.6 27.4 13.7 4.1 2.1 2.1 | Tanzania | | | | | | 19.0 | | | | |
| Western Samoa - 4.5 2.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 Zambia 6.6 27.4 13.7 4.1 2.1 2.1 | Тодо | | | 19.9 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | |
| Zambia 6.6 27.4 13.7 4.1 2.1 2.1 | Uganda | 10.1 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | | |
| | Western Samoa | | | | 0.7 | | 0.3 | | | | |
| Total 742.3 3,132.9 1,566.5 469.9 235.0 235.0 | Zambia | | | | 4.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | | | | |
| | Total | 742.3 | 3,132.9 | 1,566.5 | 469.9 | 235.0 | 235.0 | | | | |

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 2 (cont.). Analysis of CFC consumption in LVC countries (ODP tonnes)

Explanatory notes to the columns of the Table

(1) LVC countries

(2) Latest (2005 or 2006) CFC consumption reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(3) CFC baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(4) Allowable CFC consumption in 2005 = (3) *0.5

(5) Allowable CFC consumption in 2007 = (3) * 0.15

(6-7) Amount of CFCs to be phased out (based on the CFC baseline)

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 2 (cont.). Analysis of CFC consumption in LVC countries (ODP tonnes)

| Country | LatestCons | Baseline | 50%Base | 15%Base | odp2008 | odp2009 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------|---------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4)=(3)*0.50 | (5)=(3)*0.85 | (6) | (7) |
| LVC countries with total C | FC phase-or | ut plans (Gr | oup IV) | | | |
| Albania | . 15.2 | 40.8 | 20.4 | 6.1 | - | - |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 1.1 | 10.7 | 5.4 | 1.6 | - | - |
| Armenia(*) | 59.0 | 196.5 | 98.3 | 29.5 | - | - |
| Bahamas | 4.0 | 64.9 | 32.4 | 9.7 | - | - |
| Bahrain | 58.7 | 135.4 | 67.7 | 20.3 | - | - |
| Bhutan | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Bolivia | 33.1 | 75.7 | 37.9 | 11.4 | - | - |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 32.6 | 24.2 | 12.1 | 3.6 | - | - |
| Burkina Faso | 5.2 | 36.3 | 18.1 | 5.4 | - | - |
| Cameroon | 103.5 | 256.9 | 128.4 | 38.5 | - | - |
| Comoros | 0.8 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.4 | - | - |
| Cook Islands | - | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | | |
| Costa Rica | 55.7 | 250.2 | 125.1 | 37.5 | - | - |
| Croatia | (31.4) | 219.3 | 109.7 | 32.9 | - | |
| Dominica | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | | |
| Ecuador | 63.0 | 301.4 | 150.7 | 45.2 | | |
| Fiji | - 63.0 | 301.4 | 150.7 | 45.2 5.0 | | - |
| Gabon | - 1.2 | 33.4 10.3 | 5.2 | 5.0 1.5 | - | |
| | | | - | | | - |
| Georgia | 5.8 | 22.5 | 11.2 | 3.4 | - | - |
| Ghana | 13.1 | 35.8 | 17.9 | 5.4 | - | - |
| Grenada | - | 6.0 | 3.0 | 0.9 | - | - |
| Jamaica | - | 93.2 | 46.6 | 14.0 | - | - |
| Kenya | 57.7 | 239.5 | 119.7 | 35.9 | - | - |
| Kiribati | - | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Kuwait | 106.8 | 480.4 | 240.2 | 72.1 | - | - |
| Kyrgyzstan | 5.3 | 72.9 | 36.4 | 10.9 | - | - |
| Lesotho | - | 5.1 | 2.6 | 0.8 | - | - |
| Madagascar | 2.3 | 47.9 | 24.0 | 7.2 | - | - |
| Marshall Islands | - | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | - |
| Mauritius | 1.0 | 29.1 | 14.6 | 4.4 | - | - |
| Micronesia | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | - |
| Moldova | 12.0 | 73.3 | 36.7 | 11.0 | - | - |
| Mongolia | 2.2 | 10.6 | 5.3 | 1.6 | - | - |
| Namibia | - | 21.9 | 10.9 | 3.3 | - | - |
| Nauru | - | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | | |
| Nepal | - | 27.0 | 13.5 | 4.1 | - | - |
| Niue | - | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Oman | 25.8 | 248.4 | 124.2 | 37.3 | - | - |
| Palau | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | - | - |
| Papua New Guinea | 3.1 | 36.3 | 18.1 | 5.4 | - | - |
| Paraguay | 102.9 | 210.6 | 105.3 | 31.6 | - | - |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 0.6 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 0.6 | - | - |
| Saint Lucia | 0.8 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 1.2 | - | - |
| Saint Vincent/Grenadines | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 | - | - |
| Senegal | 25.0 | 155.8 | 77.9 | 23.4 | - | - |
| Seychelles | - | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.4 | - | - |
| Solomon Islands | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - | - |
| Tonga | - | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | - | - |
| Trinidad and Tobagc | 2.9 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 18.0 | - | - |
| Turkmenistan(*) | 16.8 | 37.3 | 18.7 | 5.6 | - | - |
| Tuvalu | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Uruguay | 81.9 | 253.9 | 126.9 | 38.1 | - | - |
| Vanuatu | - | | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 870.3 | 3,914.8 | 1,957.4 | 587.2 | - | - |
| Explanatory notes to the column | | 0,017.0 | 1,007.4 | 507.2 | - | - |
| (1) LVC countries | | | | | | |
| (2) Latest (2005 or 2006) CFC con | sumption report | ed to the Ozon | e Secretariat | | | |
| (3) CFC baseline as reported to th | | | | | | |
| (3) CFC baseline as reported to the | | | | | | |

(4) Allowable CFC consumption in 2005 = (3) *0.5

(5) Allowable CFC consumption in 2007 = (3) * 0.15

(6-7) Amount of CFCs to be phased out (based on the CFC baseline)

(*) Phase-out plan funded through the GEF when the country was a non-Article 5 Party

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 3. Analysis of halon consumption (ODP tonnes)

| | Baseline | 2004Cons | 2005Cons | 2006Cons | 50%Base | odp2008 | odp2009 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6)=(2)*0.5 | (7) | (8) |
| Countries with a halon baseli | ine that migh | nt need assis | tance to achi | eve the 2010 | phase-out ta | arget(Group |) |
| Afghanistan | 1.9 | 0.1 | - | - | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Eritrea | 2.3 | | 0.3 | | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Somalia | 17.7 | 23.4 | 20.1 | 18.8 | 8.9 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Subtotal | 21.9 | 23.5 | 20.4 | 18.8 | 11.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Countries with a halon baseli | | urrent consu | mption (Grou | ıp II) | | | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.1 | | |
| Bhutan | 0.3 | | - | - | 0.1 | | |
| Ecuador | 5.5 | - | - | - | 2.7 | | |
| El Salvador | 0.8 | - | - | - | 0.4 | | |
| Guatemala | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.1 | | |
| Kuwait | 3.0 | - | - | - | 1.5 | | |
| _iberia | 19.5 | - | - | - | 9.8 | | |
| Voldova | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.2 | | |
| Vorocco | 7.0 | - | - | - | 3.5 | | |
| Vozambique | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.5 | | |
| Nepal | 2.0 | - | - | - | 1.0 | | |
| Sudan | 2.0 | - | - | - | 1.0 | | |
| Subtotal | 41.8 | - | - | - | 20.9 | | |
| Total | 63.7 | 23.5 | 20.4 | 18.8 | 31.8 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Explanatory notes to the column | s of the Table | | | | | | |
| 1) Article 5 countries with reported | | | | | | | |

(2) Halon baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(3-5) Halon consumption reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(6) Allowable halon consumption in 2005 = (3) * 0.5

(7-8) Amount of halon to be phased out to achieve the 2010 control level (based on the halon baseline)

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 3 (cont.). Analysis of halon consumption (ODP tonnes)

| Country | Baseline | 2004Cons | 2005Cons | 2006Cons | 50%Base | odp2008 | odp2009 |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6)=(2)*0.5 | (7) | (8) |
| Countries with approved I | halon banki | ng and/or h | alon phase- | out project | (Group III) | | |
| Algeria | 237.3 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 118.7 | | |
| Argentina | 167.8 | - | 3.0 | - | 83.9 | | |
| Bahamas | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Bahrain | 38.9 | - | - | | 19.4 | | |
| Barbados | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Benin | 3.9 | - | - | | 2.0 | | |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 4.1 | 4.1 | - | - | 2.1 | | |
| Botswana | 5.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.6 | | |
| Brazil | 21.3 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 10.7 | | |
| Burkina Faso | 5.3 | - | - | - | 2.7 | | |
| Cameroon | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | | |
| Chile | 8.5 | - | 1.2 | - | 4.3 | | |
| China | 34,186.7 | 2,238.9 | 4,516.5 | | 17,093.3 | | |
| Colombia | 187.7 | - | - | - | 93.8 | | |
| Congo | 5.0 | - | - | | 2.5 | | |
| Congo, DR | 218.7 | 22.9 | 22.8 | 6.8 | 109.3 | | |
| Croatia | 30.1 | 0.8 | - | - | 15.1 | | |
| Dominican Republic | 4.2 | - | - | - | 2.1 | | |
| Egypt | 705.0 | 193.0 | 145.0 | 44.0 | 352.5 | | |
| Ethiopia | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | 0.5 | | |
| Georgia | 42.5 | 36.2 | 16.5 | - | 21.3 | | |
| Grenada | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Guinea | 8.6 | - | - | | 4.3 | | |
| Guyana | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.1 | | |
| Haiti | 1.5 | - | - | - | 0.8 | | |
| India | 1,249.4 | - | - | - | 624.7 | | |
| Indonesia | 354.0 | - | - | | 177.0 | | |
| Iran | 1,420.0 | - | - | - | 710.0 | | |
| Jamaica | 1.0 | - | - | - | 0.5 | | |
| Jordan | 210.0 | 32.0 | 47.0 | 36.0 | 105.0 | | |
| Kenya | 5.3 | - | - | - | 2.7 | | |
| Kyrgyzstan | - | 2.4 | - | - | - | | |
| Lebanon | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Lesotho | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.1 | | |
| Libya | 633.1 | 714.5 | 714.5 | | 316.5 | | |
| Macedonia, FYR | 32.1 | - | - | - | 16.0 | | |
| Malaysia | 8.0 | - | - | - | 4.0 | | |
| Mexico | 124.6 | 105.6 | 52.8 | 51.6 | 62.3 | | |
| Namibia | 8.3 | - | - | - | 4.1 | | |
| Nigeria | 285.3 | 151.0 | - | - | 142.7 | | |
| Oman | 13.7 | - | - | - | 6.8 | | |
| Pakistan | 14.2 | 7.2 | - | - | 7.1 | | |
| Philippines | 103.9 | - | - | | 52.0 | | |
| Qatar | 10.7 | - | - | - | 5.3 | | |
| Romania | 3.5 | 1.8 | - | | 1.7 | | |
| Serbia | 3.8 | - | 0.9 | - | 1.9 | | |
| Sierra Leone | 16.0 | 18.5 | - | - | 8.0 | | |
| Syria | 416.9 | 215.6 | 79.0 | 56.0 | 208.4 | | |
| Tanzania | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.2 | | |
| Thailand | 271.7 | - | 10.9 | - | 135.8 | | |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 46.6 | - | - | - | 23.3 | | |
| Tunisia | 104.3 | 42.0 | 39.0 | - | 52.2 | | |
| Turkey | 141.0 | 22.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 70.5 | | |
| Vietnam | 37.1 | - | - | - | 18.5 | | |
| Yemen | 140.0 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 70.0 | | |
| Zimbabwe | 1.5 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.8 | | |
| Total | 41,542.3 | 3,905.5 | 5,764.3 | 309.1 | 20,771.2 | - | - |
| Explanatory notes to the columr | a af the Table | | | | | | |

(1) Article 5 countries with reported halon consumption baseline

(2) Halon baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(3-5) Halon consumption reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(6) Allowable halon consumption in 2005 = (3) * 0.5

(7-8) Amount of halon to be phased out to achieve the 2010 control level (based on the halon baseline)

| Country | LatestCons | Baseline | 80%Base | odp2008 |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4)=(3)*0.80 | (5) |
| Countries that might need assista | nce to achieve t | he 2005 MB pha | se out target (Gr | oup I) |
| Bahamas | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Barbados | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Guyana | - | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Mozambique | 0.9 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 0.7 |
| Vanuatu | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Zambia | 10.2 | 29.3 | 23.5 | |
| Subtotal | 11.1 | 34.6 | 27.7 | 1.0 |
| Countries that might need assista | ince to achieve t | he 2005 limit in a | a future year (Gro | oup II)(*) |
| Tunisia | 5.3 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 1.7 |
| Subtotal | 5.3 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 1.7 |
| Total | 16.4 | 42.8 | 34.3 | 2.7 |
| Explanatory notes to the columns of | the Table | | | |
| (1) Article 5 countries | | | | |
| (2) Latest (2005 or 2006) MB consump | tion reported to the | Ozone Secretariat | | |
| (3) MB baseline as reported to the Ozo | ne Secretariat | | | |
| (4) Allowable MB consumption in 2005 | _ (2) *0 0 | | | |

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund

(4) Allowable MB consumption in 2005 = (3) *0.8

(5) Amount of MB to be phased out annually (based on the MB baseline)

(*) Decision XV/12

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 4 (cont.). Analysis of MB consumption (ODP tonnes)

| Country | LatestCons | Baseline | 80%Base | RemUnfunded | odp2008 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4)=(3)*0.80 | (5) | (6) |
| Countries with approved projects Algeria | s that would as a mir 3.6 | 11mum enable co 4.8 | mpliance with th 3.8 | 2.0 2.0 | (Group III) |
| Argentina | 287.4 | 4.0 | 329.0 | 18.0 | |
| Chile | 169.3 | 212.5 | 170.0 | 170.0 | |
| Congo | - | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | |
| Congo, DR | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | |
| Ecuador | 51.0 | 66.2 | 53.0 | 14.1 | |
| Egypt | 180.0 | 238.1 | 190.4 | 131.4 | |
| Guatemala | 333.4 | 400.7 | 320.6 | 320.6 | |
| Kenya | 34.2 | 217.5 | 174.0 | 14.0 | |
| Mexico | 722.6 | 1,130.8 | 904.6 | 904.6 | |
| Morocco | 383.8 | 697.2 | 557.8 | 164.9 | |
| Nigeria | - | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | |
| Sudan | 1.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | |
| Swaziland | - | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Turkey | 20.4 | 479.7 | 383.8 | 20.4 | |
| Yemen | 36.7 | 57.5 | 46.0 | 46.0 | |
| Subt | | 3,925.0 | 3,140.0 | 1,812.9 | |
| Countries with approved proje Bolivia | cts for complete pl | nase out of MB 0.6 | (Group IV) 0.5 | | 1 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | - | 0.6 | 2.8 | | |
| Botswana | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |
| Brazil | 76.8 | 711.6 | 569.3 | | · |
| Cambodia | | - | | | |
| Cameroon | 8.7 | 18.1 | 14.5 | | |
| China (*) | 601.5 | 1,102.1 | 881.6 | 100.0 | |
| Costa Rica | 251.3 | 342.5 | 274.0 | 10010 | |
| Cote D'Ivoire | - | 8.1 | 6.5 | | |
| Croatia | - | 15.7 | 12.6 | | |
| Cuba | 10.8 | 50.5 | 40.4 | | |
| Dominican Republic | 21.3 | 104.2 | 83.4 | | |
| El Salvador | (42.3) | - | 0.7 | | |
| Fiji | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | | |
| Georgia | 7.2 | 13.7 | 10.9 | | |
| Honduras | 284.6 | 259.4 | 207.5 | | |
| Indonesia | 31.8 | 40.7 | 32.5 | | |
| Iran | 9.0 | 26.7 | 21.4 | | |
| Jamaica | 1.8 | 4.9 | 3.9 | | |
| Jordan | 42.6 | 176.3 | 141.0 | | |
| Korea, DPR | - | 30.0 | 24.0 | | |
| Kyrgyzstan | 2.7 | 14.2 | 11.3 | | |
| Lebanon | 44.3 | 236.4 | 189.1 | | |
| Libya | 96.0 | 94.1 | 75.3 | | |
| Macedonia, FYR | (0.3) | 12.2 | 9.8 | | |
| Madagascar | - | 2.6 | 2.1 | | |
| Malawi Malaysia | - 11.2 | 112.7 | 90.2 | | 1 |
| Malaysia Mauritius | - 11.3 | 14.6 | <u>11.7</u> 0.1 | | 1 |
| Mauritius Moldova | - | 0.1 7.0 | | | |
| Nicaragua | - | 7.0 0.4 | 5.6 0.3 | | |
| Oman | - | 1.0 | 0.8 | | |
| Pakistan | - 18.0 | 11.0 | 11.2 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Papua New Guinea | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | | |
| Paraguay | - | 0.9 | 0.7 | | |
| Peru | - | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1 | |
| Philippines | 8.2 | 10.3 | 8.3 | | |
| Romania | - | 111.5 | 89.2 | 1 | |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | | |
| Senegal | - | 53.2 | 42.5 | | |
| Sierra Leone | - | 2.6 | 2.1 | | |
| Sri Lanka | - | 4.1 | 3.3 | | |
| Syria | 64.8 | 188.6 | 150.8 | | |
| Thailand | 141.1 | 183.0 | 146.4 | | |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 | | |
| Uganda | - | 6.3 | 5.0 | | |
| Uruguay | 8.5 | 11.2 | 9.0 | | |
| Venezuela | - | 10.3 | 8.2 | | |
| Vietnam | 96.0 | 136.5 | 109.2 | | |
| Zimbabwe | 156.8 | 557.0 | 445.6 | | |
| Subt | | 4,697.4 | 3,758.8 | 100.0 | - |
| Total | 4,177.9 | 8,622.4 | 6,898.8 | 1,912.9 | - |

(1) Article 5 countries

(2) Latest (2005 or 2006) MB consumption reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(2) Latest (2005 of 2006) MB consumption reported to the 220he Secretariat
(3) MB baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat
(4) Allowable MB consumption in 2005 = (3) *0.8
(5) Remaining MB consumption eligible for funding
(6) Amount of MB to be phased out annually (based on the MB baseline)
(*) Possible additional funding for 100 ODP tonnes of MB used as a soil fumigant in ginsen crop

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 4 (cont.). Analysis of MB consumption (ODP tonnes)

| Country | LatestCons | Baseline | 80%base | odp2008 |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4)=(3)*0.80 | (5) |
| Countries with MB baseline equal to zero, | or no calculated base | line or with no curren | t consumption (Grou | ip V) |
| Afghanistan | - | - | | |
| Albania | - | - | | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | - | - | | |
| Armenia(*) | - | - | | |
| Bahrain | - | - | | |
| Bangladesh | - | - | | |
| Belize | - | - | | |
| Benin | - | - | | |
| Burkina Faso | - | - | | |
| Burundi | | - | | |
| Chad | | - | | |
| Colombia | - | 110.1 | 88.1 | |
| Comoros | - | - | 00.1 | |
| Dominica | - | - | | |
| Djibouti | - | - | | |
| Equatorial Guinea | - | _ | | |
| Eritrea | - | 0.5 | | |
| Gabon | - | 0.5 | | |
| Gabon Ghana | - | | | |
| Guinea-Bissau | | - | | |
| Guinea-Bissau Haiti | + | - | | |
| Haiti India | - | - | | |
| | - | - | | |
| Kiribati | | - | | |
| Kuwait | - | - | | |
| Lao, PDR | | | | |
| Maldives | - | - | | |
| Mali | | - | | |
| Mauritania | | - | | |
| Mongolia | - | - | | |
| Namibia | - | 0.8 | 0.6 | |
| Nauru | - | - | | |
| Niger | - | - | | |
| Palau | | - | | |
| Panama | | - | | |
| Qatar | - | - | | |
| Rwanda | - | - | | |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | - | - | | |
| Sao Tome and Principe | - | - | | |
| Serbia | - | | | |
| Seychelles | - | - | | |
| Solomon Islands | | - | | |
| Somalia | | 0.5 | 0.4 | |
| Suriname | - | - | | |
| Tanzania | - | - | | |
| Togo | - | - | | |
| Tonga | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | |
| Tuvalu | - | - | | |
| Western Samoa | - | - | | |
| Countries that are not yet Parties t | o the Copenhage | n Amendment (G | roup VI | |
| Angola | | | | |
| Brunei Darussalam | - | - | | |
| Central African Republic | 1 | - | | |
| Ethiopia | 6.6 | 15.6 | 12.5 | |
| Gambia | 0.0 | - | 12.0 | |
| Guinea | | | | |
| Lesotho | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| Myanmar | - | 3.4 | 2.7 | |
| Nepal | - | - 3.4 | 2.1 | |
| Turkmenistan | - 5.6 | - | | |
| Explanatory notes to the columns of the | | - | | |
| | | | | |
| 1) Article 5 countries | | 0 | | |
| 2) Latest (2005 or 2006) MB consumption | | e Secretariat | | |

(3) MB baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(4) Allowable MB consumption in 2005 = (3) * 0.8

(5) Amount of MB to be phased out annually (based on the MB baseline)

(*) At its 47th Meeting, the Committee decided that support for achieving compliance should be provided under UNEP CAP

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 5. Analysis of CTC consumption (ODP tonnes)

| Country | Baseline | 2004Cons | 2005Cons | 2006Cons | 15%Base | odp2008 | odp2009 |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6)=(2)*0.8 | (7) | (8) |
| Countries that might ne | ed assistance | | | ase out target | (Group I) | | |
| Algeria | 20.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 3.1 |
| Bahamas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Brazil | 411.6 | 222.1 | - | 0.1 | 349.9 | 349.9 | 61.7 |
| Congo | 0.6 | - | - | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Dominican Republic | 29.0 | - | - | - | 24.7 | 24.7 | 4.4 |
| Ecuador | 0.5 | 4.3 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Guatemala | 10.6 | - | - | - | 9.0 | 9.0 | 1.6 |
| Kenya | 65.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 9.9 |
| Liberia | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Macedonia, FYR | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Marshall Islands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Peru | 1.0 | - | - | - | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| Serbia | 18.8 | - | 1.7 | 1.4 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 2.8 |
| Sierra Leone | 2.6 | 2.4 | - | - | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 |
| Tanzania | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Uganda | 0.4 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Vietnam | 1.6 | - | 0.1 | - | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| Subtotal | | 231.3 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 479.3 | 479.3 | 84.6 |
| Countries with an appro | | | | | | | |
| Afghanistan | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| Albania | 3.1 | - | - | - | | | |
| Argentina | 187.2 | 25.9 | 20.4 | 9.9 | | | |
| Bahrain | 0.7 | 0.1 | - | 0.4 | | | |
| Bangladesh Bolivia | 5.7 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | | | |
| Chile | 0.3 | - 5.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | | | |
| China | 0.6 38,220.6 | 3,885.8 | (0.1) 1,060.3 | (0.1) | | | |
| Colombia | 36,220.6 | <u> </u> | 0.3 | 0.7 | | | |
| Congo, DR | 15.3 | 11.0 | 16.5 | 16.5 | | | |
| Croatia | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | (1.0) | | | |
| Cuba | 2.7 | 0.6 | - 0.3 | (1.0) | | | |
| Egypt | 38.5 | 12.1 | 5.5 | 5.5 | | | |
| Ethiopia | - | - | - | 5.5 | | | |
| Ghana | 0.4 | | | - | | | |
| India | 11,505.4 | 7,459.1 | 1,644.0 | 1,127.5 | | | |
| Indonesia | - | 16.5 | 1,044.0 | 1,127.5 | | | |
| Iran | 77.0 | 2,169.2 | 13.6 | 11.0 | | | |
| Jamaica | 2.8 | 2,103.2 | - | - | | | |
| Jordan | 40.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | | | |
| Korea, DPR | 1,285.2 | 2.198.9 | 191.4 | 1.1 | | | |
| Malaysia | 4.5 | 2,130.3 | - | - | | | |
| Mexico | 62.5 | - | 89.5 | 0.1 | | | |
| Morocco | 1.1 | - | - | 0.1 | | | |
| Nepal | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| Nigeria | 152.8 | 166.7 | - | - | | | |
| Oman | 0.1 | - | - | - | | | |
| Pakistan | 412.9 | 752.4 | 148.5 | 41.8 | | | |
| Paraguay | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | - | | | |
| Romania | 368.6 | 176.6 | 32.7 | | | | |
| Sri Lanka | 35.1 | 27.4 | 3.6 | - | | | |
| Sudan | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | | | |
| Thailand | 7.5 | - | - | - | | | |
| Tunisia | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | | | |
| Turkey | 105.1 | - | 2.2 | 0.9 | | | |
| Uruguay | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | - | | | |
| Venezuela(*) | 1,107.2 | - | - | | | | |
| Zambia | 0.7 | - | - | - | | | |
| Zimbabwe | 11.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 | - | | | |
| | | 16,922.7 | 3,236.8 | 1,214.5 | - | - | |
| Subtotal | 53,673.4 | 10,9777 | 0 2.00 0 | | | | |

Explanatory notes to the columns of the Table

(1) Article 5 countries with reported CTC consumption data

(2) CTC baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(3-5) CTC consumption reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(6) Total amount of CTC to be phased out to achieve the 2005 control level (based on the CTC baseline) = (3) *0.15

(7-8) Amount of CTC to be phased out annually

(*) The CTC baseline of Venezuela is 1,107.2 ODP tonnes. It appears that consumption reported in baseline year was for feedstock

| 2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund |
|--|
| Table 6. Analysis of TCA consumption (ODP tonnes) |

| Country | Baseline | 2004Cons | 2005Cons | 2006Cons | 30%base | odp2008 | odp2009 |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6)=(2)*0.3 | (7) | (8) |
| Countries that might nee | ed assistance | to achieve the | e 2005 TCA pł | nase out targe | t (Group I) | | |
| Algeria | 5.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Brazil | 32.4 | - | - | - | 22.7 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
| Cambodia | 0.5 | - | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Colombia | 0.6 | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Dominican Republic | 3.6 | - | - | - | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Ecuador | 2.0 | 2.6 | 0.8 | - | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Haiti | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| India | 122.2 | - | - | - | 85.5 | 42.8 | 42.8 |
| Kenya | 1.1 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Korea, DPR | 7.7 | - | - | - | 5.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Mexico | 56.4 | - | - | - | 39.5 | 19.7 | 19.7 |
| Pakistan | 2.3 | - | - | - | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 0.7 | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Vietnam | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Subtotal | 235.7 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 165.0 | 82.5 | 82.5 |
| Countries with an appro | | | | | | | |
| Albania | - | - | - | - | | | |
| Argentina | 65.7 | 24.0 | 21.4 | 15.3 | | | |
| Bahrain | 22.7 | 0.6 | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | | |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 1.5 | 2.4 | - | - | | | |
| Burundi | 0.1 | - | - | | | | |
| Cameroon | 8.2 | - | 5.7 | 0.6 | | | |
| Chile | 6.4 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 4.5 | | | |
| China | 721.2 | 370.2 | 186.6 | | | | |
| Congo, DR | 4.8 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | |
| Egypt | 26.0 | 17.5 | 15.0 | 12.5 | | | |
| Ethiopia | 0.5 | - | - | | | | |
| Indonesia | 13.3 | - | - | | | | |
| Iran | 8.7 | 386.8 | 4.3 | 4.0 | | | |
| Jamaica | 1.4 | - | - | - | | | |
| Jordan | 18.2 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 2.2 | | | |
| Malaysia | 49.5 | 11.4 | 3.3 | 5.2 | | | |
| Mauritius | 0.1 | - | - | - | | | |
| Morocco | 0.1 | - | - | - | | | |
| Nigeria | 32.9 | 31.3 | - | - | | | |
| Sri Lanka | 3.0 | - | - | - | | | |
| Thailand | 54.6 | - | - | - | | | |
| Tunisia | 0.1 | - | - | - | | | |
| Turkey | 37.4 | 4.0 | 5.9 | - | | | |
| Venezuela | 4.6 | - | 1.7 | | | | |
| Yemen | 0.9 | 0.1 | - | - | | | |
| Zambia | 0.1 | - | - | - | | | |
| Subtotal | 1,082.9 | 859.0 | 257.6 | 48.8 | - | - | - |
| Total | 1,318.6 | 865.6 | 262.5 | 53.2 | 165.0 | 82.5 | 82.5 |
| | 1,010.0 | 0.00.0 | 202.0 | 00.2 | 100.0 | 02.0 | 02.0 |

Explanatory notes to the columns of the Table

(1) Article 5 countries with reported TCA consumption data

(2) TCA baseline as reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(3-5) TCA consumption reported to the Ozone Secretariat

(6) Total amount of TCA to be phased out to achieve the 2010 control level based on the TCA baseline) = (2) * 0.7

(7-8) Amount of TCA to be phased out annually

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 7. ODP to be phased out from multiyear agreements (ODP tonnes)

| Country | Sector | Agency | Total (*) | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|
| Consumption sector | | | | | 140 | 11.0 | 20.0 | |
| Afghanistan | ODS phase-out (CFC) | Germany | 57.0 | | 16.0 | 11.0 | 30.0 | |
| Albania | ODS phase-out | UNIDO | 15.2 | | 9.0 | 4.0 | 2.2 | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | CFC phase-out | IBRD | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Argentina | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 1,509.5 | | 400.0 | 400.0 | 350.0 | 359.5 |
| Argentina | MB | UNDP | 22.8 | | 22.8 | | | |
| Argentina | MB | UNIDO | 242.0 | | | | 57.6 | |
| Bahamas | CFC phase-out | IBRD | 14.0 | | 14.0 | | | |
| Bahrain | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 58.7 | | 38.4 | | 20.3 | |
| Bangladesh | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNDP | 224.5 | 186.0 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 28.8 | |
| Bangladesh | ODS phase-out (CTC, TCA) | UNDP | 7.1 | 7.1 | | | | |
| Bolivia | ODS phase-out | Canada | 22.8 | | | 11.4 | 11.4 | |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNIDO | 33.0 | | 30.0 | 3.0 | | |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | ODS phase-out (TCA) | UNIDO | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Brazil | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 2,050.0 | | 1,050.0 | 576.0 | 350.0 | 74.0 |
| Burkina Faso | CFC phase-out | Canada | 7.4 | | 2.0 | | | 5.4 |
| Cameroon | ODS phase-out plan (CFC) | UNIDO | 44.9 | | 12.0 | 11.9 | 11.0 | 10.0 |
| Cameroon | ODS phase-out plan (TCA) | UNIDO | 5.7 | | 0.7 | 2.0 | | 3.0 |
| China | Refrigeration manufacturing | UNIDO | 609.0 | 609.0 | | | | |
| China | Foam | IBRD | 400.0 | | | 400.0 | | |
| China | Refrigeration servicing | UNIDO | 3,391.0 | 782.0 | 793.0 | 680.0 | 531.0 | 605.0 |
| China | Halon consumption | IBRD | 1,000.0 | 762.0 | 195.0 | 000.0 | 551.0 | 1,000.0 |
| China | MB | UNIDO | 124.6 | | | 124.6 | | 1,000.0 |
| China | MB | Italy | 446.0 | | | 56.0 | 140.0 | 41.0 |
| China | Process agent (CFC) | IBRD | 8.4 | | | 8.4 | 140.0 | 41.0 |
| China | Process agent I (CTC) | IBRD | 1,220.0 | | | 0.4 | | 1,220.0 |
| China | Process agent II (CTC) | IBRD | 5,951.0 | | | | 5,951.0 | 1,220.0 |
| China | ² | UNDP | 550.0 | 550.0 | | | 5,951.0 | |
| | Solvent (CFC) | | | 550.0 | | 95.0 | 01.0 | 05.0 |
| China | Solvent (TCA) | UNDP | 254.0 | | 150.0 | 85.0 | 84.0 | 85.0 |
| China | Tobacco | UNIDO | 150.0 | | 150.0 | 02.0 | 05.0 | 152.5 |
| Colombia | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNDP | 626.8 | | 296.0 | 83.0 | 95.3 | 152.5 |
| Colombia | ODS phase-out (Halon) | UNDP | 4.4 | | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Comoros | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNDP | 0.4 | | | - | 0.4 | |
| Congo, DR | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNDP | 96.7 | | 35.0 | 36.7 | 25.0 | |
| Costa Rica | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNDP | 37.5 | | | | 37.5 | |
| Costa Rica | MB | UNDP | 210.0 | | | 36.0 | 60.0 | 114.0 |
| Cuba | MB | UNIDO | 16.2 | | 7.8 | 8.4 | | |
| Cuba | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNDP | 150.9 | | 96.2 | 54.7 | | |
| Dominica | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 0.2 | | | | 0.2 | |
| Dominican Republic | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 81.0 | | | 28.0 | 53.0 | |
| Ecuador | CFC phase-out | IBRD | 42.0 | | | 21.0 | 21.0 | |
| Egypt | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 65.0 | | | 41.0 | 24.0 | |
| Gabon | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 1.5 | | | | 1.5 | |
| Ghana | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 5.3 | | | | | 5.3 |
| Grenada | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 0.9 | | | | 0.9 | |
| Honduras | MB | UNIDO | 255.0 | | | 47.5 | 23.9 | 20.4 |
| India | CFC phase-out (ref. serv.) | Germany | 259.8 | | 60.7 | 60.8 | 138.3 | |
| India | CFC phase-out (ref. serv.) | Switzerland | 102.3 | | 51.1 | 51.2 | | |
| India | CFC phase-out (ref. serv.) | UNEP | 28.5 | | 11.8 | 11.8 | 4.9 | |
| India | CFC phase-out (ref. serv.) | UNDP | 74.5 | | 23.4 | 21.2 | 29.9 | |
| India | CTC (consumption) | IBRD | 708.0 | | 25.4 | 440.0 | 220.0 | 48.0 |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (aerosol) | IBRD | 70.0 | | 70.0 | 440.0 | 220.0 | +0.0 |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (aerosor) | IBRD | 66.0 | | 66.0 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (MAC) | IBRD IBRD | 365.0 30.0 | | 365.0 | | 20.0 | |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (MDI) | | | 70.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (solvent CFC) | UNIDO | 78.0 | 78.0 | | | | |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (solvent CTC) | UNIDO | 16.5 | 16.5 | | | | |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (solvent TCA) | UNIDO | 5.6 | 5.6 | | | | |
| Iran | CFC phase-out (foam) | Germany | 176.8 | | | 100.9 | 75.9 | |
| Iran | CFC phase-out (MAC) | France | 87.8 | | | 58.5 | 29.3 | |
| Iran | CFC phase-out (service, sol.) | UNIDO | 63.9 | | | 36.4 | 27.5 | |
| Jordan | MB (complete phase-out) | Germany | 54.0 | | | 27.0 | | |
| Kenya | CFC phase-out | France | 90.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | | |

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 7. ODP to be phased out from multiyear agreements (ODP tonnes)

| Country | Sector | Agency | Total (*) | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Kenya | MB (flowers) | UNDP | 32.0 | | | 22.0 | 10.0 | |
| Kenya | MB (horticulture) | Germany | 17.0 | | | 12.0 | 5.0 | |
| Kuwait | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 55.0 | | | 25.0 | 30.0 | |
| Korea, DPR | CTC phase-out | UNIDO | 77.8 | | | 40.0 | 37.8 | |
| Korea, DPR | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 48.0 | | | | 48 | |
| Kyrgyzstan | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 5.0 | | | 2.0 | 3.0 | |
| Lebanon | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 75.0 | | 40.0 | 35.0 | 210 | |
| Libya | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 176.0 | 124.0 | 40.4 | 11.6 | | |
| Libya | MB | UNIDO | 56.0 | 121.0 | 21.0 | 20.0 | 15 | |
| Libya | MB | Spain | 40.0 | | 21.0 | 20.0 | 10 | 30 |
| Macedonia, FYR | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | 10 | 50 |
| Madagascar | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNIDO | 2.3 | | | 5.0 | 2.3 | |
| Madagascar | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNIDO | | | | | 0.1 | |
| | | IBRD | 0.1 | | | 80.0 | | 222.0 |
| Malaysia | ODS phase-out (CFC) | | 490.0 | | | 89.0 | 69.0 | 332.0 |
| Malaysia | ODS phase-out (CTC) | IBRD | 0.7 | | | | | 0.7 |
| Malaysia | ODS phase-out (TCA) | IBRD | 18.0 | | | | | 18.0 |
| Mexico | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 1,035.0 | | 450.0 | 300.0 | 230.0 | 55.0 |
| Mongolia | CFCs Phase-Out | Japan | 3.3 | | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Morocco | MB | UNIDO | 260.4 | | 78.0 | 86.4 | 96.0 | |
| Nigeria | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 1,543.5 | 1,078.9 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 64.6 | |
| Nigeria | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 66.3 | 66.3 | | | | |
| Nigeria | Solvent (CTC) | UNIDO | 22.9 | | | 22.9 | | |
| Nigeria | Solvent (TCA) | UNIDO | 23.0 | | | | | 23.0 |
| Oman | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNIDO | 25.0 | | | 5.0 | 20.0 | |
| Pakistan | CTC phase-out | UNIDO | 389.0 | 347.0 | 10.0 | 17.0 | 15.0 | |
| Pakistan | RMP | UNIDO | 215.0 | | 215.0 | | | |
| Panama | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 66.0 | | 28.8 | 18.6 | 18.6 | |
| Papua New Guinea | ODS phase-out | Germany | 4.5 | | | | | 4.5 |
| Paraguay | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 31.6 | | | | 31.6 | |
| Philippines | CFC phase-out | IBRD | 153.0 | | | 53.0 | 100.0 | |
| Romania | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 69.7 | | | 36.5 | 17.2 | 16.0 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 0.6 | | | | 0.6 | |
| Saint Lucia | CFC phase-out | Canada | 1.2 | | | | 1.2 | |
| Saint Vincent/Grenadines | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 0.5 | | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| Senegal | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 23.4 | | 0.2 | 011 | 23.4 | |
| Serbia | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 183.0 | | 143.0 | 40.0 | 23.4 | |
| Seychelles | CFC phase-out | Germany | 0.4 | | 145.0 | 40.0 | 0.4 | |
| Sudan | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNIDO | 130.0 | | 65.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 |
| Syria | MB | UNIDO | 45.0 | | 05.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 23.0 |
| Syrya | ODS phase-out (CFC) | UNIDO | 328.7 | | 121.5 | 137.2 | 70.0 | |
| Thailand | MB | IBRD | 146.6 | | 121.3 | 137.2 | 73.3 | |
| | | | | | 200.0 | 208.0 | | 406.0 |
| Thailand | ODS phase-out (CFC) | IBRD | 1,121.0 | | 209.0 | 208.0 | 208.0 | 496.0 |
| Thailand | ODS phase-out (CTC) | IBRD | 1.1 | | | | | 1.1 |
| Thailand | ODS phase-out (TCA) | IBRD | 4.5 | | | | 120.5 | 4.5 |
| Tunisia | ODS phase-out (CFC) | IBRD | 130.5 | | | | 130.5 | |
| Tunisia | ODS phase-out (HAL) | IBRD | 42.0 | | | | 42.0 | |
| Turkey | MB | UNIDO | 58.0 | 58.0 | | | | |
| Uruguay | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 29.9 | | | | | 29.9 |
| Uruguay | MB | UNIDO | 8.9 | | | | 2.9 | |
| Venezuela | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 985.0 | | 200.0 | 435.0 | 250.0 | 100.0 |
| Vietnam | ODS phase-out (CFC) | IBRD | 200.0 | | 125.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 |
| Vietnam | ODS phase-out (halon) | IBRD | 18.5 | | | | 18.5 | |
| Vietnam | MB | IBRD | 85.2 | | | | 5.2 | |
| Zimbabwe | CFC phase-out | Germany | 40.0 | | | 20.0 | 20.0 | |
| Total consumption | | ŕ | 30,880.8 | 3,968.8 | 5,594.4 | 5,470.2 | 10,213.8 | 4,890.8 |
| | phased-out between 2006 and | 2010 An additional | | (D) would be | , | | , | |

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 7 (cont.). ODP to be phased out from multiyear agreements (ODP tonnes)

| Country | Sector | Agency | Total | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|-------------------|----------------------------|--------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Production sector | · · · · | | | | | | | |
| Argentina | Production CFC | IBRD | 1,647.0 | | 961.0 | | | 686.0 |
| China | Production CFC | IBRD | 7,400.0 | | | 6,850.0 | 0.0 | 550.0 |
| China | Halon production | IBRD | 2,000.0 | 1,000.0 | | | | 1,000.0 |
| China | Production MB | UNIDO | 621.0 | 21.0 | 29.4 | 180.6 | 140.0 | 41.0 |
| China | Process agent I (CTC) | IBRD | 19,827.0 | | 9,320.0 | 9,956.0 | 0.0 | 551.0 |
| China | Process agent II (CTC) | IBRD | 2,915.0 | | | | 2,915.0 | |
| China | Production TCA | IBRD | 79.0 | | | | | 79.0 |
| India | CTC phase-out (production) | IBRD | 1,147.0 | | 439.0 | 440.0 | 220.0 | 48.0 |
| India | Production CFC | IBRD | 3,389.0 | | | 1,130.0 | 1,129.0 | 1,130.0 |
| Romania | Production CTC | UNIDO | 170.0 | | | 170.0 | | |
| Total production | | | 39,195.0 | 1,021.0 | 10,749.4 | 18,726.6 | 4,404.0 | 4,085.0 |

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 8. Funding approved in principle in multiyear agreements (US\$)

| Country | <u> </u> | A | T-4-1 (\$) | 2007 | 2007 | 2009 | 2000 | 2010 |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Country Albania | ODS phase-out | Agency UNIDO | Total (*) 117,484 | 2006 | 2007 45,496 | 2008 23,996 | 2009 23,996 | 2010 23,996 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | CFC phase-out | IBRD | 30,411 | 30,411 | 43,490 | 23,990 | 23,990 | 23,990 |
| Angentina | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 1,254,901 | 50,411 | 1,254,901 | | | |
| Argentina | Production CFC | IBRD | 2,287,000 | | 120,000 | 1,120,000 | 1,047,000 | |
| Bahamas | CFC phase-out | IBRD | 135,600 | 135,600 | 120,000 | 1,120,000 | 1,047,000 | |
| Bahrain | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 43,000 | 155,000 | | 43,000 | | |
| Bahrain | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 135,600 | | | 135,600 | | |
| Bangladesh | ODS phase-out | UNDP | 935,250 | 698,750 | 59,125 | 59,125 | 59,125 | 59,125 |
| Bangladesh | ODS phase-out | UNEP | 270,635 | 176,280 | 34,465 | 34,465 | 25,425 | 57,125 |
| Bolivia | ODS phase-out | Canada | 99,440 | 170,200 | 51,105 | 99,440 | 25,125 | |
| Bolivia | ODS phase-out | UNDP | 238,650 | | | 238,650 | | |
| Brazil | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 1,301,000 | | 933,500 | 262,500 | 105,000 | |
| Burkina Faso | CFC phase-out | Canada | 75,145 | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 75,145 | 100,000 | |
| Burkina Faso | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 89,383 | | | 89,383 | | |
| Cameroon | ODS phase-out | UNIDO | 215,000 | | | 112,875 | 102,125 | |
| China | MB | UNIDO | 5,915,448 | | | 1,935,000 | 1,397,500 | 645,000 |
| China | Production MB | UNIDO | 7,299,000 | | | 3,225,000 | 1,397,300 | 045,000 |
| China | Process agent I | IBRD | 9,675,000 | | 5,375,000 | 3,225,000 | 1,075,000 | |
| China | Process agent I Process agent II | IBRD | 12,362,500 | | 5,575,000 | 3,225,000 | 1,612,500 | |
| China | Process agent II Production CFC | IBRD | 41,925,000 | | 25,800,000 | 8,062,500 | 8,062,500 | |
| China | Production TCA | IBRD | 752,500 | | 23,800,000 | 752,500 | 6,002,300 | |
| China | Refrigeration servicing | UNIDO | 3,101,380 | 752,500 | 752,500 | 752,500 | 843,880 | |
| China | Solvent | UNDD | 10,664,000 | 732,300 | 5,891,000 | 1,591,000 | 1,591,000 | 1,591,000 |
| China | Foam | IBRD | 3,852,060 | | 1,926,030 | 1,926,030 | 1,391,000 | 1,391,000 |
| China | Halon | IBRD | 430,000 | | 322,500 | 1,920,030 | | |
| Comoros | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 34,880 | | 322,300 | 34,880 | | |
| Comoros | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 59,890 | | | 59,890 | | |
| Congo, DR | ODS phase-out | UNDP | 154,532 | | 77,266 | 77,266 | | |
| Congo, DR | ODS phase-out | UNEP | 162,438 | | 81,219 | 81,219 | | |
| Costa Rica | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 392,375 | | 01,217 | 215,000 | 177,375 | |
| Costa Rica | MB | UNDP | 781,301 | | 781,301 | 215,000 | 177,575 | |
| Cuba | ODS phase-out | UNDP | 856,775 | 495,575 | 193,500 | 113,950 | 53,750 | |
| Dominica | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 68,670 | 475,575 | 49,050 | 13,080 | 6,540 | |
| Dominica | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 89,270 | | 50,850 | 23,730 | 14,690 | |
| Dominican Republic | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 872,470 | | 430,000 | 227,470 | 215,000 | |
| Ecuador | CFC phase-out | IBRD | 19,709 | | 450,000 | 19,709 | 215,000 | |
| Egypt | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 967,500 | | 645,000 | 215,000 | 107,500 | |
| Gabon | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 43,600 | | 010,000 | 43,600 | 107,500 | |
| Gabon | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 56,500 | | | 56,500 | | |
| Georgia | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 116,982 | | 116,982 | 50,500 | | |
| Ghana | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 151,038 | | 151,038 | | | |
| Grenada | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 87,200 | | 54,500 | 32,700 | | |
| Grenada | anali | UNEP | 105,090 | | 54,240 | 36,725 | 14,125 | |
| Honduras | MB | UNIDO | 1,296,774 | | 860,000 | 322,500 | 114,274 | |
| India | CFC phase-out (ref. ser.) | Germany | 1,536,348 | | 492,906 | 1,043,442 | 114,274 | |
| India | CFC phase-out (ref. ser.) | Switzerland | 414,868 | | 414,868 | 1,013,112 | | |
| India | CFC phase-out (ref. ser.) | UNEP | 132,662 | | 96,050 | 36,612 | | |
| India | CFC phase-out (ref. ser.) | UNDP | 378,186 | | 163,766 | 214,420 | | |
| India | CTC phase-out | IBRD | 6,905,531 | | 105,700 | 3,452,766 | 3,452,765 | |
| India | Production CFC | IBRD | 19,350,000 | | 6,450,000 | 6,450,000 | 6,450,000 | |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (ref. man.) | UNDP | 197,290 | | 197,290 | 0,150,000 | 0,150,000 | |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (Ref. ser.) | UNDP | 0 | | 177,270 | | | |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (MAC) | IBRD | 0 | | | | | |
| Indonesia | ODS phase-out (foam) | IBRD | 37,625 | | 37,625 | | | |
| Iran | CFC phase-out (foam) | Germany | 2,628,861 | | 1,022,163 | 810,129 | 796,569 | |
| Iran | CFC phase-out (ref. ser.) | UNIDO | 68,270 | | 38,892 | 29,378 | | |
| Kenya | CFC phase-out | France | 575,267 | 372,900 | 202,367 | .,, | | |
| Korea, DPR | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 146,900 | . , | 113,000 | 22,600 | 11,300 | |
| Korea, DPR | CTC phase-out | UNIDO | 118,800 | | | 107,500 | 11,300 | |
| Kuwait | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 107,350 | | | 107,350 | -, | |
| Kuwait | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 10,750 | | | 10,750 | | |
| | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 102,152 | | 73,563 | 28,589 | | |

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 8. Funding approved in principle in multiyear agreements (US\$)

| Country | Sector | Agency | Total (*) | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Kyrgyzstan | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 132,225 | | 67,725 | 64,500 | | |
| Lebanon | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 177,375 | | 107,500 | 69,875 | | |
| Libya | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 298,793 | 298,793 | | | | |
| Libya | MB | Spain | 565,000 | | 565,000 | | | |
| Madagascar | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 98,310 | | | 98,310 | | |
| Madagascar | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 50,525 | | | 50,525 | | |
| Macedonia, FYR | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 48,375 | | 16,125 | 16,125 | 16,125 | |
| Malaysia | ODS phase-out | IBRD | 597,994 | | | 299,750 | 298,244 | |
| Moldova | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 163,938 | | | 163,938 | | |
| Moldova | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 158,765 | | | 158,765 | | |
| Mongolia | CFC phase-out | Japan | 62,150 | | 62,150 | | | |
| Morocco | MB | UNIDO | 884,519 | | 456,210 | 428,309 | | |
| Nepal | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 27,250 | | | 27,250 | | |
| Nepal | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 39,550 | | | 39,550 | | |
| Nigeria | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 2,306,198 | 1,397,710 | 417,770 | 369,428 | 121,290 | |
| Pakistan | CTC phase-out | UNIDO | 271,589 | 271,589 | | | | |
| Oman | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 176,515 | | | 176,515 | | |
| Panama | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 274,125 | | 197,800 | 76,325 | | |
| Paraguay | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 128,820 | | | 67,800 | 33,900 | 27,120 |
| Paraguay | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 226,825 | | | 150,500 | 53,750 | 22,575 |
| Philippines | CFC phase-out | IBRD | 115,500 | | 115,500 | | | |
| Romania | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 32,250 | | 32,250 | | | |
| Romania | Production ODS | UNIDO | 1,290,000 | | | 1,290,000 | | |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 63,220 | | 49,050 | 10,900 | 3,270 | |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 117,520 | | 67,800 | 33,900 | 15,820 | |
| Saint Lucia | CFC phase-out | Canada | 55,370 | | | | 55,370 | |
| Saint Vincent/Grenadines | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 29,025 | | 22,575 | 6,450 | | |
| Saint Vincent/Grenadines | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 47,460 | | 15,820 | 15,820 | 15,820 | |
| Senegal | CFC phase-out | UNEP | 113,565 | | | 113,565 | | |
| Senegal | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 145,125 | | | 145,125 | | |
| Serbia | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 208,013 | | 132,763 | 75,250 | | |
| Seychelles | CFC phase-out | France | 82,490 | | | 67,800 | 14,690 | |
| Sudan | ODS phase-out | UNIDO | 451,500 | | 236,500 | 215,000 | | |
| Syria | ODS phase-out | UNIDO | 348,354 | | | 182,750 | 165,604 | |
| Thailand | ODS phase-out | IBRD | 1,618,650 | | 599,500 | 599,500 | 419,650 | |
| Thailand | MB | IBRD | 1,013,075 | | | 1,013,075 | | |
| Tunisia | ODS phase-out | IBRD | 371,300 | | | 371,300 | | |
| Turkey | CFC phase-out | IBRD | 85,000 | | 30,000 | 30,000 | 25,000 | |
| Uruguay | CFC phase-out | Canada | 81,360 | | 47,460 | | 33,900 | |
| Uruguay | CFC phase-out | UNDP | 99,975 | | 51,600 | | 48,375 | |
| Venezuela | Production CFC | IBRD | 1,128,750 | | | 1,128,750 | | |
| Venezuela | CFC phase-out | UNIDO | 689,968 | | 689,968 | | | |
| Vietnam | ODS phase-out | IBRD | 191,848 | | | 191,848 | İ | |
| Vietnam | MB | IBRD | 933,405 | | | 698,750 | [| |
| Zimbabwe | CFC phase-out | Germany | 439,802 | | | 197,347 | 197,347 | 45,108 |
| Zimbabwe | MB | UNIDO | 2,002,172 | Ī | 2,002,172 | | | |
| Total | | | 160,676,779 | 4,630,108 | 61,345,191 | 57,152,559 | 28,888,394 | 2,413,924 |
| (*) Total funding approved in | principle between 2006 and | 2010. An additional I | | | | | | , -, - |

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 9. Estimated funding for institutional strengthening projects (US\$)

| Country | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Total |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|--------------------|
| Afghanistan | 150,000 | 10 | 150,000 | | 300,000 |
| Albania | | 109,200 | | 109,200 | 218,400 |
| Algeria | | 257,400 | | 257,400 | 514,800 |
| Angola | <u>(0.000</u> | 151,872 | (0.000 | 151,872 | 303,744 120,000 |
| Antigua and Barbuda Argentina | 60,000 334,935 | | 60,000 334,935 | | 669,870 |
| Bahamas | 554,955 | 60,000 | 554,955 | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Bahrain | 60,000 | 00,000 | 60,000 | 00,000 | 120,000 |
| Bangladesh | 139,750 | | 139,750 | | 279,500 |
| Barbados | 58,500 | | 58,500 | | 117,000 |
| Belize | 76,700 | | 76,700 | | 153,400 |
| Benin | 10,100 | 60,000 | 70,700 | 60,000 | 120.000 |
| Bhutan | 90,000 | | 90,000 | | 180,000 |
| Bolivia | 78,867 | | 78,867 | | 157,734 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 102,483 | | 102,483 | | 204,966 |
| Botswana | 78,173 | | 78,173 | | 156,346 |
| Brazil | í í | | 377,325 | | 377,325 |
| Brunei Darussalam | 70,000 | | 70,000 | | 140,000 |
| Burkina Faso | | 72,410 | | 72,410 | 144,820 |
| Burundi | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Cambodia | 112,667 | | 112,667 | | 225,334 |
| Cameroon | 139,532 | | 139,532 | | 279,064 |
| Cape Verde | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Central African Republic | 60,000 | | 60,000 | | 120,000 |
| Chad | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Chile | | | 200,541 | | 200,541 |
| China | | 419,250 | | 419,250 | 838,500 |
| Colombia | | | 296,270 | | 296,270 |
| Comoros | | | 60,000 | | 60,000 |
| Congo | | | 60,000 | | 60,000 |
| Congo, DR | | | 64,540 | | 64,540 |
| Cook Islands | | 15,000 | | 15,000 | 30,000 |
| Costa Rica | 151,051 | | 151,051 | | 302,102 |
| Cote D'Ivoire | | 53,170 | | 53,170 | 106,340 |
| Croatia | 87,707 | | 87,707 | | 175,414 |
| Cuba | 160,246 | | 160,246 | | 320,492 |
| Djibouti | | 78,000 | | 78,000 | 156,000 |
| Dominica | 13,000 | 60,000 | 13000 | 60,000 | 146,000 |
| Dominican Republic | 17.51.5 | 134,333 | 05.020 | 134,333 | 268,666 |
| Ecuador | 47,515 | | 95,030 | | 142,545 |
| Egypt | 20.000 | | 245,447 | | 245,447 |
| El Salvador | 30,000 | 40,000 | 60,000 | 40,000 | 90,000 80,000 |
| Equatorial Guinea Eritrea | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | , |
| | 40,000 | 60,000 | 40,000 | 60,000 | 80,000 |
| Ethiopia Fiji | 30,000 | 60,000 | 30000 | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Gabon | 30,000 | 60,000 | 30000 | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Gambia | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Georgia | | 50,000 | 60,667 | 00,000 | 60,667 |
| Ghana | | 149,533 | 30,007 | 149,533 | 299,066 |
| Grenada | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Guatemala | 62,400 | | 62,400 | 30,000 | 124,800 |
| Guinea | 02,100 | 60,000 | 02,100 | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Guinea-Bissau | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Guyana | | 56,333 | | 56,333 | 112,666 |
| Haiti | | 100,000 | | 100,000 | 200,000 |
| Honduras | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 240,000 |
| India | 401,222 | | 401,222 | | 802,445 |
| Indonesia | 291,588 | ľ | 291,588 | 1 | 583,176 |
| Iran | | 93,262 | | 93,262 | 186,524 |
| Jamaica | 60,000 | | 60,000 | | 120,000 |
| Jordan | | | 158,369 | | 158,369 |
| Kenya | | 81,521 | | 81,521 | 163,042 |
| Kiribati | | 7,666 | | 7,666 | 15,332 |
| Korea, DPR | 123,552 | | 123,552 | | 247,104 |
| Kuwait | 105,320 | | 105,320 | | 210,640 |
| Kyrgyzstan | | 115,830 | | 115,830 | 231,660 |
| Lao, PDR | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Lebanon | | 166,722 | | 166,722 | 333,444 |
| Lesotho | 26,000 | | 26,000 | | 52,000 |

2008-2010 Phase-out Plan for the Multilateral Fund Table 9. Estimated funding for institutional strengthening projects (US\$)

| Country | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Total |
|---|----------|---------|---|---------|--------------------|
| Liberia | | | 85,213 | | 85,213 |
| Libya | 167,593 | | 167,593 | | 335,186 |
| Macedonia, FYR, FYR | | 142,273 | | 142,273 | 284,546 |
| Madagascar | | 60,700 | | 60,700 | 121,400 |
| Malawi | 66,733 | | 66,733 | | 133,466 |
| Malaysia | 300,463 | | 300,463 | | 600,926 |
| Maldives | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Mali | | 60,677 | | 60,677 | 121,354 |
| Marshall Islands | | 30,000 | | 30,000 | 60,000 |
| Mauritania | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Mauritius | 60,000 | | 60,000 | | 120,000 |
| Mexico | | | 265,525 | | 265,525 |
| Micronesia | | 11,333 | | 11,333 | 22,666 |
| Moldova | | 69,334 | | 69,334 | 138,668 |
| Mongolia | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Montenegro | | | 32,250 | | 32,250 |
| Morocco | 155,000 | | 155,000 | | 310,000 |
| Mozambique | | 80,800 | | 80,800 | 161,600 |
| Myanmar | 74,360 | | 74,360 | | 148,720 |
| Namibia | 60,000 | | 60,000 | | 120,000 |
| Nauru | | 15,000 | | 15,000 | 30,000 |
| Nepal | † | 60,000 | [| 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Nicaragua | † | 60,000 | [| 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Niger | 64,827 | | 64,827 | | 129,654 |
| Nigeria | <i>,</i> | 279,500 | , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | 279,500 | 559,000 |
| Niue | | 15,000 | | 15,000 | 30,000 |
| Oman | 73,602 | - / | 73,602 | - / | 147.204 |
| Pakistan | 60,325 | | 120,651 | | 180,976 |
| Palau | | 11,333 | ., | 11,333 | 22,666 |
| Panama | 149,500 | , | 149,500 | , | 299,000 |
| Papua New Guinea | ,, | 67,800 | , | 67,800 | 135,600 |
| Paraguay | 57,460 | , | 57,460 | , | 114,920 |
| Peru | 133,510 | | 133,510 | | 267,020 |
| Philippines | 97,360 | 194,718 | 97,360 | 194,718 | 584,156 |
| Qatar | >1,500 | 47,838 | >1,500 | 47,838 | 95,676 |
| Romania | 156,933 | 17,050 | 156,933 | 17,050 | 313,866 |
| Rwanda | 150,755 | 60,000 | 150,755 | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 60,000 | 00,000 | 60,000 | 00,000 | 120,000 |
| Saint Lucia | 00,000 | 60,000 | 00,000 | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Saint Lucia Saint Vincent and the Grenad | 30,000 | 60,000 | 30,000 | 60,000 | 180,000 |
| Sao Tome and Principe | 50,000 | 60,666 | 50,000 | 60,666 | 121.332 |
| Senegal | 152,100 | 00,000 | 152,100 | 00,000 | 304,200 |
| Serbia | 141,148 | | 141,148 | | 282,296 |
| Seychelles | 60.000 | | 60,000 | | 120,000 |
| Sierra Leone | 00,000 | | 48,445 | | 48,445 |
| Solomon Islands | | 6 922 | 46,443 | 6,833 | 13,666 |
| | 26.000 | 6,833 | 26.000 | 0,835 | |
| Somalia Sui Loulos | 26,000 | 144 110 | 26,000 | 144 110 | 52,000 |
| Sri Lanka | 145.960 | 144,110 | 145.960 | 144,110 | 288,220 291,720 |
| Sudan | 145,860 | 72.222 | 145,860 | 72.222 | |
| Suriname | 50.244 | 73,333 | 50.244 | 73,333 | 146,666 |
| Swaziland | 58,344 | | 58,344 | | 116,688 |
| Syria | 219,110 | 60.000 | 219,110 | 60,000 | 438,220 |
| Tanzania | | 60,000 | 272.660 | 60,000 | 120,000 |
| Thailand | | | 372,668 | 10 111 | 372,668 |
| Togo | | 60,666 | | 60,666 | 121,332 |
| Tonga | | 6,566 | | 6,566 | 13,132 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | | 64,500 | | 64,500 | 129,000 |
| Tunisia | | 265,815 | | 265,815 | 531,630 |
| Turkey | 279,500 | | 279,500 | | 559,000 |
| Turkmenistan | 115,693 | | 115,693 | | 231,386 |
| Tuvalu | | 7,583 | | 7,583 | 15,166 |
| Uganda | 63,180 | | 63,180 | | 126,360 |
| Uruguay | | 162,110 | | 162,110 | 324,220 |
| Vanuatu | 30,000 | | 30,000 | | 60,000 |
| Venezuela | | 306,891 | | 306,891 | 613,782 |
| Vietnam | | | 118,976 | | 118,976 |
| Western Samoa | 60,000 | | 60,000 | | 120,000 |
| Yemen | 104,000 | 66,000 | 104,000 | 66,000 | 340,000 |
| Zambia | 65,520 | | 65,520 | | 131,040 |