



**United Nations  
Environment  
Programme**

Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/49/5/Add.1  
7 July 2006

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF  
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
Forty-ninth Meeting  
Montreal, 10-14 July 2006

**Addendum**

**2006 BUSINESS PLANS**

This document is issued to add new information to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/49/5 on Germany's Business Plan and the amount of forward commitments assuming that the requests for multi-year agreements submitted to the 49<sup>th</sup> Meeting are approved at the level requested.

Activities in Africa required for compliance that were included in Germany's business plan submitted to the 48<sup>th</sup> Meeting

11 *bis*. The Executive Committee took two decisions at its 48<sup>th</sup> Meeting (decisions 48/5 and 48/19) to address the potential shortfall in Germany's triennium budget to cover its projected commitments in 13 African countries. Decision 48/5 indicated that if by the 49<sup>th</sup> Meeting Germany did not have sufficient funds available in its 20 percent allocation for the triennium to finance projects in its business plans required for compliance with the 2007 and 2010 targets, Germany would consult with the relevant countries and the bilateral and multilateral implementing agencies regarding the transfer of those projects. In addition, decision 48/19 contained a specific request to Germany to obtain from the Government of the Seychelles a letter indicating its desire to continue working with Germany in the light of the current lack of funds remaining in its 2006-2008 allocation for bilateral cooperation.

11 *ter*. UNEP has received agreements from the following six countries to prepare and implement terminal ODS phase-out management plans (TPMPs). The costs indicated for project

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issue of the document.

For reasons of economy, this document is printed in a limited number. Delegates are kindly requested to bring their copies to the meeting and not to request additional copies.

preparation and the TPMPs are the maximum amounts allowed by decision 45/54 as indicated following this paragraph. Since UNEP cannot implement the investment components of TPMPs, these may have to be shared with another implementing agency. Gambia, Liberia and Tanzania indicated that UNDP would participate in their TPMP to cover the investment components. Malawi and Zambia only indicated UNEP as the implementing agency for its TPMP. UNEP advised that Mozambique was considering a TPMP project without investment components.

<b>Country</b>	<b>Maximum Cost of TPMP Preparation* (US\$)</b>	<b>Maximum Cost of TPMP* (US\$)</b>
Gambia	30,000	295,000
Liberia	30,000	345,000
Malawi	30,000	295,000
Mozambique	30,000	295,000
Tanzania	30,000	565,000
Zambia	30,000	295,000

\* Per decision 45/54 excluding agency support costs.

11 *qua*. France reported that it had agreed with Germany to prepare and implement TPMPs in Ethiopia, Uganda and the Seychelles as indicated following this paragraph. The Government of the Seychelles informed the Fund Secretariat that it had agreed to allow France to finance Germany's preparation and implementation of its TPMP. However, Ethiopia's letter of 1 June 2006 indicated that Germany would prepare and implement its TPMP instead of France and Uganda's letter of 24 May 2006 advised that it would like to remain in Germany's business plan having been informed that the German bilateral quota had been fully committed. Germany has requested letters from both of these countries to confirm their choice of France as the implementing agency. These letters had not been received as of this writing. It should be noted that these activities would be prepared in 2006 for initial submission in 2007. The related levels of funding added to the other activities planned for France during the 2006-2008 triennium do not exceed France's bilateral allocation for the triennium. France has indicated that the third tranche of the TPMPs would be submitted for approval after the current triennium in 2009.

<b>Country</b>	<b>Maximum Cost of TPMP Preparation* (US\$)</b>	<b>Maximum Cost of TPMP* (US\$)</b>
Ethiopia	30,000	345,000
Uganda	30,000	205,000
The Seychelles	30,000	205,000

\* Per decision 45/54 excluding support costs.

11 *quin*. Botswana and Swaziland have provided letters indicating their desire to continue working with Germany's GTZ since GTZ was implementing their refrigerant management plans. Botswana indicated its wish to be accommodated in Germany's business plan. Swaziland advised that it had been informed by Germany about its bilateral quota having been filled. Germany also informed that it requested a letter from Angola to specifically express its consent to remain in Germany's business plan under an arrangement similar to that of Botswana and Swaziland as indicated following this paragraph, but as of this writing Germany had not received the relevant letter.

Country	Maximum Cost of TPMP Preparation* (US\$)	Maximum Cost of TPMP* (US\$)
Botswana	30,000	205,000
Swaziland	30,000	295,000
Angola	30,000	520,000

\* Per decision 45/54 excluding support costs.

11 *sex.* Italy informed that it was considering implementing the TPMP of Algeria under its bilateral programme for the 2006-2008 triennium and would provide an update on the results of the negotiations when they were concluded. Germany indicated in its original business plan that the TPMP for Algeria would cost US \$1 million. This level of funding would not exceed Italy's maximum allocation for bilateral cooperation during the 2006-2008 triennium.

11 *sept.* The Executive Committee may wish to note the agreements reached and consider if any further action should be taken on the following activities after having received any further information on (1) a letter from Angola confirming that its TPMP is to remain in Germany's business plan despite the fact there are no funds available in Germany's 2006-2008 bilateral allocation; (2) confirmation from Ethiopia and Uganda for their TPMPs to be included in the French business plan; and (3) confirmation from Algeria to have its TPMP included in Italy's business plan.

#### Forward Commitments

- Add paras. 20 *bis* and 20 *ter* and Table 7 as follows:

20 *bis.* Forward commitments *inter alia* consist of funding for multi-year agreements and "other standard activities" such as institutional strengthening, the budget of the Fund Secretariat and Executive Committee meeting costs, UNEP's Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP), and the core unit costs for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank. Funding for "other standard activities" is based on projections in the 2006-2010 ODS phase-out plan of the Multilateral Fund.

20 *ter.* Table 7 presents the total amount of forward commitments assuming that the requests submitted to the 49<sup>th</sup> Meeting are approved at the level requested. If the multi-year agreements are approved at the values they were submitted to the 49<sup>th</sup> Meeting, an additional US \$3 million would be needed to cover forward commitments.

Table 7**FORWARD COMMITMENTS (2006-2014) (in US \$)**

<b>Description</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>Total</b>
Annual Tranches of Approved Multi-year agreements after 2005 approvals	109,078,977	76,401,842	53,147,609	27,827,445	728,121	2,687,500	537,500	537,500	2,249,698	273,196,192
Annual Tranches from New Agreements Approved at 48th Meetings	182,850	216,750	81,610	40,320						521,530
<b>Sub-Total (Agreements)</b>	<b>109,261,827</b>	<b>76,618,592</b>	<b>53,229,219</b>	<b>27,867,765</b>	<b>728,121</b>	<b>2,687,500</b>	<b>537,500</b>	<b>537,500</b>	<b>2,249,698</b>	<b>273,717,722</b>
Funding for Standard Activities	28,442,815	25,293,291	29,647,519	26,537,846	30,933,268					140,854,739
<b>Total Committed to-date</b>	<b>137,704,642</b>	<b>101,911,883</b>	<b>82,876,738</b>	<b>54,405,611</b>	<b>31,661,389</b>	<b>2,687,500</b>	<b>537,500</b>	<b>537,500</b>	<b>2,249,698</b>	<b>414,572,461</b>
Annual Tranches for New Agreements Submitted to the 49 <sup>th</sup> Meeting	1,767,629	267,225	781,960	179,729						2,996,543
<b>Total with 49<sup>th</sup> Meeting Submissions</b>	<b>139,472,271</b>	<b>102,179,108</b>	<b>83,658,698</b>	<b>54,585,340</b>	<b>31,661,389</b>	<b>2,687,500</b>	<b>537,500</b>	<b>537,500</b>	<b>2,249,698</b>	<b>417,569,004</b>

-----