



**United Nations  
Environment  
Programme**

Distr.  
LIMITED

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/44/9/Corr.1  
24 November 2004



ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF  
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
Forty-fourth Meeting  
Prague, 29 November-3 December 2004

**Corrigendum**

**REVIEW OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COST REGIME AND ITS CORE UNIT  
FUNDING BUDGET (FOLLOW-UP TO DECISION 41/94 (D))**

This corrigendum is issued to replace paragraphs 28 and 29 and Table 5 with the following:

“28. Table 7 presents administrative support cost revenue and costs disbursed for the period 1998-2003. It indicates that UNDP had a net surplus from administrative cost revenues received during the period of about US \$1.8 million. This is to be expected as this revenue may be required for future implementation when revenue from additional new approvals might be reduced.

Table 7

**ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT COST REVENUE AND COST DISBURSED FOR THE  
PERIOD OF 1998-2003 (US\$)**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Approved Revenue</b>	<b>Costs Disbursed</b>	<b>Difference</b>
<b>UNDP</b>	26,320,595	24,477,914	1,842,681
<b>UNIDO</b>	21,809,721	31,479,792	-9,670,071
<b>World Bank</b>	32,943,659	38,937,966	-5,994,307
<b>Total</b>	<b>81,073,976</b>	<b>94,907,675</b>	<b>-13,821,697</b>

29. UNIDO and the World Bank, however, disbursed US \$9.7 million and US \$6 million more than they received during the period 1998-2003. These resources would have come from the agency fees for projects approved prior to 1998. UNDP and UNIDO have indicated that core unit costs are increasing beyond the US \$1.5 million level that they are receiving.”