UNITED PATIONS EP



United Nations Environment Programme Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/67 12 April 2021

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Eighty-fifth Meeting
Intersessional approval process, 21 May - 3 June 2020*
Online, 9 April 2021*

REPORT OF THE EIGHTY-FIFTH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Introduction

- 1. In accordance with decision XXXI/14 of the Thirty-First Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol, the members of the Executive Committee for 2020 were:
 - (a) Parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: Australia, Belgium (Vice-Chair), the Czech Republic, Japan, Switzerland, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America; and
 - (b) Parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: Bahrain, Bangladesh, Chile, Djibouti, India, Rwanda (Chair) and Suriname.
- 2. In light of the outbreak of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19), which was characterized as a pandemic as assessed by the World Health Organization on 11 March 2020, it was not possible to convene the 85th meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund in Montreal, Canada, from 25 to 29 May 2020, as had been planned. Instead, Executive Committee members agreed *inter alia*:
 - (a) To postpone the 85th meeting to 19 to 22 July 2020, on the understanding that it might be further postponed or cancelled in light of the evolution of COVID-19; and
 - (b) To implement, on an exceptional basis and without setting a precedent, the intersessional approval process and to extend it to the reports on projects with specific reporting requirements recommended for blanket approval, to project proposals recommended for blanket approval, and to project proposals where all outstanding issues had been addressed and submitted for individual consideration.
- 3. The intersessional approval process for the 85th meeting was held from 21 May 2020 to 3 June 2020 through an online password protected forum and was participated by the Executive Committee.

^{*} Due to coronavirus disease (COVID-19)

- 4. In accordance with the decisions taken by the Executive Committee at its Second and Eighth meetings, representatives of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) both as implementing agency and as Treasurer of the Fund, the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) and the World Bank participated in the process as observers. The Executive Secretary of the Ozone Secretariat was also invited to participate in the process as observer.
- 5. Due to the evolving situation of the pandemic, the 85th meeting was further postponed to be held back to back with the 86th meeting in Montreal, Canada, from 2 to 6 November 2020 noting *inter alia* that the 85th meeting would be a short meeting lasting up to two hours, to adopt a revised provisional agenda, to take note of Secretariat activities and the status of contributions and disbursements of the Multilateral Fund as at 31 May 2020, and to adopt the draft report of the meeting, which would consist of those items approved under the intersessional approval process established for the 85th meeting. These meetings were postponed again to 8 to 12 March 2021.
- 6. In accordance with decision XXXII/9 of the Thirty-Second Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol, the members of the Executive Committee for 2021 were:
 - (a) Parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: Australia, Belgium (Chair), Czechia, Japan, Switzerland, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America; and
 - (b) Parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: Armenia, Bahrain (Vice-Chair), China, Djibouti, Paraguay, Suriname and Zimbabwe.
- 7. On 5 February 2021, Executive Committee members were informed that the postponed 85th and 86th meetings could not be held in Montreal, Canada, from 8 to 12 March 2021, given several measures in place including confinement and travel restrictions due to changes in the epidemiological situation in the Ouebec province and Canada.
- 8. During consultations with Executive Committee members on the revised contingency plan, members felt that approval of the meeting report could take place without further postponing it, and it was agreed that the Secretariat would finalize the report of the 85th meeting and post it on its website as an advance version of the report so that the Executive Committee could approve it during its online meeting to be held on 9 April 2021.
- 9. The postponed 85th meeting was held online on 9 April 2021 and was attended by representatives of the Executive Committee for 2021
- 10. In accordance with the decisions taken by the Executive Committee at its Second and Eighth meetings, representatives of UNDP, UNEP as both implementing agency and Treasurer of the Fund, UNIDO and the World Bank attended the meeting as observers.
- 11. The Acting Executive Secretary of the Ozone Secretariat and members of the task force on the replenishment of the Multilateral Fund of the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel were also present.
- 12. Representatives of the Alliance for Responsible Atmospheric Policy, the Environmental Investigation Agency, the Institute for Governance, and the Private Sector Commission for Studies on Sustainable Development of Mexico also attended as observers.

AGENDA ITEM 1: ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

(a) Adoption of the agenda

- 13. The Executive Committee for 2021 <u>adopted</u> the following agenda for the meeting on the basis of the provisional agenda contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/1, as amended based on the procedures mentioned in paragraphs 2(b), 5 and 8 above:
 - 1. Organizational matters:
 - (a) Adoption of the agenda;
 - (b) Organization of work.
 - 2. Secretariat activities.
 - 3. Status of contributions and disbursements.
 - 4. Status reports and reports on projects with specific reporting requirements.
 - 5. Project proposals:
 - (a) Overview of issues identified during project review;
 - (b) Bilateral cooperation;
 - (c) Work programmes:
 - (i) Work programme of UNDP for 2020;
 - (ii) Work programme of UNEP for 2020;
 - (iii) Work programme of UNIDO for 2020;
 - (d) Investment projects.
 - 6. Adoption of the report.

(b) Organization of work

14. The Executive Committee for 2021 <u>noted</u> that the advance version of the report for the 85th meeting was prepared based on document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/IAP/3, Projects approved intersessionally, which decisions contained therein had been agreed by the Executive Committee for 2020; and document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/2 on Secretariat activities, and document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/3 on Status of contributions disbursements, which were issued after the intersessional approval process. The Executive Committee for 2021 <u>agreed</u> to proceed directly to agenda item 6, Adoption of the report, on the basis of the procedures described in paragraphs 5 and 8 above.

AGENDA ITEM 2: SECRETARIAT ACTIVITIES

15. Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/2 provided an overview of the work of the Secretariat since the 84th meeting including *inter alia* the activities associated with the contingency plan for holding the 85th and 86th meetings.

16. The Executive Committee <u>took note</u>, with appreciation, of the report on the Secretariat activities contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/2.

AGENDA ITEM 3: STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND DISBURSEMENTS

- 17. The report on the status of contributions and disbursements was contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/3.
- 18. As at 31 May 2020, the balance of the Fund stood at US \$298,354,827, all of it in cash. The percentage of payments against the total pledges for 2020 stood at 63 per cent and the loss stemming from the fixed exchange-rate mechanism (FERM) had increased by US \$348,373 since the 84th meeting. The cumulative loss owing to the FERM since its inception stood at US \$32.8 million.
- 19. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u>:
 - (a) To note the report of the Treasurer on the status of contributions and disbursements, contained in Annex I to the present report;
 - (b) To urge all Parties to pay their contributions to the Multilateral Fund in full and as early as possible; and
 - (c) To request the Chief Officer and the Treasurer to continue following up with Parties that had outstanding contributions for one triennium or more, and to report back at the 86th meeting.

(**Decision 85/1**)

AGENDA ITEM 4: STATUS REPORTS AND REPORTS ON PROJECTS WITH SPECIFIC REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- 20. The Executive Committee considered the status reports and reports on projects with specific reporting requirements contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 21. The Executive Committee, noting that all decisions made during the intersessional approval process were taken on an exceptional basis and without setting a precedent for future Executive Committee decisions, <u>agreed</u> to consider under the intersessional approval process all sections of the document except for Section III on reports for individual consideration including the progress report on implementation of stage I of the HPMP for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and the financial audit reports for the CFC production, halon, polyurethane foam, process agent II, refrigeration servicing and solvent sectors in China which would be considered at the 86th meeting.

Section I: Projects with implementation delays and for which special status reports had been requested

- 22. The issue was presented in paragraphs 3 to 6 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 23. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note:
 - (i) The implementation delay reports and status reports submitted by bilateral and implementing agencies, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9;

- (ii) That bilateral and implementing agencies would report to the Executive Committee at the 86th meeting on five projects with implementation delays and on 26 projects recommended for additional status reports as contained in Annexes II and III, respectively, to the present report as part of the 2019 annual and financial progress report of the bilateral and implementing agencies; and
- (b) To approve the recommendations on ongoing projects with specific issues listed in the last column of the table in Annex III to the present report.

(Decision 85/2)

Section II: Reports on projects with specific reporting requirements recommended for blanket approval

ODS waste disposal projects

Lebanon: Pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal (final report) (UNIDO)

- 24. Information relating to the pilot demonstration project was set out in paragraphs 9 to 13 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 25. The Executive Committee <u>took note</u> of the submission by UNIDO of the final report for the pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal for Lebanon, which would be reviewed and presented by the Secretariat at the 86th meeting.

Temporary use of a high-global-warming-potential technology in approved projects

Lebanon: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – report on the status of the conversion of the remaining beneficiary enterprises in both the foam and air-conditioning manufacturing sectors) (UNDP)

- 26. Information relating to the temporary use of a high-GWP technology was set out in paragraphs 14 to 20 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 27. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note the report provided by UNDP and the Government of Lebanon, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9, describing the continued challenges being faced by the Government in sourcing commercially available alternatives with low-global-warming potential (GWP) alternatives, such as HFOs, and the efforts made by the Government and UNDP to facilitate the supply of technology with low GWP to the enterprises funded under stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan for Lebanon; and
 - (b) To request UNDP to continue assisting the Government of Lebanon in securing the supply of low-GWP alternative technology, and to report at the 86th meeting and each meeting thereafter until the technology originally selected or another technology with low GWP has been fully introduced, on the status of the conversion of the remaining beneficiary enterprises in the foam manufacturing sector (SPEC, Prometal and the small foam enterprises); and in the air-conditioning manufacturing sector (CGI Halawany and ICR).

(**Decision 85/3**)

Reports related to HPMPs

Argentina: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – update on the financial viability of the enterprise Celpack) (UNIDO)

- 28. Information relating to the financial viability of the enterprise included in the HPMP was set out in paragraphs 21 to 23 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 29. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u> to request the Government of Argentina, through UNIDO, to provide to the 86th meeting an update on the financial viability of the enterprise Celpack funded under stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan, to determine whether the enterprise would be assisted by the Multilateral Fund in line with decision 84/64(d)(ii), and to return the funds associated with the conversion of Celpack to the 86th meeting should the enterprise be removed from the project.

(Decision 85/4)

Brazil: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I – report on the temporary use of high-GWP technology at U-Tech systems house and final progress report) (UNDP and the Government of Germany)

- 30. Information relating to the HPMP was set out in paragraphs 24 to 44 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 31. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note:
 - (i) The final report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) (stage I) for Brazil, submitted by UNDP and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9;
 - (ii) That there was an estimated balance of US \$2,034,278 from the implementation of projects in the polyurethane foam sector, and that UNDP would return the actual funding balance to the Multilateral Fund at the 86th meeting; and
 - (b) To request UNDP to continue assisting the Government of Brazil in securing the supply of alternative technologies with low global-warming potential (GWP) to the systems house U-Tech, on the understanding that any incremental operating costs related to the conversion of Froth System applications would not be paid under stage II until the technology originally selected or another technology with low GWP had been fully introduced, and to provide, at each meeting until the technology originally selected or another technology with low GWP had been fully introduced, a report on the status of the conversion, along with an update from the suppliers on the progress made towards ensuring that the selected technologies, including associated components, were available on a commercial basis in the country.

(Decision 85/5)

Brazil: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – status of implementation of the projects in the room air-conditioning (AC) manufacturing sector) (UNIDO, UNDP, Governments of Germany and Italy)

- 32. Information relating to the status of implementation of the room AC manufacturing sector in the HPMP was set out in paragraphs 45 to 52 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 33. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note the report on the status of implementation of the projects in the room air-conditioning (AC) manufacturing sector under stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Brazil, submitted by UNIDO and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9; and
 - (b) To request UNIDO to report at the 86th meeting on the status of implementation of the projects in the room AC manufacturing sector under stage II of the HPMP for Brazil.

(Decision 85/6)

Costa Rica: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I – progress report) (UNDP)

- 34. Information relating to the HPMP was set out in paragraphs 53 to 62 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 35. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the fifth and final tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Costa Rica, submitted by UNDP and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9; and
 - (b) To request the Government of Costa Rica and UNDP to submit a final report on the implementation of stage I of the HPMP to the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2022, along with the required project completion report.

(Decision 85/7)

Honduras: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I – progress report on implementation of activities under the UNEP components) (UNEP)

- 36. Information relating to the activities of the HPMP of Honduras being implemented under the UNEP components of stage I was set out in paragraphs 63 to 73 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 37. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note:
 - (i) The progress report on the implementation of activities within the UNEP components of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Honduras, submitted by UNEP and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9; and
 - (ii) That the fifth and final tranche of stage I of the HPMP could be submitted only once the conditions set out in decision 84/18(b) had been met.

(Decision 85/8)

India: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – update on the assessment of continuous-foam-panel-manufacturing enterprises regarding adherence to the ban) (UNDP, UNEP and the Government of Germany)

- 38. Information relating to the assessment of foam enterprises' adherence to the ban was set out in paragraphs 74 to 78 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 39. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u> to request the Government of India, through UNDP, to provide, by the 86th meeting, the Government's assessment of whether the continuous-foam-panel-manufacturing enterprises had adhered, as of 1 January 2015, to the ban on the use of HCFC-141b, in line with decision 82/74(b) and (c).

(**Decision 85/9**)

Indonesia: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I - update on status of conversion of the refrigeration and air-conditioning manufacturing enterprises and revised plan of action) (UNDP)

- 40. Information relating to the status of conversion of the RAC manufacturing enterprises in the HPMP was set out in paragraphs 79 to 94 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 41. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note the update on the status of conversion of the refrigeration and air-conditioning manufacturing enterprises and the revised plan of action for stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Indonesia, submitted by UNDP, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9;
 - (b) To extend the completion date of stage I of the HPMP for Indonesia until 31 December 2020; and
 - (c) To request the Government of Indonesia and UNDP to submit a final progress report on the implementation of stage I of the HPMP that would include aggregated information on the sales of low-global-warming-potential-based equipment manufactured by the enterprises participating in the project, as well as the project completion report, by 30 June 2021.

(**Decision 85/10**)

Malaysia: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – change in technology at 14 enterprises) (UNDP)

- 42. Information relating to the change in technology at enterprises included in the HPMP was set out in paragraphs 95 to 101 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 43. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u>:
 - (a) To note the request, submitted by UNDP on behalf of the Government of Malaysia, for the change in technology in 14 foam enterprises from hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs) to pre-blended cyclopentane polyol systems in the context of stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP), contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9; and
 - (b) To approve the change in technology for those 14 foam enterprises from HFOs to pre-blended cyclopentane polyol systems, on the understanding that the conversions would not be delayed and any additional costs would be covered by the enterprises.

(**Decision 85/11**)

Morocco: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I - progress report) (UNIDO and UNDP)

- 44. Information relating to the HPMP was set out in paragraphs 102 to 116 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9. During the intersessional approval process, one member proposed changes to the Secretariat's recommendation.
- 45. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u> to note the progress report on the implementation of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Morocco, submitted by UNIDO and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9, on the understanding that:
 - (a) A commitment had been made by UNIDO that the verification report would be submitted to the Secretariat not later than twelve weeks prior to the 86th meeting, that the recommendations included therein would be addressed during the implementation of the third tranche of stage I of the HPMP, and that the actions implemented towards that end would be included in the progress report of stage I of the HPMP; and
 - (b) In the event that the verification report confirmed that Morocco had not been in compliance with the Montreal Protocol and its Agreement with the Executive Committee, the Secretariat would inform the Executive Committee so that relevant actions, *inter alia*, the application of the penalty clause, could be considered accordingly.

(**Decision 85/12**)

Republic of Moldova: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – detailed report on the status of implementation of the demonstration projects for using CO_2 –based technology in the commercial refrigeration sector) (UNDP)

- 46. Information relating to the status of implementation of the demonstration projects in the commercial refrigeration sector included in the HPMP was set out in paragraphs 117 to 127 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 47. The Executive Committee <u>took note</u> of the detailed report on the status of implementation of the demonstration projects for using CO₂—based technology in the commercial refrigeration sector of stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan for the Republic of Moldova, submitted by UNDP, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.

Demonstration projects for low-GWP alternatives to HCFCs

Argentina and Tunisia: Demonstration project for the introduction of trans-critical CO_2 refrigeration technology for supermarkets (final report) (UNIDO)

- 48. Information relating to the demonstration project was set out in paragraphs 128 to 148 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 49. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u>:
 - (a) To note, with appreciation, the final report on the demonstration project for the introduction of trans-critical CO₂ refrigeration technology for supermarkets in Argentina and Tunisia submitted by UNIDO and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9; and
 - (b) To invite bilateral and implementing agencies to take into account the final report of the demonstration project referred to in sub-paragraph (a) above, when assisting Article 5

countries in preparing projects in commercial refrigeration sectors.

(**Decision 85/13**)

Global (Eastern Africa and Caribbean regions): Demonstration project on refrigerant quality, containment and introduction of low-GWP alternatives in the refrigeration and air-conditioning (RAC) sector (final report) (UNIDO)

- 50. Information relating to the demonstration project was set out in paragraphs 149 to 169 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 51. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u>:
 - (a) To note the final report on the global (Eastern Africa and Caribbean regions) demonstration project on refrigerant quality, containment and introduction of low-global-warming-potential alternatives in the refrigeration and air-conditioning sector, submitted by UNIDO and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9; and
 - (b) To invite bilateral and implementing agencies to take into account the report referred to in sub-paragraph (a) above when assisting Article 5 countries in preparing and implementing projects in the refrigeration servicing sector.

(**Decision 85/14**)

Regional (Europe and Central Asia): Development of a regional centre of excellence for training and certification and demonstration of low-global-warming-potential alternative refrigerants (final report) (Russian Federation)

- 52. Information relating to the demonstration project was set out in paragraphs 170 to 181 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9. During the intersessional approval process, one member proposed changes to the Secretariat's recommendation.
- 53. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note the final report on the development of a regional centre of excellence for training and certification and demonstration of alternative refrigerants with low global-warming-potential for the countries in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, submitted by the Government of the Russian Federation and UNIDO, and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9; and
 - (b) To encourage bilateral and implementing agencies to make full use of the resources provided by the regional centre referred to in sub-paragraph (a) for the implementation of HCFC phase-out management plans and HFC consumption reduction projects in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, and neighbouring countries.

(**Decision 85/15**)

Saudi Arabia: Demonstration project for the phase-out of HCFCs by using HFO as a foam blowing agent in spray foam applications in high ambient temperatures (final report) (UNIDO)

- 54. Information relating to the demonstration project was set out in paragraphs 182 to 194 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 55. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the final report on the demonstration project for the phase-out of HCFCs by using HFO as a foam blowing agent in spray foam applications in high ambient temperatures in Saudi Arabia, submitted by UNIDO and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9; and
- (b) To invite bilateral and implementing agencies to take into account the report referred to in sub-paragraph (a) above when assisting Article 5 countries in preparing and implementing polyurethane foam projects.

(**Decision 85/16**)

Saudi Arabia: Demonstration project on promoting HFO-based low-global-warming-potential refrigerants for the air-conditioning sector in high ambient temperatures (progress report) (UNIDO)

- 56. Information relating to the demonstration project was set out in paragraphs 195 to 203 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 57. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note the progress report on the demonstration project on promoting hydrofluoroolefin-based low-global-warming-potential refrigerants for the air-conditioning sector in high ambient temperatures in Saudi Arabia, submitted by UNIDO and contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9;
 - (b) To extend the completion date of the project referred to in sub-paragraph (a) above to 15 December 2020 on an exceptional basis given the COVID-19 pandemic and the advanced progress achieved; and
 - (c) To request UNIDO to submit the final report of the project referred to in sub-paragraph (a) above no later than 1 January 2021 and to return all remaining balances by the 87th meeting.

(**Decision 85/17**)

West Asia region: Demonstration project on promoting alternative refrigerants in air-conditioning for high-ambient-temperature countries (PRAHA-II) (final report) (UNEP and UNIDO)

- 58. Information relating to the demonstration project was set out in paragraphs 204 to 218 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 59. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note with appreciation, the final report of the demonstration project on promoting alternative refrigerants in air-conditioning (AC) for high-ambient-temperature (HAT) countries in West Asia (PRAHA-II) submitted by UNEP and UNIDO, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9;
 - (b) To request UNEP and UNIDO to return all remaining balances by the 86th meeting instead of the 85th meeting, given the delay in holding the sixth international symposium on alternative refrigerants for HAT countries due to the COVID-19 pandemic; and

(c) To invite bilateral and implementing agencies to share the final report of the demonstration project mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above when assisting Article 5 countries in preparing projects in AC sectors in HAT countries.

(**Decision 85/18**)

Financial audit reports for the CFC production, halon, polyurethane foam, process agent II, refrigeration servicing and solvent sectors in China

China: Process agent II – Additional information on activities to be undertaken (World Bank)

- 60. Information relating to the process agent II sector plan in China was set out in paragraphs 219 to 231 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 61. The Executive Committee <u>took note</u> of the additional information on the proposed activities to be undertaken under the process agent II sector plan for China, the budget for those activities and the progress report on their implementation (decision 84/39(d)) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.

Requests for extension of enabling activities (UNDP, UNEP and UNIDO)

- 62. Information relating to the requests for extension of enabling activities was set out in paragraphs 232 to 234 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 63. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note the requests for extension of enabling activities for HFC phase-down submitted by the respective implementing agencies for the nine Article 5 countries listed in Table 10 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9; and
 - (b) To extend the completion date for the enabling activities for HFC phase-down to 30 June 2021 for the Bahamas, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brunei Darussalam, Cabo Verde, the Cook Islands, Jordan, Mauritius, Qatar, and Timor-Leste, on the understanding that no further extension would be requested and that implementing agencies would submit, within six months of the project completion date, a final report of the enabling activities completed in line with decision 81/32(b).

(**Decision 85/19**)

Section IV: List of enterprises funded under HPMPs with delays and/or subject to changes in the implementation plan, and enterprises converting to low-GWP technologies with delays due to issues related to their availability on the local market and/or higher costs (decisions 84/27 and 84/42)

- 64. Information relating to the list of enterprises funded under HPMPs with issues was set out in paragraphs 252 to 264 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 65. The Executive Committee <u>took note</u> of the reports submitted by the Government of Germany, UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank listing the enterprises funded under HPMPs with delays and/or subject to changes in the implementation plan, and enterprises converting to low-GWP technologies with delays due to issues related to their availability in the local market and/or higher costs, in line with decisions 84/27 and 84/42 as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.

Section V: HFC-related investment projects and enabling activities funded using the additional contributions by a group of 17 non-Article 5 Parties (decision 84/12(b))

- 66. Information relating to the HFC-related investment projects and enabling activities funded under additional contributions was set out in paragraphs 265 to 277 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.
- 67. The Executive Committee <u>took note</u> of the information on HFC-related investment projects and enabling activities, submitted by bilateral and implementing agencies in line with decision 84/12(b), contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/9.

AGENDA ITEM 5: PROJECT PROPOSALS

(a) Overview of issues identified during project review

- 68. The document on the Overview of issues identified during project review (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/13), presented an overview of projects and activities submitted by bilateral and implementing agencies; described the issues identified during the project review process; included the projects and activities submitted for blanket approval; and listed the investment projects for individual consideration.
- 69. Noting that all decisions made during the intersessional approval process were taken on an exceptional basis and without setting a precedent for future Executive Committee decisions, in addition to the projects and activities submitted for blanket approval contained in the document, the Executive Committee <u>agreed</u> to consider during the intersessional approval process the following issues presented in the document: potential delay in implementation of time-sensitive HFC-related activities; servicing tail in the context of an HPMP for the total phase-out of HCFCs; and verification reports of low-volume-consuming (LVC) countries' compliance with their HPMP agreements, and to defer consideration of all other matters described in the document *inter alia* requests for project preparation for HFC phase-down plans submitted as part of the work programmes of UNDP, UNEP and UNIDO for 2020, to the 86th meeting.

Servicing tail in the context of an HPMP for the total phase-out of HCFCs

During the intersessional approval process, one member, supported by a further two members, raised questions regarding the approach proposed by the Secretariat in relation to the servicing tail in the context of an HPMP for the total phase-out of HCFCs, including whether existing national policy or legislative approaches to HCFC phase-out would need to be changed; what flexibility there would be to minimize changes to a country's nationally determined approach; and whether the approach would affect existing HPMPs, and if so, whether there would be a way to address that in advance of new submissions of requests for funding of stage II and stage III. Another member did not agree with the Secretariat's conclusions and recommendation, asserting that Article 5 countries submitting a final stage of their HPMPs with a servicing tail had the right to do so, and if the Parties to the Montreal Protocol decided on any changes to the quantity or timeframe of the servicing tail, then adjustments to the final stage of the HPMP could be discussed subsequent to the Parties' decision. The Executive Committee <u>agreed</u> to defer consideration of the matter related to the servicing tail in the context of an HPMP for the total phase-out of HCFCs at the 86th meeting.

Potential delay in implementation of time-sensitive HFC-related activities

- 71. The issue was presented in paragraphs 21 to 23 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/13.
- 72. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u> that bilateral and implementing agencies should continue to assist Article 5 countries in implementing the ongoing activities considering the constraints imposed by

COVID-19, irrespective of their completion dates, and report back to the 86th meeting on those specific activities that required an extension of their completion dates.

(**Decision 85/20**)

Verification reports of LVC countries' compliance with their HPMP agreements

- 73. The issue was presented in paragraphs 35 and 36 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/13.
- 74. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u> to request relevant bilateral and implementing agencies to include in their amendments to their respective work programmes, due for submission to the 86th meeting, funding in the amount of US \$30,000 plus agency support costs for verification reports for stage II or stage III of the HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) for Barbados, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burundi, Dominica, Equatorial Guinea, Eswatini, Guyana, Haiti, Mali, Mozambique, Myanmar, North Macedonia, Republic of Moldova (the), Sierra Leone, Suriname, and Timor-Leste.

(**Decision 85/21**)

Projects and activities submitted for blanket approval

75. During the intersessional approval process, a member requested to remove from the list of projects submitted for blanket approval: the request for the third tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Liberia and the request for project preparation for conversion of HFC-134a (or R-410A) to R-290 in the manufacture of air-conditioning units at J.M. Group/Mina factory in Sudan.

76. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the projects and activities submitted for blanket approval at the levels of funding indicated in Annex IV to the present report, together with the conditions or provisions included in the corresponding project evaluation documents and the conditions attached to the projects by the Executive Committee;
- (b) To note that the Agreement between the Government of Kyrgyzstan and the Executive Committee had been updated to reflect that the 2019 target was 0.71 ODP tonnes, as contained in Annex V to the present report; and
- (c) That, for projects related to renewal of institutional strengthening, blanket approval included approval of the observations to be communicated to recipient governments as contained in Annex VI to the present report.

(**Decision 85/22**)

(b) Bilateral cooperation

77. Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/14 contained requests from three bilateral agencies for HCFC-related projects for three countries and for enabling activities for HFC phase-down for one country.

78. The Executive Committee <u>decided:</u>

- (a) To request the Treasurer to offset the costs of the bilateral projects approved under the intersessional approval process, namely:
 - (i) US \$42,800 (including agency support costs) against the balance of the bilateral contribution of the Government of Canada for 2020;

- (ii) US \$5,085 (including agency support costs) against the balance of the bilateral contribution of the Government of France for 2020; and
- (iii) US \$101,135 (including agency support costs) against the balance of the bilateral contribution of the Government of Germany for 2018–2020.

(**Decision 85/23**)

(c) Work programmes

(i) Work programme of UNDP for 2020

79. Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/15 contained the work programme of UNDP for 2020, which set out seven activities, comprising one request for renewal of an institutional strengthening project; one request for project preparation for stage III of an HPMP; two requests for technical assistance for enabling activities pursuant to decision 79/46; and three requests for preparation of HFC phase-down management plans. All the requests, with the exception of the three requests for project preparation for HFC phase-down management plans, had been included in, and approved as part of, the list of projects submitted for blanket approval under agenda item 5(a), Overview of issues identified during project review.

(ii) Work programme of UNEP for 2020

80. Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/16 contained the work programme of UNEP for 2020, which set out 18 activities, comprising 12 requests for renewal of institutional strengthening projects; two requests for project preparation for stage II of HPMPs, and one request for stage III; two requests for technical assistance for enabling activities pursuant to decision 79/46; and one request for preparation for an HFC phase-down management plan. All the requests, with the exception of the one for project preparation for an HFC phase-down management plan, had been included in, and approved as part of, the list of projects submitted for blanket approval under agenda item 5(a), Overview of issues identified during project review.

(iii) Work programme of UNIDO for 2020

- 81. Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/17 contained the work programme of UNIDO for 2020, which set out 12 activities, comprising one request for renewal of an institutional strengthening project; five requests for project preparation for stage II of HPMPs, and three requests for stage III; one request for project preparation for an HFC-related investment project; and two requests for preparation for HFC phase-down management plans.
- 82. During the intersessional approval process one member raised a number of questions about the preparation of a project for conversion of HFC-134a (or R-410A) to R-290 in the manufacture of air-conditioning units at J.M. Group/Mina factory in Sudan, which would require further discussions with the Executive Committee and the implementing agency at the 86th meeting.
- 83. Subsequently, all the requests, with the exception of the preparation of project for conversion of HFC-134a (or R-410A) to R-290 in the manufacture of air-conditioning units at J.M. Group/Mina factory in Sudan, consideration of which was <u>deferred</u> to the 86th meeting, and the two requests for project preparation for HFC phase-down management plans, had been included in, and approved as part of, the list of projects submitted for blanket approval under agenda item 5(a), Overview of issues identified during project review.

(d) Investment projects

84. The Executive Committee <u>agreed</u> to consider during the intersessional approval process the investment projects submitted for individual consideration with all outstanding issues resolved, noting that all decisions made during the intersessional approval process were taken on an exceptional basis and without setting a precedent for future Executive Committee decisions.

Stage II of HPMPs

<u>Afghanistan: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – first tranche)</u> (UNEP and UNIDO)

- 85. Information relating to the HPMP was presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/18.
- 86. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u>:
 - (a) To approve, in principle, stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Afghanistan for the period from 2020 to 2025 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the country's baseline, in the amount of US \$748,369, consisting of US \$468,567 plus agency support costs of US \$60,914 for UNEP, and US \$200,815 plus agency support costs of US \$18,073 for UNIDO;
 - (b) To deduct 7.67 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding;
 - (c) To approve the Agreement between the Government of Afghanistan and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, in accordance with stage II of the HPMP, contained in Annex VII to the present report; and
 - (d) To approve the first tranche of stage II of the HPMP for Afghanistan, and the corresponding tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$357,511, consisting of US \$229,567 plus agency support costs of US \$29,844 for UNEP, and US \$90,000 plus agency support costs of US \$8,100 for UNIDO.

(**Decision 85/24**)

Albania: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – first tranche) (UNIDO and UNEP)

- 87. Information relating to the HPMP was presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/19.
- 88. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To approve, in principle, stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Albania for the period from 2020 to 2025 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the country's baseline, in the amount of US \$322,346, consisting of US \$204,500, plus agency support costs of US \$18,406 for UNIDO, and US \$88,000 plus agency support costs of US \$11,440 for UNEP;
 - (b) To deduct 1.95 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding;
 - (c) To approve the Agreement between the Government of Albania and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, in accordance with stage II of the HPMP, contained in Annex VIII to the present report; and

(d) To approve the first tranche of stage II of the HPMP for Albania, and the corresponding tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$140,555, consisting of US \$88,000, plus agency support costs of US \$7,920 for UNIDO, and US \$39,500, plus agency support costs of US \$5,135 for UNEP.

(**Decision 85/25**)

Malawi: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – first tranche) (UNEP and UNIDO)

89. Information relating to the HPMP was presented in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/37 and Corr.1.

90. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Malawi for the period from 2020 to 2030 for the complete phase-out of HCFC consumption, in the amount of US \$726,500, consisting of US \$450,000, plus agency support costs of US \$58,500 for UNEP, and US \$200,000, plus agency support costs of US \$18,000 for UNIDO;
- (b) To note the commitment of the Government of Malawi to completely phase out HCFCs by 1 January 2030, and that HCFC would not be imported after that date;
- (c) To deduct 7.02 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding;
- (d) To approve the Agreement between the Government of Malawi and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, in accordance with stage II of the HPMP, contained in Annex IX to the present report; and
- (e) To approve the first tranche of stage II of the HPMP for Malawi, and the corresponding tranche implementation plans, in the amount of US \$312,400 consisting of US \$180,000 plus agency support costs of US \$23,400 for UNEP, and US \$100,000, plus agency support costs of US \$9,000 for UNIDO.

(**Decision 85/26**)

Montenegro: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – first tranche) (UNIDO)

91. Information relating to the HPMP was presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/39.

92. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u>:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Montenegro for the period from 2020 to 2025 for the complete phase-out of HCFC consumption, in the amount of US \$635,500, plus agency support costs of US \$44,485 for UNIDO, on the understanding that no more funding would be provided from the Multilateral Fund for the phase-out of HCFCs and no servicing tail would be needed;
- (b) To note the commitment of the Government of Montenegro to completely phase out HCFCs by 1 January 2025 in advance of the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule, and to ban the import of HCFCs by 1 January 2025;
- (c) To note that future tranche submissions would include the report on institutional strengthening (IS) activities in line with the format approved in decision 74/51, or any

- subsequent decisions taken by the Executive Committee on the format for IS renewals;
- (d) To deduct 0.52 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding;
- (e) To approve the Agreement between the Government of Montenegro and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, in accordance with stage II of the HPMP, contained in Annex X to the present report; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage II of the HPMP for Montenegro, and the corresponding tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$296,500, plus agency support costs of US \$20,755 for UNIDO.

(**Decision 85/27**)

Serbia: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II – first tranche) (UNIDO and UNEP)

- 93. Information relating to the HPMP was presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/46.
- 94. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To approve, in principle, stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Serbia for the period from 2020 to 2025 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the country's baseline, in the amount of US \$320,585, consisting of US \$248,500, plus agency support costs of US \$22,365 for UNIDO, and US \$44,000, plus agency support costs of US \$5,720 for UNEP:
 - (b) To note the commitment of the Government of Serbia to ban the import and use of HCFC-141b by 1 January 2021;
 - (c) To deduct 2.70 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding;
 - (d) To approve the Agreement between the Government of Serbia and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, in accordance with stage II of the HPMP, contained in Annex XI to the present report; and
 - (e) To approve the first tranche of stage II of the HPMP for Serbia, and the corresponding tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$160,211 consisting of US \$124,175, plus agency support costs of US \$11,176 for UNIDO, and US \$22,000, plus agency support costs of US \$2,860 for UNEP.

(**Decision 85/28**)

Tranche requests of stage I/stage II of HPMPs

<u>China: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching)</u> (UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO, the World Bank, the Governments of Germany, Italy and Japan)

- 95. Information relating to the HPMP was presented in paragraphs 1 to 20 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/21.
- 96. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u> to request UNDP, in cooperation with the sector and lead implementing agencies, to continue using the financial reporting format for the project implementation and

monitoring unit expenditures contained in Annex X to the final report of the 81st meeting in their annual tranche progress reports.

(**Decision 85/29**)

<u>China: HCFC phase-out management plan extruded polystyrene (XPS) foam sector plan (stage II – third tranche)</u> (UNIDO and Government of Germany)

- 97. Information relating to the XPS foam sector plan was presented in paragraphs 21 to 35 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/21.
- 98. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u>:
 - (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the second tranche of the extruded polystyrene (XPS) foam sector plan of stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for China; and
 - (b) To approve the third tranche of the XPS foam sector plan of stage II of the HPMP for China, and the corresponding 2020-2022 tranche implementation plan, at the amount of US \$9,890,431, plus agency support costs of US \$692,330 for UNIDO.

(**Decision 85/30**)

<u>China: HCFC phase-out management plan polyurethane (PU) foam sector plan (stage II – second tranche)</u> (World Bank)

- 99. Information relating to the PU foam sector plan was presented in paragraphs 36 to 54 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/21.
- 100. The Executive Committee decided:
 - (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the first tranche of the polyurethane (PU) foam sector plan of stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for China; and
 - (b) To approve the second tranche of the PU foam sector plan of stage II of the HPMP for China, and the corresponding 2020-2022 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$2,067,012, plus agency support costs of US \$144,691 for the World Bank.

(**Decision 85/31**)

<u>China: HCFC phase-out management plan industrial and commercial refrigeration and air-conditioning (ICR) sector plan (stage II – third tranche)</u> (UNDP)

- 101. Information relating to the ICR sector plan was presented in paragraphs 55 to 71 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/21.
- 102. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u>:
 - (a) To note the 2018-2020 progress report on the implementation of the second tranche of the industrial and commercial refrigeration and air-conditioning (ICR) sector plan of stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for China; and

(b) To approve the third tranche of the ICR sector plan of stage II of the HPMP for China, and the corresponding 2020-2022 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$2,095,775, plus agency support costs of US \$146,704 for UNDP.

(**Decision 85/32**)

China: HCFC phase-out management plan solvent sector plan (stage II – third tranche) (UNDP)

103. Information relating to the solvent sector plan was presented in paragraphs 72 to 91 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/21.

104. The Executive Committee <u>decided</u>:

- (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the second tranche of the solvent sector plan of stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for China; and
- (b) To approve the third tranche of the solvent sector plan of stage II of the HPMP for China, and the corresponding 2020-2022 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$12,946,782, plus agency support costs of US \$906,275 for UNDP.

(**Decision 85/33**)

<u>Guinea: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I – third and fourth tranches)</u> (UNEP and UNIDO)

105. Information relating to the HPMP was presented in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/29 and Corr.1.

106. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
 - (i) The progress report on the implementation of the second tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Guinea;
 - (ii) That the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 7.51 ODP tonnes, estimated on the basis of the historical consumption included in the verification report submitted to the 85th meeting;
 - (iii) That the revised funding level for stage I of the HPMP for Guinea was US \$332,500 plus agency support costs, in accordance with decision 60/44(f)(xii);
 - (iv) That a deduction of US \$117,000 had been applied to reflect the cancellation of the fourth and fifth tranches of stage I and that a deduction of US \$197,500 would be applied when stage II of the HPMP was approved;
 - (v) That the Fund Secretariat had updated paragraph 1, Appendices 1-A, 2-A and 7-A of the Agreement between the Government of Guinea and the Executive Committee, based on the revised starting point and funding level, and that a new paragraph 16 had been added to indicate that the updated Agreement superseded that reached at the 66th meeting, as contained in Annex XII to the present report;

- (b) To approve:
 - (i) The extension of stage I of the HPMP up to 31 July 2022, on the understanding that stage II of the HPMP would not be submitted earlier than 2022; and
 - (ii) The third tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Guinea, and the corresponding 2020-2022 tranche implementation plan, at the amount of US \$246,750, consisting of US \$100,000, plus agency support costs of US \$13,000 for UNEP; and US \$125,000, plus agency support costs of US \$8,750 for UNIDO.

(**Decision 85/34**)

<u>Liberia</u>: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) (the Government of Germany)

107. The request for the third tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Liberia was being given individual consideration following its removal from the list of projects submitted for blanket approval during the intersessional approval process.

108. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the second tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Liberia;
- (b) To approve the third and final tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Liberia, and the corresponding 2020-2021 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$31,500, plus agency support costs of US \$4,095 for the Government of Germany, on the understanding that:
 - (i) The approved funds would not be transferred to the Government of Germany until the verification report covering the period 2015 to 2019 had been submitted to the Secretariat;
 - (ii) The recommendations included in the verification report would be addressed during the implementation of the third and final tranche of stage I and stage II of the HPMP, and that the actions implemented towards that end would be included in the progress report of stage I of the HPMP to be submitted as part of the request for stage II of the HPMP for Liberia; and
 - (iii) In the unlikely event of Liberia's non-compliance with the targets set in its Agreement with the Executive Committee for stage I of the HPMP, the penalty applicable as per Appendix 7-A of the Agreement would be deducted from the eligible funding for stage II of its HPMP, the request for which could be submitted only after the verification report referred to in sub-paragraph (b)(i) above had been submitted to the Secretariat.

(**Decision 85/35**)

<u>Senegal: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I – third and fourth tranches)</u> (UNEP and UNIDO)

109. Information relating to the project was presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/45.

110. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the second tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Senegal;
- (b) To approve the extension of the duration of stage I of the HPMP to 31 December 2021 on the understanding that no further extension would be approved;
- (c) To note that the Fund Secretariat had revised the updated Agreement between the Government of Senegal and the Executive Committee, contained in Annex XIII to the present report, specifically Appendix 2-A, to reflect the extension of the duration of stage I and the revised funding schedule which combined the third (2018) and fourth (2020) tranches, paragraph 9, Appendices 2-A and 5-A to reflect the change in lead implementing agency to UNEP and cooperating implementing agency to UNIDO, and paragraph 16, to indicate that the revised updated Agreement superseded that reached at the 77th meeting;
- (d) To request the Government of Senegal, UNEP and UNIDO to submit a progress report on a yearly basis on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche until the completion of the project, verification reports until approval of stage II, and the project completion report to the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2023; and
- (e) To approve the combined third and fourth tranches of stage I of the HPMP for Senegal, and the corresponding 2020-2021 tranche implementation plan, at the amount of US \$189,350 consisting of US \$120,000, plus agency support costs of US \$15,600 for UNEP, and US \$50,000, plus agency support costs of US \$3,750 for UNIDO, on the understanding that UNEP would include as part of the progress report referred to in paragraph (d) above the recommendations in the verification report submitted to the 85th meeting.

(**Decision 85/36**)

AGENDA ITEM 6: ADOPTION OF THE REPORT

111. The Executive Committee adopted its report on the basis of the advance version of the report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/67.

TABLE 1 : STATUS OF THE FUND FROM 1991-2020 (IN US DOLLARS)

INCOME		
Contributions received:		
- Cash payments including note encashments		3,761,522,5
- Promissory notes held		
- Bilateral cooperation		174,205,3
- Interest earned *		235,102,5
- Additional income from loans and other sources		
- Miscellaneous income		21,841,5
Total Income		4,192,671,9
ALLOCATIONS** AND PROVISIONS		
- UNDP	945,134,459	
- UNEP	356,819,277	
- UNIDO	950,309,955	
- World Bank	1,275,719,067	
Unspecified projects	-	
Less Adjustments	-	
Total allocations to implementing agencies		3,527,982,75
Secretariat and Executive Committee costs (1991-2022)		
- includes provision for staff contracts into 2022		143,648,0
Treasury fees (2003-2022)		10,056,98
Monitoring and Evaluation costs (1999-2020)		3,777,43
Technical Audit costs (1998-2010)		1,699,80
Information Strategy costs (2003-2004)		
- includes provision for Network maintenance costs for 2004		104,73
Bilateral cooperation		174,205,30
Provision for fixed-exchange-rate mechanism's fluctuations		
- losses/(gains) in value		32,842,02
Total allocations and provisions		3,894,317,13
Cash***		298,354,82
Promissory Notes:	^	
2020	0	
Unscheduled	0	
		-
BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS		298,354,82
		=> 0,00 1,0

^{*} Includes interest amount US \$1,182,233 earned by FECO/MEP/(China).

^{**} Amounts reflect net approvals for which resources are transferred to Implementing Agencies. The Secretariat budget reflects actual costs as per the final 2018 accounts of the Fund and approved amounts for 2019-2022.

^{***} US \$3,938,844 is excess of return over allocation for the WB to be offset from the 85th meeting and beyond.

TABLE 2: 1991 - 2020 SUMMARY STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND OTHER INCOME (US\$)

BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS

As at 31/05/2020

Description	1991-1993	1994-1996	1997-1999	2000-2002	2003-2005	2006-2008	2009-2011	2012-2014	2015-2017	2018-2020	1991-2020
Pledged contributions	235,029,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,001	368,028,480	399,640,706	396,815,725	436,198,530	497,784,463	4,144,905,504
Cash payments/received	206,611,034	381,555,255	418,444,981	407,980,375	418,221,079	340,179,456	377,140,516	376,819,026	414,760,085	419,810,711	3,761,522,519
Bilateral assistance	4,366,255	11,909,814	21,358,066	21,302,696	47,349,203	18,717,866	13,689,195	12,165,681	14,072,029	9,274,501	174,205,306
Promissory notes	0	-	-	-	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	0	0
Total payments	210,977,289	393,465,069	439,803,048	429,283,071	465,570,282	358,897,322	390,829,712	388,984,707	428,832,114	429,085,212	3,935,727,825
Disputed contributions	0	8,098,267	0	0	0	32,471,642	405,792	3,477,910	1,301,470	2,215,535	47,970,616
Outstanding pledges	24,051,952	31,376,278	32,763,961	10,716,930	8,429,719	9,131,159	8,810,995	7,831,018	7,366,416	68,699,251	209,177,679
Payments %age to pledges	89.77%	92.61%	93.07%	97.56%	98.22%	97.52%	97.80%	98.03%	98.31%	86.20%	94.95%
Interest earned	5,323,644	28,525,733	44,685,516	53,946,601	19,374,449	43,537,814	10,544,631	6,615,053	8,836,637	13,712,483	235,102,560
Miscellaneous income	1,442,103	1,297,366	1,223,598	1,125,282	1,386,177	3,377,184	3,547,653	5,804,410	1,782,834	854,973	21,841,581
TOTAL INCOME	217,743,036	423,288,168	485,712,161	484,354,955	486,330,908	405,812,320	404,921,996	401,404,170	439,451,585	443,652,667	4,192,671,966
Accumulated figures	1991-1993	1994-1996	1997-1999	2000-2002	2003-2005	2006-2008	2009-2011	2012-2014	2015-2017	2018-2020	1991-2020
Total pledges	235,029,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,001	368,028,480	399,640,706	396,815,725	436,198,530	497,784,463	4,144,905,504
Total payments	210,977,289	393,465,069	439,803,048	429,283,071	465,570,282	358,897,322	390,829,712	388,984,707	428,832,114	429,085,212	3,935,727,825
Payments %age to pledges	89.77%	92.61%	93.07%	97.56%	98.22%	97.52%	97.80%	98.03%	98.31%	86.20%	94.95%
Total income	217,743,036	423,288,168	485,712,161	484,354,955	486,330,908	405,812,320	404,921,996	401,404,170	439,451,585	443,652,667	4,192,671,966
Total outstanding contributions	24,051,952	31,376,278	32,763,961	10,716,930	8,429,719	9,131,159	8,810,995	7,831,018	7,366,416	68,699,251	209,177,679
As % to total pledges	10.23%	7.39%	6.93%	2.44%	1.78%	2.48%	2.20%	1.97%	1.69%	13.80%	5.05%
Outstanding contributions for certain Countries with Economies in Transition (CEITs)	24,051,952	31,376,278	32,763,961	9,811,798	7,511,984	5,940,206	6,211,155	5,000,737	1,115,572	4,183,835	127,967,479
CEITs' outstandings %age to pledges	10.23%	7.39%	6.93%	2.23%	1.58%	1.61%	1.55%	1.26%	0.26%	0.84%	3.09%

PS: CEITs are Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Slovenia, Tajikistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan, including Turkmenistan up to 2004 as per decision XVI/39.

TABLE 3: 1991-2020 Summary Status of Contributions (US\$)

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions	Exchange (Gain)/Loss. NB:Negative amount = Gain
Andorra	164,488	149,321	0	0	15,167	(
Australia*	93,993,098	92,382,190	1,610,907	0	0	3,744,079
Austria	44,445,051	42,498,761	131,790	0	1,814,500	292,517
Azerbaijan	1,666,395	311,683	0	0	1,354,712	(
Belarus	3,834,988	544,515	0	0	3,290,473	(
Belgium	55,181,048	55,181,049	0	0	-0	2,307,848
Bulgaria	2,068,810	1,955,477	0	0	113,333	(
Canada*	155,851,704	138,107,800	10,764,533	0	6,979,371	-377,309
Croatia	1,677,155	1,677,155	0	0	-0	158,056
Cyprus	1,402,528	1,294,195	0	0	108,333	55,419
Czech Republic	14,785,475	14,497,905	287,570	0	0	726,085
Denmark	36,630,061	36,469,008	161,053	0	0	61,023
Estonia	1,004,990	1,004,990	0	0	0	55,232
Finland	28,626,776	28,227,618	399,158	0	0	-67,132
France	317,054,514	300,159,908	16,894,606	0	0	-5,055,719
Germany	445,874,691	357,251,431	72,918,642	-0	15,704,618	8,277,206
Greece	26,432,727	16,652,913	72,910,042	0	9,779,814	-1,340,447
			0	0	9,779,614	-,- 10,
Holy See	18,666	18,666 9,577,737	46,494	0	0	-76,259
Hungary	9,624,231			0	0	51,218
Iceland	1,659,567	1,659,567	0			927,058
Ireland	17,017,630	17,017,630	0	0	0	927,036
Israel	19,179,221	3,824,671	70,453	0	15,284,097	0.205.455
Italy	249,371,526	219,771,716	18,239,731	0	11,360,079	8,206,467
Japan	758,606,808	722,077,828	19,675,349	0	16,853,631	(
Kazakhstan	2,306,516	2,306,516	0	0	-0	(
Kuwait	286,549	286,549	0	0	0	(
Latvia	1,336,831	1,336,830	0	0	0	-2,483
Liechtenstein	427,333	427,333	0	0	0	(
Lithuania	2,057,463	1,564,494	0	0	492,968	(
Luxembourg	3,921,317	3,759,984	0	0	161,333	15,647
Malta	485,539	332,205	0	0	153,334	15,485
Monaco	351,239	351,239	0	0	0	-572
Netherlands	87,730,952	87,730,951	0	0	0	-(
New Zealand	13,066,581	13,066,580	0	0	0	511,866
Norway	37,571,342	37,571,341	0	0	0	2,020,927
Panama	16,915	16,915	0	0	0	(
Poland	26,125,545	26,012,545	113,000	0	0	1,129,253
Portugal	21,365,524	20,329,758	47,935	0	987,832	296,001
Romania	4,104,470	4,104,460	0	0	10	(
Russian Federation	151,376,735	42,911,441	666,676	0	107,798,618	6,576,265
San Marino	67,731	67,731	0	0	0	3,429
Singapore	531,221	459,245	71,976	0	0	(
Slovak Republic	5,387,403	5,370,881	16,523	0	-0	207,776
Slovenia	3,172,277	2,960,610	0,525	0	211,667	(
	3,793,691	3,763,691	30,000	0	211,007	
South Africa	136,951,449	124,355,479	6,439,137	0		3,472,311
Spain					6,156,833	920,904
Sweden	56,131,797	52,148,111	1,574,353	0	2,409,333	-1,847,293
Switzerland	61,872,733	59,959,502	1,913,230	0	1	-1,047,293
Tajikistan	164,899	49,086	0	0	115,813	
Turkmenistan**	293,245	5,764	0	0	287,481	
Ukraine	11,040,359	1,303,750	0	0	9,736,609	(
United Arab Emirates	559,639	559,639	0	0	0	
United Kingdom	288,936,881	288,371,881	565,000	0	-0	1,577,170
United States of America	936,292,604	917,477,665	21,567,191	0	-2,752,252	(
Uzbekistan	1,006,574	246,606	0	0	759,968	(
SUB-TOTAL	4,144,905,504	3,761,522,519	174,205,306	-0	209,177,679	32,842,029
Disputed Contributions***	47,970,616	0	0	0	47,970,616	
TOTAL	4,192,876,120	3,761,522,519	174,205,306	0	257,148,295	32,842,029

NB: (*) The bilateral assistance recorded for Australia and Canada was adjusted following approvals at the 39th meeting and taking into consideration a reconciliation carried out by the Secretariat through the progress reports submitted to the 40th meeting to read US \$1,208,219 and US \$6,449,438 instead of US \$1,300,088 and US \$6,414,880 respectively.

^(**) In accordance with decisions VI/5 and XVI/39 of the meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol, Turkmenistan has been reclassified as operating under Article 5 in 2004 and therefore its contribution of US \$5,764 for 2005 should be disregarded.

^(***) Amount netted off from outstanding contributions and are shown here for records only.

TABLE 4: Status of Contributions for 2018-2020 (US\$)

As at 31/05/2020

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	45,501	30,334	0	0	15,16
Australia	17,669,001	17,669,001	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Austria	5,443,500	3,629,000	0	0	1,814,50
Azerbaijan	453,501	0	0	0	453,50
Belarus	423,501	218,167	0	0	205,33
Belgium	6,690,999	6,690,999	0	0	,.
Bulgaria	339,999	226,666	0	0	113,33
Canada	22,083,999	14,092,666	1,011,962	0	6,979,37
Croatia	748,500	748,500	0	0	
Cyprus	324,999	216,666	0	0	108,33
Czech Republic	2,601,000	2,601,000	0	0	
Denmark	4,415,499	4,415,499	0	0	
Estonia	287,499	287,499	0	0	
Finland	3,447,501	3,447,501	0	0	
France	36,736,500	36,602,030	134,470	0	
Germany	48,303,999	26,067,082	6,473,176	0	15,763,74
Greece	3,561,000	20,007,002	0,473,170	0	3,561,00
Holy See	7,500	7,500	0	0	5,501,00
Hungary	1,217,001	1,217,001	0	0	
Iceland	174,000	174,000	0	0	
Ireland	2,532,999	2,532,999	0	0	
Israel	3,251,001	2,332,999	0	0	3,251,00
Italy	28,336,500	16,604,659	371,762	0	11,360,07
Japan	71,890,118	54,946,084	90,400	0	16,853,63
Kazakhstan	1,443,999	1,443,999	90,400	0	10,855,03
Latvia	378,000	378,000	0	0	
Liechtenstein	53,001	53,001	0	0	
	544,500	544,500	0	0	
Lithuania				0	1/1 2/
Luxembourg	483,999	322,666	0	0	161,33
Malta	120,999	75.501	0		120,99
Monaco	75,501	75,501	0	0	
Netherlands	11,204,499	11,204,499	0	0	
New Zealand	2,025,999	2,025,999	0	0	
Norway	6,419,001	6,419,001	0	0	
Poland	6,358,500	6,358,500	0	0	207.0
Portugal	2,963,499	1,975,666	0	0	987,83
Romania	1,391,001	1,390,991	0	0	1
Russian Federation	23,346,999	23,346,999	0	0	
San Marino	22,500	22,500	0	0	
Slovak Republic	1,209,501	1,209,501	0	0	
Slovenia	635,001	423,334	0	0	211,66
Spain	18,470,499	11,120,935	1,192,731	0	6,156,83
Sweden	7,227,999	4,818,666	0	0	2,409,33
Switzerland	8,619,000	8,619,000	0	0	
Tajikistan	30,000	0	0	0	30,00
Ukraine	778,500	0	0	0	778,50
United Kingdom	33,742,500	33,742,500	0	0	
United States of America	109,079,849	111,832,100	0	0	-2,752,2
Uzbekistan	174,000	58,000	0	0	116,00
TOTAL	497,784,463	419,810,711	9,274,501	0	68,699,25
Disputed Contributions(*)	2,215,535	0	0	0	2,215,53
TOTAL	499,999,998 ontributions relating to Japan (US \$	419,810,711	9,274,501	0	70,914,78

(*) Additional amount on disputed contributions relating to Japan (US \$1,295,383) and the United States of America (US \$920,152)

CEITs	39,843,501	35,659,666	0	0	4.183.835
CEIIS	39,043,301	33,039,000	U	U	4,103,033

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TABLE 5: Status of Contributions for 2020 (US\$)

Anstern	Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contribution
Austria 1.814.500	·a	15,167				15,10
Azerbaijan 151,167	lia	5,889,667	5,889,667			
Belaums 141,167	ı	1,814,500				1,814,50
Belgium 2.230.333	ijan	151,167				151,10
Bulgaria	s	141,167				141,10
Canada 7.361,333 381,962 Croatia 249,500 249,500 Czech Republic 86,000 86,000 Denmark 1,471,833 1,471,833 Estonia 95,833 195,833 Finland 1,144,167 1,149,167 France 12,224,500 21,470 Germany 16,101,333 32,642 Greece 1,187,000 1 Holy See 2,500 2,500 Hungary 40,5667 40,5667 Iceland 58,000 58,000 Ireland 844,333 844,333 Ismel 1,083,667 1 Italy 9,445,500 1 Japan 24,395,167 7,541,533 Latvia 126,000 126,000 Latvia 126,000 126,000 Litchenstein 17,667 17,667 Litchenstein 17,667 17,667 Litthuania 181,500 181,500 Luxembourg 161,333	m	2,230,333	2,230,333			
Croatia 249,500 249,500 Cyprus 108,333 Czech Republic 867,000 Denmark 1,471,833 1,471,833 Estonia 95,833 9,833 Finland 1,149,167 1,149,167 France 12,245,500 12,224,030 2,1470 Germany 16,101,333 32,642 Grece 1,187,000	ia	113,333				113,33
Cyprus 108,333 867,000 867,000 Denmark 1,471,833 1,471,833 1,471,833 Estonia 95,833 95,833 95,833 Frinland 1,149,167 1,149,167 1,149,167 France 12,245,500 12,224,030 21,470 Germany 16,101,333 32,642 3,662 Grecce 1,187,000 4,6667 <	1			381,962		6,979,3
Czech Republic 867,000 867,000 Denmark 1,471,833 1,471,833 1,471,833 Estonia 95,833 95,833 95,833 Finland 1,149,167 1,149,167 1,149,167 France 12,245,500 12,224,030 2,1470 Germany 16,101,333 32,642 32,602 Holy See 2,500 2,500 40 Hungary 405,667 405,667 40 Iceland 58,000 58,000 6 Ireland 844,333 844,333 84,333 Israel 1,083,667 40 40 Israel 1,983,667 40 40 Israel 1,983,667 43 43 Israel 1,983,667 43 43 43 Israel 1,983,667 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43	1	249,500	249,500			
Denmark 1,471,833 1,471,833 1,471,833		108,333				108,3
Denmark 1,471,833 1,471,833 1,471,833	Republic	867,000	867,000			
Estonia 95,833 95,833 97,834 97,834						
Finland 1,149,167 1,149,167 France 12,245,500 12,224,030 21,470 Greece 1,187,000 32,642 9 Holy See 2,500 2,500 9 Hungary 405,667 405,667 9 Iceland 58,000 58,000 9 Ireland 844,333 844,333 9 Israel 1,083,667 9 9 Iay 9,445,500 9 9 Japan 24,395,167 7,541,533 9 Latvia 126,000 126,000 9 Licehtenstein 17,667 17,667 1 Lichuania 181,500 181,500 1 Luxembourg 161,333 9 1 Monaco 25,167 25,167 2 Netherlands 3,734,833 3,734,833 9 New Zealand 675,333 675,333 675,333 9 Norway 2,139,667 2,139,667 9 9	1		95,833			
France 12,245,500 12,224,030 21,470 Germany 16,101,333 32,642 32,642 Greece 1,187,000 2 Holy See 2,500 2,500 405,667 Hungary 405,667 405,667 405,667 Iceland 844,333 844,333 40 Israel 1,083,667 1 1 Iraly 9,445,500 1 1 Japan 24,395,167 7,541,533 1 Kazakhstan 481,333 481,333 1 Latvia 126,000 126,000 1 Licentenstein 17,667 17,667 1 Lichunia 181,500 181,500 1 Luxembourg 161,333 1 1 Malta 40,333 4 1 Monaco 25,167 25,167 1 Netherlands 3,734,833 3,734,833 1 New Zealand 675,333 675,333 675,333 <	i					
Germany 16,101,333 32,642 Greece 1,187,000 Holy See 2,500 1koly See 2,500 2,500 Hungary 405,667 405,667 Iceland 58,000 58,000 Ireland 844,333 844,333 Israel 1,083,667 Italy Japan 24,395,167 7,541,533 Kazakhstan 481,333 481,333 Latvia 126,000 126,000 Lichtenstein 17,667 17,667 Lithuania 181,500 181,500 Luxembourg 161,333 181,500 Malta 40,333 181,500 New-Caland 675,333 675,333 Monaco 25,167 25,167 New-Zealand 675,333 675,333 Norway 2,139,667 2,139,667 Poland 2,119,500 2,119,500 Portugal 987,833 8 Romania 463,667 463,667 Russian Fede				21,470		
Greece 1,187,000 2,500 2,500 Holy See 2,500 2,500 1 Hungary 405,667 405,667 1 Iceland 58,000 58,000 1 Ireland 844,333 844,333 1 Israel 1,083,667 1 1 Italy 9,445,500 1 1 Japan 24,395,167 7,541,533 1 1 Kazakhstan 481,333 481,333 1			, ,,,,,,			16,068,6
Holy See	•			32,012		1,187,0
Hungary			2 500			1,107,0
Iceland 58,000 58,000 Ireland 844,333 844,333 Israel 1,083,667 Italy 9,445,500 Japan 24,395,167 7,541,533 Kazakhstan 481,333 481,333 Latvia 126,000 Liechtenstein 17,667 17,667 Lithuania 181,500 Luxembourg 161,333 Malta 40,333 Monaco 25,167 25,167 Netherlands 3,734,833 3,734,833 New Zealand 675,333 New Zealand 675,333 Norway 2,139,667 2,139,667 Poland 2,119,500 2,119,500 Portugal 987,833 Romania 463,667 463,667 Russian Federation 7,782,333 7,782,333 Sal Marino 7,500 7,500 Slovenia 211,667 403,167<						
Ireland	•	·				
Israel						
Italy			044,333			1,083,6
Japan 24,395,167 7,541,533 Kazakhstan 481,333 481,333 Livia 126,000 126,000 Licehtenstein 17,667 17,667 Lithuania 181,500 181,500 Luxembourg 161,333						9,445,5
Kazakhstan 481,333 481,333 Latvia 126,000 Licehtenstein 17,667 Lithuania 181,500 Luxembourg 161,333 Malta 40,333 Monaco 25,167 25,167 <			7 5 41 5 2 2			16,853,6
Latvia 126,000 126,000 Lichtenstein 17,667 17,667 Lithuania 181,500 181,500 Luxembourg 161,333	a atau					10,833,0
Liechtenstein 17,667 17,667 Lithuania 181,500 181,500 Luxembourg 161,333	ISTAIL					
Lithuania 181,500 181,500 Luxembourg 161,333 Malta 40,333 Monaco 25,167 25,167 Netherlands 3,734,833 3,734,833 New Zealand 675,333 675,333 Norway 2,139,667 2,139,667 Poland 2,119,500 2,119,500 Portugal 987,833 Romania 463,667 463,667 Russian Federation 7,782,333 7,782,333 San Marino 7,500 7,500 Slovak Republic 403,167 403,167 Slovenia 211,667 Spain 6,156,833 Sweden 2,409,333 Switzerland 2,873,000 2,873,000 Tajikistan 10,000 United Kingdom 11,247,500 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918						
Luxembourg 161,333		·				-
Malta 40,333			181,500			161.2
Monaco 25,167 25,167 Netherlands 3,734,833 3,734,833 New Zealand 675,333 675,333 Norway 2,139,667 2,139,667 Poland 2,119,500 2,119,500 Portugal 987,833 8 Romania 463,667 463,667 Russian Federation 7,782,333 7,782,333 San Marino 7,500 7,500 Slovak Republic 403,167 403,167 Slovenia 211,667 9 Spain 6,156,833 9 Sweden 2,409,333 9 Switzerland 2,873,000 2,873,000 Tajikistan 10,000 10,000 United Kingdom 11,247,500 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918	bourg					161,3
Netherlands 3,734,833 3,734,833		·	2.4.5			40,3
New Zealand 675,333 675,333						
Norway 2,139,667 2,139,667 Poland 2,119,500 2,119,500 Portugal 987,833 Romania 463,667 463,667 Russian Federation 7,782,333 7,782,333 San Marino 7,500 7,500 Slovak Republic 403,167 403,167 Slovenia 211,667 Spain 6,156,833 Sweden 2,409,333 Switzerland 2,873,000 2,873,000 Tajikistan 10,000 United Kingdom 11,247,500 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918						
Poland 2,119,500 2,119,500 Portugal 987,833 ————————————————————————————————————						
Portugal 987,833 Romania 463,667 463,667 Russian Federation 7,782,333 7,782,333 San Marino 7,500 7,500 Slovak Republic 403,167 403,167 Slovenia 211,667 Spain 6,156,833 Sweden 2,409,333 Switzerland 2,873,000 2,873,000 Tajikistan 10,000 Ukraine 259,500 United Kingdom 11,247,500 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918	-					
Romania 463,667 463,667 Russian Federation 7,782,333 7,782,333 San Marino 7,500 7,500 Slovak Republic 403,167 403,167 Slovenia 211,667			2,119,500			
Russian Federation 7,782,333 7,782,333 San Marino 7,500 7,500 Slovak Republic 403,167 403,167 Slovenia 211,667						987,8
San Marino 7,500 7,500 Slovak Republic 403,167 403,167 Slovenia 211,667 ————————————————————————————————————						
Slovak Republic 403,167 403,167 Slovenia 211,667 ————————————————————————————————————	n Federation					
Slovenia 211,667 Spain 6,156,833 Sweden 2,409,333 Switzerland 2,873,000 2,873,000 Tajikistan 10,000 Ukraine 259,500 United Kingdom 11,247,500 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918						
Spain 6,156,833	Republic	403,167	403,167			
Sweden 2,409,333 Switzerland 2,873,000 Tajikistan 10,000 Ukraine 259,500 United Kingdom 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918	ia	211,667				211,6
Switzerland 2,873,000 2,873,000 Tajikistan 10,000 Ukraine 259,500 United Kingdom 11,247,500 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918		6,156,833				6,156,8
Tajikistan 10,000 Ukraine 259,500 United Kingdom 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918	n	2,409,333				2,409,3
Ukraine 259,500 United Kingdom 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918	rland	2,873,000	2,873,000			
Ukraine 259,500 United Kingdom 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918	tan					10,0
United Kingdom 11,247,500 11,247,500 United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918						259,5
United States of America 36,666,667 39,418,918	Kingdom		11,247,500			
						-2,752,2
* */***			- / - /			58,0
TOTAL 166,666,666 104,726,481 436,074 0			104,726,481	436,074		

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TABLE 6 : Status of Contributions for 2019 (US\$)

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	15,167	15,167			(
Australia	5,889,667	5,889,667			(
Austria	1,814,500	1,814,500			(
Azerbaijan	151,167				151,167
Belarus	141,167	141,167			0
Belgium	2,230,333	2,230,333			C
Bulgaria	113,333	113,333			C
Canada	7,361,333	7,031,333	330,000		C
Croatia	249,500	249,500			C
Cyprus	108,333	108,333			(
Czech Republic	867,000	867,000			C
Denmark	1,471,833	1,471,833			C
Estonia	95,833	95,833			C
Finland	1,149,167	1,149,167			C
France	12,245,500	12,245,500			C
Germany	16,101,333	13,186,016	3,220,267		-304,950
Greece	1,187,000	-,,	-, -,=-,		1,187,000
Holy See	2,500	2,500			2,227,000
Hungary	405,667	405,667			0
Iceland	58,000	58,000			0
Ireland	844,333	844,333			0
Israel	1,083,667	0.1,555			1,083,667
Italy	9,445,500	9,445,500			1,005,007
Japan	24,395,167	24,395,167			0
Kazakhstan	481,333	481,333			0
Latvia	126,000	126,000			0
Liechtenstein	17,667	17,667			0
Lithuania	181,500	181,500			0
Luxembourg	161,333	161,333			0
Malta	40,333	101,333			40,333
Monaco	25,167	25,167			40,533
Netherlands	3,734,833	3,734,833			0
New Zealand	675,333	675,333			0
					0
Norway Poland	2,139,667	2,139,667			0
	2,119,500 987,833	2,119,500 987,833			0
Portugal	·				·
Romania	463,667	463,657 7,782,333			10
Russian Federation	7,782,333				
San Marino	7,500	7,500			0
Slovak Republic	403,167	403,167			0
Slovenia	211,667	211,667			0
Spain	6,156,833	6,156,833			0
Sweden	2,409,333	2,409,333			0
Switzerland	2,873,000	2,873,000			0
Tajikistan	10,000				10,000
Ukraine	259,500				259,500
United Kingdom	11,247,500	11,247,500			0
United States of America	35,937,924	35,937,924			0
Uzbekistan	58,000	58,000			0
TOTAL	165,937,923	159,960,929	3,550,267	0	, -,
Disputed Contributions(*)	728,743	2			728,743
TOTAL	166,666,666	159,960,929	3,550,267	0	3,155,470
an m	1				
CEITs	13,281,167	12,102,000	0	0	1,179,167

^(*) Additional amount on disputed contributions relating to the United States of America (US \$728,743)

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TABLE 7: Status of Contributions for $\underline{2018}$ (US\$)

As at 31/05/2020

Party	Agreed Contributions	As at 31/05/2 Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	15,167	15,167		•	0
Australia	5,889,667	5,889,667			0
Austria	1,814,500	1,814,500			0
Azerbaijan	151,167	1,014,300			151,167
Belarus	141,167	77,000			64,167
Belgium	2,230,333	2,230,333			04,107
Bulgaria	113,333	113,333			0
Canada	7,361,333	7,061,333	300,000		-0
Croatia	249,500	249,500	300,000		0
Cyprus	108,333	108,333			0
Czech Republic	867,000	867,000			0
Denmark	1,471,833	1,471,833			0
Estonia	95,833	95,833			0
Finland	1,149,167	1,149,167			0
France	12,245,500	12,132,500	113,000		0
Germany		12,132,300	3,220,267		0
Greece	16,101,333 1,187,000	12,881,066	3,220,26/		1,187,000
		2.500			1,187,000
Holy See	2,500	2,500			0
Hungary	405,667	405,667			
Iceland	58,000	58,000			0
Ireland	844,333	844,333			0
Israel	1,083,667		251 572		1,083,667
Italy	9,445,500	7,159,159	371,762		1,914,579
Japan	23,099,784	23,009,384	90,400		0
Kazakhstan	481,333	481,333			0
Latvia	126,000	126,000			0
Liechtenstein	17,667	17,667			0
Lithuania	181,500	181,500			0
Luxembourg	161,333	161,333			0
Malta	40,333				40,333
Monaco	25,167	25,167			0
Netherlands	3,734,833	3,734,833			0
New Zealand	675,333	675,333			0
Norway	2,139,667	2,139,667			0
Poland	2,119,500	2,119,500			0
Portugal	987,833	987,833			0
Romania	463,667	463,667			0
Russian Federation	7,782,333	7,782,333			0
San Marino	7,500	7,500			0
Slovak Republic	403,167	403,167			0
Slovenia	211,667	211,667			0
Spain	6,156,833	4,964,102	1,192,731		0
Sweden	2,409,333	2,409,333			0
Switzerland	2,873,000	2,873,000			0
Tajikistan	10,000				10,000
Ukraine	259,500				259,500
United Kingdom	11,247,500	11,247,500			0
United States of America	36,475,258	36,475,258			C
Uzbekistan	58,000				58,000
TOTAL	165,179,874	155,123,301	5,288,160	0	4,768,413
Disputed Contributions(*)	1,486,792				1,486,792
TOTAL	166,666,666	155,123,301	5,288,160	0	/ /

(*) Additional amount on disputed contributions relating to Japan (US \$1,295,383) and the United States of America (US \$191,409)

CEITs	13,281,167	11,979,833	0	0	1,301,334

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TABLE 8 : Status of Contributions for 2015-2017 (US\$)

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	48,504	48,504	0	0	
Australia	12,574,443	12,574,443	0	0	
Austria	4,838,190	4,838,190	0	0	
Azerbaijan	242,517	0	0	0	242,51
Belarus	339,522	226,348	0	0	113,17
Belgium	6,050,769	6,050,769	0	0	
Bulgaria	284,955	284,955	0	0	
Canada	18,091,677	18,091,677	0	0	
Croatia	763,926	763,926	0	0	-
Cyprus	284,955	284,955	0	0	
Czech Republic	2,340,276	2,340,276	0	0	
Denmark	4,092,453	4,092,453	0	0	
Estonia	242,517	242,517	0	0	
Finland	3,146,643	3,146,643	0	0	
France	33,909,768	32,748,542	1,161,226	0	-
Germany	43,295,127	34,636,101	8,659,026	-0	<u> </u>
Greece	3,868,128	0	0	0	3,868,12
Holy See	6,063	6,063	0	0	
Hungary	1,612,731	1,612,731	0	0	
Iceland	163,698	163,698	0	0	
Ireland	2,534,289	2,534,289	0	0	
Israel	2,400,906	0	0	0	2,400,90
Italy	26,967,753	24,877,303	2,090,450	0	
Japan	65,679,333	65,359,260	320,073	0	
Kazakhstan	733,611	733,611	0	0	
Latvia	284,955	284,955	0	0	
Liechtenstein	54,567	54,567	0	0	
Lithuania	442,590	442,590	0	0	
Luxembourg	491,094	491,094	0	0	
Malta	97,005	64,670	0	0	32,33
Monaco	72,756	72,756	0	0	,
Netherlands	10,028,028	10,028,028	0	0	
New Zealand	1,533,912	1,533,912	0	0	
Norway	5,159,523	5,159,523	0	0	
Poland	5,583,927	5,583,927	0	0	-
Portugal	2,873,811	2,873,811	0	0	
Romania	1,370,214	1,370,214	0	0	
Russian Federation	14,781,336	14,114,660	666,676	0	-
San Marino	18,189	18,189	0	0	
Slovak Republic	1,036,755	1,036,755	0	0	_
Slovenia	606,288	606,288	0	0	
Spain	18,024,984	16,850,406	1,174,578	0	
Sweden	5,820,378	5,820,378	0	0	
Switzerland	6,347,850	6,347,850	0	0	
Tajikistan	18,189	0,517,650	0	0	18,18
Ukraine	600,227	0	0	0	600,22
United Kingdom	31,399,728	31,399,728	0	0	000,22
United States of America	94,948,529	94,948,529	0	0	
Uzbekistan	90,942	94,946,329	0	0	90,94
TOTAL	436,198,530	414,760,085	14,072,029	-0	7,366,41
Disputed Contributions(*)	1,301,470	414,760,085	14,072,029	0	1,301,47
OTAL	437,500,000	414,760,085	14,072,029	-0	8,667,88

^(*) Additional amount on disputed contributions relating to the United States of America.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TABLE 9: Status of Contributions for 2017 (US\$)

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	16,168	16,168			(
Australia	4,191,481	4,191,481			(
Austria	1,612,730	1,612,730			(
Azerbaijan	80,839				80,839
Belarus	113,174	113,174			(
Belgium	2,016,923	2,016,923			(
Bulgaria	94,985	94,985			(
Canada	6,030,559	6,030,559			(
Croatia	254,642	254,642			(
Cyprus	94,985	94,985			(
Czech Republic	780,092	780,092			(
Denmark	1,364,151	1,364,151			(
Estonia	80,839	80,839			(
Finland	1,048,881	1,048,881			
France	11,303,256	10,472,252	831,004		
Germany	14,431,709	11,545,367	2,886,342	-0	-(
Greece	1,289,376				1,289,376
Holy See	2,021	2,021			(
Hungary	537,577	537,577			(
Iceland	54,566	54,566			(
Ireland	844,763	844,763			(
Israel	800,302				800,302
Italy	8,989,251	8,706,751	282,500		(
Japan	21,893,111	21,893,111			(
Kazakhstan	244,537	244,537			(
Latvia	94,985	94,985			(
Liechtenstein	18,189	18,189			(
Lithuania	147,530	147,530			(
Luxembourg	163,698	163,698			(
Malta	32,335				32,335
Monaco	24,252	24,252			(
Netherlands	3,342,676	3,342,676			(
New Zealand	511,304	511,304			(
Norway	1,719,841	1,719,841			(
Poland	1,861,309	1,861,309			(
Portugal	957,937	957,937			(
Romania	456,738	456,738			(
Russian Federation	4,927,112	4,927,112			(
San Marino	6,063	6,063			(
Slovak Republic	345,585	345,585			(
Slovenia	202,096	202,096			(
Spain	6,008,328				(
Sweden	1,940,126				(
Switzerland	2,115,950				(
Tajikistan	6,063				6,063
Ukraine	200,076				200,076
United Kingdom	10,466,576				200,070
United States of America	32,083,333	32,083,333			(
Uzbekistan	30,314				30,314
TOTAL	145,833,333		3,999,846	-0	

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TABLE 10: Status of Contributions for 2016 (US\$)

As at 31/05/2020

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	16,168	16,168			
Australia	4,191,481	4,191,481			
Austria	1,612,730	1,612,730			
Azerbaijan	80,839				80,8
Belarus	113,174	113,174			
Belgium	2,016,923	2,016,923			
Bulgaria	94,985	94,985			
Canada	6,030,559	6,030,559			
Croatia	254,642	254,642			
Cyprus	94,985	94,985			
Czech Republic	780,092	780,092			
Denmark	1,364,151	1,364,151			
Estonia	80,839	80,839			
Finland	1,048,881	1,048,881			
France	11,303,256	11,018,799	284,457		
Germany	14,431,709	11,545,367	2,886,342	-0	
Greece	1,289,376	11,545,507	2,000,342	-0	1,289,3
Holy See	2,021	2,021			1,209,5
Hungary	1	537,577			
Iceland	537,577 54,566				
		54,566			
Ireland	844,763	844,763			900.2
Israel	800,302	T 452 004	1.505.150		800,3
Italy	8,989,251	7,463,801	1,525,450		
Japan	21,893,111	21,753,838	139,273		
Kazakhstan	244,537	244,537			
Latvia	94,985	94,985			
Liechtenstein	18,189	18,189			
Lithuania	147,530	147,530			
Luxembourg	163,698	163,698			
Malta	32,335	32,335			
Monaco	24,252	24,252			
Netherlands	3,342,676	3,342,676			
New Zealand	511,304	511,304			
Norway	1,719,841	1,719,841			
Poland	1,861,309	1,861,309			
Portugal	957,937	957,937			
Romania	456,738	456,738			
Russian Federation	4,927,112	4,260,436	666,676		
San Marino	6,063	6,063			
Slovak Republic	345,585	345,585			
Slovenia	202,096	202,096			
Spain	6,008,328	4,833,750	1,174,578		
Sweden	1,940,126	1,940,126	,,		
Switzerland	2,115,950	2,115,950			
Tajikistan	6,063	2,113,930			6,
Ukraine	200,076				200,
United Kingdom	10,466,576	10,466,576			200,
United States of America	31,233,927	31,233,927			20
Uzbekistan	30,314				30,
TOTAL	144,983,927	135,900,182	6,676,776	-0	2,406,
isputed Contributions(*)	849,406				849,

(*) Additional amount on disputed contributions relating to the United States of America.

CEITs	9,652,127	7,983,052	666,676	0	1,002,399

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TABLE 11: Status of Contributions for 2015 (US\$)

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	16,168	16,168			0
Australia	4,191,481	4,191,481			0
Austria	1,612,730	1,612,730			0
Azerbaijan	80,839				80,839
Belarus	113,174				113,174
Belgium	2,016,923	2,016,923			0
Bulgaria	94,985	94,985			0
Canada	6,030,559	6,030,559			0
Croatia	254,642	254,642			-0
Cyprus	94,985	94,985			0
Czech Republic	780,092	780,092			0
Denmark	1,364,151	1,364,151			0
Estonia	80,839	80,839			0
Finland	1,048,881	1,048,881			0
France	11,303,256	11,257,491	45,765		0
Germany	14,431,709	11,545,367	2,886,342		-0
Greece	1,289,376				1,289,376
Holy See	2,021	2,021			0
Hungary	537,577	537,577			0
Iceland	54,566	54,566			0
Ireland	844,763	844,763			0
Israel	800,302				800,302
Italy	8,989,251	8,706,751	282,500		0
Japan	21,893,111	21,712,311	180,800		0
Kazakhstan	244,537	244,537	·		0
Latvia	94,985	94,985			0
Liechtenstein	18,189	18,189			0
Lithuania	147,530	147,530			0
Luxembourg	163,698	163,698			0
Malta	32,335	32,335			0
Monaco	24,252	24,252			0
Netherlands	3,342,676	3,342,676			0
New Zealand	511,304	511,304			(
Norway	1,719,841	1,719,841			0
Poland	1,861,309	1,861,309			-(
Portugal	957,937	957,937			(
Romania	456,738	456,738			(
Russian Federation	4,927,112	4,927,112			-(
San Marino	6,063	6,063			(
Slovak Republic	345,585	345,585			-(
Slovenia	202,096	202,096			(
Spain	6,008,328	6,008,328			(
Sweden	1,940,126	1,940,126			0
Switzerland	2,115,950	2,115,950			0
Tajikistan	6,063				6,063
Ukraine	200,076				200,076
United Kingdom	10,466,576	10,466,576			
United States of America	31,631,269	31,631,269			-(
Uzbekistan	30,314				30,314
TOTAL	145,381,269	139,465,721	3,395,407		2,520,142
Disputed Contributions(*)	452,064				452,064
TOTAL	145,833,333	139,465,721	3,395,407		2,972,206

^(*) Additional amount on disputed contributions relating to the United States of America.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TABLE 12: Status of Contributions for 2012 - 2014 (US\$)

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	35,720	35,787	0	0	-(
Australia	9,863,697	9,863,697	0	0	
Austria	4,342,476	4,342,476	0	0	
Azerbaijan	76,542	0	0	0	76,54
Belarus	214,317	0	0	0	214,31
Belgium	5,485,501	5,485,501	0	0	
Bulgaria	193,906	193,906	0	0	
Canada	16,364,653	16,364,653	0	0	
Croatia	164,729	164,729	0	0	
Cyprus	234,728	234,728	0	0	
Czech Republic	1,780,874	1,780,874	0	0	
Denmark	3,755,655	3,755,655	0	0	
Estonia	204,112	204,112	0	0	
Finland	2,888,180	2,888,180	0	0	
France	31,244,394	30,502,388	742,006	0	
Germany	40,914,185	32,731,348	8,182,837	0	
Greece	3,526,029	1,175,343	0	0	2,350,6
Holy See	5,103	5,103	0	0	
Hungary	1,484,912	1,484,912	0	0	
Iceland	214,317	214,317	0	0	
Ireland	2,541,190	2,541,190	0	0	
Israel	1,959,472	0	0	0	1,959,4
Italy	25,508,856	24,700,925	807,931	0	
Japan	63,937,981	62,396,024	1,541,957	0	
Kazakhstan	128,906	128,906	0	0	
Latvia	193,906	193,906	0	0	
Liechtenstein	45,925	45,925	0	0	
Lithuania	331,681	331,680	0	0	
Luxembourg	459,251	459,251	0	0	
Malta	86,747	86,747	0	0	
Monaco	15,308	15,308	0	0	
Netherlands	9,465,679	9,465,679	0	0	
New Zealand	1,393,062	1,393,062	0	0	
Norway	4,444,532	4,444,532	0	0	
Poland	4,225,112	4,225,112	0	0	
Portugal	2,607,527	2,607,528	0	0	
Romania	903,194	903,194	0	0	
Russian Federation	8,174,672	5,449,782	0	0	2,724,8
San Marino	15,308	15,308	0	0	
Slovak Republic	724,596	724,596	0	0	
Slovenia	525,588	525,588	0	0	
Spain	16,211,570	15,320,620	890,950	0	
Sweden	5,429,370	5,429,370	0	0	
Switzerland	5,766,155	5,766,155	0	0	
Tajikistan	10,206	0	0	0	10,2
Ukraine	443,943	0	0	0	443,9
United Kingdom	33,698,837	33,698,837	0	0	
United States of America	84,522,090	84,522,090	0	0	
Uzbekistan	51,028	0	0	0	51,0
TOTAL	396,815,725	376,819,026	12,165,681	0	7,831,0
Disputed Contributions(*)	3,477,910				3,477,9

^(*) Additional amount on disputed contributions relating to the United States of America.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TABLE 13 : Status of Contributions for 2014 (US\$)

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	11,907	11,907			(
Australia	3,287,899	3,287,899			
Austria	1,447,492	1,447,492			(
Azerbaijan	25,514				25,514
Belarus	71,439				71,439
Belgium	1,828,500	1,828,500			(
Bulgaria	64,635	64,635			(
Canada	5,454,884	5,454,884			(0
Croatia	164,729	164,729			(
Cyprus	78,243	78,243			(
Czech Republic	593,625	593,625			(
Denmark	1,251,885	1,251,885			(
Estonia	68,037	68,037			(
Finland	962,727	962,727			(
France	10,414,798	10,037,699	377,099		(0
Germany	13,638,062	5,455,225	2,688,494	-0	5,494,343
Greece	1,175,343				1,175,343
Holy See	1,701	1,701			(
Hungary	494,971	494,971			(
Iceland	71,439	71,439			(
Ireland	847,063	847,063			(
Israel	653,157				653,157
Italy	8,502,952	7,762,821	740,131		(0
Japan	21,312,660	21,193,682	118,979		(
Kazakhstan	128,906	128,906			(0
Latvia	64,635	64,635			(
Liechtenstein	15,308	15,308			(
Lithuania	110,560	110,560			(
Luxembourg	153,084	153,084			(
Malta	28,916	28,916			(
Monaco	5,103	5,103			(
Netherlands	3,155,226	3,155,226			(
New Zealand	464,354	464,354			(
Norway	1,481,511	1,481,511			(
Poland	1,408,371	1,408,371			(
Portugal	869,176	869,176			(0
Romania	301,065	301,065			(0
Russian Federation	2,724,891	2,724,891			(
San Marino	5,103	5,103			(
Slovak Republic	241,532	241,532			(
Slovenia	175,196	175,196			(
Spain	5,403,857	5,403,857			(
Sweden	1,809,790	1,809,790			(
Switzerland	1,922,052	1,922,052			(
Tajikistan	3,402				3,402
Ukraine	147,981				147,98
United Kingdom	11,232,946	11,232,946			
United States of America	28,619,010	28,619,010			
Uzbekistan	17,009				17,009
TOTAL	132,912,645	121,399,754	3,924,703	(0)	7,588,188
Disputed Contributions(*)	714,323				714,323
TOTAL	133,626,968	121,399,754	3,924,703	0	8,302,512

^(*) Additional amount on disputed contributions relating to the United States of America.

Annex II

PROJECTS THAT ARE CLASSIFIED AS "SOME PROGRESS" AND ARE RECOMMENDED FOR CONTINUED MONITORING

Country	Code	Project title	Agency
China	CPR/ARS/56/INV/473	Sector plan for phase-out of CFCs consumption in MDI	UNIDO
		sector	
Egypt	EGY/ARS/50/INV/92	Phase-out of CFC consumption in the manufacture of	UNIDO
		aerosol metered dose inhalers (MDIs)	
Iraq	IRQ/REF/57/INV/07	Replacement of refrigerant CFC-12 with isobutane and foam	UNIDO
		blowing agent CFC-11 with cyclopentane in the manufacture	
		of domestic refrigerators and chest freezers at Light	
		Industries Company	
Saint Vincent	STV/PHA/77/TAS/24	Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC	UNEP
and the		phase-out management plan	
Grenadines			
Syrian Arab	SYR/REF/62/INV/103	Phase-out of HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the	UNIDO
Republic		manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment and	
		rigid polyurethane insulation panels at Al Hafez Group	

Annex III
PROJECTS FOR WHICH ADDITIONAL STATUS REPORTS ARE REQUESTED

Country	Code	Project title	Agency	Recommendations
Algeria	ALG/SEV/73/INS/81	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 12/2014-11/2016)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement
Botswana	BOT/SEV/76/INS/19	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 6/2016-7/2018)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and signing of the small-scale funding agreement (SSFA)
Central African Republic (the)	CAF/SEV/68/INS/23	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 1/2013-12/2014)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement, signing of the SSFA, and on progress in implementation
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	DRC/PHA/79/PRP/42	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNDP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and the status of submission of stage II of the HPMP
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	DRC/PHA/79/PRP/43	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and on the submission of stage II of the HPMP
Dominica	DMI/SEV/80/INS/23	Additional emergency assistance for institutional strengthening	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and signing of the SSFA
Dominica	DMI/SEV/80/TAS/01+	Enabling activities for HFC phase-down	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and on progress in implementation
Dominica	DMI/SEV/81/INS/24	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 6/2018-5/2020)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and signing of the SSFA
Haiti	HAI/PHA/76/TAS/21	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the progress in implementation
Haiti	HAI/SEV/75/INS/20	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 11/2015-10/2017)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on progress in implementation

Country	Code	Project title	Agency	Recommendations
Lebanon	LEB/DES/73/DEM/83	Pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal	UNIDO	To urge UNIDO to submit the project completion report in line with decision 82/15(c)
Libya	LIB/FOA/82/PRP/41	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (foam sector)	UNIDO	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on progress in the preparation of stage II of the HPMP
Libya	LIB/PHA/82/PRP/43	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on progress in the preparation of stage II of the HPMP
Peru	PER/SEV/80/INS/56	Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 1/2018-12/2019)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and on progress in implementation
Qatar	QAT/PHA/65/TAS/17	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP	To request an update to the 86 th meeting on the status of the return of balances
Qatar	QAT/PHA/73/PRP/20	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and on the submission of stage II
Qatar	QAT/PHA/73/PRP/21	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on progress in preparation and submission of stage II
Saudi Arabia	SAU/FOA/62/INV/13	Phase-out of HCFC-22 and HCFC-142b from the manufacture of extruded polystyrene panel at Al-Watania Plastics	UNIDO	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the conclusion of auction process
Saudi Arabia	SAU/SEV/67/INS/15	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase II: 7/2012-6/2014)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and signing of the SSFA
South Sudan	SSD/PHA/77/TAS/04	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and signing of the SSFA
South Sudan	SSD/SEV/76/INS/03	Institutional strengthening project (phase I: 5/2016-4/2018)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and signing of the SSFA
Suriname	SUR/PHA/81/TAS/26	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on the level of funds disbursement and signing of the SSFA

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/67 Annex III

Country	Code	Project title	Agency	Recommendations
Syrian Arab Republic	SYR/FOA/61/PRP/102	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (foam sector)	UNIDO	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on project preparation and proposed date for submission of the HPMP
Syrian Arab Republic	SYR/PHA/55/PRP/97	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	UNIDO	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on project preparation and proposed date for submission of the HPMP
Syrian Arab Republic	SYR/SEV/73/INS/104	Extension of institutional strengthening (phase V: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNIDO	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on progress in implementation and the level of funds disbursement
Yemen	YEM/SEV/73/INS/43	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP	To request a status report to the 86 th meeting on progress in implementation

3

					F	Annex IV
Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric (tonnes)*	Funds Project	approved (US Support	S\$) Total	C.E. (US\$/kg)
AFGHANISTAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNEP		\$40,825	\$5,307	\$46,132	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNIDO		\$28,276	\$2,545	\$30,821	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche)	UNIDO		\$90,000	\$8,100	\$98,100	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 to 2025 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the country's baseline; deducted 7.67 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding.						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche)	UNEP		\$229,567	\$29,844	\$259,411	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 to 2025 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the country's baseline; deducted 7.67 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding.						
Total for A	fghanistan		\$388,668	\$45,796	\$434,464	
ALBANIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche)	UNIDO		\$88,000	\$7,920	\$95,920	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 to 2025 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the country's baseline; deducted 1.95 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding.						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNIDO		\$23,000	\$2,070	\$25,070	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNEP		\$8,500	\$1,105	\$9,605	

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric		approved (U	,	C.E.
	\ * P****	(tonnes)*	Project	Support		J S\$/kg)
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranched Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 2025 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the country's baseline; deducted 1.95 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding.) to		\$39,500	\$5,135	\$44,635	
To	tal for Albania		\$159,000	\$16,230	\$175,230	
ALGERIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stag (air-conditioning sector)	ge II) UNIDO		\$50,000	\$3,500	\$53,500	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stag (foam sector)	ge II) UNIDO		\$50,000	\$3,500	\$53,500	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage	ge II) UNIDO		\$60,000	\$4,200	\$64,200	
To	tal for Algeria		\$160,000	\$11,200	\$171,200	
BAHRAIN						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase 6/2020-5/2022)	se X: UNEP		\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	
Tot	tal for Bahrain		\$85,000		\$85,000	
BELIZE						
SEVERAL						
Technical assistance/support						
Enabling activities for HFC phase-down	UNDP		\$55,000	\$3,850	\$58,850	
Enabling activities for HFC phase-down	Canada		\$40,000	\$2,800	\$42,800	
Т	Total for Belize		\$95,000	\$6,650	\$101,650	

						Annex IV
Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric		approved (U	•	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg)
BRUNEI DARUSSALAM						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNDP		\$6,600	\$594	\$7,194	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNEP		\$25,500	\$3,315	\$28,815	
Total for Brunei L	Darussalam		\$32,100	\$3,909	\$36,009	
BURUNDI						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II	I) UNIDO		\$10,000	\$700	\$10,700	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II	I) UNEP		\$20,000	\$2,600	\$22,600	
SEVERAL						
Technical assistance/support						
Enabling activities for HFC phase-down	UNEP		\$150,000	\$10,500	\$160,500	
Total fo	or Burundi		\$180,000	\$13,800	\$193,800	
CHILE						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP	1.0	\$21,827	\$2,837	\$24,664	
The Government, through UNDP as lead implementing agency, was requested to submit progress reports on a yearly basis on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche until the completion of the project, verification reports until approval of stage III, and the project completion report to the first meeting in 2023.	,					

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Fund	s approved ((US\$)	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg)
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNIDO	4.3	\$103,070	\$7,215	\$110,285	
The Government, through UNDP as lead implementing agency, was requested to submit progress reports on a yearly basis on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche until the completion of the project, verification reports until approval of stage III, and the project completion report to the first meeting in 2023.						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche) (foam sector)	UNDP	1.1	\$42,181	\$2,952	\$45,133	
The Government, through UNDP as lead implementing agency, was requested to submit progress reports on a yearly basis on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche until the completion of the project, verification reports until approval of stage III, and the project completion report to the first meeting in 2023.						
Tota	l for Chile	6.4	\$167,078	\$13,004	\$180,082	
CHINA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche) (industrial and commercial refrigeration and air-conditioning sector plan)	UNDP	432.5	\$2,095,775	\$146,704	\$2,242,479	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, second tranche) (polyurethane rigid foam sector plan)	IBRD	808.8	\$2,067,012	\$144,691	\$2,211,703	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche) (solvent sector plan)	UNDP	74.2	\$12,946,782	\$906,275	\$13,853,057	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche) (extruded polystyrene foam sector plan)	UNIDO	635.0	\$9,890,431	\$692,330	\$10,582,761	
Total	for China	1,950.5	\$27,000,000	\$1,890,000	\$28,890,000	
COLOMBIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage III)	UNDP		\$60,000	\$4,200	\$64,200	
Total for	Colombia		\$60,000	\$4,200	\$64,200	

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	approved (U	S\$)	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg)
COOK ISLANDS						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries throug regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	h UNEP		\$9,900	\$1,287	\$11,187	
Total for Co	ook Islands		\$9,900	\$1,287	\$11,187	
CUBA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNDP		\$56,000	\$4,200	\$60,200	
UNDP was requested to continue assisting the Government in securing the supply of low-GWP alternative technology and to provide, to the 86th meeting, a report on the status of the conversion of the two enterprises (Friarc and IDA), including, in the event of use of a technology other than that selected when the project was approved, a detailed analysis of the incremental capita and operating costs, along with an update from the suppliers on the progress made towards ensuring that the selected technologies, including associated components, were available on a commercial basis in the country.	9					
Tota	al for Cuba		\$56,000	\$4,200	\$60,200	
ECUADOR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNIDO		\$55,000	\$4,125	\$59,125	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNEP		\$10,000	\$1,300	\$11,300	
Total fo	or Ecuador		\$65,000	\$5,425	\$70,425	

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	approved (U	S\$)	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg
ERITREA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNEP		\$21,000	\$2,730	\$23,730	
Approved on the understanding that if Eritrea were to decide to proceed with retrofits and associated servicing to flammable and toxic refrigerants in refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment originally designed for non flammable substances, it would do so assuming all associated responsibilities and risks and only in accordance with the relevant standards and protocols; and that relevant actions and activities recommended by the verification report will be included in the development and implementation of stage II of the HPMP for Eritrea.						
Total :	for Eritrea		\$21,000	\$2,730	\$23,730	
ETHIOPIA			,	. ,	. ,	
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
Approved, on an exceptional basis given potential further delay in implementing phase-out activities due to the COVID-19 pandemic, and noting that no further extension of project implementation would be requested, the extension of the date of completion of stage I of the HPMP for Ethiopia to 31 December 2022. The Government, UNEP and UNIDO were requested to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche and the project completion report to the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2023. Approved on the understanding that UNEP, UNIDO and the Government will intensify efforts to implement the activities in stage I of the HPMP that UNEP would submit a progress report to the first meeting of 2021 on the implementation of activities, including disbursements achieved; and that the Government could submit its stage II of the HPMP once 80 per cent of the total funds approved for UNIDO had been disbursed.	1 1					
Total fo	or Ethiopia		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
GEORGIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNDP		\$31,500	\$2,363	\$33,863	
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase XI: 7/2020-6/2022)	UNDP		\$85,000	\$5,950	\$90,950	

^{*} HCFC in ODP tonnes. HFC in metric tonnes

					Annex IV
Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric (tonnes)*		approved (U	Total (US\$/kg
Total f	or Georgia	(tonnes)	Project \$116,500	Support \$8,313	\$124,813
GUATEMALA	g		4,	4-,	¥ == 1,0 ==
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNIDO		\$33,000	\$2,475	\$35,475
Approved on an exceptional basis and without setting a precedent, and on the understanding that the Treasurer would be requested to transfer the funds to UNIDO upon approval; UNIDO had committed to submitting the verification report to the Secretariat no later than 12 weeks prior to the 86th meeting; the recommendations included in the verification report would be addressed during the implementation of the fifth tranche of stage I of the HPMP, and that the actions implemented towards that end would be included in the progress report of stage I of the HPMP to be submitted as part of the country's request for stage II of the HPMP for Guatemala; and in the event that the verification report confirmed that Guatemala had not been in compliance with the Montreal Protocol and its Agreement with the Executive Committee, the Secretariat would inform the Executive Committee so that relevant actions, inter alia, the application of the penalty clause, could be considered at the 86th meeting.	,				
	Guatemala		\$33,000	\$2,475	\$35,475
GUINEA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan				40	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNIDO	0.2	\$125,000	\$8,750	\$133,750
Noted that the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee, had been updated based on the revised starting point and funding level; that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 7.51 ODP tonnes, estimated on the basis of the historical consumption included in the verification report submitted to the 85th meeting, that the revised funding level for stage I of the HPMP for Guinea was US\$332,500 plus agency support costs, in accordance with decision 60/44(f)(xii) and that a deduction of US\$117,000 had been applied to reflect the cancellation of the fourth and fifth					

tranches of stage I and that a deduction of US\$197,500 would be applied when stage II of the HPMP was approved. Approved the extension of stage I of the HPMP up to 31 July 2022, on the understanding that stage II of the HPMP would not be submitted earlier than 2022.

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	approved (U	J S\$)	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg)
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNEP	1.2	\$100,000	\$13,000	\$113,000	
Noted that the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee, had been updated based on the revised starting point and funding level; that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 7.51 ODP tonnes, estimated on the basis of the historical consumption included in the verification report submitted to the 85th meeting, that the revised funding level for stage I of the HPMP for Guinea was US\$332,500 plus agency support costs, in accordance with decision 60/44(f)(xii) and that a deduction of US\$117,000 had been applied to reflect the cancellation of the fourth and fifth tranches of stage I and that a deduction of US\$197,500 would be applied when stage II of the HPMP was approved. Approved the extension of stage I of the HPMP up to 31 July 2022, on the understanding that stage II of the HPMP would not be submitted earlier than 2022.						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase XI: 7/2020-6/2022)	UNEP		\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	
Enabling activities for HFC phase-down	UNEP		\$150,000	\$10,500	\$160,500	
Total	for Guinea	1.4	\$460,000	\$32,250	\$492,250	
GUINEA-BISSAU						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNEP		\$28,000	\$3,640	\$31,640	
UNEP was requested to include, as part of the submission for stag II of the HPMP for Guinea Bissau, an update on progress towards implementing the recommendations in the verification report submitted to the 85th meeting.						
Total for Gu	inea-Bissau		\$28,000	\$3,640	\$31,640	

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	approved (U	S\$)	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg)
JAMAICA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNDP		\$58,000	\$4,350	\$62,350	
Noted that stage II of the HPMP for Jamaica could only be considered once the data reporting issues identified in the verification report had been addressed and the recommendations by the verifier had been implemented; that the starting point for aggregate reduction on HCFC consumption and associated funding levels would be reviewed upon submission of stage II of the HPMP. The Government, UNDP and UNEP were requested to report on progress of actions taken following recommendations of the verification report when submitting stage II of the HPMP.						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNEP		\$8,000	\$1,040	\$9,040	
Noted that stage II of the HPMP for Jamaica could only be considered once the data reporting issues identified in the verification report had been addressed and the recommendations by the verifier had been implemented; that the starting point for aggregate reduction on HCFC consumption and associated funding levels would be reviewed upon submission of stage II of the HPMP. The Government, UNDP and UNEP were requested to report on progress of actions taken following recommendations of the verification report when submitting stage II of the HPMP.						
Total fo	or Jamaica		\$66,000	\$5,390	\$71,390)
KIRIBATI						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	1 UNEP		\$10,900	\$1,417	\$12,317	
Total fo	or Kiribati		\$10,900	\$1,417	\$12,317	,

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	approved (U	S\$)	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg
KYRGYZSTAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche)	UNDP		\$55,000	\$3,850	\$58,850	
Noted that the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee had been updated to reflect that the 2019 target was 0.71 ODP tonnes. The Government, UNDP and UNEP were requested to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche and the project completion report to the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2022. UNDP was requested to include in its work programme/work programme amendments for 2022 funding, in the amount of US \$30,000, plus agency support costs, for the verification report of Kyrgyzstan's 2019-2022 consumption, to be submitted to the first meeting of 2023. Approved on the understanding that if the verification report to be submitted in 2023 covering the country's consumption in 2019 through 2022 indicated the country was not in compliance with the targets specified in the Agreement, the Executive Committee could consider applying the penalty clause against future approvals for the country; and that Kyrgyzstan had consumption in the servicing sector only; that the incentive scheme to promote conversion of RAC equipment to low GWP alternatives include associated training and capacity building to ensure sustainable implementation; that end-users would provide co-financing to participate in the scheme; and that UNDP would submit detailed reports on the results of the end-user incentive scheme once it had been completed.						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche) Noted that the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee had been updated to reflect that the 2019 target was 0.71 ODP tonnes. The Government, UNDP and UNEP were requested to submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche and the project completion report to the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2022. UNDP was requested to include in its work programme/work programme amendments for 2022 funding, in the amount of US \$30,000, plus agency support costs, for the verification report of Kyrgyzstan's 2019-2022 consumption, to be submitted to the first meeting of 2023. Approved on the understanding that if the verification report to be submitted in 2023 covering the country's consumption in 2019 through 2022 indicated the country was not in compliance with the targets specified in the Agreement, the Executive Committee could consider applying the penalty clause against future approvals for the country; and that Kyrgyzstan had consumption in the servicing sector only; that the incentive scheme to promote conversion of RAC equipment to low GWP alternatives include associated training and capacity building to ensure sustainable implementation; that end-users would provide co-financing to participate in the scheme; and that UNDP would submit detailed reports on the results of the end-user incentive scheme once it had been completed.	UNEP		\$6,200	\$806	\$7,006	
Total for K	yrgyzstan		\$61,200	\$4,656	\$65,856	

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric		approved (U	,	C.E. (US\$/kg)
V + 0 - PD-D		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/Kg)
LAO, PDR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNEP		\$23,500	\$3,055	\$26,555	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	France		\$4,500	\$585	\$5,085	
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase $X: 12/2020-11/2022$)	UNEP		\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	
Total for	· Lao, PDR		\$113,000	\$3,640	\$116,640	
LESOTHO						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	Germany		\$28,000	\$3,640	\$31,640	
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase X 1/2021-12/2022)	: UNEP		\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	
Total f	or Lesotho		\$113,000	\$3,640	\$116,640	

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	approved (U	J S\$)	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg
LIBERIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	Germany		\$31,500	\$4,095	\$35,595	
Approved on the understanding that the approved funds would not be transferred to the Government of Germany until the verification report covering the period 2015 to 2019 had been submitted to the Secretariat; the recommendations included in the verification report would be addressed during the implementation of the third and final tranche of stage I and stage II of the HPMP, and that the actions implemented towards that end would be included in the progress report of stage I of the HPMP to be submitted as part of the request for stage II of the HPMP for Liberia; and in the unlikely event of Liberia's non-compliance with the targets set in its Agreement with the Executive Committee for stage I of the HPMP, the penalty applicable as per Appendix 7-A of the Agreement would be deducted from the eligible funding for its stage II of the HPMP, the request for which could be submitted only after the verification report had been submitted to the Secretariat.	1					
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	Germany		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
Approved on the understanding that the verification report covering the period 2015 to 2019 should be submitted as soon as possible, and before the request for stage II of the HPMP.						
Total i	for Liberia		\$61,500	\$7,995	\$69,495	
LIBYA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 7/2020-6/2022)	UNIDO		\$174,164	\$12,191	\$186,355	
Tota	l for Libya		\$174,164	\$12,191	\$186,355	
MADAGASCAR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNEP		\$56,000	\$7,280	\$63,280	
Total for M	Iadagascar		\$56,000	\$7,280	\$63,280	

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	approved (U	(S\$)	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg
MALAWI						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche)	UNIDO		\$100,000	\$9,000	\$109,000	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 to 2030 for the complete phase-out of HCFC consumption. Noted the commitment of the Government to completely phase out HCFCs by 1 January 2030, and that HCFC would not be imported after that date. Deducted 7.02 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding.						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche)	UNEP		\$180,000	\$23,400	\$203,400	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 to 2030 for the complete phase-out of HCFC consumption. Noted the commitment of the Government to completely phase out HCFCs by 1 January 2030, and that HCFC would not be imported after that date. Deducted 7.02 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding.						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase XII: 1/2021-12/2022)	UNEP		\$85,418	\$0	\$85,418	
Total f	or Malawi		\$400,418	\$36,950	\$437,368	
MALDIVES						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase XI: 12/2020-11/2022)	UNEP		\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	
Total for	r Maldives		\$85,000		\$85,000	
MARSHALL ISLANDS						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	1 UNEP		\$11,300	\$1,469	\$12,769	
Total for Marsh	all Islands		\$11,300	\$1,469	\$12,769	

^{*} HCFC in ODP tonnes. HFC in metric tonnes

	ımş				1	Annex IV
Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric		approved (U	*	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg)
MICRONESIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	1 UNEP		\$11,200	\$1,456	\$12,656	
Total for I	Micronesia		\$11,200	\$1,456	\$12,656	
MOLDOVA, REP						
SEVERAL						
Technical assistance/support						
Enabling activities for HFC phase-down	UNDP		\$50,000	\$3,500	\$53,500	
Total for Mo	ldova, Rep		\$50,000	\$3,500	\$53,500	
MONGOLIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNEP		\$37,000	\$4,810	\$41,810	
Total for	· Mongolia		\$37,000	\$4,810	641 010	
MONTENEGRO	Wiongona		\$57,000	54,010	\$41,810	
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche)	UNIDO	0.3	\$296,500	\$20,755	\$317,255	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 to 2025 for the complete phase-out of HCFC consumption, on the understanding that no more funding would be provided from the Multilateral Fund for the phase-out of HCFCs and no servicing tail will be needed. Noted the commitment of the Government to	1					

Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 to 2025 for the complete phase-out of HCFC consumption, on the understanding that no more funding would be provided from the Multilateral Fund for the phase-out of HCFCs and no servicing tail will be needed. Noted the commitment of the Government to completely phase out HCFCs by 1 January 2025 in advance of the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule, and to ban the import of HCFCs by 1 January 2025; that future tranche submissions would include the report on IS activities in line with the format approved in decision 74/51, or any subsequent decisions taken by the Executive Committee on the format for IS renewals. Deducted 0.52 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding.

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric (tonnes)*	Funds Project	approved (U Support	*	C.E. (US\$/kg)
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNIDO	(connes)	\$21,000	\$1,575	\$22,575	
UNIDO was requested to include, as part of the second tranche for stage II of the HPMP of Montenegro, an update on progress towards implementing the recommendations in the verification report submitted to the 85th meeting. Approved on the understanding that if Montenegro were to decide to proceed with retrofits and associated servicing to flammable and toxic refrigerants in refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment originally designed for non-flammable substances, it would do so assuming all associated responsibilities and risks and only in accordance with the relevant standards and protocols.						
Total for M	Iontenegro	0.3	\$317,500	\$22,330	\$339,830	
MOZAMBIQUE						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2021-12/2022)	UNEP		\$103,424	\$0	\$103,424	
Total for Mo	ozambique		\$103,424		\$103,424	
NAURU						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	h UNEP		\$7,400	\$962	\$8,362	
Total	for Nauru		\$7,400	\$962	\$8,362	
NEPAL						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase XI: 11/2020-10/2022)	UNEP		\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	
Tota	l for Nepal		\$85,000		\$85,000	
NIUE						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	h UNEP		\$7,300	\$949	\$8,249	
Tot	al for Niue		\$7,300	\$949	\$8,249	

^{*} HCFC in ODP tonnes. HFC in metric tonnes

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	approved (U	S\$)	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg)
PAKISTAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage III)	UNIDO		\$40,000	\$2,800	\$42,800	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage III) (foam sector)	UNIDO		\$50,000	\$3,500	\$53,500	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage III) (air-conditioning sector)	UNIDO		\$50,000	\$3,500	\$53,500	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage III)	UNEP		\$10,000	\$1,300	\$11,300	
Total fo	or Pakistan		\$150,000	\$11,100	\$161,100	
PALAU						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries throug regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	h UNEP		\$12,000	\$1,560	\$13,560	
Tota	ıl for Palau		\$12,000	\$1,560	\$13,560	
PANAMA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche)	UNDP		\$72,754	\$5,093	\$77,847	
Noted that the two polyurethane foam enterprises Disur and Refratermic, with a consumption of 0.48 ODP tonnes (4.36 metric tonnes) of HCFC-141b, and associated funding of US\$47,753, have withdrawn from stage II, that the consumption associated with those enterprises had been phased out. The Government and UNDP were requested to include in the submission of the first tranche request of stage III of the HPMP an update on the status of the Government's efforts to obtain data from the systems house in the Free Trade Zone and information on HCFCs imported to and exported from the Free Trade Zone. UNDP was requested to return to the 86th meeting US\$43,153, plus agency support cost of US\$3,021, from the project PAN/PHA/76/INV/44, corresponding to the unused balance of funds associated with Disur and Refratermic.	f					
Total f	or Panama		\$72,754	\$5,093	\$77,847	

Project Title Agency			approved (U		C.E.
	(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg)
PERU					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, second tranche) UNEP		\$41,600	\$5,408	\$47,008	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, second tranche) UNDP	5.7	\$233,400	\$16,338	\$249,738	
Total for Per	u 5.7	\$275,000	\$21,746	\$296,746	
QATAR					
SEVERAL					
Ozone unit support					
Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: UNEP $6/2020$ - $5/2022$)		\$113,920	\$0	\$113,920	
Total for Qata	r	\$113,920		\$113,920	
RWANDA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche) UNEP		\$28,000	\$3,640	\$31,640	
Total for Rwand	a	\$28,000	\$3,640	\$31,640	
SAMOA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through UNEP regional approach (stage I, third tranche)		\$14,850	\$1,931	\$16,781	
Total for Samo	a	\$14,850	\$1,931	\$16,781	
SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche) UNEP		\$16,000	\$2,080	\$18,080	
Total for Sao Tome and Princip	e	\$16,000	\$2,080	\$18,080	

^{*} HCFC in ODP tonnes. HFC in metric tonnes

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	S\$)	C.E.	
	8******	(tonnes)*	Project	Support Support	Total	(US\$/kg)
SENEGAL						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNIDO		\$50,000	\$3,750	\$53,750	
Noted that the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee, had been updated to reflect the extension of the duration of stage I to 31 December 2021, the revised funding schedule which combined the third (2018) and fourth (2020) tranches, the change in lead implementing agency to UNEP and cooperating implementing agency. The Government was requested to submit a progress report on a yearly basis on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche until the completion of the project, verification reports until approval of stage II, and the project completion report to the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2023. Approved on the understanding that UNEP would include as part of the progress report the recommendations in the verification report submitted to the 85th meeting.						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO		\$20,000	\$1,400	\$21,400	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNEP		\$120,000	\$15,600	\$135,600	
Noted that the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee, had been updated to reflect the extension of the duration of stage I to 31 December 2021, the revised funding schedule which combined the third (2018) and fourth (2020) tranches, the change in lead implementing agency to UNEP and cooperating implementing agency. The Government was requested to submit a progress report on a yearly basis on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche until the completion of the project, verification reports until approval of stage II, and the project completion report to the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2023. Approved on the understanding that UNEP would include as part of the progress report the recommendations in the verification report submitted to the 85th meeting.						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$40,000	\$5,200	\$45,200	
Total fo	or Senegal		\$230,000	\$25,950	\$255,950	ı

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric		approved (U	,	C.E.
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg
SERBIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche)	UNEP		\$22,000	\$2,860	\$24,860	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 to 2025 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the country's baseline. Noted the commitment of the Government of Serbia to ban the import and use of HCFC-141b by 1 January 2021. Deducted 2.70 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding.						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche)	UNIDO		\$124,175	\$11,176	\$135,351	
Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2020 to 2025 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the country's baseline. Noted the commitment of the Government of Serbia to ban the import and use of HCFC-141b by 1 January 2021. Deducted 2.70 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the remaining HCFC consumption eligible for funding.						
Total	for Serbia		\$146,175	\$14,036	\$160,211	
SEYCHELLES						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 6/2020-5/2022)	UNEP		\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	
Total for	Seychelles		\$85,000		\$85,000	
SIERRA LEONE						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNEP		\$21,000	\$2,730	\$23,730	
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2021-12/2022)	UNEP		\$109,824	\$0	\$109,824	
Total for Si	erra Leone		\$130,824	\$2,730	\$133,554	

^{*} HCFC in ODP tonnes. HFC in metric tonnes

Project Title A	gency	ODP/Metric	Funds	approved (US	
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total (US\$/kg
SOLOMON ISLANDS					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through UN regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	NEP		\$19,500	\$2,535	\$22,035
Total for Solomon I	Íslands		\$19,500	\$2,535	\$22,035
SRI LANKA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche) UN	NDP		\$31,100	\$2,333	\$33,433
Approved on an exceptional basis and without setting a precedent, and on the understanding that the Treasurer would be requested to transfer the approved funds to UNDP and UNEP upon approval; UNDP had committed to submitting the verification report no later than 12 weeks prior to the 86th meeting; the recommendations included in the verification report would be addressed during the implementation of the fourth and final tranche of stage I of the HPMP and that the actions implemented towards that end would be included in the progress report of stage I of the HPMP to be submitted as part of the request for stage II of the HPMP for Sri Lanka; and in the event that the verification report confirmed that Sir Lanka had not been in compliance with the Montreal Protocol and its Agreement with the Executive Committee, the Secretariat would inform the Executive Committee so that relevant actions, inter alia, the application of the penalty clause, could be considered at the 86th meeting.					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche) UN Approved on an exceptional basis and without setting a precedent, and on the understanding that the Treasurer would be requested to transfer the approved funds to UNDP and UNEP upon approval; UNDP had committed to submitting the verification report no later than 12 weeks prior to the 86th meeting; the recommendations included in the verification report would be addressed during the implementation of the fourth and final tranche of stage I of the HPMP and that the actions implemented towards that end would be included in the progress report of stage I of the HPMP to be submitted as part of the request for stage II of the HPMP for Sri Lanka; and in the event that the verification report confirmed that Sir Lanka had not been in compliance with the Montreal Protocol and its Agreement with the Executive Committee, the Secretariat would inform the Executive Committee so that relevant actions, inter alia, the application of the penalty clause, could be considered at the 86th meeting.	NEP		\$24,900	\$3,237	\$28,137
Total for Sri	Lanka		\$56,000	\$5,570	\$61,570

Project Title A	Agency	ODP/Metric (tonnes)*	Funds Project	approved (USS Support	Total (US\$/k
TANZANIA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) UI	NEP		\$22,000	\$2,860	\$24,860
UNEP was requested to include, as part of the submission for stage II of the HPMP for the United Republic of Tanzania, an update on progress towards implementing the recommendations in the verification report submitted to the 85th meeting.					
Total for Ta	anzania		\$22,000	\$2,860	\$24,860
TONGA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through Ul regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	NEP		\$12,700	\$1,651	\$14,351
Total for	· Tonga		\$12,700	\$1,651	\$14,351
TURKMENISTAN					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche) UI	NIDO		\$33,250	\$2,494	\$35,744
Approved on the understanding that a revised verification report to include data for the years 2019 and 2020 will be submitted at the time of the request for stage II of the HPMP.					
Total for Turkm	enistan		\$33,250	\$2,494	\$35,744
TUVALU					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through Ul regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	NEP		\$9,200	\$1,196	\$10,396
Total for	Tuvalu		\$9,200	\$1,196	\$10,396

Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric	Funds	C.E.		
		(tonnes)*	Project	Support	Total	(US\$/kg
UGANDA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNEP		\$20,500	\$2,665	\$23,165	
Approved on the understanding that if Uganda were to decide to proceed with retrofits and associated servicing to flammable and toxic refrigerants in refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment originally designed for non flammable substances, it would do so assuming all associated responsibilities and risks and only in accordance with the relevant standards and protocols; and relevant actions and activities recommended by the verification report will be implemented during the third tranche of stage I and stage II of the HPMP for Uganda.						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 1/2021-12/2022)	UNEP		\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	
Total fo	or Uganda		\$105,500	\$2,665	\$108,165	
URUGUAY						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, third tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector and implementation and monitoring)	UNDP	5.3	\$111,268	\$7,789	\$119,057	
Noted that the Government had postponed the ban of HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyols from 1 January 2021 to 1 January 2022. Approved on the understanding that UNDP would continue to report at the 87th meeting on the progress in implementation of the conversion of the foam enterprises and the availability of HFO/HFO-based polyurethane systems and their associated components; and the Government and UNDP would submit a progress report on the implementation of the work programme associated with the final tranche and the project completion report to the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2022.						
Total for	r Uruguay	5.3	\$111,268	\$7,789	\$119,057	
VANUATU						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	UNEP		\$14,850	\$1,930	\$16,780	
Total for	r Vanuatu		\$14,850	\$1,930	\$16,780	

^{*} HCFC in ODP tonnes. HFC in metric tonnes

						Annex IV
Project Title	Agency	ODP/Metric (tonnes)*	Fund Project	s approved (Support	(US\$) Total	C.E. (US\$/kg)
ZAMBIA		(tonnes)	Troject	Support	Total	(CS\$/Rg)
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fifth tranche)	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
Approved on the understanding that if Zambia were to decide to proceed with retrofits and associated servicing to flammable and toxic refrigerants in refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment originally designed for non-flammable substances, it would do so assuming all associated responsibilities and risks and only in accordance with the relevant standards and protocols.						
Total f	for Zambia		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
REGION: ASP						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, third tranche)	h UNEP		\$45,000	\$5,850	\$50,850	
Total for Ro	egion: ASP		\$45,000	\$5,850	\$50,850	
GRA	AND TOTA	L 1,969.7	\$32,656,343	\$2,316,600	\$34,972,943	

Summary

Sector	HCFC H	IFC	Funds approved (US\$)				
	(ODP tonne) (Metr	ric tonne)	Project	Support	Total		
BILATERAL COOPERATION							
Phase-out plan			\$94,000	\$12,220	\$106,220		
Several			\$40,000	\$2,800	\$42,800		
TOTAL:			\$134,000	\$15,020	\$149,020		
INVESTMENT PROJECT							
Phase-out plan	1,969.7		\$30,305,593	\$2,218,689	\$32,524,282		
TOTAL:			\$30,305,593	\$2,218,689	\$32,524,282		
WORK PROGRAMME AMENDM	ENT						
Phase-out plan			\$460,000	\$36,400	\$496,400		
Several			\$1,756,750	\$46,491	\$1,803,241		
TOTAL:			\$2,216,750	\$82,891	\$2,299,641		
Sum	mary by Parties	and Impl	ementing Agen	cies			
Canada			\$40,000	\$2,800	\$42,800		
France			\$4,500	\$585	\$5,085		
Germany			\$89,500	\$11,635	\$101,135		
IBRD	808.8		\$2,067,012	\$144,691	\$2,211,703		
UNDP	518.8		\$15,990,360	\$1,120,341	\$17,110,701		
UNEP	2.2		\$2,900,105	\$216,977	\$3,117,082		
UNIDO	639.9		\$11,564,866	\$819,571	\$12,384,437		
GRAND TOTAL (HCFCs and HFC	Cs) 1,96	9.7	\$32,656,343	\$2,316,600	\$34,972,943		

Annex V

UPDATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF KYRGYZSTAN AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STAGE II OF THE HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN

- 1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Kyrgyzstan (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 0.10 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020.
- 2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in 4.1.3, 4.2.3 and 4.3.3 (remaining consumption eligible for funding).
- 3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
- 4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) approved ("the Plan"). In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
- 5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
 - (a) That the Country has met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which there are no due country programme implementation reports at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
 - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
 - (c) That the Country had submitted a Tranche Implementation Report in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and
 - (d) That the Country has submitted a Tranche Implementation Plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the

funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

- 6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous Tranche Implementation Plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in the same appendix.
- 7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate part or all of the approved funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
 - (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in a Tranche Implementation Plan as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing Tranche Implementation Plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
 - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
 - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
 - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
 - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed Tranche Implementation Plan, or removal of an activity in the Tranche Implementation Plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
 - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent Tranche Implementation Report; and
 - (c) Any remaining funds held by the bilateral or implementing agencies or the country under the Plan will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.
- 8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector included in the Plan, in particular:
 - (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
 - (b) The Country and the relevant bilateral and/or implementing agencies will take into consideration decision 72/41 during the implementation of the Plan.
- 9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of the Lead IA and/or Cooperating IA taking part in this Agreement.

- 10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per subparagraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA will reach consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning including regular co-ordination meetings, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement in order to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.
- 11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A ("Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply") in respect of each ODP kilogram of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once decisions are taken, the specific case of not compliance with this Agreement will not be an impediment for the provision of funding for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
- 12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.
- 13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.
- 14. The completion of the Plan and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time there still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the last Tranche Implementation Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion of the Plan will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion of the Plan unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.
- 15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.
- 16. This updated Agreement supersedes the Agreement reached between the Government of Kyrgyzstan and the Executive Committee at the 74th meeting of the Executive Committee.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	T	(021 tolines)
HCFC-22	C	1	3.2
HCFC-141b	C	I	0.7
HCFC-142b	С	I	0.2
Total			4.1

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020*	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction	3.69	3.69	3.69	3.69	3.69	2.67	n/a
	schedule of Annex C, Group I							
	substances (ODP tonnes)							
1.2	Maximum allowable total	3.08	2.67	2.05	1.32	0.71	0.10	n/a
	consumption of Annex C, Group I							
	substances (ODP tonnes)							
2.1	Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding	170,000	0	0	175,000	0	55,000	400,000
	(US \$)							
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	11,900	0	0	12,250	0	3,850	28,000
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNEP)agreed	150,000	0	0	155,800	0	6,200	312,000
	funding (US \$)							
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA	19,500	0	0	20,254	0	806	40,560
	(US \$)							
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	320,000	0	0	330,800	0	61,200	712,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	31,400	0	0	32,504	0	4,656	68,560
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	351,400	0	0	363,304	0	65,856	780,560
4.1.1	1 Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)							
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)							
4.3.1								
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							
4.3.3	.3 Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)							0

^{*}Remaining for service tail up to 2025 (0.10 ODP tonnes per year).

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

- 1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plans for each tranche request will consist of five parts:
 - (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Tranche Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in subparagraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
 - (b) An independent verification report of the Plan results and the consumption of the Substances, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
 - (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
 - (d) A set of quantitative information for all Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the Tranche Implementation Plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
 - (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

- 2. In the event that in a particular year more than one stage of the HPMP are being implemented in parallel, the following considerations should be taken in preparing the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans:
 - (a) The Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans referred to as part of this Agreement, will exclusively refer to activities and funds covered by this Agreement; and
 - (b) If the stages under implementation have different HCFC consumption targets in a particular year, the lower HCFC consumption target will be used as reference for compliance with the HPMP Agreements and for the independent verification.

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

- 1. The National Ozone Centre (NOC), operating under the direction of the Interdepartmental Commission on Ozone issues will continue to act during stage II as the focal point for HPMP project co-ordination and management. This activity will be directly undertaken by experienced project managers acting under the direction of the Head of the NOC who also acts as the national focal point on the Montreal Protocol. The work will be undertaken with a high level of stakeholder consultation with various Government agencies, external stakeholders and the general public.
- 2. Implementation will be undertaken under the continued supervision of the Interdepartmental Commission on Ozone issues. It will involve the Lead IA throughout the HPMP and supervising the project's investment component. The Cooperating IA will act as a supporting implementing agency for non-investment activities associated with legislation and technical capacity strengthening. These agencies will utilize the established procedures governing procurement, financial management, reporting and monitoring of the relevant implementing agency and international funding facilities, specifically the Multilateral Fund. Implementation will be further supported by various administrative and service bodies within the Government, international and national consultants, suppliers of equipment and services, and beneficiary enterprises.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
 - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans as per Appendix 4-A;
 - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated tranche activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
 - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;

- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of the Lead IA and each Cooperating IA;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (1) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.
- 2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the Plan, including at least the following:
 - (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
 - (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met. In the event that the penalty needs to be applied for a year in which there are two Agreements in force (two stages of the HPMP being implemented in parallel) with different penalty levels, the application of the penalty will be determined on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the specific sectors related to the non-compliance. If it is not possible to determine a sector, or both stages are addressing the same sector, the penalty level to be applied would be the largest.

Annex VI

VIEWS EXPRESSED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ON RENEWAL OF INSTITUTIONAL STRENGTHENING PROJECTS SUBMITTED TO THE 85th MEETING

Bahrain

1. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the institutional strengthening (IS) project for Bahrain (phase X), and noted that Bahrain had reported 2017 and 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee noted that, within the framework of the IS project, Bahrain is on track to achieve the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and future obligations. The Committee welcomed the ongoing regulatory efforts that will be further enhanced by the implementation of a monitoring system put in place to ensure full compliance and effective control of HCFC consumption through implementation of the HPMP. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, within the next two years, Bahrain will continue the implementation of its HPMP, IS project and enabling activities for HFC phase-down to prepare the country for the 2025 HCFC reduction target and lay the groundwork for ratifying the Kigali Amendment.

Georgia

2. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for the renewal of the IS project for Georgia (phase X) and noted with appreciation that Georgia had reported 2017 and 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat, indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol control measures. The Executive Committee acknowledged that Georgia has demonstrated its commitment to the gradual phase-out of HCFC consumption by meeting the 2015 target of 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and further gradual reduction of import and use of HCFCs. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Georgia will achieve compliance with the control measure to reduce its HCFC consumption by 35 per cent in 2020, based on the implementation of stage I of its HPMP.

Guinea

3. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for Guinea (phase XI) and noted that Guinea had reported 2017 and 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee noted that Guinea has taken further steps to phase out its ODS consumption, including the implementation of ODS import controls through a licensing and quota system and the training of customs officers and refrigeration technicians. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, within the next two years, Guinea will continue the implementation of its HPMP and IS project to sustain compliance with its Montreal Protocol obligations.

Lao People's Democratic Republic

4. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for the Lao People's Democratic Republic (phase X) and noted that the Lao People's Democratic Republic had reported 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee further noted that the country has taken the initiative to strengthen the enforcement of the ODS licensing system and has shared its experience and lessons learned with other Parties with regard to the establishment and operation of a joint inspection team. The Committee acknowledged that the Lao People's Democratic Republic is finalizing amendments to its ODS legislation

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/67 Annex VI

to include HFC import and export controls and to strengthen monitoring and enforcement procedures. The Committee also noted that the refrigeration and air-conditioning (RAC) association has been officially established and encouraged the continuation of collaboration between other stakeholders and RAC association to implement the Montreal Protocol activities. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that the Lao People's Democratic Republic will continue activities at the policy and project levels to enable the country to meet the Montreal Protocol reduction targets.

Lesotho

5. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for Lesotho (phase X) and noted that Lesotho had reported 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee further noted that Lesotho is implementing a licensing and quota system to control ODS imports and is training customs officers and refrigeration technicians. The Committee noted with appreciation that Lesotho ratified the Kigali Amendment in October 2019. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Lesotho will continue activities at the policy and project levels to enable the country to meet its Montreal Protocol reduction targets.

Libya

6. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for the renewal of the institutional strengthening project for Libya (phase VI), and noted with appreciation that Libya had reported 2017 and 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat, indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule and with the plan of action to return to compliance set out in decision XXVII/11. The Executive Committee acknowledged that the national ozone unit has been fully staffed, despite the current political and security situation in the country. Annual HCFC consumption quotas were established and monitored on a regular basis. The Executive Committee expressed its appreciation for Libya's efforts to implement stage I of the HPMP and enabling activities for HFC phase-down and is hopeful that it will maintain the HCFC consumption below the reduction target and remain in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out targets and its commitments based on decision XXVII/11.

Malawi

7. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for Malawi (phase XII) and noted that Malawi had reported 2018 and 2019 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee further noted that Malawi has taken steps to phase out its ODS consumption by implementing ODS import controls through a licensing and quota system, and through the training of customs officers and refrigeration technicians. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, within the next two years, Malawi will continue with the implementation of stage I of the HPMP, IS project and enabling activities for HFC phase-down in order to meet its Montreal Protocol reduction targets.

Maldives

8. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for the Maldives (phase XI) and noted that the Maldives had reported 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee noted that the Maldives has an operational licensing (including for HFCs) and quota system, and financial incentives to promote zero-ODS, low-GWP alternatives. Capacity-building of servicing technicians has been

organized, including the development of a national certification system for the handling of refrigerants. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, the Maldives will continue the implementation of the IS project, initiate HFC phase-down activities and remain in compliance with the provisions of the Montreal Protocol and the Agreement with the Executive Committee on the implementation of the HPMP.

Mozambique

9. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for Mozambique (phase IX) and noted that Mozambique had reported 2017 and 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee further noted that Mozambique has taken steps to phase out its ODS consumption, including the implementation of ODS import controls through a licensing and quota system, and the training of customs officers and refrigeration technicians. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Mozambique will continue activities at the policy and project levels to enable the country to meet its Montreal Protocol obligations.

Nepal

10. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for Nepal (phase XI) and noted that Nepal had reported 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee also noted that Nepal has established an operational licensing and quota system and introduced the ban on the import of HCFC-based equipment, and that capacity-building of service technicians and enforcement officers has been implemented under stage I of the HPMP and will continue in stage II. The Committee acknowledged that Nepal is assessing the introduction of low-GWP alternatives by adopting relevant international standards, and that trainers have received training on management of flammable refrigerants. The Committee noted that the IS project will support the efforts of the country in the coming years to implement the HPMP and enabling activities for HFC phase-down. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Nepal will continue implementation of HCFC phase-out activities to achieve the Montreal Protocol HCFC reduction targets and national regulations and will ratify the Kigali Amendment over the next two years.

Qatar

11. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for Qatar (phase V) and noted that Qatar had reported 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee acknowledged ongoing regulatory efforts to ensure full compliance and effective control of HCFC consumption through the implementation of the HPMP. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, within the next two years, Qatar will overcome the challenges faced during phase IV and continue the implementation of its HPMP, enabling activities for HFC phase-down and the IS project to prepare the country for the 2025 HCFC reduction target and lay the groundwork for ratifying the Kigali Amendment.

Seychelles

12. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for the Seychelles (phase IX) and noted that the Seychelles had reported 2018 and 2019 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee acknowledged the actions taken by the country to ban imports of HCFCs and is hopeful that,

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/67 Annex VI

over the next two years, the Seychelles will sustain the total HCFC phase-out in advance of the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule and initiate the HFC phase-down as stipulated in the Kigali Amendment.

Sierra Leone

13. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for Sierra Leone (phase VIII) and noted that the country reported 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee further noted that Sierra Leone has taken steps to phase out its ODS consumption, including the implementation of import controls through a licensing and quota system, and the training of Customs officers and refrigeration technicians. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Sierra Leone will continue activities at the policy and project levels to enable the country to meet its Montreal Protocol obligations.

Uganda

14. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for renewal of the IS project for Uganda (phase IV) and noted that the country reported 2018 ODS consumption data under Article 7 to the Ozone Secretariat and country programme data to the Fund Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol phase-out schedule. The Committee acknowledged that Uganda has incorporated the provisions of the Kigali Amendment in its legal framework and has taken steps to phase out its ODS consumption by implementing ODS import controls through a licensing and quota system, and by training customs officers and refrigeration technicians. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Uganda will continue activities at the policy and project levels to enable the country to meet its Montreal Protocol obligations.

Annex VII

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF AFGHANISTAN AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STAGE II OF THE HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Purpose

- 1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 7.67 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2025 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedule.
- 2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining consumption eligible for funding).
- 3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
- 4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) approved ("the Plan"). In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.

Conditions for funding release

- 5. The Executive Committee will only provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule when the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
 - (a) That the Country has met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which there are no due country programme implementation reports at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
 - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified for all relevant years, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;

- (c) That the Country had submitted a Tranche Implementation Report in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and
- (d) That the Country has submitted a Tranche Implementation Plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

Monitoring

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous Tranche Implementation Plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in the same appendix.

Flexibility in the reallocation of funds

- 7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate part or all of the approved funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
 - (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in a Tranche Implementation Plan as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing Tranche Implementation Plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
 - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
 - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
 - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches;
 - (iv) Provision of funding for activities not included in the current endorsed Tranche Implementation Plan, or removal of an activity in the Tranche Implementation Plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche; and
 - (v) Changes in alternative technologies, on the understanding that any submission for such a request would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable, as well as confirm that the Country agrees that potential savings related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;
 - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent Tranche Implementation Report; and

(c) Any remaining funds held by the bilateral or implementing agencies or the Country under the Plan will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

Considerations for the refrigeration servicing sector

- 8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sector included in the Plan, in particular:
 - (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
 - (b) The Country and relevant bilateral and/or implementing agencies would take into consideration relevant decisions on the refrigeration servicing sector during the implementation of the Plan.

Bilateral and implementing agencies

- 9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNIDO have agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency/agencies (the "Cooperating IAs") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of the Lead IA and Cooperating IA taking part in this Agreement.
- 10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the Plan under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The roles of the Lead IA and Cooperating IA are contained in Appendix 6-A and Appendix 6-B, respectively. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

Non-compliance with the Agreement

- 11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A ("Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply") in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once decisions are taken, the specific case of non-compliance with this Agreement will not be an impediment for the provision of funding for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
- 12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decisions that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

Date of completion

14. The completion of the Plan and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time there still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the last Tranche Implementation Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion of the Plan will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion of the Plan unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

Validity

- 15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.
- 16. This Agreement may be modified or terminated only by mutual written agreement of the Country and the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	23.60

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of	15.34	15.34	15.34	15.34	15.34	7.67	n/a
	Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)							
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of	15.34	15.34	15.34	15.34	15.34	7.67	n/a
	Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)							
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	229,567	0	0	190,500	0	48,500	468,567
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	29,844	0	0	24,765	0	6,305	60,914
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNIDO) agreed funding	90,000	0	0	90,000	0	20,815	200,815
	(US \$)							
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	8,100	0	0	8,100	0	1,873	18,073
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	319,567	0	0	280,500	0	69,315	669,382
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	37,944	0	0	32,865	0	8,178	78,987
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	357,511	0	0	313,365	0	77,493	748,369
4.1.1	.1 Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in the pr	revious stage	e (ODP ton	nes)				8.26
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22	(ODP tonne	es)					7.67

^{*}Date of completion of stage I as per stage I Agreement: 31 December 2020

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

- 1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plans for each tranche request will consist of five parts:
 - (a) A narrative report, with data provided by tranche, describing the progress achieved since the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include the amount of ODS phased out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Tranche Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes;
 - (b) An independent verification report of the Plan results and the consumption of the Substances, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
 - (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken during the period covered by the requested tranche, highlighting implementation milestones, the time of completion and the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall Plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall Plan that are foreseen. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
 - (d) A set of quantitative information for all Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans, submitted through an online database; and
 - (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

- 2. In the event that in a particular year two stages of the HPMP are being implemented in parallel, the following considerations should be taken in preparing the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans:
 - (a) The Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans referred to as part of this Agreement, will exclusively refer to activities and funds covered by this Agreement; and
 - (b) If the stages under implementation have different HCFC consumption targets under Appendix 2-A of each Agreement in a particular year, the lower HCFC consumption target will be used as reference for compliance with these Agreements and will be the basis for the independent verification.

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

- 1. The monitoring process will be managed by the national ozone unit (NOU) under the guidance of the National Environmental Protection Agency of Afghanistan (NEPA) with the assistance of the Lead IA.
- 2. The consumption will be monitored through the import and export control by the customs and recorded by the NOU.
 - (a) The NOU shall compile and report the following data and information on an annual basis:
 - (b) Annual reports on consumption of the controlled substances to be submitted to the Ozone Secretariat; and
 - (c) Annual reports on progress of implementation of the HPMP to be submitted to the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.
- 3. Monitoring of activities of the HPMP and verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the HPMP, will be assigned to an independent consultant(s) by the Lead IA.
- 4. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA will also monitor the implementation of project activities in terms of their administrative, budgetary and financial aspects.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
 - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans as per Appendix 4-A;
 - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated tranche activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
 - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;

- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee, and should include the activities implemented by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) In the event that the last funding tranche is requested one or more years prior to the last year for which a consumption target had been established, annual tranche implementation reports and, where applicable, verification reports on the current stage of the Plan should be submitted until all activities foreseen had been completed and HCFC consumption targets had been met;
- (g) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (h) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (i) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (j) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (k) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of the Lead IA and each Cooperating IA;
- (l) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators;
- (m) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required;
- (n) Reaching consensus with the Cooperating IA on any planning, co-ordination and reporting arrangements required to facilitate the implementation of the Plan; and
- (o) Timely releasing funds to the Country/participating enterprises for completing the activities related to the project.
- 2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

- 1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the Plan, including at least the following:
 - (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities;
 - (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A; and

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/67 Annex VII

(d) Reaching consensus with the Lead IA on any planning, co-ordination and reporting arrangements required to facilitate the implementation of the Plan.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

- 1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$175 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met, on the understanding that the maximum funding reduction would not exceed the funding level of the tranche being requested. Additional measures might be considered in cases where non-compliance extends for two consecutive years.
- 2. In the event that the penalty needs to be applied for a year in which there are two Agreements in force (two stages of the HPMP being implemented in parallel) with different penalty levels, the application of the penalty will be determined on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the specific sectors that lead to the non-compliance. If it is not possible to determine a sector, or both stages are addressing the same sector, the penalty level to be applied would be the largest.

Annex VIII

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF ALBANIA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STAGE II OF THE HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Purpose

- 1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Republic of Albania (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 1.95 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2025 in compliance with the Montreal Protocol schedule.
- 2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3 and 4.3.3 (remaining consumption eligible for funding).
- 3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
- 4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) approved ("the Plan"). In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.

Conditions for funding release

- 5. The Executive Committee will only provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule when the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
 - (a) That the Country has met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which there are no due country programme implementation reports at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
 - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified for all relevant years, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;

- (c) That the Country had submitted a Tranche Implementation Report in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and
- (d) That the Country has submitted a Tranche Implementation Plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

Monitoring

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous Tranche Implementation Plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in the same appendix.

Flexibility in the reallocation of funds

- 7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate part or all of the approved funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
 - (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in a Tranche Implementation Plan as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing Tranche Implementation Plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
 - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
 - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
 - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches;
 - (iv) Provision of funding for activities not included in the current endorsed Tranche Implementation Plan, or removal of an activity in the Tranche Implementation Plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche; and
 - (v) Changes in alternative technologies, on the understanding that any submission for such a request would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable, as well as confirm that the Country agrees that potential savings related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;
 - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent Tranche Implementation Report; and

(c) Any remaining funds held by the bilateral or implementing agencies or the Country under the Plan will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

Considerations for the refrigeration servicing sector

- 8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sector included in the Plan, in particular:
 - (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
 - (b) The Country and relevant bilateral and/or implementing agencies would take into consideration relevant decisions on the refrigeration servicing sector during the implementation of the Plan.

Bilateral and implementing agencies

- 9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA"). UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency ("the Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of the Lead IA and Cooperating IA taking part in this Agreement.
- 10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the Plan under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The roles of the Lead IA and Cooperating IA are contained in Appendix 6-A and Appendix 6-B, respectively. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

Non-compliance with the Agreement

- 11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A ("Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply") in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once decisions are taken, the specific case of non-compliance with this Agreement will not be an impediment for the provision of funding for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
- 12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decisions that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

Date of completion

14. The completion of the Plan and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time there still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the last Tranche Implementation Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion of the Plan will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d) and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion of the Plan unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

Validity

- 15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.
- 16. This Agreement may be modified or terminated only by mutual written agreement of the Country and the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	С	Ι	5.70
HCFC-124	С	Ι	0.01
HCFC-142b	С	I	0.29
Total			6.00

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Total	
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	1.95	n/a	
	substances (ODP tonnes)								
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	1.95	n/a	
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	88,000	0	81,250	0	0	35,250	204,500	
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	7,920	0	7,313	0	0	3,173	18,406	
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	39,500	0	39,500	0	0	9,000	88,000	
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	5,135	0	5,135	0	0	1,170	11,440	
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	127,500	0	120,750	0	0	44,250	292,500	
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	13,055	0	12,448	0	0	4,343	29,846	
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	140,555	0	133,198	0	0	48,593	322,346	
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-	22 agreed to	be achieved	d under this	Agreement (ODP tonnes	3)	1.95	
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to	be achieved	in the previo	ous stage (O	DP tonnes)			1.80	
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consum	nption for H	CFC-22 (OI	OP tonnes)				1.95	
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-124 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)								
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-124 to	be achieved	d in the prev	ious stage (ODP tonnes))		0.01	
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consum	nption for H	CFC-124 (C	DDP tonnes)				0.00	
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-	142b agreed	to be achiev	ved under th	is Agreemen	t (ODP toni	nes)	0.00	
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b	to be achieve	ed in the pre	vious stage	(ODP tonnes	s)		0.29	
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consum	nption for H	CFC-142b (ODP tonnes	s)			0.00	

^{*}Date of completion of stage I as per stage I Agreement: 31 December 2021.

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

- 1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plans for each tranche request will consist of five parts:
 - (a) A narrative report, with data provided by tranche, describing the progress achieved since the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase-out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include the amount of ODS phased out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive

Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Tranche Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes;

- (b) An independent verification report of the Plan results and the consumption of the Substances, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken during the period covered by the requested tranche, highlighting implementation milestones, the time of completion and the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall Plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall Plan that are foreseen. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans, submitted through an online database; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).
- 2. In the event that in a particular year two stages of the HPMP are being implemented in parallel, the following considerations should be taken in preparing the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans:
 - (a) The Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans referred to as part of this Agreement, will exclusively refer to activities and funds covered by this Agreement; and
 - (b) If the stages under implementation have different HCFC consumption targets under Appendix 2-A of each Agreement in a particular year, the lower HCFC consumption target will be used as reference for compliance with these Agreements and will be the basis for the independent verification.

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

1. The national ozone unit (NOU) is responsible for monitoring the progress of implementation of activities in stage II of the HPMP. A part-time technical and legal expert will be employed to assist the NOU in monitoring the progress, liaising with stakeholders and executing project activities.

- 2. The monitoring and co-ordination will be conducted during the implementation of stage II of the HPMP to ensure efficient and effective implementation; overall project co-ordination; stakeholder engagement and co-ordination; smooth implementation of activities planned under various tranches; delivery of trainings and other expected outcomes; and co-ordination of HCFC consumption verification.
- 3. Annual progress reports on implementation status of the HPMP will be submitted to the implementing agencies. Tranche progress reports will be submitted to the Executive Committee through the Lead IA.
- 4. Monitoring activities of the HPMP and verification of the achievement of the performance targets, as specified in the HPMP, will be assigned to an independent consultant(s) by the Lead IA.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
 - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans as per Appendix 4-A;
 - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated tranche activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
 - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
 - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee, and should include the activities implemented by the Cooperating IA;
 - (f) In the event that the last funding tranche is requested one or more years prior to the last year for which a consumption target had been established, annual tranche implementation reports and, where applicable, verification reports on the current stage of the Plan should be submitted until all activities foreseen had been completed and HCFC consumption targets had been met;
 - (g) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
 - (h) Carrying out required supervision missions;
 - (i) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
 - (j) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities:

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/67 Annex VIII

- (k) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA;
- (l) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators;
- (m) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required;
- (n) Reaching consensus with the Cooperating IA on any planning, co-ordination and reporting arrangements required to facilitate the implementation of the Plan; and
- (o) Timely releasing funds to the Country/participating enterprises for completing the activities related to the project.
- 2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

- 1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the Plan, including at least the following:
 - (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities;
 - (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A; and
 - (d) Reaching consensus with the Lead IA on any planning, co-ordination and reporting arrangements required to facilitate the implementation of the Plan.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met, on the understanding that the maximum funding reduction would not exceed the funding level of the tranche being requested. Additional measures might be considered in cases where non-compliance extends for two consecutive years.

2. In the event that the penalty needs to be applied for a year in which there are two Agreements in force (two stages of the HPMP being implemented in parallel) with different penalty levels, the application of the penalty will be determined on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the specific sectors that lead to the non-compliance. If it is not possible to determine a sector, or both stages are addressing the same sector, the penalty level to be applied would be the largest.

Annex IX

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF MALAWI AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STAGE II OF THE HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Purpose

- 1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Malawi (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of zero ODP tonnes by 1 January 2030 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedule.
- 2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining consumption eligible for funding).
- 3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
- 4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) approved ("the Plan"). In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.

Conditions for funding release

- 5. The Executive Committee will only provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule when the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
 - (a) That the Country has met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which there are no due country programme implementation reports at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
 - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified for all relevant years, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
 - (c) That the Country had submitted a Tranche Implementation Report in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of

- activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and
- (d) That the Country has submitted a Tranche Implementation Plan in the form of Appendix 4A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

Monitoring

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous Tranche Implementation Plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in the same appendix.

Flexibility in the reallocation of funds

- 7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate part or all of the approved funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
 - (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in a Tranche Implementation Plan as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing Tranche Implementation Plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
 - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
 - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
 - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches;
 - (iv) Provision of funding for activities not included in the current endorsed Tranche Implementation Plan, or removal of an activity in the Tranche Implementation Plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche; and
 - (v) Changes in alternative technologies, on the understanding that any submission for such a request would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable, as well as confirm that the Country agrees that potential savings related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;
 - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent Tranche Implementation Report;
 - (c) The Country agrees, in cases where HFC technologies have been chosen as an alternative to HCFCs, and taking into account national circumstances related to health and safety: to monitor the availability of substitutes and alternatives that further minimize impacts on the climate; to consider, in the review of regulations standards and incentives adequate

- provisions that encourage introduction of such alternatives; and to consider the potential for adoption of cost-effective alternatives that minimize the climate impact in the implementation of the HPMP, as appropriate, and inform the Executive Committee on the progress accordingly in tranche implementation reports; and
- (d) Any remaining funds held by the bilateral or implementing agencies or the Country under the Plan will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

Considerations for the refrigeration servicing sector

- 8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sector included in the Plan, in particular:
 - (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
 - (b) The Country and relevant bilateral and/or implementing agencies would take into consideration relevant decisions on the refrigeration servicing sector during the implementation of the Plan.

Bilateral and implementing agencies

- 9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of the Lead IA taking part in this Agreement.
- 10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the Plan under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The roles of the Lead IA and Cooperating IA are contained in Appendix 6-A and Appendix 6-B, respectively. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2A.

Non-compliance with the Agreement

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A ("Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply") in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once decisions are taken, the specific case of non-compliance with this Agreement will not be an impediment for the provision of funding for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

- 12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decisions that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.
- 13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

Date of completion

14. The completion of the Plan and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time there still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the last Tranche Implementation Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion of the Plan will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion of the Plan unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

Validity

- 15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.
- 16. This Agreement may be modified or terminated only by mutual written agreement of the Country and the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	10.80

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2020	2021- 2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027- 2029	2030	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	7.02	7.02	7.02	7.02	3.51	3.51	3.51	0.27	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	7.02	7.02	7.02	7.02	3.51	3.51	3.51	0.00	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	180,000	0	140,000	0	0	65,000	0	65,000	450,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	23,400	0	18,200	0	0	8,450	0	8,450	58,500

Row	Particulars	2020	2021-	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027-	2030	Total
			2022					2029		
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	100,000	0	100,000	0	0	0	0	0	200,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	9,000	0	9,000	0	0	0	0	0	18,000
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	280,000	0	240,000	0	0	65,000	0	65,000	650,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	32,400	0	27,200	0	0	8,450	0	8,450	76,500
3.3	Total a greed costs (US \$)	312,400	0	267,200	0	0	73,450	0	73,450	726,500
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)								7.02	
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be	achieved i	n the pre	vious sta	ge (OD	P tonne	es)			3.78
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consump	tion for HC	CFC-22 (ODP ton	nes)					0.00

^{*}Date of completion of stage I as per stage I Agreement: 31 December 2021

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

- 1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plans for each tranche request will consist of five parts:
 - (a) A narrative report, with data provided by tranche, describing the progress achieved since the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase-out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include the amount of ODS phased out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Tranche Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes;
 - (b) An independent verification report of the Plan results and the consumption of the Substances, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
 - (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken during the period covered by the requested tranche, highlighting implementation milestones, the time of completion and the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall Plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall Plan that are foreseen.

The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;

- (d) A set of quantitative information for all Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans, submitted through an online database; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above subparagraphs 1(a) to 1(d).
- 2. In the event that in a particular year two stages of the HPMP are being implemented in parallel, the following considerations should be taken in preparing the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans:
 - (a) The Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans referred to as part of this Agreement, will exclusively refer to activities and funds covered by this Agreement; and
 - (b) If the stages under implementation have different HCFC consumption targets under Appendix 2-A of each Agreement in a particular year, the lower HCFC consumption target will be used as reference for compliance with these Agreements and will be the basis for the independent verification.

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

- 1. The national ozone unit (NOU) will submit annual progress reports of status of implementation of the HPMP to the Lead IA.
- 2. Monitoring of development of the HPMP and verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the Plan, will be assigned to independent local company or to independent local consultants by the Lead IA.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD-IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
 - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans as per Appendix 4A;
 - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated tranche activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
 - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
 - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee, and should include the activities implemented by the Cooperating IA;

- (f) In the event that the last funding tranche is requested one or more years prior to the last year for which a consumption target had been established, annual tranche implementation reports and, where applicable, verification reports on the current stage of the Plan should be submitted until all activities foreseen had been completed and HCFC consumption targets had been met;
- (g) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (h) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (i) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (j) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (k) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of the Lead IA and Cooperating IA;
- (l) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators;
- (m) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required;
- (n) Reaching consensus with the Cooperating IA on any planning, co-ordination and reporting arrangements required to facilitate the implementation of the Plan; and
- (o) Timely releasing funds to the Country/participating enterprises for completing the activities related to the project.
- 2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

- 1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the Plan, including at least the following:
 - (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities;
 - (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A; and
 - (d) Reaching consensus with the Lead IA on any planning, co-ordination and reporting arrangements required to facilitate the implementation of the Plan.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

- 1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met, on the understanding that the maximum funding reduction would not exceed the funding level of the tranche being requested. Additional measures might be considered in cases where non-compliance extends for two consecutive years.
- 2. In the event that the penalty needs to be applied for a year in which there are two Agreements in force (two stages of the HPMP being implemented in parallel) with different penalty levels, the application of the penalty will be determined on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the specific sectors that lead to the non-compliance. If it is not possible to determine a sector, or both stages are addressing the same sector, the penalty level to be applied would be the largest.

Annex X

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF MONTENEGRO AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STAGE II OF THE HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Purpose

- 1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Montenegro (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 0 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2025 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedule.
- 2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining consumption eligible for funding).
- 3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
- 4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) approved ("the Plan"). In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.

Conditions for funding release

- 5. The Executive Committee will only provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule when the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
 - (a) That the Country has met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which there are no due country programme implementation reports at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
 - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified for all relevant years, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;

- (c) That the Country had submitted a Tranche Implementation Report in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and
- (d) That the Country has submitted a Tranche Implementation Plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

Monitoring

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous Tranche Implementation Plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in the same appendix.

Flexibility in the reallocation of funds

- 7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate part or all of the approved funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
 - (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in a Tranche Implementation Plan as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing Tranche Implementation Plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
 - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
 - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
 - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches;
 - (iv) Provision of funding for activities not included in the current endorsed Tranche Implementation Plan, or removal of an activity in the Tranche Implementation Plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche; and
 - (v) Changes in alternative technologies, on the understanding that any submission for such a request would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable, as well as confirm that the Country agrees that potential savings related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;
 - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent Tranche Implementation Report; and

(c) Any remaining funds held by the bilateral or implementing agencies or the Country under the Plan will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

Considerations for the refrigeration servicing sector

- 8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sector included in the Plan, in particular:
 - (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
 - (b) The Country and relevant bilateral and/or implementing agencies would take into consideration relevant decisions on the refrigeration servicing sector during the implementation of the Plan.

Bilateral and implementing agencies

- 9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of the Lead IA taking part in this Agreement.
- 10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub paragraph 5(b). The role of the Lead IA is contained in Appendix 6-A. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 2.2 of Appendix 2-A.

Non-compliance with the Agreement

- 11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A ("Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply") in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once decisions are taken, the specific case of non-compliance with this Agreement will not be an impediment for the provision of funding for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
- 12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decisions that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.
- 13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

Date of completion

14. The completion of the Plan and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time there still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the last Tranche Implementation Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion of the Plan will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion of the Plan unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

Validity

- 15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.
- 16. This Agreement may be modified or terminated only by mutual written agreement of the Country and the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	С	I	0.80

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Total	
1.1	Montreal Protocol	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.26	n/a	
	reduction schedule of								
	Annex C, Group I								
	substances (ODP tonnes)								
1.2	Maximum allowable total	0.52	0.39	0.23	0.15	0.08	0	n/a	
	consumption of Annex C,								
	Group I substances								
	(ODP tonnes)								
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed	296,500	0	275,000	0	0	64,000	635,500	
	funding (US \$)								
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA	20,755	0	19,250	0	0	4,480	44,485	
	(US \$)								
3.1	Total agreed funding	296,500	0	275,000	0	0	64,000	635,500	
	(US \$)								
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	20,755	0	19,250	0	0	4,480	44,485	
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	317,255	0	294,250	0	0	68,480	679,985	
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)								
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be	achieved i	n the previo	ous stage (C	DP tonnes)		0.28	
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consump	tion for HC	CFC-22 (OI	OP tonnes)			•	0.00	

^{*}Date of completion of stage I as per stage I Agreement: 31 December 2021.

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

- 1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plans for each tranche request will consist of five parts:
 - (a) A narrative report, with data provided by tranche, describing the progress achieved since the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase-out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include the amount of ODS phased out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Tranche Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes;
 - (b) An independent verification report of the Plan results and the consumption of the Substances, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
 - (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken during the period covered by the requested tranche, highlighting implementation milestones, the time of completion and the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall Plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall Plan that are foreseen. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
 - (d) A set of quantitative information for all Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans, submitted through an online database; and
 - (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

- 2. In the event that in a particular year two stages of the HPMP are being implemented in parallel, the following considerations should be taken in preparing the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans:
 - (a) The Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans referred to as part of this Agreement, will exclusively refer to activities and funds covered by this Agreement; and
 - (b) If the stages under implementation have different HCFC consumption targets under Appendix 2-A of each Agreement in a particular year, the lower HCFC consumption target will be used as reference for compliance with these Agreements and will be the basis for the independent verification.

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

- 1. All the monitoring activities will be coordinated and managed through the project "Monitoring and Management Unit", within the national ozone unit (NOU).
- 2. The NOU, under the Nature and Environmental Protection Agency (NEPA), is responsible for coordinating the country action plan with respect to ozone layer protection and facilitation of ODS phase-out. The NOU is in charge of implementing the country programmes under the Montreal Protocol. Implementation of the planned project activities will thus be allocated to the NOU in cooperation with the Lead IA. The NOU as a management body has responsibility towards NEPA and to the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Tourism as a decision-making body.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
 - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans as per Appendix 4-A;
 - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated tranche activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
 - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
 - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
 - (f) In the event that the last funding tranche is requested one or more years prior to the last year for which a consumption target had been established, annual tranche implementation reports and, where applicable, verification reports on the current stage of the Plan should be submitted until all activities foreseen had been completed and HCFC consumption targets had been met;

- (g) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (h) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (i) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of the Lead IA;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators;
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required; and
- (m) Timely releasing funds to the Country/participating enterprises for completing the activities related to the project.
- 2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

- 1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met, on the understanding that the maximum funding reduction would not exceed the funding level of the tranche being requested. Additional measures might be considered in cases where non-compliance extends for two consecutive years.
- 2. In the event that the penalty needs to be applied for a year in which there are two Agreements in force (two stages of the HPMP being implemented in parallel) with different penalty levels, the application of the penalty will be determined on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the specific sectors that lead to the non-compliance. If it is not possible to determine a sector, or both stages are addressing the same sector, the penalty level to be applied would be the largest.

Annex XI

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF SERBIA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STAGE II OF THE HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Purpose

- 1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Serbia (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 2.73 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2025 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedule.
- 2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3 and 4.3.3 (remaining consumption eligible for funding).
- 3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
- 4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) approved ("the Plan"). In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.

Conditions for funding release

- 5. The Executive Committee will only provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule when the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
 - (a) That the Country has met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which there are no due country programme implementation reports at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
 - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified for all relevant years, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;

- (c) That the Country had submitted a Tranche Implementation Report in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and
- (d) That the Country has submitted a Tranche Implementation Plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

Monitoring

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous Tranche Implementation Plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in the same appendix.

Flexibility in the reallocation of funds

- 7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate part or all of the approved funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
 - (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in a Tranche Implementation Plan as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing Tranche Implementation Plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
 - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
 - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
 - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
 - (iv) Provision of funding for activities not included in the current endorsed Tranche Implementation Plan, or removal of an activity in the Tranche Implementation Plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
 - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent Tranche Implementation Report; and
 - (c) Any remaining funds held by the bilateral or implementing agencies or the Country under the Plan will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

Considerations for the refrigeration servicing sector

- 8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sector included in the Plan, in particular:
 - (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
 - (b) The Country and relevant bilateral and/or implementing agencies would take into consideration relevant decisions on the refrigeration servicing sector during the implementation of the Plan.

Bilateral and implementing agencies

- 9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of the Lead IA and/or Cooperating IA taking part in this Agreement.
- 10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the Plan under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The roles of the Lead IA and Cooperating IA are contained in Appendix 6-A and Appendix 6-B, respectively. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

Non-compliance with the Agreement

- 11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A ("Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply") in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once decisions are taken, the specific case of non-compliance with this Agreement will not be an impediment for the provision of funding for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
- 12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decisions that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.
- 13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

Date of completion

14. The completion of the Plan and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time there still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the last Tranche Implementation Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion of the Plan will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion of the Plan unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

Validity

- 15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.
- 16. This Agreement may be modified or terminated only by mutual written agreement of the Country and the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	С	I	7.76
HCFC-123	С	I	0.02
HCFC-142b	С	I	0.59
Total	С	I	8.37

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	2.73	n/a
	schedule of Annex C,							
	Group I substances (ODP							
	tonnes)							
1.2	Maximum allowable total	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	2.73	n/a
	consumption of Annex C,							
	Group I substances (ODP							
	tonnes)							
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed	124,175	0	93,450	0	0	30,875	248,500
	funding (US \$)							
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA	11,176	0	8,410	0	0	2,779	22,365
	(US \$)							
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNEP)	22,000	0	22,000	0	0	0	44,000
	agreed funding (US \$)							
2.4	Support costs for	2,860	0	2,860	0	0	0	5,720
	Cooperating IA (US \$)							

Row	Particulars	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Total		
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$) 146,175 0 115,450 0 30,875									
3.2	Total support costs (US \$) 14,036 0 11,270 0 0 2,779									
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$) 160,211 0 126,720 0 0 33,654									
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)									
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in the previous stage (ODP tonnes)									
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)									
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)									
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in the previous stage (ODP tonnes)									
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)									
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)									
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be	achieved in	the previo	ous stage (Ol	OP tonnes)		0.00		
4.3.3.	Remaining eligible consumption	on for HCFC	-142b (OI	OP tonnes)				0.59		

^{*}Date of completion of stage I as per stage I Agreement: 31 December 2020

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

- 1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plans for each tranche request will consist of five parts:
 - (a) A narrative report, with data provided by tranche, describing the progress achieved since the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase-out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include the amount of ODS phased out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Tranche Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes;
 - (b) An independent verification report of the Plan results and the consumption of the Substances, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
 - (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken during the period covered by the requested tranche, highlighting implementation milestones, the time of completion and the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by

calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall Plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall Plan that are foreseen. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;

- (d) A set of quantitative information for all Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans, submitted through an online database; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).
- 2. In the event that in a particular year two stages of the HPMP are being implemented in parallel, the following considerations should be taken in preparing the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans:
 - (a) The Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans referred to as part of this Agreement, will exclusively refer to activities and funds covered by this Agreement; and
 - (b) If the stages under implementation have different HCFC consumption targets under Appendix 2-A of each Agreement in a particular year, the lower HCFC consumption target will be used as reference for compliance with these Agreements and will be the basis for the independent verification.

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

- 1. The national ozone unit (NOU) is the central administrative unit established within the administrative structure of the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP), responsible for the co-ordination of governmental activities with respect to the ozone layer protection and facilitation of ODS phase-out.
- 2. The NOU within the MEP will be responsible for the overall co-ordination of national activities towards the HPMP phase-out plan implementation.
- 3. The management of the implementation of the planned project activities will be allocated to the NOU in cooperation with UNIDO as the lead IA.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
 - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans as per Appendix 4-A;
 - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated tranche activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;

- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee, and should include the activities implemented by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) In the event that the last funding tranche is requested one or more years prior to the last year for which a consumption target had been established, annual tranche implementation reports and, where applicable, verification reports on the current stage of the Plan should be submitted until all activities foreseen had been completed and HCFC consumption targets had been met;
- (g) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (h) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (i) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (j) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities:
- (k) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of the Lead IA and each Cooperating IA;
- (1) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators;
- (m) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required;
- (n) Reaching consensus with the Cooperating IA on any planning, co-ordination and reporting arrangements required to facilitate the implementation of the Plan; and
- (o) Timely releasing funds to the Country/participating enterprises for completing the activities related to the project.
- 2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

- 1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the Plan, including at least the following:
 - (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/67 Annex XI

- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities;
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A; and
- (d) Reaching consensus with the Lead IA on any planning, co-ordination and reporting arrangements required to facilitate the implementation of the Plan.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

- 1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met, on the understanding that the maximum funding reduction would not exceed the funding level of the tranche being requested. Additional measures might be considered in cases where non-compliance extends for two consecutive years.
- 2. In the event that the penalty needs to be applied for a year in which there are two Agreements in force (two stages of the HPMP being implemented in parallel) with different penalty levels, the application of the penalty will be determined on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the specific sectors that lead to the non-compliance. If it is not possible to determine a sector, or both stages are addressing the same sector, the penalty level to be applied would be the largest.

Annex XII

UPDATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF GUINEA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS

- 1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Guinea (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 4.87 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2022 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
- 2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
- 3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
- 4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
- 5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
 - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
 - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
 - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
 - (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the

- funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68th meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.
- 6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.
- 7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
 - (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
 - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
 - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
 - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
 - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
 - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
 - (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.
- 8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:
 - (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
 - (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

- 9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.
- 10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.
- 11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A ("Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply") in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
- 12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.
- 13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.
- 14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.
- 15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

16. This updated Agreement supersedes the Agreement reached between the Government of Guinea and the Executive Committee at the 66th meeting of the Executive Committee.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	С	I	7.51

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol	n/a	22.61	22.61	20.35	20.35	20.35	20.35	20.35	14.70	14.70	14.70	n/a
	reduction schedule												
	of Annex C,												
	Group I substances												
	(ODP tonnes)												
1.2	Maximum	n/a	7.51	7.51	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75	4.87	4.87	4.87	n/a
	allowable total												
	consumption of												
	Annex C, Group I												
	substances												
2.1	(ODP tonnes)	05.000	0	60,000	0	0	0	0	0	100 000	0	0	245,000
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP)	85,000	0	60,000	U	Ü	U	U	U	100,000	U	0	245,000
	agreed funding												
2.2	(US \$) Support costs for	11,050	0	7,800	0	0	0	0	0	13,000	0	0	31,850
2.2	Lead IA (US \$)	11,030	U	7,800	U	U	U	U	U	13,000	U	U	31,630
2.3	Cooperating IA	160,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	125,000	0	0	285,000
2.3	(UNIDO) agreed	100,000	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	123,000	U	U	203,000
	funding (US \$)												
2.4	Support costs for	12,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,750	0	0	20,750
	Cooperating IA	,		_		_				-,	, and		,,
	(US \$)												
3.1	Total agreed	245,000	0	60,000	0	0	0	0	0	225,000	0	0	530,000
	funding (US \$)												
3.2	Total support costs	23,050	0	7,800	0	0	0	0	0	21,750	0	0	52,600
	(US \$)												
3.3	Total agreed costs	268,050	0	67,800	0	0	0	0	0	246,750	0	0	582,600
	(US \$)												
4.1.1	Total phase-out of H)			2.63
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-						orojects ((ODP to	nnes)				n/a
4.1.3	Remaining eligible c	onsumpti	on for H	ICFC-22	(ODP to	onnes)							4.87

^{*}Stage I of the HPMP was approved at a funding level of up to US \$647,000, based on an estimated consumption of 22.6 ODP tonnes. However, the funding level associated with stage I of the HPMP should be up to US \$332,500, based on a revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption of 7.51 ODP tonnes. The funding adjustment will be made when stage II of the HPMP is approved.

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

- 1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:
 - (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase-out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year:
 - (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
 - (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
 - (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
 - (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

- 1. All the monitoring activities will be coordinated and managed through the National Ozone Unit, which is included within this HPMP.
- 2. The Lead IA will have a particularly prominent role in the monitoring arrangements because of its mandate to monitor ODS imports, whose records will be used as a crosschecking reference in all the monitoring programmes for the different projects within the HPMP. The Lead IA, along with the Cooperating IA will also undertake the challenging task of monitoring illegal ODS imports and exports and advise the appropriate national agencies through the National Ozone Office.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
 - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
 - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
 - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
 - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
 - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
 - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
 - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
 - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
 - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
 - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
 - (1) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:
 - (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
 - (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

Annex XIII

REVISED UPDATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SENEGAL AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS

- 1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Republic of Senegal (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 13.62 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
- 2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
- 3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
- 4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
- 5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
 - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
 - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
 - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68th meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.
- 6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.
- 7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
 - (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
 - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
 - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
 - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
 - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
 - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
 - (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.
- 8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:
 - (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
 - (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

- 9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.
- 10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per subparagraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.
- 11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A ("Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply") in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
- 12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.
- 13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.
- 14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.
- 15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/85/67 Annex XIII

16. This revised updated Agreement supersedes the Agreement reached between the Government of the Republic of Senegal and the Executive Committee at the 77th meeting of the Executive Committee.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	С	I	20.96

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol	n/a	n/a	36.15	36.15	32.54	32.54	32.54	32.54	32.54	23.50	23.50	n/a
	reduction schedule												
	of Annex C, Group I												
	substances												
	(ODP tonnes)	,	,	20.04	20.04	2004	1001	1==0	4.4.00	4.7.00	10.10	10.10	,
1.2	Maximum allowable	n/a	n/a	20.96	20.96	20.96	18.86	17.70	16.80	15.90	13.62	13.62	n/a
	total consumption of												
	Annex C, Group I												
	substances (ODP tonnes)												
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP)	100,000	0	0	0	0	80,000	0	0	0	120,000	0	300,000
2.1	agreed funding	100,000	Ü	U	U	U	80,000	U	U	U	120,000	U	300,000
	(US \$)												
2.2	Support costs for	12,887	0	0	0	0	10,400	0	0	0	15,600	0	38,887
	Lead IA (US \$)	,					,				- ,		,
2.3	Cooperating IA	200,000	0	0	0	0	80,000	0	0	0	50,000	0	330,000
	(UNIDO)* agreed												
	funding (US \$)												
2.4	Support costs for	15,000	0	0	0	0	6,000	0	0	0	3,750	0	24,750
	Cooperating IA												
	(US \$)												
3.1	Total agreed funding	300,000	0	0	0	0	160,000	0	0	0	170,000	0	630,000
	(US \$)	2= 00=					4 5 400				10.070		
3.2	Total support costs	27,887	0	0	0	0	16,400	0	0	0	19,350	0	63,637
2.2	(US \$)	225 005					15 400				100.050		602 625
3.3	Total agreed costs	327,887	0	0	0	0	176,400	O	0	0	189,350	0	693,637
4.1.1	(US \$)	TEC 00	1. 1		1 1 .	1		ODD :					7.04
	Total phase-out of HC												7.34
	Phase-out of HCFC-2						ects (OD	P tonnes	5)				n/a
	Remaining eligible co				UP tonne	es)							13.62

^{*}UNIDO was the Lead IA for the first two tranches.

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

- 1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:
 - (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in subparagraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
 - (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
 - (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
 - (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
 - (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

- 1. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) is the central administrative unit established within the administrative structure of the Ministry of Environment, responsible for the co-ordination of governmental activities with respect to the ozone layer protection and facilitation of ODS phase-out.
- 2. The management of the implementation of the planned project activities will be allocated to the NOU in cooperation with UNEP as the Lead IA and UNIDO as Cooperating IA.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
 - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
 - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
 - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
 - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
 - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
 - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
 - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
 - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
 - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
 - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
 - (1) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:
 - (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
 - (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
 - (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$163 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.