



**United Nations  
Environment  
Programme**

Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/79/11  
13 June 2017



ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF  
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
Seventy-ninth Meeting  
Bangkok, 3-7 July 2017

**PROGRESS REPORT OF UN ENVIRONMENT AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2016**

1. This document presents the progress report of the United Nations Environment Programme (UN Environment) as of 31 December 2016.<sup>1</sup>

2. The document presents a summary of progress in implementation of projects for 2016 and cumulative since 1991. It contains a review on the status of implementation of each ongoing<sup>2</sup> project at the country level identifying projects with implementation delays and the potential impact on the phase-out of controlled substances, and projects with outstanding issues for consideration by the Executive Committee. Annex I to the present document presents for each ongoing project with outstanding issues a summary status and a recommendation for consideration by the Executive Committee. The document also includes a recommendation.

**Summary of progress in implementation of projects for 2016 and cumulative**

3. Implementation of projects and activities by UN Environment for 2016 and cumulative since 1991 up to 31 December 2016 is summarized as follows:

- (a) **Phase-out:** In 2016, zero ODP tonnes of consumption were phased out and 174.3 ODP tonnes of HCFC consumption were approved for phase-out. Since 1991, 1,551 ODP tonnes of consumption of ODS had been phased out of an expected total of 2,051 ODP tonnes from projects approved (excluding cancelled and transferred projects);
- (b) **Disbursements/approvals:** In 2016, US \$13.86 million was disbursed and US \$13.08 million was planned for disbursement based on the 2015 progress report representing a rate of disbursement of 106 per cent of that planned. Cumulatively,

<sup>1</sup> The progress report is attached to this document. The data has been included in the Consolidated Progress Report database that is available upon request.

<sup>2</sup> Ongoing projects are all projects that have been approved and were under implementation as of 31 December 2016. Key indicators of progress include: percentage of funds disbursed and percentage of projects that have begun disbursing funds; funding expected to be disbursed by the end of the year as a percentage of the approved funding; the average length of projected delay in implementation; and information provided in the remarks column in the progress report database.

US \$232.28 million had been disbursed out of the total US \$283.62 million approved for disbursement (excluding agency fees). This represents a rate of disbursement of 82 per cent. In 2016, US \$21.01 million was approved for implementation;

- (c) **Number of projects completed:** In 2016, 65 projects were completed. Since 1991, 1,634 projects of the 2,075 projects approved (excluding closed or transferred projects) were completed. This represents a completion rate of 79 per cent;
- (d) **Speed of delivery – non-investment projects:** Projects that were completed in 2016 were completed on average 49 months after their approval. Since 1991, the average time for completion of non-investment projects has been 36 months after their approval. First disbursements under these projects occurred, on average, 10 months after they had been approved;
- (e) **Project preparation:** Of the 192 project preparation activities approved by the end of 2016, 181 have been completed and 11 were ongoing. In 2016, six project preparation activities have been completed;
- (f) **Implementation delays:** There were a total of 429 non-investment projects under implementation at the end of 2016. These projects, on average, are experiencing a delay of 14 months. However, projects classified as “projects with implementation delays” that are subject to the procedures of project cancellation amount to two projects (as multi-year agreements (MYAs) are not subject to those procedures); and
- (g) **MYAs:** In 2016, UN Environment was implementing two MYAs for CFC or ODS, and 113 MYAs for HCFC phase-out management plans. Since 1991, 185 MYAs have been approved and 70 MYAs have been completed.

4. An analysis of UN Environment progress report is contained in Annex II to the present document.

### **Project implementation progress in 2016**

5. The Secretariat reviewed the status of project implementation on a country-by-country basis taking into account implementation delays that have occurred with respect to planned completion dates that had been reported in 2016, the potential impact of these delays on phase-out and the rate of planned disbursements.

6. Of the 279 ongoing projects excluding institutional strengthening (IS) and project preparation, 164 projects have extended planned dates of completion since the 2015 progress report. The Executive Committee may wish to note that UN Environment will report to the 80<sup>th</sup> meeting on two projects with implementation delays,<sup>3</sup> one of which was also classified as having implementation delays in 2015) (Annex I to the present document).

7. During the review of the progress report, the Secretariat had several discussions with UN Environment, where a number of issues on ongoing projects were satisfactorily resolved. However, issues could not be resolved for a number of projects or tranches of multi-year agreements for the phase-out of methyl bromide and HCFCs; projects for ODS waste disposal; and preparation of ODS alternative surveys; and renewal of IS projects, as shown in Annex I to the present document. For each ongoing project, a brief description on the status of implementation and the outstanding issues are presented and a recommendation is proposed for consideration by the Executive Committee.

---

<sup>3</sup> The Executive Committee has defined projects with implementation delays as projects approved over 18 months with disbursement less than one per cent, or projects that are expected to be completed 12 months later than forecast in the last progress report (decision 22/61).

## Recommendation

8. The Executive Committee may wish:
  - (a) To note:
    - (i) The progress report of the United Nations Environment Programme (UN Environment) as at 31 December 2016 contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/79/11;
    - (ii) That UN Environment would report to the 80<sup>th</sup> meeting on two projects with implementation delays and on 47 projects recommended for additional status reports, as indicated in Annex I to the present document;
  - (b) To approve the recommendations on ongoing projects with specific issues listed in the last column of the table contained in Annex I to the present document.



## Annex I

## ONGOING PROJECTS WITH OUTSTANDING ISSUES IN THE PROGRESS REPORT FOR UN ENVIRONMENT

Country	Project title/project code	Disbursement rate (%)	Status/Issues	Recommendation
<b>Methyl bromide projects</b>				
Trinidad and Tobago	Technical assistance to phase out the use of methyl bromide (TRI/FUM/65/TAS/28)		Project with implementation delays (12 months delay).	To request UN Environment to report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting on this project with implementation delays.
<b>ODS disposal projects</b>				
Nepal	Destruction of confiscated ODS (NEP/DES/59/TAS/27)		Project with implementation delays (12 months delay).	To request UN Environment to report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting on this project with implementation delays.
<b>ODS alternative surveys</b>				
Afghanistan, Algeria, Antigua and Barbuda, Bahrain, Barbados, Burundi, Benin, Bahamas (the), Burkina Faso, Brunei Darussalam, Belize, Cabo Verde, Chad, Cook Islands (the), Comoros (the), Djibouti, Democratic Republic of the Congo (the), Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the), Equatorial Guinea, Fiji, Micronesia, Gabon, Guinea-Bissau, Grenada, Guinea, Guyana, Iraq, Cote d'Ivoire, Jamaica, Kiribati, Kuwait, Lao People's Democratic Republic (the), Madagascar, Marshall Islands (the), Maldives, Mali, Mongolia, Mozambique, Myanmar, Nauru, Nepal, Niue, Pakistan, Rwanda, Samoa, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Saint Lucia, Sao Tome and Principe, Suriname, Turkmenistan, Timor-Leste, Togo, Tonga, Trinidad and Tobago, Palau, Tuvalu and Vanuatu.			Consultants were hired; in most cases the survey are underway, except for Algeria, where there was a lack of communication with the national ozone unit (NOU) and Myanmar, where the Agreement has not been signed yet.	To request UN Environment to submit the surveys on ODS alternatives to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting in accordance with decisions 74/53(h) and 78/2(c).
<b>Renewal of institutional strengthening projects</b>				
Myanmar	Phase IV: 1/2014-12/2015 (MYA/SEV/71/INS/15)	0	Agreement not signed.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the signing of the Agreement.
Nauru	Phase V: 8/2014-7/2016 (NAU/SEV/72/INS/09)	0	Agreement not signed.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the signing of the Agreement.

Country	Project title/project code	Disbursement rate (%)	Status/Issues	Recommendation
<b>Tranches of HCFC phase-out management plans</b>				
Albania	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) (ALB/PHA/75/TAS/31)	1	Low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Antigua and Barbuda	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (ANT/PHA/66/TAS/14)	59	The Agreement has expired and the new small scale funding agreement (SSFA) is being discussed. The financial and progress reports have not been submitted yet.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor implementation progress of this project approved over twelve meetings ago.
Barbados	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (BAR/PHA/69/TAS/22)	0	The project was delayed due to changes in the Government's administrative procedures. The Agreement has expired and the new SSFA is being discussed.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over nine meetings ago.
Central African Republic (the)	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (CAF/PHA/64/TAS/22)	60	UN Environment is discussing with the Ministry of the Environment to relaunch the activities since the security situation in the country has improved.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the resumption of the activities in the country.
Comoros (the)	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (COI/PHA/70/TAS/21)	0	Slow progress with low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over eight meetings ago.
Cote d'Ivoire	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (IVC/PHA/66/TAS/37)	57	Slow progress in implementation. The new Agreement was signed to complete the remaining activities.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor implementation progress of this project approved over twelve meetings ago.
Cote d'Ivoire	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (IVC/PHA/75/TAS/41)	0	The first payment was released in July 2016. Disbursement will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (DRC/PHA/70/TAS/38)	0	The first payment was released in February 2014. Disbursement will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over eight meetings ago.
Dominican Republic (the)	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector) (DOM/PHA/69/TAS/52)	0	Procurement of the identifiers is ongoing; however no disbursements have been made.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
El Salvador	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (ELS/PHA/65/TAS/28)	28	The SSFA combining the first and second tranches has not been signed yet and is awaiting the approval from the Ministry of External Affairs.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the signing of the Agreement and the low disbursement rate of approved funds.

Country	Project title/project code	Disbursement rate (%)	Status/Issues	Recommendation
El Salvador	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (ELS/PHA/74/TAS/32)	0		
Equatorial Guinea	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (EQG/PHA/74/TAS/08)	0	The first payment was released in September 2016. Disbursement will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Fiji	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (FIJ/PHA/73/TAS/28)	0	The Government pre-financed a number of activities since the first payment was released in September 2016. Disbursements will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Gambia (the)	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (GAM/PHA/71/TAS/28)	0	The first payment was released in April 2016. Disbursement will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over seven meetings ago.
Guatemala	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (GUA/PHA/64/TAS/42)	0	The Government asked for UN Environment direct implementation of the project to reduce transaction costs. The Agreement was signed in June 2016.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over fourteen meetings ago.
Guatemala	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) (GUA/PHA/75/TAS/50)	0	First disbursement has not been released yet.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Guinea	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (GUI/PHA/72/TAS/29)	0	The country used the IS funding to implement training activities. Disbursement will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over six meetings ago.
Guyana	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (GUY/PHA/74/TAS/24)	0	There were delays in engaging consultants for the technicians training.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Haiti	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (HAI/PHA/68/TAS/18)	43	The new Agreement is not signed yet.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the signing of the new Agreement and implementation progress of this project approved over ten meetings ago.
Honduras	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (HON/PHA/70/TAS/38)	0	Significant delay in signing the Agreement which took place only in December 2016.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over eight meetings ago.

Country	Project title/project code	Disbursement rate (%)	Status/Issues	Recommendation
India	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector and enabling activities) (IND/PHA/71/TAS/450)	0	The low disbursement is due to changes in the NOU, the need to focus on the investment component, and bank details not confirmed.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over seven meetings ago.
India	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector and enabling activities) (IND/PHA/75/TAS/466)	0		To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Iraq	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector) (IRQ/PHA/65/TAS/17)	8	A delay occurred due to funds disbursement procedures and security situation within the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over thirteen meetings ago.
Iraq	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector) (IRQ/PHA/74/TAS/22)	0	Security situation within the country. The Agreement is not signed yet. Given the low disbursement rate of approved funds under the first tranche, the second tranche funding is not being used.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the signing of the agreement and the low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Kuwait	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector and monitoring and verification) (KUW/PHA/66/TAS/19)	6	Slow progress with low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the implementation progress and low disbursement rate noting that this project was approved over twelve meeting ago.
Kuwait	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector and monitoring and verification) (KUW/PHA/74/TAS/23)	0	Due to delay in submitting the financial report of the first tranche the Agreement related to the second tranche was not signed.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor low disbursement rate of approved funds and signing of agreement.
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (LAO/PHA/74/TAS/27)	0	The first payment was released in May 2016. Disbursement will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Malawi	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (MLW/PHA/70/TAS/34)	0	Low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over eight meetings ago.



Country	Project title/project code	Disbursement rate (%)	Status/Issues	Recommendation
Maldives	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) (MDV/PHA/75/TAS/29)	0	Low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds
Mali	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (MLI/PHA/71/TAS/33)	0	Slow progress with low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over seven meetings ago.
Marshall Islands	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Marshall Islands) (MAS/PHA/74/TAS/11)	0	Slow progress with low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the implementation progress and low disbursement rate of approved funds
Mozambique	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (MOZ/PHA/73/TAS/25)	17	Low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over five meetings ago.
Myanmar	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (MYA/PHA/68/TAS/14)	1	Signing of the Agreement was delayed due to governmental internal procedures.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over ten meetings ago.
Nauru	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Nauru) (NAU/PHA/74/TAS/10)	0	Agreement is not signed yet because there is no NOO since May 2014.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting on the appointment of the NOO and the signing of the Agreement.
Nicaragua	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (NIC/PHA/74/TAS/31)	0	First payment was released in April 2016. Disbursement will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Oman	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (OMA/PHA/74/TAS/29)	0	Low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Pakistan	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) (PAK/PHA/73/TAS/90)	0	Delay resulted from <i>inter alia</i> , the absence of the NOO in 2015, the security situation in the country and changes within the Government.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds for this project approved over five meetings ago.

Country	Project title/project code	Disbursement rate (%)	Status/Issues	Recommendation
Palau	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Palau) (TTR/PHA/74/TAS/10)	0	Low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Paraguay	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (PAR/PHA/74/TAS/33)	0	The first payment was released in April 2016. Disbursement will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Philippines (the)	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (PHI/PHA/68/TAS/95)	28	The Agreement has expired since December 2015 and no further payment was made in 2016.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the signing of the new Agreement.
Qatar	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector) (QAT/PHA/65/TAS/17)	0	Agreement has not been signed yet. Several changes occurred within the NOU; the NOU is reinstated only recently.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting to monitor the signing of the Agreement and the low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over thirteen meetings ago.
Samoa	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Samoa) (SAM/PHA/74/TAS/18)	0	Low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Timor-Leste	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (TLS/PHA/69/TAS/09)	11	Slow progress with low disbursement rate of approved funds.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over nine meetings ago.
Tunisia	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector) (TUN/PHA/72/TAS/56)	0	Actual disbursement level is pending the submission of the expenditure report.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds.
Zambia	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (ZAM/PHA/71/TAS/28)	0	First payment released in April 2016. Disbursement will only be reflected upon receipt and recording of expenditure report from the country.	To request a status report to the 80 <sup>th</sup> meeting due to low disbursement rate of approved funds noting that this project was approved over seven meetings ago.

**Annex II**

**ANALYSIS THE PROGRESS REPORT OF UN ENVIRONMENT AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2016**

1. As of that date, the Executive Committee had approved US \$307.79 million consisting of US \$283.62 million for investment and non-investment projects and US \$24.18 million for agency fees and administrative support costs, as shown in Table 1. In 2016, 97 new projects and activities were approved. This level of funding is expected to result in the phase-out of 2,051 ODP tonnes of ODS consumption.

**Table 1: Approved funding by sector for UN Environment as at 31 December 2016**

<b>Sector</b>	<b>Funding (US \$)</b>
Aerosol	882,689
Destruction	232,200
Halon	767,640
Fumigants	2,614,366
Phase-out plan	48,417,525
Refrigeration	13,926,555
Several	216,575,428
Solvents	198,860
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>283,615,263</b>
Administrative cost	24,176,516
<b>Total</b>	<b>307,791,778</b>

2. A summary of the status of projects implemented by category is presented in Table 2.

**Table 2: Status of project implementation by category**

<b>Type</b>	<b>Number of projects*</b>			<b>Funding (US \$)</b>			
	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>% completed</b>	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Disbursed</b>	<b>Balance</b>	<b>% disbursed</b>
Country programme	94	94	100	2,946,574	2,946,574	0	100
Demonstration	4	1	25	655,000	179,578	475,422	27
Institutional strengthening	749	598	80	57,097,652	44,452,891	12,644,761	78
Investment	1	0	0	135,000	0	135,000	0
Project preparation	192	181	94	8,536,318	7,954,043	582,274	93
Technical assistance	839	564	67	199,952,161	162,452,202	37,499,959	81
Training	196	196	100	14,292,557	14,292,557	0	100
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,075</b>	<b>1,634</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>283,615,263</b>	<b>232,277,846</b>	<b>51,337,417</b>	<b>82</b>

\*Excludes closed and transferred projects.

3. Table 3 presents an overview of status of project implementation by year<sup>4</sup>. All projects and activities approved between 1991 and the end of 2006 have now been completed.

**Table 3: Status of project implementation by year**

Year	Number of projects*			Funding (US \$)			
	Approved	Completed	% completed	Approved	Disbursed	Balance	% disbursed
1991	11	11	100	1,372,335	1,372,335	0	100
1992	15	15	100	1,711,000	1,711,000	0	100
1993	49	49	100	4,194,343	4,194,343	0	100
1994	58	58	100	4,231,169	4,231,169	0	100
1995	50	50	100	4,047,683	4,047,683	0	100
1996	47	47	100	3,366,039	3,366,039	0	100
1997	87	87	100	7,962,291	7,962,291	0	100
1998	64	64	100	4,669,124	4,669,124	0	100
1999	87	87	100	6,368,983	6,368,983	0	100
2000	85	85	100	6,300,398	6,300,398	0	100
2001	59	59	100	7,411,159	7,411,159	0	100
2002	72	72	100	9,469,401	9,469,401	0	100
2003	78	78	100	11,165,394	11,165,394	0	100
2004	99	99	100	12,362,652	12,362,652	0	100
2005	72	72	100	12,339,483	12,339,483	0	100
2006	108	108	100	12,732,371	12,731,484	887	100
2007	79	78	99	14,735,695	14,401,931	333,764	98
2008	132	130	98	17,886,786	17,803,077	83,708	100
2009	116	110	95	17,701,620	16,877,894	823,726	95
2010	79	76	96	14,386,993	13,921,700	465,293	97
2011	100	83	83	17,426,036	16,101,820	1,324,215	92
2012	81	50	62	17,535,515	13,909,304	3,626,211	79
2013	92	43	47	14,367,298	11,467,087	2,900,211	80
2014	76	19	25	14,835,035	9,090,982	5,744,054	61
2015	182	4	2	24,029,179	8,853,381	15,175,798	37
2016	97	0	0	21,007,282	147,732	20,859,550	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,075</b>	<b>1,634</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>283,615,263</b>	<b>232,277,846</b>	<b>51,337,417</b>	<b>82</b>

\*Excludes closed and transferred projects.

4. Table 4 presents project implementation by country for 2016.

**Table 4. Summary of project implementation by UN Environment for 2016**

Country	Estimated Funds Disbursed in 2016 (US\$)	Funds Disbursed in 2016 (US\$)	Percentage of Funds Disbursed over Estimation in 2016	Percentage of Planned Projects Completed in 2016
Afghanistan	170,179	54,743	32	0
Albania	39,698	47,653	120	0
Algeria	137,213	124,836	91	

<sup>4</sup> The data is presented according to the year when a project was approved by the Executive Committee. It treats all approvals (investment and non-investment projects) equally (i.e., an investment project or a funding tranche of an MYA of US \$1 million is considered one project, same as a country programme preparation of US \$30,000). Key indicators from the annual summary are: the percentage of projects completed, ODP phased out, and percentage of funds disbursed. There are three types of disbursements: during implementation, after implementation and for retroactively-financed projects.

Country	Estimated Funds Disbursed in 2016 (US\$)	Funds Disbursed in 2016 (US\$)	Percentage of Funds Disbursed over Estimation in 2016	Percentage of Planned Projects Completed in 2016
Angola	80,655	69,555	86	50
Antigua and Barbuda	63,615	19,812	31	0
Armenia	27,551	37,049	134	67
Bahamas (the)	47,478	0	0	0
Bahrain	121,194	20,000	17	33
Bangladesh	36,000	2,456	7	0
Barbados	145,495	99,487	68	0
Belize	25,806	62,798	243	50
Benin	81,000	47,611	59	0
Bhutan	78,785	107,826	137	75
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	14,000	0	0	
Botswana	76,495	112,751	147	0
Brunei Darussalam	84,902	89,528	105	33
Burkina Faso	69,560	70,943	102	0
Burundi	88,500	0	0	0
Cambodia	75,054	178,060	237	67
Cameroon	65,545	39,883	61	
Cabo Verde	52,777	222	0	0
Central African Republic (the)	36,000	0	0	
Chad	76,800	100,126	130	50
Chile	47,717	32,482	68	60
China	1,089,978	862,959	79	67
Colombia	24,753	661	3	
Comoros (the)	61,900	13,929	23	0
Congo (the)	66,500	50,215	76	0
Cook Islands	52,517	54,868	104	0
Cote d'Ivoire	161,584	453	0	0
Croatia	18,726	62,421	333	100
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	83,799	2,015	2	50
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	73,625	32,121	44	0
Djibouti	45,799	12,057	26	0
Dominica	48,667	0	0	
Dominican Republic (the)	41,563	70,521	170	0
Ecuador	39,764	105,704	266	0
Egypt	14,500	0	0	0
El Salvador	41,328	11,910	29	0
Equatorial Guinea	97,740	131	0	0
Eritrea	37,000	2,550	7	50
Ethiopia	48,000	45,050	94	0
Fiji	47,331	51,104	108	0
Gabon	111,799	170,096	152	0
Gambia (the)	47,760	42,322	89	0
Ghana	16,500	50,500	306	0
Grenada	64,179	36,001	56	0
Guatemala	38,930	0	0	0
Guinea	74,253	0	0	0
Guinea-Bissau	28,046	703	3	0
Guyana	57,750	87,697	152	0
Haiti	64,987	37,517	58	0
Honduras	61,447	22,175	36	0

Country	Estimated Funds Disbursed in 2016 (US\$)	Funds Disbursed in 2016 (US\$)	Percentage of Funds Disbursed over Estimation in 2016	Percentage of Planned Projects Completed in 2016
India	135,549	21,829	16	100
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	30,085	0	0	
Iraq	483,572	164,963	34	17
Jamaica	60,221	28,133	47	0
Kenya	100,801	46,635	46	0
Kiribati	26,419	39,748	150	0
Kuwait	248,598	62,218	25	20
Kyrgyzstan	86,196	159,548	185	0
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	74,502	50,774	68	33
Lesotho	30,000	19,976	67	33
Liberia	50,572	5,089	10	50
Madagascar	56,910	134,171	236	33
Malawi	62,532	75,178	120	0
Maldives	73,327	138,089	188	50
Mali	85,192	132,457	155	0
Marshall Islands (the)	43,707	22,806	52	33
Mauritania	28,887	0	0	0
Mauritius	25,650	27,004	105	0
Micronesia (Federated States of)	38,535	5,590	15	0
Republic of Moldova (the)	25,591	35,936	140	50
Mongolia	43,732	97,628	223	67
Morocco	91,254	0	0	
Mozambique	44,839	19,103	43	0
Myanmar	97,112	49,279	51	0
Namibia	55,200	56,843	103	0
Nauru	45,371	5,444	12	0
Nepal	49,740	21,275	43	0
Nicaragua	57,718	139,226	241	100
Niger (the)	33,638	39,586	118	
Nigeria	19,500	45,450	233	0
Niue	49,107	63,317	129	67
Oman	46,416	14,719	32	33
Pakistan	91,199	160,664	176	33
Palau	45,510	5,000	11	0
Panama	7,274	7,292	100	50
Paraguay	85,496	45,444	53	0
Peru	70,578	20,216	29	50
Philippines (the)	477,949	399,935	84	50
Qatar	39,000	2,371	6	0
Rwanda	56,500	31,221	55	33
Saint Kitts and Nevis	43,560	0	0	0
Saint Lucia	41,650	33,330	80	50
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	60,000	29,903	50	
Samoa	56,436	29,060	51	0
Sao Tome and Principe	49,330	54,576	111	50
Saudi Arabia	210,531	30,315	14	0
Senegal	104,384	0	0	0
Serbia	9,365	1,382	15	0
Seychelles	51,000	18,586	36	33
Sierra Leone	72,249	20,482	28	25
Solomon Islands	55,920	59,435	106	67

Country	Estimated Funds Disbursed in 2016 (US\$)	Funds Disbursed in 2016 (US\$)	Percentage of Funds Disbursed over Estimation in 2016	Percentage of Planned Projects Completed in 2016
Somalia	12,150	0	0	0
South Sudan	14,490	8,790	61	0
Sri Lanka	30,104	28,447	94	50
Sudan (the)	111,714	45,705	41	0
Suriname	59,650	66,286	111	0
Swaziland	55,321	55	0	25
Timor-Leste	49,201	24,650	50	0
Togo	35,532	53,648	151	0
Tonga	49,982	23,675	47	0
Trinidad and Tobago	40,500	46,083	114	0
Tunisia	3,000	0	0	
Turkey	11,714	673	6	
Turkmenistan	21,000	32	0	0
Tuvalu	35,943	32,752	91	0
United Republic of Tanzania (the)	36,000	0	0	0
Uganda	31,487	6,568	21	33
Vanuatu	44,317	50,746	115	33
Viet Nam	44,386	102,069	230	
Yemen	227,426	44,284	19	0
Zambia	43,648	0	0	0
Zimbabwe	77,416	56,322	73	50
Global	3,541,254	7,418,394	209	0
Region: ASP	66,506	26,444	40	100
Region: EUR	7,433	6,282	85	0
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>13,081,322</b>	<b>13,856,632</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>22</b>

**Version 2 – 31 May 2017**

**UNEP  
ANNUAL PROGRESS AND FINANCIAL REPORT  
1 JANUARY – 31 DECEMBER 2016**

PRESENTED TO THE  
79TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION  
OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

DATE July 2017



United Nations Environment Programme



## CONTENTS

I.	PROJECT APPROVALS AND DISBURSEMENTS.....	4
A.	Annual Summary Data.....	4
B.	Interest.....	4
C.	Summary Data by Type (CPG, DEM, INS, INV, PRP, TAS, TRA).....	4
D.	Multi-year Agreements.....	4
E.	Sector Phase-Out by Country.....	5
II.	PROJECT COMPLETIONS SINCE LAST REPORT.....	5
A.	ODP Phased Out Since Last Report.....	5
B.	Non-Investment Project Completions Since Last Report.....	5
(a)	Global Projects.....	6
(b)	Regional Projects.....	6
IV.	Performance Indicators.....	6
(a)	Agency Business Plan Performance Goals.....	6
(b)	Cumulative Completed Investment Projects.....	7
(c)	Cumulated Completed Non-Investment Projects.....	7
(d)	Cumulative Ongoing Investment Projects.....	8
(e)	Cumulative Ongoing Non-Investment Projects.....	8
V.	STATUS OF AGREEMENTS AND PROJECT PREPARATION (WHERE APPLICABLE), BY COUNTRY.....	8
(a)	Agreements to be signed/executed/finalized and when they will be ready for disbursing.....	8
(b)	Project Preparation by country, approved amount, and amount disbursed.....	8
VI.	ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES (OPERATIONAL, POLICY, FINANCIAL, AND OTHER ISSUES).....	9
(a)	Meetings Attended.....	9
(b)	Implementing Agency and Other Co-operation.....	9
(c)	Other Issues.....	9
Annex I:	Country Developments.....	9
A.	Highlights of projects and activities (other than IS).....	10
B.	Institutional strengthening.....	10
C.	Activities that were provided by UNEP from its administrative costs.....	11
D.	Activities conducted by UNEP to assist other agencies.....	11

## INTRODUCTION

UN Environment (UNEP) is submitting this Annual Financial and Progress Report (APR) covering the period 1 January to 31 December 2016 for the consideration of the 79th meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.

UNEP followed the Multilateral Fund's *Revised Operational Guidelines for Progress and Financial Reporting*,<sup>1</sup> as well as guidance received during the first Inter-Agency Coordination Meeting of 2017 (19-24 February) when developing this APR.

This APR details project implementation assistance UNEP provided to developing countries operating under Article 5 of the Montreal Protocol ("Article 5 countries") in accordance with UNEP's 2015-2017 Business Plan, which was endorsed by the 73rd meeting of the Executive Committee.<sup>2</sup>

The focus and orientation of UNEP's work in 2016 continued to be defined by the evolving needs of Article 5 countries as they progress in their implementation of the Montreal Protocol, and as they meet and sustain compliance with specific obligations. UNEP met its Business Plan objectives by following three parallel tracks of assistance for Article 5 countries:

- Track 1: Support Article 5 countries to implement responsibilities related to HCFC phase out, expeditiously meet the 2015 HCFC control measures and prepare for 2020 and beyond.
- Track 2: Foster strong partnerships in Article 5 countries to ensure sustainable compliance of phase-out targets already met.
- Track 3: Awareness, knowledge-sharing and capacity building services that promote substitutes, and alternatives to ODS that maximize safety and minimize the impact on the environment in support of Decision XIX/6.

UNEP delivered its Business Plan through project-related services at the global, regional and national levels, including new and ongoing projects related to HPMP preparation and implementation, Institutional Strengthening, and various other training and technical assistance projects.

UNEP offered assistance to a majority of Article 5 countries to achieve sustained compliance. UNEP prioritize and delivered assistance on the basis of countries' differentiated needs and priorities. In conformity with its mandate, UNEP dedicated most of its resources to addressing specific problems of low volume consuming countries (LVCs) and very low volume consuming countries (VLVCs). UNEP complemented, supported and backstopped the work of the other Implementing and bilateral Agencies in areas of its comparative advantage and expertise whenever opportune and agreed upon with the agencies concerned.

In 2016, UNEP in cooperation with the other Implementing and Bilateral Agencies provided significant advisory and project implementation support to Article 5 countries that resulted in an overall high note of compliance with the Montreal Protocol and its Amendments by those countries.

UNEP has previously presented to the 77th meeting of the Executive Committee a partial CAP Progress Report for the period October 2015- July 2016 as part of its 2017 Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) budget request.<sup>3</sup> UNEP will present the details of the balance support provided by CAP in 2016 (i.e. August-December) to the 80th Meeting of the Executive Committee as part of UNEP's 2018 CAP Work Programme and Budget request.

---

<sup>1</sup> MLF/IACM.2014/1/11. Third Revision, 24 February 2014.

<sup>2</sup> UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/21.

<sup>3</sup> UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/77/32.

## I. PROJECT APPROVALS AND DISBURSEMENTS

### A. Annual Summary Data

From June 1991 until the end of December 2016, the Executive Committee approved 2088 projects for implementation by UNEP. The total funding approved for UNEP was US\$ 307,791,779 including programme support costs (PSC), which represents about 9% of the total funding allocation to date approved by the Multilateral Fund for all Implementing Agencies and bilateral agencies. Of these projects, 750 were time-sensitive Institutional Strengthening (IS) projects and 1338 were objective-sensitive projects.

During 2016, the Executive Committee approved 97 new projects for UNEP to implement, including the 2016 CAP budget. The total value of those approvals was US\$ 21,007,282 plus PSC of US\$ 1,597,814.

Type	Code	Number approved 1991-2015	Number approved 2016	Total
Country Programmes	CPG	95	0	95
Demonstration	DEM	2	2	4
Institutional Strengthening	INS	709	41	750
Investment project	INV	1	0	1
Project preparation	PRP	196	0	196
Technical assistance	TAS	792	54	846
Training	TRA	196	0	196
<b>Total</b>		1991	97	2088

Annex 1: Annual Summary provides details.

### B. Interest

The interest accrued from 1991-2016 was US\$ 10,150,370 as follows:

Year	Interest (US\$)
1991-2015	9,906,150
2016	261,449.16
Total	10,167,599.16

### C. Summary Data by Type (CPG, DEM, INS, INV, PRP, TAS, TRA)

The 97 projects that the Executive Committee approved in 2016 for UNEP implementation at the level of US\$ 21,007,282 plus PSC of US\$1,597,814. Of those, 41 were time-sensitive IS projects and 56 were objective-sensitive activities comprising:

Type	Code	Number
Institutional Strengthening	INS	41
Investment project	INV	0
Project preparation	PRP	0
Technical assistance	TAS	56
Total		97

See Annex 1: Summary Data by Projects Type.

### D. Multi-year Agreements

From June 1991 until the end of December 2016, the Executive Committee has approved a cumulative 572 MYAs (PHA) for implementation by UNEP. The total funding approved for UNEP for MYAs during this period was US\$ 48,417,525 plus adjustments excluding programme support costs (PSC). UNEP has received a total of US\$ 283,615,263 out of which US\$ 232,277,846 has been disbursed (i.e. 81.89%).

**E. Sector Phase-Out by Country**

N/A

**II. PROJECT COMPLETIONS SINCE LAST REPORT**

**A. ODP Phased Out Since Last Report**

UNEP only implements non-investment projects (i.e. capacity building and technical assistance). Due to the nature of these projects, the directly associated ODP phase out is either zero or small according to the approved projects. The cumulative ODP phase-out achieved as a result of all completed UNEP projects to date is 1,550.9 ODP tonnes.

Type	Amount phased out 1991-2015 (ODP tonnes)	Amount phased out 2016 (ODP tonnes)	Total
INS	145.0	0.0	145.0
TAS	658.1	0.0	658.1
TRA	747.8	0.0	747.8
	1,550.9	0.0	1,550.9

**B. Non-Investment Project Completions Since Last Report**

During 2016, UNEP completed 55 projects as follows for a total value of US\$ 5,450,434 excluding PSC:

Type	Code	Number
Institutional Strengthening	INS	18
Technical assistance	TAS	33
Training	TRA	0
Country Programmes	CPG	0
Project preparation	PRP	3
Demonstration	DEM	1
Total		55

The completion of projects by region was as follows:

Region	Number
Africa	17
Asia and the Pacific	23
Europe and Central Asia	2
Latin America and the Caribbean	9
West Asia	4
Total	55

See Annex II, Completed Non-Investment Projects for details.

### III. GLOBAL AND REGIONAL PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS

#### (a) Global Projects

During this APR reporting period, UNEP implemented 2 global projects:

Compliance Assistance Programme: 2015 (GLO/SEV/73/TAS/323)

Compliance Assistance Programme: 2016 (GLO/SEV/75/TAS/329)

#### (b) Regional Projects

During this APR reporting period, UNEP implemented 12 regional projects:

ASP/PHA/74/TAS/58	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche)
CKI/PHA/74/TAS/11	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Cook Islands)
FSM/PHA/74/TAS/10	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Federated States of Micronesia)
KIR/PHA/74/TAS/11	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Kiribati)
NIU/PHA/74/TAS/10	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Niue)
SAM/PHA/74/TAS/18	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Samoa)
SOI/PHA/74/TAS/11	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Solomon Islands)
TON/PHA/74/TAS/10	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Tonga)
TTR/PHA/74/TAS/10	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Palau)
TUV/PHA/74/TAS/11	HCFC phase-out management plan for PIC countries through regional approach (stage I, second tranche, Tuvalu)
GLO/REF/76/DEM/334	Demonstration project on refrigerant quality, containment and introduction of low-global warming potential alternatives (Eastern Africa and Caribbean regions)
ASP/REF/76/DEM/59	Promoting alternative refrigerants in air-conditioning for high ambient countries in West Asia (PRAHA-II)

### IV. Performance Indicators

#### (a) Agency Business Plan Performance Goals

Through decision 73/30, the Executive Committee adopted the following performance indicators for UNEP for 2016:<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup> UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62, Annex VII.

Type of indicator	Short title	Calculation	2016 target	UNEP assessment of 2016 results achieved
Planning--Approval	Tranches approved	Number of tranches approved vs. those planned*	74	46
Planning--Approval	Projects/activities approved	Number of projects/activities approved vs. those planned (including project preparation activities)**	59	51
Implementation	Funds disbursed	Based on estimated disbursement in progress report	US\$ 14,887,387	US\$ 13,722,793.58 (with PSC)  US\$ 12,132,235.01 disbursements without PSC)
Implementation	ODS phase-out	ODS phase-out for the tranche when the next tranche is approved vs. those planned per business plans	69,860DP	0 ODP
Implementation	Project completion for activities	Project completion vs. planned in progress reports for all activities (excluding project preparation)	119	116
Administrative	Speed of financial completion	The extent to which projects are financially completed 12 months after project completion	14	14
Administrative	Timely submission of project completion reports	Timely submission of project completion reports vs. those agreed	Yes	Yes
Administrative	Timely submission of progress reports	Timely submission of progress reports and business plans and responses unless otherwise agreed	Yes	Yes

\* The target of an agency would be reduced if it could not submit a tranche owing to another cooperating or lead agency, if agreed by that agency.

\*\* Project preparation should not be assessed if the Executive Committee has not taken a decision on its funding.

**(b) Cumulative Completed Investment Projects**

Not applicable to UNEP.

**(c) Cumulated Completed Non-Investment Projects**

UNEP has completed a total of 55 non-investment projects excluding project preparation assistance. Of the total amount of US\$ 5,164,834 approved 69.5% has been disbursed. It took an average 6

months from first approval and 36 months from approval to completion. The breakdown and details are provided in the Annexes.

**(d) Cumulative Ongoing Investment Projects**

Not applicable to UNEP.

**(e) Cumulative Ongoing Non-Investment Projects**

UNEP has 430 ongoing non-investment projects excluding project preparation assistance. Of the total amount of US\$ 69,671,689, approved 32.3 % has been disbursed. It took an average of 6 months from approval for the first disbursement. The average number of months from approval to planned completion is 32 months. The breakdown and details are provided in the Annexes.

**V. STATUS OF AGREEMENTS AND PROJECT PREPARATION (WHERE APPLICABLE), BY COUNTRY**

**(a) Agreements to be signed/executed/finalized and when they will be ready for disbursing**

In 2016, UNEP assisted the following 41 countries with the preparation and submission of their IS renewal requests, and then with the establishment of agreements for the project implementation:

Angola	Cape Verde	Seychelles	Tonga
Burkina Faso	Chad	Sierra Leone	Palau
Djibouti	Cameroon	Sudan	Niue
Equatorial Guinea	Comoros	Afghanistan	Guyana
Guinea	Gabon	Bhutan	Barbados
Kenya	Liberia	Cambodia	Grenada
Mali	Niger	Mongolia	Haiti
Zimbabwe	Congo	Philippines	Honduras
Burundi	Rwanda	Samoa	Jamaica
Benin	Senegal	Solomon Islands	Nicaragua

These renewals represents 38% of all of the IS projects in UNEP's project portfolio:

Region	Total number of countries assisted by UNEP for IS	Number of IS renewals approved in 2016
Africa	47	17
Asia Pacific	26	12
West Asia	5	3
Europe & Central Asia	5	3
Latin America & Caribbean	23	6
Total	106	41

**(b) Project Preparation by country, approved amount, and amount disbursed**

UNEP has 4 completed project preparation activities totaling US\$ 315,600, of which 24.6% has been disbursed. It took an average 6 months from first approval and 36 months from approval to completion. As of 31 December 2016, UNEP also has 11 ongoing project preparation activities totaling US\$ 372,000, of which 15.4 % has been disbursed.

## **VI. ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES (OPERATIONAL, POLICY, FINANCIAL, AND OTHER ISSUES)**

### **(a) Meetings Attended**

UNEP participated in the following global Montreal Protocol meetings in 2016:

Meeting	Date and venue
1 <sup>st</sup> Inter-Agency Coordination meeting	29 February – 4 March, Montreal
76th Executive Committee meeting	9-13 May 2016, Montreal
37th Open-Ended Working Group meeting	4-8 April, Geneva
Resumed 37th Open-Ended Working Group meeting	15-16 July, Vienna
38th Open-Ended Working Group meeting	18-21 July, Vienna
3rd Extraordinary Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol	22-23 July, Vienna
56th Implementation Committee meeting	24 July, Vienna
2 <sup>nd</sup> Inter-Agency Coordination meeting	31 August – 1 September, Montreal
Resumed 38th Open-Ended Working Group meeting	8 October, Kigali
57th Implementation Committee meeting	9 October, Kigali
28th Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol	10-14 October, Kigali
77th Executive Committee meeting	28 November – 2 December, Montreal

UNEP will provide an update of the regional/national meetings in which it participated in 2016, as well as meetings organized by CAP itself, in the 2018 CAP Work Programme and Budget which is being submitted to the 80th meeting of the Executive Committee.

### **(b) Implementing Agency and Other Co-operation**

UNEP's work under the Multilateral Fund is performed in close cooperation and coordination with the Multilateral Fund Secretariat, Ozone Secretariat, the other Implementing Agencies (UNDP, UNIDO, World Bank), bilateral agencies, and other partners at the international, regional and national levels.

Regional Network meetings. UNEP invited the Multilateral Fund Secretariat, Ozone Secretariat, and other Implementing Agencies to all of the main meetings of the Regional Networks of Ozone Officers. This action facilitated the work of the Secretariats and agencies by providing a convenient platform for conveying their key messages to Article 5 countries in a given region, easy access to Ozone Officers and partners for bilateral discussions on compliance issues, and opportunities to discuss and advance their agency's project preparation and implementation activities.

HPMP implementation. UNEP implements many of its projects on the ground in countries jointly with other Implementing Agencies, where each agency co-implements complementary parts of a single project. For example, in 2016 UNEP was the Lead Agency for the HPMP implementation for 72 Article 5 countries, and the Cooperating Agency for the HPMPs of 30 countries. UNEP cooperated with UNIDO 52 countries, UNDP 27 countries, Germany 1 country and Japan 1 country for HPMP implementation.

### **(c) Other Issues**

No issues.

## **Annex I: Country Developments**



**A. Highlights of projects and activities (other than IS)**

**B. Institutional strengthening**

UNEP assisted the following 106 countries with the implementation of their IS projects in 2016:

Afghanistan	Fiji	Niger
Albania	Gabon	Niue
Algeria	Gambia	Palau
Angola	Grenada	Panama
Antigua and Barbuda	Guatemala	Paraguay
Bahamas	Guinea	Peru
Bahrain	Guinea-Bissau	Philippines
Barbados	Guyana	Republic of Moldova
Belize	Haiti	Rwanda
Benin	Honduras	Saint Kitts and Nevis
Bhutan	Iraq	Saint Lucia
Bolivia	Jamaica	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Botswana	Kenya	Samoa
Brunei Darussalam	Kiribati	Sao Tome and Principe
Burkina Faso	Kuwait	Saudi Arabia
Burundi	Kyrgyzstan	Senegal
Cambodia	Lao People's Democratic Republic	Seychelles
Cameroon	Lesotho	Sierra Leone
Cape Verde	Liberia	Solomon Islands
Central African Republic	Madagascar	Somalia
Chad	Malawi	South Sudan
Comoros	Maldives	Sudan
Congo	Mali	Suriname
Cook Islands	Marshall Islands	Swaziland
Cote d'Ivoire	Mauritania	Tanzania
Croatia	Mauritius	Timor Leste
DPR Korea	Micronesia FS	Togo
DR Congo	Mongolia	Tonga
Djibouti	Morocco	Tuvalu
Dominica	Mozambique	Uganda
Dominican Republic	Myanmar	Vanuatu
Ecuador	Namibia	Vietnam
El Salvador. Equatorial Guinea	Nauru	Yemen
Eritrea	Nepal	Zambia
Ethiopia	Nicaragua	Zimbabwe

There has been turnover of Ozone Officers in some countries and some political instability in other countries which impacted the progress of implementation.

**C. Activities that were provided by UNEP from its administrative costs**

As per the approved 2016 CAP Work Programme and Budget, UNEP funded two posts from programme support costs in 2016 to provide administrative and financial support for the programme:

- Monitoring & Administration Officer, P3 (budget line 1104)
- Assistant Monitoring & Administration, G6 (budget line 1304).

**D. Activities conducted by UNEP to assist other agencies**

Implementing joint activities with partner implementing agencies proved to be cost effective and strategic in meeting country and regional needs. Several examples are highlighted below:

- HPMP Lead Agency. In its role as Lead Agency for HPMPs, UNEP coordinated with and supported the activities of the Cooperating Agencies for project preparation, reporting and liaison with the National Ozone Unit and Multilateral Fund Secretariat.
- Joint missions to Article 5 countries. UNEP undertook a number of joint field missions with sister agencies UNDP and UNIDO for HPMPs implementation activities and addressing specific compliance issues. Such missions resulted in enhanced and coordinated delivery of services at the national level.
- Non-investment support in Article 5 countries where UNEP is not the Lead Agency: Upon request of the NOU or Implementing Agency, UNEP provided substantive non-investment services in several countries even though it does not have a project or Lead Agency role in that country.