



**United Nations
Environment
Programme**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/6
20 April 2015



ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Seventy-fourth Meeting
Montreal, 18-22 May 2015

TRANCHE SUBMISSION DELAYS

Introduction

1. Pursuant to decision 53/3(c)¹, the Secretariat has prepared this document on tranche submission delays of multi-year agreements (MYAs). The document presents actions taken by the Secretariat on decisions on tranche submission delays taken by the Executive Committee at its 73rd meeting; an analysis of each of the tranches that were not submitted to the 74th meeting; reasons why tranches that were submitted were subsequently withdrawn; and recommendations.

Follow-up to decisions taken on tranche submission delays at the 73rd meeting

2. Pursuant to decision 73/4(b), the Secretariat sent letters to the Governments of the following countries to urge the submission of the next tranche of their MYA to the 74th meeting: Algeria, Angola, Argentina, Armenia, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Central African Republic (the), Cote d'Ivoire, Equatorial Guinea, Guyana, Haiti, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Peru, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Suriname, Thailand, Yemen.

3. As a result, the Governments of Argentina², Armenia³, Bahrain⁴, Bangladesh⁵, Equatorial Guinea⁶, Guyana⁷, Iraq⁸, Jordan⁹, Kuwait¹⁰, Senegal¹¹, Suriname¹² and Thailand¹³ submitted respective tranches of their HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) to the 74th meeting.

¹ To request the Fund Secretariat to proceed with the inclusion of information on submission delays in the context of its review of the implementation of business plans at the second and third Meetings of each year.

² UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/19.

³ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/20.

⁴ Submitted and subsequently withdrawn by UNEP during the project review process.

⁵ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/21.

⁶ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/30.

⁷ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/32.

Analysis of tranches not submitted to the 74th meeting

4. Thirty-five activities associated with tranches of HPMPs for 24 countries, at a total value of US \$10,887,445 including agency support costs, were not submitted¹⁴ as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Tranches not submitted to the 74th meeting

Country	Agency	Tranche	Amount (with support costs)	20% disbursement achieved	Reason for delay	Impact on compliance	2013 consumption below freeze	Agreement signed
Albania	UNIDO	2015	43,600	No	Government/changes in National Ozone Unit (NOU)/verification report/low disbursement	No	Yes	Yes
Albania	UNEP	2015	25,990	Yes	Verification report	No	Yes	Yes
Algeria	UNIDO	2014	154,800	No	Enterprise/level of grant/low disbursement	No	Yes	N/A
Angola	UNDP	2013	33,911	No	Scheduling of tranches due to previous delayed approval/low disbursement	Unlikely	Yes	Yes
Antigua and Barbuda	UNEP	2015	6,610	Yes	Sufficient funds from current tranche	No	Yes	Yes
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Germany	2015	72,885	No	Transfer requested from Germany to UNIDO ¹⁵	-	-	-
Chile	UNEP	2014	30,535	No	Scheduling of tranches due to previous delayed approval/low disbursement	Unlikely	Yes	No
Chile	UNDP	2014	120,980	No	Scheduling of tranches due to previous delayed approval/low disbursement	Unlikely	Yes	Yes
Cote d'Ivoire	UNEP	2014	212,998	Yes	Verification report	No	Yes	Yes
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	UNEP	2015	54,805	No	Sanctions/low disbursement	No	No*	Yes
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	UNIDO	2015	458,153	No	Sanctions/travel restrictions to the country/low disbursement	No	No*	Yes
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	UNEP	2015	26,555	Yes	Government/changes in NOU	No	Yes	Yes
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	UNDP	2015	26,160	No	Procurement difficulties/low disbursement	No	Yes	Yes
Haiti	UNDP	2014	105,860	N/A	Delay of lead agency tranche	No	Yes	N/A
Haiti	UNEP	2014	33,900	Yes	Government/change of minister/quota system requirement	No	Yes	Not required
Kenya	France	2015	197,596	Yes	Verification report	No	Yes	N/P
Maldives	UNEP	2015	113,746	Yes	Verification report	Unlikely	Yes	Yes

⁸ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/34.

⁹ Submitted and subsequently withdrawn by UNIDO and the World Bank during the project review process.

¹⁰ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/35.

¹¹ Submitted and subsequently withdrawn by UNEP and UNIDO during the project review process.

¹² UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/47.

¹³ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/48.

¹⁴ For comparison, at the 73rd meeting, 20 tranches that were due were not submitted.

¹⁵ Addressed in Status reports and reports on projects with specific reporting requirements (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/12).

Country	Agency	Tranche	Amount (with support costs)	20% disbursement achieved	Reason for delay	Impact on compliance	2013 consumption below freeze	Agreement signed
Montenegro	UNIDO	2015	105,888	No	Enterprise/level of grant/low disbursement	No	Yes	No
Myanmar	UNEP	2015	21,470	No	Government/signing of agreement/low disbursement	No	Yes	No
Myanmar	UNIDO	2015	65,400	No	Delay of lead agency tranche	No	Yes	N/A
Peru	UNEP	2013 and 2015	28,250	Yes	Government/changes in NOU/signing of agreement	No	Yes	No
Peru	UNDP	2013 and 2015	135,891	No	Government/signing of agreement/low disbursement	Unlikely	Yes	Yes
Qatar	UNIDO	2013 and 2015	731,745	Yes	Government/endorsement letter	No	Yes	Yes
Qatar	UNEP	2013 and 2015	231,650	Yes	Government/signing of agreement	No	Yes	No
Saint Lucia	UNIDO	2015	4,144	Yes	Delay of lead agency tranche	No	Yes	N/A
Saint Lucia	UNEP	2015	10,760	Yes	Re-scheduling of tranches in decision 70/23(b)(i)	No	Yes	Yes
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	UNEP	2015	158,200	Yes	Re-scheduling of tranches in decision 70/23(b)(i)	No	Yes	Yes
Saudi Arabia	UNEP	2015	281,418	No	Government/signing of agreement/low disbursement	No	Yes	No
Saudi Arabia	UNIDO	2014 and 2015	3,174,262	No	Scheduling of tranches due to previous delayed approval/low disbursement	No	Yes	Yes
Seychelles	Germany	2015	202,800	No	Government/low disbursement	No	Yes	Yes
Timor-Leste	UNDP	2015	11,641	Yes	Sufficient funds from current tranche	Unlikely	Yes	Yes
Timor-Leste	UNEP	2015	18,532	Yes	Sufficient funds from current tranche	No	Yes	Yes
Turkey	UNIDO	2015	2,675,000	Yes	Government/NOU/verification report	No	Yes	Yes
Viet Nam	World Bank	2015	1,124,860	Yes	Re-scheduling of tranches in decision 70/23(b)(i)	No	Yes	Yes
Yemen	UNEP	2014	186,450	Yes	Political instability/verification report	N/A	Yes	Yes
Total			10,887,445					

* No, but in compliance with action plan (decision XXVI/15).

N/P: not provided.

Reason for delays and status of HPMPs

5. The reasons for delays include: enterprise/level of grant (2), government (11), changes to NOU (4), change of Minister/quota system (1), endorsement letter (1), signature of agreements (6), delay of lead agency tranche (3), political instability (1), procurement (1), sanctions (2), verification report (7), scheduling of tranches due to previous delayed approval (4), re-scheduling of tranches in decision 70/23(b)(i) (3), and sufficient funds from previous tranches (3).

6. Table 1 also indicates that the delays in the submission of HPMP tranches past due have not had an impact on compliance or were unlikely to have an impact. Moreover, these countries had achieved the 2013 freeze except for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, which was found to be in compliance by the Parties to the Montreal Protocol and had submitted an action plan to return to compliance (decision XXVI/15). All outstanding tranches are expected to be submitted to the 75th meeting except Maldives, Qatar and Yemen which may be submitted to the 76th meeting.

7. The following section addresses by country the reason for the delay in tranche submission and the status of implementation of HPMPs.

Albania (UNEP and UNIDO) – Government/changes in NOU/verification report/low disbursement

8. UNIDO indicated that delays were due to a new National Ozone Officer (NOO) being appointed. Since then, a meeting was held with the new NOO in November 2014, a work plan was agreed upon, a contract was issued for the verification report, and disbursement is imminent and should enable the country to meet the 20 per cent disbursement threshold.

Algeria (UNIDO) – Enterprise/level of grant/low disbursement

9. This tranche was due three meetings ago. UNIDO indicated that it was difficult to overtake all accumulated delays from the time when the NOU had been established. However, activities have started and some progress has been achieved (e.g., training to customs authorities, visit to training centres). With respect to the Condor project for the conversion of HCFC-22-based air-conditioners approved at the 66th meeting, the reason for the delay reported at the 73rd meeting¹⁶ was the time required by the enterprise to complete preparatory works for the introduction of the new technology R-32. As of this meeting, the company has not taken a decision on conversion activities. With respect to the Cristor project for the phase-out of HCFC-141b in the manufacture of insulation foam approved at the 62nd meeting, the reason for the delay as reported to the 73rd meeting was the difficulty in finalizing the scope of supply considering the budget available. In its update to the present meeting, UNIDO indicates that the immediate conversion of one of the three lines is under consideration with the conversion of the remaining lines to be done with company (counterpart) financing.

Angola (UNDP) – Scheduling of tranches due to previous delayed approval/low disbursement

10. This tranche was due two meetings ago. The tranche request for 2012 was delayed and approved only at the 72nd meeting. UNDP had reported at the 73rd meeting that time was needed to complete pending activities. UNDP reported to this meeting that a mission took place in March 2015 and an updated procurement plan was agreed which UNDP believe might accelerate the submission of the next (2013) tranche.

Antigua and Barbuda (UNEP) – Sufficient funds from current tranche

11. The first tranche comprises funding for the technical assistance and training components of the HPMP, while the second tranche the reporting component. Since this component will not impact significantly on activities, it was agreed with the country not to submit the second tranche to the 74th meeting. UNEP has requested the NOU to submit a progress and financial reports to allow for the submission of the second tranche to the 75th meeting.

Chile (UNDP and UNEP) – Scheduling of tranches due to previous delayed approval/low disbursement

12. UNDP indicated that project implementation was on track. The tranche was not requested at this meeting as the previous tranche was approved at the 73rd meeting. UNEP indicated that the terms of agreement for the tranche were under discussion with the NOU.

Cote d'Ivoire (UNEP) – Verification report

13. There has been a delay in submitting the mandatory verification report. UNEP has been encouraging the country to submit the verification as soon as possible.

¹⁶ Tranche submission delays (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/6).

The Democratic People's Republic of Korea (UNEP and UNIDO) – Sanctions/travel restrictions to the country/low disbursement

14. Although UNIDO has progressed with the implementation of the HPMP and two purchase orders have already been issued, the 20 per cent disbursement threshold was not met as a technical foam expert was unable to travel into the country. As restrictions were lifted in March 2015, a mission of the expert is scheduled for May 2015. For the UNEP component, funding modalities are still being prepared due to sanctions/resolutions of the United Nations Security Council. Initial consultations are planned for April-June 2015.

The Democratic Republic of Congo (UNDP and UNEP) – Government/changes in NOU/procurement difficulties/low disbursement

15. UNEP indicated that the delays were due to changes in the NOU. The new NOO received support from the compliance assistance programme (CAP) from the regional office in Africa to accelerate the implementation. UNDP noted that some delays in the procurement process occurred as there had been a lack of interested vendors in the initial rounds of bids. However, procurement has been completed recently that will allow progress and the 20 per cent disbursement threshold to be met.

Haiti (UNDP and UNEP) – Government/Change of minister/quota system requirement

16. This tranche was due three meetings ago. UNEP reported progress in its report to the 73rd meeting on the implementation of capacity building activities for customs officers and refrigeration technicians, the establishment of terms of reference for a legal expert to develop an enforceable HCFC quota system, and a Ministerial resolution in this regard expected by December 2014. A new minister was appointed in February 2015 who was briefed on these issues. A required report was submitted by the NOU after the deadline. UNEP indicated that it would follow up on reports to accelerate the submission to the 75th meeting. UNDP did not receive any funding in the first tranche of the HPMP.

Kenya (France) – Verification report

17. The country has not completed the mandatory verification report for submission. A consultant for the verification is being contracted.

Maldives (UNEP) – Verification report

18. Maldives has been selected as one of the low-volume consuming countries to undertake a verification at the 73rd meeting. Additional time has been required for planning the verification as the requirements had to be clarified with the country first. The verification will be completed during the second half of 2015. Additional support is being provided by UNEP in designing and carrying out the verification. UNEP indicated that the tranche would be submitted to the 76th meeting.

Montenegro (UNIDO) – Enterprise/level of grant/low disbursement

19. UNIDO indicated that the delay was due to the level of funding for the HPMP, which was below what had been proposed, requiring the reorganization of the project. It indicated that it would accelerate the implementation of the revised work plan.

Myanmar (UNEP and UNIDO) – Government/signing of agreement/low disbursement

20. This tranche was delayed as disbursement could not occur until a quota system had been approved by the Government. The quota system was in place in April 2014, in line with

decision 68/31(e)¹⁷, and the agreement is under review. A mission was planned in April 2015 to start implementation; UNIDO did not receive any funding in the first tranche of the HPMP.

Peru (UNDP and UNEP) – Government/changes in NOU/signing of agreement/low disbursement

21. This tranche was due four meetings ago. At the 73rd meeting, UNDP had indicated that the project document had been recently signed by the Government and that implementation had commenced. The same report was provided to the 74th meeting. UNEP indicated that its agreement with the Government had still not been signed, again due to changes in the Government/NOU, and that it would follow up with the Government.

Qatar (UNEP and UNIDO) – Government/signing of agreement/endorsement letter

22. UNIDO and UNEP have prepared the second tranche submission since the 73rd meeting but have not been able to obtain the government's endorsement/agreement for the tranche. UNEP indicated that the tranche may not be submitted until the 76th meeting.

Saint Lucia (UNEP and UNIDO) – Re-scheduling of tranches in decision 70/23(b)(i)

23. Decision 70/23(b)(i) re-scheduled the submission date of tranches of HPMP for Saint Lucia to the first meeting of the year. However, UNEP had not re-scheduled its agreement with the country accordingly, and therefore the tranche was not submitted according to the new schedule.

Saint Vincent and the Grenadines (UNEP) – Re-scheduling of tranches in decision 70/23(b)(i)

24. Decision 70/23(b)(i) re-scheduled the submission date of tranches of HPMP for Saint Vincent and the Grenadines to the first meeting of the year. However, UNEP had not re-scheduled its agreement with the country accordingly, and therefore the tranche was not submitted according to the new schedule.

Saudi Arabia (UNEP and UNIDO) – Government/signing of agreement/scheduling of tranches due to previous delayed approval/low disbursement

25. The 2013 tranche request was delayed and approved only at the 72nd meeting for UNIDO; however, funds were not disbursed until the mandatory verification report of the 2013 HCFC consumption had been submitted. UNIDO received the funds for the 2013 tranche in November 2014 and therefore there had not been time to implement projects under the tranche. Since then, contracts for equipment for another three polyurethane foam companies were issued in March 2015, and delivery of equipment to one company was prioritized in order to achieve the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for submission to the 75th meeting. UNEP cannot submit its 2015 tranche until UNIDO's 2014 tranche is approved and at least 20 per cent of the funds are disbursed.

Seychelles (Germany) – Government/low disbursement

26. Germany indicated that the country had been slow at implementing the activities. Contractual obligations for tasks to be completed are being drawn up to facilitate expenditures.

¹⁷ The Executive Committee decided: "To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Myanmar, and the corresponding tranche implementation plan, at the amount of US \$159,000, plus agency support costs of US \$20,670 for UNEP, on the understanding that disbursement would occur only after confirmation had been received from UNEP that the Ozone Order establishing an ODS quota system had been approved by the Government of Myanmar".

Timor-Leste (UNDP and UNEP) – Sufficient funds from current tranche

27. In consultations with the country, it was agreed that there were sufficient remaining funds to support all planned activities until December 2015. In this regard, the NOU advised to postpone the request for the second tranche. UNEP indicated that: a joint UNDP/UNEP mission was held in November 2014; bilateral discussions were also held with the NOU at network meetings; the NOU was trained; and continuous monitoring and follow-up took place. UNEP has also strengthened assigned CAP allocated staff resources to support national implementation.

Turkey (UNIDO) – Government/NOU/verification report

28. The NOU changed in 2014. The selection process of the national consultant for undertaking the verification report has not yet been finalized. UNIDO will propose the recruitment of an international expert.

Viet Nam (World Bank) – Re-scheduling of tranches in decision 70/23(b)(i)

29. Decision 70/23(b)(i) re-scheduled the submission date of tranches of HPMP for Viet Nam to the first meeting of the year. However, the World Bank had not re-scheduled its agreement with the country accordingly, and therefore the tranche was not submitted according to the new schedule.

Yemen (UNEP) – Political instability/verification report

30. This tranche was due two meetings ago. There was difficulty in undertaking the mandatory verification due to the political situation in the country. UNEP plans coordination with the Government outside the country, and to provide follow-up technical support; however, the tranche may not be submitted until the 76th meeting.

31. The Executive Committee may wish to consider requesting the Secretariat to send letters on the decisions on tranche submission delays to the governments contained in Table 1 of the present document.

Tranches submitted but withdrawn after discussion with the Secretariat

32. Table 2 presents the tranches that were submitted to the 74th meeting but withdrawn by relevant implementing agencies after review by the Secretariat. The reasons provided for withdrawal include: not enough progress and disbursement for the approved tranche, data discrepancies/verification, signing of all sub-grant agreements and the need for data verification.

Table 2. Tranches that were submitted but withdrawn after discussion with the Secretariat

Country	Agency	Tranche	Amount (with support costs)	Reason for withdrawal	Impact on compliance	2013 consumption below freeze	Agreement signed	New submission date (meeting)
Bahrain	UNEP	2014	163,850	Not enough progress and disbursement	No	Yes	Yes	75 th
Guatemala*	UNIDO	2015	57,808	Data discrepancies/verification	No	No	N/A	75 th
Guatemala*	UNEP	2015	50,850	Data discrepancies/verification	No	No**	Signed but subsequently cancelled	75 th
Jordan	World Bank	2013	905,956	Signing of all sub-contract agreements	No	Yes	No for one sub-grant agreement	75 th
Jordan	UNIDO	2013	24,181	Signing of all sub-contract agreements for the World Bank component	No	Yes	N/A	75 th
Senegal	UNEP	2014	169,330	Data needs to be verified	No	Yes	Yes	75 th
Senegal	UNIDO	2014	80,625	Data needs to be verified	No	Yes	Yes	75 th

Country	Agency	Tranche	Amount (with support costs)	Reason for withdrawal	Impact on compliance	2013 consumption below freeze	Agreement signed	New submission date (meeting)
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	UNEP	2015	15,332	Not enough progress and low disbursement	No	Yes	Yes	75 th
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	UNIDO	2015	188,590	Not enough progress and low disbursement	No	Yes	Yes	75 th
Total			1,656,522					

* When the document was being finalized after lengthy discussions with the Secretariat, UNIDO informed the Secretariat that the document was to be withdrawn because the data discrepancies would not be resolved on time.

** Unclear whether Guatemala is in compliance with the action plan (decision XXVI/16).

33. The Executive Committee may wish to consider requesting the Secretariat to send letters on the decisions on tranche submission delays to the governments contained in Table 2 of the present document.

Recommendations

34. The Executive Committee may wish to consider:

(a) Noting:

- (i) The report on tranche submission delays contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/6;
- (ii) The information on tranche submission delays under multi-year agreements submitted to the Secretariat by France, Germany, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO and the World Bank;
- (iii) That 61 out of 96 activities related to tranches of HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) due for submission had been submitted on time to the 74th meeting and that nine of those tranches were withdrawn after discussion with the Secretariat;
- (iv) That relevant implementing agencies indicated no impact or unlikely impact on compliance resulting from the late submission of the tranches of HPMPs due for the first meeting of 2015 and that there had been no indication that any of these countries were in non-compliance with the 2013 freeze of HCFC consumption, except for:

a. The Democratic People's Republic of Korea, where the Parties to the Montreal Protocol noted with appreciation the submission by the Party of a plan of action to ensure its return to compliance with the Protocol's HCFC consumption control measures in 2015 and production control measures in 2016, in line with decision XXVI/15; and

b. Guatemala, where the Parties noted with appreciation the submission by the Party of a plan of action to ensure its return to compliance with the Protocol's HCFC consumption control measures and its decision to reduce its HCFC consumption in 2014 below its allowable consumption by the excess amount consumed in 2013, in line with decision XXVI/16; and

(b) Requesting the Secretariat to send letters on the decisions on tranche submission delays to the governments of countries contained in Annex I to the present document.

Annex I

**LETTERS TO BE SENT TO THE RELEVANT GOVERNMENTS
ON TRANCHE SUBMISSION DELAYS**

Country	Recommendation by the Secretariat to the Executive Committee
Albania	Noting that there have been some delays due to the change of National Ozone Officer (NOO) but that a work plan has been agreed, and urging the Government of Albania to complete the verification report, to expedite project implementation and to work with UNEP and UNIDO, so that the 2015 tranche of the HPMP can be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Algeria	Noting that the third tranche (2014) was due for submission to the 71 st meeting in 2013, and urging the Government of Algeria to encourage the relevant enterprises to complete the conversion of their production lines to non-HCFC technologies, and to work with UNIDO so that the 2014 tranche of the HPMP can be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Angola	Noting that the third (2013) tranche was due for submission two meetings ago, and urging the Government of Angola to expedite the completion of pending activities, and to work with UNDP so that the third tranche (2013) of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Antigua and Barbuda	Noting that there were sufficient funds from the first tranche, and urging the Government of Antigua and Barbuda to expedite the completion of activities in the first (2012) tranche, and submit required progress and financial reports and to work with UNEP so that the second (2015) tranche can be submitted to the 75 th meeting.
Bahrain	Noting that the second (2014) tranche was withdrawn, and urging the Government of Bahrain to work with UNEP to expedite progress so that the 2014 tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Chile	Noting that the 2013 tranche was approved at the 73 rd meeting in November 2014, urging the Government of Chile to complete the agreement with UNEP, to expedite implementation of the activities in the tranche under implementation, and to work with UNDP and UNEP so that the 2014 tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Cote d'Ivoire	Noting that the second (2014) tranche was due two meetings ago and urging the Government of Cote d'Ivoire to complete the verification report so that UNEP could submit the second (2014) tranche to the 75 th meeting.
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	Noting that there have been delays due to arrangement of funding modalities and restrictions preventing the travel of international experts into the country but that those restrictions have been lifted by the country and a mission is planned for May 2015, and urging the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to facilitate that mission, finalize the funding modalities, and work with UNEP and UNIDO so that the 2015 tranche can be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold of funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	Noting that there have been changes in the NOU and delays in the procurement process, and urging the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo to work with UNDP and UNEP so that the 2015 tranche can be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold of funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Guatemala	Noting that the 2015 tranche was withdrawn, and urging the Government of Guatemala to work with UNIDO and UNEP to resolve the data discrepancies, submit the verification report and sign the relevant agreement to expedite progress so that the 2015 tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting.

Country	Recommendation by the Secretariat to the Executive Committee
Haiti	Noting that the second (2014) tranche was due three meetings ago in 2013 but that Haiti has not confirmed that an HCFC quota system was in place, and urging the Government of Haiti to work with UNEP to expedite the establishment of the quota system so that the second (2014) tranche can be submitted to the 75 th meeting.
Jordan	Noting that the second (2013) tranche was withdrawn, and urging the Government of Jordan to work with the World Bank to expedite signing of the remaining sub-grant agreements so that the second (2013) tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting.
Kenya	Noting that the third (2015) tranche had been delayed, and urging the Government of Kenya to work with France to complete the verification report of national consumption targets so that the 2015 tranche can be submitted to the 75 th meeting.
Maldives	Noting that the third (2015) tranche had been delayed, and urging the Government of Maldives to work with UNEP so that the verification report can be completed and the 2015 tranche can be submitted to the 76 th meeting.
Montenegro	Noting that the third (2015) tranche had been delayed, and urging the Government of Montenegro to work with UNIDO to expedite the implementation of the revised work plan so that the 2015 tranche can be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Myanmar	Noting that the country has met the conditions of decision 68/31(e) requiring the establishment of a quota system, and urging the Government of Myanmar to complete the agreement with UNEP and expedite the implementation of the tranche so that the 2015 tranche can be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Peru	Noting that the 2013 tranche could have been submitted four meetings ago and that there had been changes in the NOU, and urging the Government of Peru to sign the agreement with UNEP and expedite implementation so that the 2013 tranche can be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Qatar	Noting that both the 2013 and 2014 tranche were past due, and urging the Government of Qatar to expedite the signing of the endorsement letter so that the 2013 tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting with a revised plan of action to take into account the reallocation of the 2014 and subsequent tranches, accordingly.
Saint Lucia	Noting the change of the submission date of the tranche to the first meeting of the year, and urging the Government of Saint Lucia to work with UNEP and UNIDO to submit the 2015 tranche to the 75 th meeting.
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Noting the change of the submission date of the tranche to the first meeting of the year, and urging the Government of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines to work with UNEP to submit the 2015 tranche to the 75 th meeting.
Saudi Arabia	Noting that the submission of the 2013 verification report of ODS consumption had been delayed and that the agreement with UNEP had not been signed, and urging the Government of Saudi Arabia to work with UNIDO to expedite project implementation and to sign its agreement with UNEP, so that the fourth (2014) tranche can be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Senegal	Noting that the second (2014) tranche was withdrawn, and urging the Government of Senegal to work with UNEP and UNIDO to verify the data so that the 2014 tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting.
Seychelles	Noting that the implementation of the second (2013) tranche had been delayed, and urging the Government of Seychelles to work with Germany to implement the contractual obligations so that the 2015 tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting.
Timor-Leste	Noting that there were sufficient funds from the second (2013) tranche to implement activities through December 2015, and urging the Government of Timor-Leste to work with UNDP and UNEP to expedite implementation so that the 2015 tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting.

Country	Recommendation by the Secretariat to the Executive Committee
Turkey	Noting that there had been a change in the National Ozone Unit (NOU) and that a verification report of national consumption targets was past due, and urging the Government of Turkey to work with UNIDO to complete the verification report so that the 2015 tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting.
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	Noting that the fourth (2015) tranche was withdrawn, and urging the Government of Venezuela to work with UNEP and UNIDO to expedite progress so that the 2015 tranche could be submitted to the 75 th meeting, on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche had been achieved.
Viet Nam	Noting the change of the submission date of the tranche to the first meeting of the year, and urging the Government of Viet Nam to work with the World Bank to submit the third (2015) tranche to the 75 th meeting.
Yemen	Noting that there had been difficulty in completing the verification report of national consumption targets and the current political instability in the country, and urging the Government of Yemen to work with UNEP to complete the verification report and expedite project implementation so that the second (2014) tranche can be submitted to the 75 th or 76 th meeting.
