

United Nations Environment Programme

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/1/Add.1 30 April 2015

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL Seventy-fourth Meeting Montreal, 18-22 May 2015

ANNOTATED PROVISIONAL AGENDA

1. Opening of the meeting

Opening remarks by the Chairperson of the Executive Committee.

2. Organizational matters

(a) Adoption of the agenda

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/1</u> contains the provisional agenda for the 74th meeting of the Executive Committee.

Issues to be addressed: None

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to adopt the agenda of the meeting on the basis of the provisional agenda contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/1 and, if necessary, as amended verbally at the plenary.

(b) Organization of work

The Chairperson will propose to the plenary the organization of work.

3. Secretariat activities

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/2</u> presents a report on the activities of the Secretariat since the 73rd meeting of the Executive Committee.

Issues to be addressed: None

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to take note of the Secretariat activities contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/2.

4. Status of contributions and disbursements

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/3</u> provides information on the status of the Fund as recorded at UNEP as at 17 April 2015. At that date the fund balance stood at US \$91,768,488, after taking into account all the funds approved by the Executive Committee up to and including the 73rd meeting. The document also provides: updated information on the promissory notes and on the fixed-exchange rate mechanism (FERM) which reflects a net gain due to the FERM of US \$14,483,417 since its inception; actions taken on decision 72/1(c) regarding the accelerated encashment of the Government of Germany's promissory notes during the replenishment period; and on decision 73/1(c) on outstanding contributions.

Issues to be addressed: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the report of the Treasurer on the status of contributions and disbursements, and the information on promissory notes and countries which opted to use the fixed-exchange-rate mechanism (FERM) during 2015–2017 triennium, as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/3;
- (b) To note with appreciation the payments made by the Holy See and the Government of Kazakhstan to the Multilateral Fund as reported in Annex I to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/3;
- (c) To urge all Parties eligible to use the FERM to advise the Treasurer of their intention to do so before the 75th meeting;
- (d) To urge all Parties to pay their contributions to the Multilateral Fund in full and as early as possible; and
- (e) To note that the Government of Germany was able to reduce the schedule of payment of its promissory notes for the 2015-2017 replenishment period from five to four years and the Government is engaged to fit the encashment schedule within the triennium for the 2018-2020 replenishment period.

5. Status of resources and planning

(a) Report on balances and availability of resources

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/4</u> presents a summary of financial adjustments indicated by the bilateral and implementing agencies as agreed by the Secretariat. It includes statistical data from projects for bilateral and implementing agencies with balances that have been held for over the allowable 12-month period following completion of the project. It addresses balances to be returned against projects completed "by-decision" and fund transfers resulting from change of implementing agency. This document also indicates the level of resources available to the Executive Committee in cash and promissory notes as recorded in the status of contributions and disbursements document.

Issue to be addressed: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

(a) To note:

- (i) The report on balances and availability of resources contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/4;
- (ii) That the net level of funds being returned to the 74th meeting by the implementing agencies was US \$2,379,262 which included the return of US \$353,704, plus agency support costs of US \$28,025 from UNDP; US \$1,772,803, plus agency support costs of US \$153,289 from UNEP; and US \$67,696 plus agency support costs of US \$3,745 from UNIDO;
- (iii) That the net level of funds being returned to the 74th meeting by the bilateral agencies in cash against completed projects was US \$12,363 which included the return of US \$6,529, plus agency support costs of US \$849 from the Government of Czech Republic; US \$1,580, plus agency support costs of US \$205 from the Government of Japan, and US \$32, plus agency support costs of US \$4 and interest of US \$3,164 from the Government of Spain;
- (iv) That the return of US \$149,685 (US \$94,500, plus agency support costs of US \$12,285 for the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) (stage I, second tranche) for the Plurinational State of Bolivia; and US \$39,000, plus agency support costs of US \$3,900 for the verification report for stage I of the HPMP) from the Government of Germany due to the change of implementing agency will be offset against Germany's further bilateral projects;
- (v) That UNIDO had balances of US \$15,053, excluding support costs, for one project completed over two years previously;
- (vi) That UNEP had un-obligated balances of US \$50,000, excluding support costs, for one project completed over two years previously;
- (vii) That the World Bank had un-obligated balances of US \$521,029, excluding support costs, for one project completed "by-decision" of the Executive Committee:
- (viii) That the Government of France had balances for four completed and one closed projects over two years previously, totaling US \$378,985, excluding support costs;
- (ix) That the Government of Israel had balances for one completed project over two years previously, totaling US \$68,853, excluding support costs; and
- (x) That the Government of Italy had balances for two completed project over two years previously, totaling US \$4,228 in obligated and US \$3,043 in un-obligated balances, excluding support costs;

(b) To request:

- (i) Bilateral and implementing agencies with projects completed over two years previously to return the balances to the 74th meeting;
- (ii) Bilateral and implementing agencies to liquidate or cancel obligations not needed for completed projects and project completed "by-decision" of the Executive Committee in order to return balances to the 75th meeting as a follow up to decisions 70/7(b)(ii) and (iii) and 71/11(b);

- (iii) The Government of France to return balances from all closed projects to the 75th meeting;
- (iv) UNEP and the World Bank to return all un-obligated balances from completed projects and/or projects completed "by-decision" of the Executive Committee to the 75th meeting;
- (v) The Government of Italy to return all un-obligated balances to the 75th meeting;
- (vi) The Secretariat to adjust the additional funds allocated to stage I of the HPMP for the Philippines for UNEP from the US \$1,077,221, plus agency support costs of US \$140,039, approved at the 68th meeting (decision 68/20) to US \$1,033,575, plus agency support costs of US \$134,365 to reflect the actual balance returned by the World Bank as recorded in the Report of the 66th meeting (UNEP/Ozl.Pro/Excom /66/54); and
- (vii) The Treasurer to follow up with the Governments of Japan, Italy and Spain for the respective return of balances to the Fund and to record the amount of US \$3,164 as interest income to the Fund;

(c) To approve:

- (i) The transfer of preparation of stage II of the HPMP (EGY/PHA/73/PRP/121) and related investment activities in the foam sector (EGY/FOA/73/PRP/120) for Egypt from UNIDO to UNDP, at the amount of US \$20,000, plus agency support costs of US \$1,400 for each of the two projects;
- (ii) The transfer of preparation of stage II of the HPMP for Chile (CHI/PHA/73/PRP/182) from UNDP to UNIDO, at the amount of US \$40,000, plus agency support costs of US \$2,800; and
- (iii) The extension of the project duration of the Japan bilateral project (AFR/REF/48/DEM/35) through December 2015 to enable the completion of assistance to Sudan.

(b) Update on the implementation of the 2015-2017 business plans and financial planning for the triennium 2015-2017

Documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/5 and Add.1 consider business planning in light of submissions to the 74th meeting and allocations in the 2015-2017 business plans. It addresses decisions taken at the 73rd meeting with respect to the 2015-2017 business plans, and the Twenty-sixth meeting of the Parties with respect to the level of the 2015-2017 replenishment of the Fund, and presents the value of activities that were not submitted to the 74th meeting but were included in the 2015 business plans. It also provides an assessment of financial planning for the triennium 2015-2017 in the light of scenarios concerning the payment of pledges, the carryover, interest and other factors possibly impacting financial planning during the triennium. In addition the document provides an update on Germany's 2015-2017 business plan, and reports on dialogues between implementing agencies and national ozone units concerning qualitative performance indicators. The document concludes with recommendations. The addendum provides an assessment of the forward commitments approved to-date.

Issues to be addressed:

- US \$7,608,250 worth of activities for inventories/surveys of alternatives to ODS pursuant to decision XXVI/9 were submitted but had not been included in the 2015-2017 business plan
- The total estimated costs of projects emanating from project preparation requested for low-GWP alternative demonstration projects if approved would exceed the funding window of US \$10 million established by decision 72/40
- Payment from the only Party with pledged contributions that has not made contributions to the Multilateral Fund previously
- The need for the relevant contributing Parties to pay arrears from the 2012-2014 triennium in 2015 to maximize resources available for the year
- A resource allocation according to the requirements of the 2015-2017 business plan with an update of cash flow for the 2017 budget at the last meeting of 2016
- A report from UNDP on its dialogues with NOUs with respect to the qualitative performance assessment for 2013

The Executive Committee may wish:

(a) To note:

- (i) The update on the implementation of the consolidated 2015-2017 business plan of the Multilateral Fund and financial planning for the triennium 2015-2017 as contained in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/5 and Add.1;
- (ii) That US \$7,608,250 in activities pursuant to decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4) were submitted to the 74th meeting that had not been included in the 2015-2017 business plans;
- (iii) That the total estimated costs of the project preparation requested and resulting demonstration projects for low global-warming potential (GWP) alternatives would exceed the funding window of US \$10 million established by decision 72/40;
- (iv) That Germany's 2015-2017 business plan does not exceed 20 per cent of Germany's contributions for the triennium;
- (v) With appreciation, that the Government of Germany, UNEP and UNIDO submitted information with respect to the ratings in the qualitative performance assessments as requested in decisions 73/14(b)(ii)-(iii) and (c); and
- (vi) With concern, that UNDP did not report on its dialogues concerning qualitative performance assessments with the countries who rated its performance as less than satisfactory in 2014, as requested in decision 73/14(b)(i);

(b) To urge:

(i) Belarus to make their contributions to the Multilateral Fund for the 2015-2017 triennium while noting that it had not made contributions previously and encourage opportunities for high-level missions to emphasize the need to start making payments so that all Parties will have contributed to the Fund;

- (ii) Those contributing Parties with arrears from the 2012-2014 triennium to pay them during 2015;
- (c) To adopt a resource allocation of US \$179.9 million in 2015, US \$173.8 million in 2016, and US \$153.8 million in 2017, with any unallocated funds to be allocated subsequently during the 2015-2017 triennium; and
- (d) To consider the availability of cash flow for the 2017 budget at the last meeting of 2016 in light of the collection of interest, unexpected return of unused balances from projects/agreements, the payment of pledges from triennia prior to the last replenishment, any losses due to non-payment of pledges expected from the last replenishment, and any losses or gains due to the fixed-exchange-rate mechanism.

(c) Tranche submission delays

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/6</u> addresses delays in the submissions of tranches of HPMPs that were due to the 74th meeting and presents recommendations for consideration by the Executive Committee.

Issues to be addressed:

- Thirty-five activities with tranches of HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) for 24 countries due for submission to the 74th meeting were not submitted
- Fourteen of the 18 tranches did not achieve the 20 per cent disbursement threshold though all tranches had other reasons for the submission delay
- Reasons for delays include: change in NOU/Government, delay in submission of the lead
 agency component, restructuring of activities according to the level of grant, need to
 re-schedule or the re-scheduling of tranche due dates, political instability, sanctions,
 signing of agreement, sufficient funds from current tranche, transfer to another agency,
 and need for a verification report
- Nine tranches from 8 countries were submitted but withdrawn for reasons including: not enough progress and disbursement for the approved tranche, data discrepancies, pending signature of sub-grant agreements and the need for data verification
- No impact or unlikely any impact on compliance resulting from the late submission of these tranches indicated by the relevant implementing agencies

The Executive Committee may wish:

(a) To note:

- (i) The report on tranche submission delays contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/6;
- (ii) The information on tranche submission delays under multi-year agreements submitted by France, Germany, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO and the World Bank;
- (iii) That 61 out of 96 activities related to tranches of HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) due for submission had been submitted on time to the 74th meeting and that nine of those tranches were withdrawn after discussion with the Secretariat:
- (iv) That relevant implementing agencies indicated no impact or unlikely impact on compliance resulting from the late submission of the tranches of HPMPs due for

the first meeting of 2015 and that there had been no indication that any of these countries were in non-compliance with the 2013 freeze of HCFC consumption, except for:

- a. The Democratic People's Republic of Korea, where the Parties to the Montreal Protocol noted with appreciation the submission by the Party of a plan of action to ensure its return to compliance with the Protocol's HCFC consumption control measures in 2015 and production control measures in 2016, in line with decision XXVI/15; and
- b. Guatemala, where the Parties noted with appreciation the submission by the Party of a plan of action to ensure its return to compliance with the Protocol's HCFC consumption control measures and its decision to reduce its HCFC consumption in 2014 below its allowable consumption by the excess amount consumed in 2013, in line with decision XXVI/16; and
- (b) To request the Secretariat to send letters on the decisions on tranche submission delays to the governments of countries contained in Annex I to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/6.

6. Programme implementation

(a) Monitoring and evaluation

(i) 2015 consolidated project completion report

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/7</u> provides a review of the results reported in both the multi-year agreements (MYA) projects completion reports (PCRs) and the individual PCRs received up to 10 March 2015. The reason for preparing two consolidated reports in one document is to reflect the general situation of the PCRs due, hoping to speed up the submission of the long due PCRs.

Issues to be addressed: PCRs due

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the 2015 consolidated project completion reports (PCR) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/7;
- (b) To urge implementing agencies to submit to the 75th meeting the backlog of PCRs for multi-year agreements (MYA) and individual projects as contained in Tables 5 and 10, respectively of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/7, and in the event that PCRs due were not submitted, to provide the reasons for not submitting them and the schedule for submission; and
- (c) To invite all those involved in the preparation and implementation of MYA and individual projects to take into consideration the lessons learned from PCRs when preparing and implementing future projects.

(ii) Multi-year agreement database report

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/8</u> provides information to the Executive Committee on the use of the database for the annual reporting on multi-year agreements (MYAs), pursuant to decision 63/61(e).

Issues to be addressed:

• Missing information in the annual implementation plan and/or overall plan for 74 per cent of the MYAs

The Executive committee may wish:

- (a) To take note of the report on the multi-year agreement database contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/8;
- (b) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to fill in the missing information in the MYA database and to update it no later than eight weeks prior to the 75th meeting of the Executive Committee; and
- (c) To note that bilateral agencies not directly involved in project implementation might request the implementing agencies to report the information required in paragraph (b) on their behalf.
 - (iii) Final report on the evaluation of HCFC phase-out projects in the foam sector

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/9</u> includes the final report of the evaluation of HCFC phase-out in the foam sector, which summarizes the findings of a desk study and of ten case studies prepared following field visits in several countries. The evaluation focused on issues related to preparation and implementation of projects, technology replacement, project funding and sustainability. The lessons learned could be useful in determining the strategy and approaches in the foam sector for phase II of HPMPs.

Issues to be addressed: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To consider taking note of the final report on the evaluation of the HCFC phase-out projects in the foam sector contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/9.
- (b) To invite the bilateral and implementing agencies to apply, when appropriate, the findings and recommendations of the evaluation in the implementation of projects in the phase-out of HCFC in the foam sector.
 - (iv) Terms of reference for desk studies on: the HCFC phase-out projects in the refrigeration and air-conditioning manufacturing sector, and on pilot demonstration projects on ODS disposal and destruction (decision 73/7(b))

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/10</u> presents the terms of reference for the two desk studies on the HCFC phase-out projects in the refrigeration and air-conditioning manufacturing sector, and on pilot demonstration projects on ODS disposal and destruction. The two desk studies are part of the 2015 evaluation work programme.

Issues to be addressed: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the document on the terms of reference for the desk studies on: the HCFC phase-out projects in the refrigeration and air-conditioning manufacturing sector and on pilot demonstration projects on ODS disposal and destruction (decision 73/7(b)), contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/10;
- (b) To approve the terms of reference for the desk study on the HCFC phase-out projects in the refrigeration and air-conditioning manufacturing sector as contained in Annex I to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/10; and
- (c) To approve the terms of reference for the desk study on pilot demonstration projects on ODS disposal and destruction as contained in Annex II of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/10.

(b) Country programme data and prospects for compliance

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/11</u> is a new document prepared in line with decision 73/70(c)(ii), which replaces sections of the former agenda item on "Status reports and compliance". A document on country programme data and prospects for compliance will be submitted to all meetings of the Executive Committee and the Implementation Committee under the Non-Compliance Procedure of the Montreal Protocol. This document contains the status of, and prospects of, compliance of Article 5 countries (Part I); addresses Article 5 countries that are subject to decisions of the Parties on compliance (Part II); and contains an analysis of data on the implementation of country programmes (CPs) for HCFCs (Part III).

Issues to be addressed:

For Part I: Status of, and prospects of, compliance of Article 5 countries

- South Sudan has not established a licensing system; and Libya's licensing system is not yet operational
- Dominica and Mauritania have not included the accelerated HCFC control measures agreed in 2007 in their licensing and quota system

For Part II: Status of, and prospects of, compliance of Article 5 countries

None

For Part III: Data on the implementation of CP for HCFCs

- Data discrepancies between the CP and Article 7 reports
- Early and timely submission of CP data reports for the preparation of the document on country programme data and prospects for compliance to be submitted to the first meeting of the year
- Update of the CP report format to allow the submission of additional information on alternatives of HCFCs and their prices when available

The Executive Committee may wish:

(a) To note:

- (i) The document on country programme (CP) data and prospects for compliance as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/11;
- (ii) That 106 countries (of the 141 that submitted data) submitted 2013 data using the web-based system;

(b) To request:

- (i) UNEP to continue assisting the Government of South Sudan in establishing its licensing system, and the Governments of Dominica and Mauritania in amending their licensing systems to include the accelerated control measures for HCFCs, and to report to the 75th meeting;
- (ii) UNIDO to continue assisting the Government of Libya to operationalize its licensing system, and to report to the 75th meeting;
- (iii) Relevant bilateral and implementing agencies to assist Article 5 countries in addressing data discrepancies between the CP and Article 7 reports;
- (iv) Article 5 countries to submit CP data reports no later than eight weeks prior to the first meeting of the Executive Committee of the year; and
- (v) The Secretariat to update the CP report format to allow the submission of additional information on alternatives of HCFCs and their prices when available.

(c) Status reports and reports on projects with specific reporting requirements

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/12</u> contains six parts as a follow-up to the issues raised in the last annual progress and financial reports submitted to the 73nd meeting, and with respect to projects and activities for which specific reports were requested in previous meetings. Part I is on projects with implementation delays and for which special status reports were requested; Part II presents the demonstration project on low-cost options for the use of hydrocarbons (HCs) in the manufacture of polyurethane foams in Egypt; Part III on the HCFC phase-out management plan for the Plurinational State of Bolivia (stage I, second tranche) (transfer of project); Part IV on methyl bromide (MB) phase-out project in Guatemala; Part V on the halon phase-out project in the Islamic Republic of Iran; and Part VI on UNEP's report on resource mobilization.

Part I: Projects with implementation delays and for which special status reports were requested

Issues to be addressed:

• Possible cancellation of the "Technical assistance for alternatives to methyl bromide" in Iraq (IRQ/FUM/62/INV/13), being implemented by UNIDO; and the "Technical assistance for the elimination of controlled uses of methyl bromide in soil fumigation" in Mozambique (MOZ/FUM/60/TAS/20)

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note:
 - (i) The document on status reports and reports on projects with specific reporting requirements as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/12;
 - (ii) That the Secretariat and the implementing agencies would take established actions according to the Secretariat's assessments and report on implementation delays, and would notify governments and implementing agencies as required;
- (b) To request:
 - (i) The submission of implementation delay reports and additional status reports to the 75th meeting on the projects listed in Annexes I and II to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/12 as part of the 2014 annual and financial progress report of the bilateral and implementing agencies taking into account the information requested in Table 3 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/12 for status reports;
 - (ii) The Secretariat to send letters of possible cancellation for the following projects:
 - a. "Technical assistance for alternatives to methyl bromide" in Iraq (IRQ/FUM/62/INV/13), being implemented by UNIDO; and
 - b. "Technical assistance for the elimination of controlled uses of methyl bromide in soil fumigation" in Mozambique (MOZ/FUM/60/TAS/20), being implemented by UNIDO.

Part II: Egypt: Low-cost options for the use of hydrocarbons (HCs) in the manufacture of polyurethane foams. An assessment for the application in Multilateral Fund projects

Issues to be addressed: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the demonstration project on low-cost options for the use of hydrocarbons in the manufacture of polyurethane foams in Egypt, submitted by UNDP; and
- (b) To request UNDP to submit to the 75th meeting an update on the status of finalization of trials under the demonstration project mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above, and the associated supplementary report, if the trials are completed.

Part III: Plurinational State of Bolivia: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (transfer of project)

Issues to be addressed: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

(a) To approve the transfer of US \$94,500, plus agency support costs of US \$8,505 to UNIDO for the implementation of the second tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out

management plan (HPMP), noting the revised work programme associated with the tranche:

- (b) To approve the transfer of US \$30,000, plus agency support costs of US \$2,700 to UNIDO for the preparation of a verification report to be submitted with the third tranche of stage I of HPMP;
- (c) To approve the transfer of funding approved in principle from the Government of Germany to UNIDO of US \$126,000, plus agency support costs of US \$11,340 associated with the third to the fifth funding transhes of the HPMP; and
- (d) To request the Secretariat to revise the Agreement between the Plurinational State of Bolivia and the Executive Committee based on sub-paragraphs (b) and (c) above, when the funding request for the third tranche of the HPMP is submitted.

Part IV: Methyl bromide (MB) phase-out project in Guatemala

<u>Issues to be addressed</u>: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the methyl bromide (MB) phase-out project in Guatemala submitted by UNIDO on behalf of the Government of Guatemala contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/12; and
- (b) To note that the project completion report will be submitted to 75th meeting in line with decision 71/7(b)(ii).

Part V: Halon phase-out project in the Islamic Republic of Iran

Issues to be addressed:

• Extension of the completion date of the halon phase-out project in the Islamic Republic of Iran

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the halon phase-out project in the Islamic Republic of Iran submitted by UNIDO contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/12;
- (b) To consider extending the planned completion date of the halon project in the Islamic Republic of Iran until 31 December 2015;
- (c) To request UNIDO:
 - (i) To provide a progress report to each meeting until the completion of the project;
 - (ii) To submit the project completion report no later than the 77th meeting.

Part VI: Resource mobilization report submitted by UNEP

Issues to be addressed: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the report on resource mobilization for climate co-benefits submitted by UNEP; contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/12; and
- (b) To encourage low-volume-consuming countries through the bilateral and implementing agencies to use the guide provided in the report when seeking additional resources for climate co-benefits during the implementation of stage I and future stages of the HCFC phase-out management plans.

7. Project proposals

(a) Overview of issues identified during project review

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13</u> contains five sections: An analysis of the number of projects and activities submitted by bilateral and implementing agencies to the 74th meeting; issues identified during the project review process; projects to demonstrate low-GWP technologies and feasibility studies on district cooling pursuant to decision 72/40; verification reports, projects and activities submitted for blanket approval; and investment projects for individual consideration. The document also informs the Committee on the review of institutional strengthening (IS) renewal requests and requests for project preparation funding for stage II of HPMPs submitted to the 74th meeting, and the projects and activities submitted to the 74th meeting but subsequently withdrawn. The investment projects recommended for individual consideration are included in the table in agenda item 7(d).

Issues to be addressed:

- Modalities for the fund disbursement threshold for HPMPs (decision 72/24(a)) (paragraphs 10 to 30 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13)
- Progress and verification reports of country's compliance with the HPMP agreement after the approval of the last tranche of HPMPs (paragraphs 31 to 33)
- Temporary use of a high-global warming potential (GWP) technology by enterprises that were converted to a low-GWP technology (paragraphs 34 to 37)
- Requests for funding to conduct inventories or surveys on alternatives to ODS (decision XXVI/9) (paragraphs 38 to 42)
- Projects to demonstrate low-GWP technologies and feasibility studies on district cooling pursuant to decision 72/40 (paragraphs 43 to 61)

Modalities for the fund disbursement threshold for HPMPs (decision 72/24(a))

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To maintain the 20 per cent disbursement threshold in line with decision 72/24(b); and
- (b) To request bilateral and implementing agencies, in assisting Article 5 countries in the preparation of future stages of their HCFC phase-out management plans, to carefully design the schedule of submission of tranches and their completion according to the activities to be implemented in the next one to three years and their associated costs, and

commence all preparatory work, such as legal agreements or memorandums of understanding as required, in advance of the submission of funding tranches.

Progress and verification reports of country's compliance with the HPMP agreement after the approval of the last tranche of HPMPs

The Executive Committee may wish to consider, for HPMPs where the last funding tranche is requested one or more years prior to the last year for which a consumption target has been established, requesting the lead implementing agency and relevant cooperating agencies to submit annual tranche implementation reports and verification reports of the current stage of HPMPs until all activities foreseen are completed and HCFC consumption targets are met, on the understanding that, during consecutive stages of HPMPs being concurrently implemented, the verification reports should be based on the lower HCFC consumption target committed to by the country concerned.

Temporary use of a high-GWP technology by enterprises that were converted to a low-GWP technology

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to continue assisting Article 5 countries during implementation of their HPMP, in securing the supply of the alternative technologies that were selected; and
- (b) To further request bilateral and implementing agencies to report to the Executive Committee on the status of use of the interim technology selected by an Article 5 country at each meeting up until the original technology selected or another low-global warming potential-based technology has been fully introduced.

Requests for funding to conduct inventories or surveys on alternatives to ODS (decision XXVI/9)

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider the requests to conduct national surveys on alternatives to ODS submitted to the 74th meeting in the context of its discussion on agenda item 12 on follow-up to decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4) of the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties on additional funding to conduct inventories or surveys on ODS alternatives.

Projects to demonstrate low-GWP technologies and feasibility studies on district cooling pursuant to decision 72/40

<u>Paragraphs 43 to 61 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13</u> address funding requests for the preparation of 26 demonstration projects on low-GWP technologies in 17 countries and in three regions; two requests for the funding of fully developed demonstration projects in Colombia and Egypt; and three funding requests for feasibility study on district cooling in Dominican Republic, Egypt and Kuwait.

Issues to be addressed:

• Selection of the proposals that better comply with the criteria set in decision 72/40.

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider the assessment on the proposals for demonstration projects for low-GWP alternatives to HCFCs and the feasibility studies for district cooling contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13, together with the analysis of the remaining eligible HCFC consumption in various sectors and subsectors of potential demonstration relevance contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/49, for selecting the

demonstration projects for low-GWP alternatives to HCFCs and the feasibility studies for district cooling to be implemented within the funding levels established under decision 72/40.

Blanket approval

Verification reports of low-volume-consuming countries' compliance with their HPMP agreement in 2014

The Executive Committee may wish to consider requesting relevant bilateral and implementing agencies to include in their respective amendments to the work programmes for submission to the 75th meeting, funding for verification reports for stage I of the HPMPs for the Article 5 countries listed in Table below.

Sample of Article 5 countries for verification of compliance with their HPMP agreement

NI o		HCFC baseline (mt)	Lead/cooperating
No.	Country	agency	
1	Barbados	66.73	UNEP/UNDP
2	Bosnia and Herzegovina	70.65	UNIDO
3	Brunei Darussalam	110.62	UNEP/UNDP
4	Congo (the)	160.65	UNEP/UNIDO
5	Costa Rica	224.94	UNDP
6	Dominica	7.24	UNEP
7	Equatorial Guinea	114.36	UNEP/UNIDO
8	Ethiopia	100.00	UNEP/UNIDO
9	Georgia	93.00	UNDP
10	Mali	272.25	UNEP/UNDP
11	Mauritius	144.98	Germany
12	Montenegro	13.88	UNIDO
13	Rwanda	74.77	UNEP/UNIDO
14	Seychelles	24.89	Germany
15	Suriname	35.92	UNEP/UNIDO
16	The former Yugoslav Republic of	32.78	UNIDO
	Macedonia		
17	Zimbabwe	314.94	Germany

Project and activities submitted for blanket approval

The Executive Committee may wish:

a) To approve the projects and activities submitted for blanket approval at the levels of funding indicated in Annex [] to the final report, together with the conditions or provisions included in the corresponding project evaluation documents and the conditions attached to the projects by the Executive Committee; and noting that the agreements between the Governments of Guyana, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Liberia and the Pacific Island Countries¹, and the Executive Committee had been updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance; that for Argentina to reflect the change in support costs owing to the new administrative cost regime; and that for Armenia to reflect the changes in tranche distribution due to the cancellation of an investment project; and

¹ The established HCFC baselines for compliance for the Federated States of Micronesia, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, and Vanuatu are reflected in the revised Agreement between the Pacific Island Countries and the Executive Committee for stage I of the HPMP.

b) To decide that, for projects related to renewal of institutional strengthening, blanket approval included approval of the observations to be communicated to recipient governments contained in Annex [] to the final report.

(b) Bilateral cooperation

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/14</u> provides an overview of the six requests from bilateral agencies, their eligibility for approval in light of the maximum level of bilateral cooperation available for 2015. It cross-references relevant documents that include a discussion on the bilateral requests.

Issues to be addressed:

- Preparation for a demonstration project in the refrigeration and air-conditioning sector in the Philippines
- Project preparation for the establishment of a regional centre of excellence for demonstration and training of low global warming potential ozone safe technologies in Europe and Central Asia

Project preparation for a demonstration project to convert commercial air-conditioning products and associated production line from HCFC-22 to HC-290 at Koppel Inc. in the Philippines (Government of Japan)

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To consider the proposal for the preparation of a project in the refrigeration and air-conditioning sector in the Philippines that would demonstrate climate-friendly and energy-efficient alternative technologies to HCFCs, in the context of its discussion on proposals for demonstration projects for low global-warming potential (GWP) alternatives to HCFCs as described in the document on the overview of issues identified during project review (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13); and
- (b) To approve the project preparation request mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above, in case the Executive Committee selects this proposal.

Project preparation for the establishment of a regional centre of excellence for demonstration and training of low-global warming potential ozone-safe technologies in the Europe and Central Asia Region (Government of the Russian Federation)

The Executive Committee may wish to consider approving the request for project preparation for a technical assistance project to establish a regional centre of excellence for demonstration and training of low-global warming potential, ozone-safe alternative technologies in the Europe and Central Asia region² at the level of funding of US \$50,000, plus agency support costs of US \$6,500 for UNIDO.

General recommendation

__

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to request the Treasurer to offset the costs of the bilateral project approved at the 74th meeting as follows:

² The project will be prepared and implemented by UNIDO, on behalf of the Government of the Russian Federation

- (a) US \$XX (including agency fees) against the balance of France's bilateral contribution for 2015;
- (b) US \$XX (including agency fees) against the balance of Germany's bilateral contribution for 2015;
- (c) US \$XX (including agency fees) against the balance of Japan bilateral contribution for 2015; and
- (d) US \$XX (including agency fees) against the balance of the Russian Federation's bilateral contribution for 2015.

(c) Work programmes

(i) 2015 work programme of UNDP

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/15</u> contains 19 activities submitted by UNDP including three requests for IS renewal projects, one request for additional project preparation for stage II of the HPMP, for blanket approval for consideration under agenda item 7(c); eight requests for project preparation of demonstration projects for low-GWP alternatives technologies, one request for technical assistance for a feasibility study on district cooling, and six requests for technical assistance for preparation of national surveys on ODS alternatives, for individual consideration.

Issues to be addressed:

- Requests for funding for the preparation of ten projects that demonstrate climate-friendly and energy efficient alternative technologies to HCFCs in line with decision 72/40
- Request for technical assistance for a feasibility study for district cooling in the Dominican Republic in line with decision 72/40
- Requests for technical assistance for the preparation of national surveys on ODS alternatives for six countries in line with decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4)

Preparation of a demonstration project for low-global warming potential alternatives technologies

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To consider the proposal for the preparation of projects that would demonstrate climate-friendly and energy-efficient alternative technologies to HCFCs, as listed in Table 1 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/15 in the context of its discussion on proposals for demonstration projects for low-GWP alternatives to HCFCs as described in the document on the overview of issues identified during project review (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13); and
- (b) To approve the project preparation requests mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above, in case the Executive Committee selects such proposals.

Technical assistance for a feasibility study for district cooling

The Executive Committee may wish:

(a) To consider the feasibility study to develop a business model for district cooling in the Dominican Republic in the context of its discussion on proposals for demonstration

projects for low global-warming potential (GWP) alternatives to HCFCs as described in the document on the overview of issues identified during project review (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13); and

(b) To approve the feasibility study mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above, in case the Executive Committee selects such study.

Technical assistance for preparation for ODS surveys

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider the requests to conduct national surveys on alternatives to ODS, in the context of its discussion on agenda item 12 on follow-up to decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4) of the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties on additional funding to conduct inventories or surveys on ODS alternatives.

(ii) 2015 work programme of UNEP

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/16</u> contains 77 activities submitted by UNEP including 18 requests for IS renewal projects, for blanket approval for consideration under agenda item 7(a); two requests for technical assistance for a feasibility study on district cooling, and 57 requests for technical assistance for the preparation of national surveys on ODS alternatives, for individual consideration.

Issues to be addressed:

- Requests for technical assistance for a feasibility study for district cooling in Egypt and Kuwait in line with decision 72/40
- Requests for technical assistance for the preparation of national surveys on ODS alternatives for 57 countries in line with decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4)

Technical assistance for feasibility studies for district cooling

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To consider the requests for feasibility studies to develop a business model for district cooling in Egypt and Kuwait in the context of its discussion on proposals for demonstration projects for low global-warming potential (GWP) alternatives to HCFCs as described in the document on the overview of issues identified during project review (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13); and
- (b) To approve the feasibility studies mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above, in case the Executive Committee selects such studies.

Technical assistance for preparation for ODS surveys

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider the requests to conduct national surveys on alternatives to ODS as listed in Table 1 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/16, in the context of its discussion on agenda item 12 on follow-up to decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4) of the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties on additional funding to conduct inventories or surveys on ODS alternatives.

(iii) 2015 work programme of UNIDO

<u>Documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/17</u> contains 42 activities submitted by UNIDO including three requests for IS renewal projects, for blanket approval for consideration under agenda item 7(a), and two for individual consideration; two requests for project preparation for stage II of the HPMP for blanket approval for consideration under agenda item 7(a); twelve for preparation of demonstration projects for low-GWP alternative technologies; two for technical assistance for feasibility studies on district cooling; and 21 requests for technical assistance for preparation for national surveys of ODS alternatives.

Issues to be addressed:

- Potential non-compliance of Bosnia and Herzegovina for HCFCs vis-à-vis its request for IS renewal
- Potential non-compliance of Libya for HCFCs vis-à-vis its request for IS renewal
- Requests for project preparation funds for twelve projects that demonstrate climate-friendly and energy efficient alternative technologies to HCFCs in line with decision 72/40
- Request for technical assistance for two studies on the feasibility of district cooling in Egypt and Kuwait in line with decision 72/40
- Requests for technical assistance for the preparation of national surveys on ODS alternatives for 21 countries in line with decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4)

Bosnia and Herzegovina: Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V)

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider approving the phase V of the institutional strengthening project for Bosnia and Herzegovina, at the level of funding of US \$95,333 plus agency support costs of US \$6,673, without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism on non-compliance.

Libya: Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase IV)

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider approving the funding of phase IV of the institutional strengthening project for Libya for one year only, at the level of funding of US \$68,033 plus agency support costs of US \$4,762, without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism on non-compliance.

Preparation of demonstration projects for low-GWP alternative technologies

The Executive Committee may wish:

(a) To consider the proposals for the preparation of projects in the refrigeration and air-conditioning and foam sectors that would demonstrate climate-friendly and energy-efficient alternative technologies to HCFCs, in the context of its discussion on proposals for demonstration projects for low global-warming potential (GWP) alternatives to HCFCs as described in the document on the overview of issues identified during project review (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13); and

(b) To approve the project preparation requests mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above, in case the Executive Committee selects these proposals.

Technical assistance for a feasibility study for district cooling

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To consider the feasibility studies to develop a business model for district cooling in Egypt and Kuwait in the context of its discussion on proposals for demonstration projects for low-global-warming potential (GWP) alternatives to HCFCs as described in the document on the overview of issues identified during project review (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13); and
- (b) To approve the feasibility studies mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above, in case the Executive Committee selects such studies.

Technical assistance for preparation for ODS surveys

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider the requests to conduct national surveys on alternatives to ODS, as listed in Table 1 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/17 in the context of its discussion on agenda item 12 on follow-up to decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4) of the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties on additional funding to conduct inventories or surveys on ODS alternatives.

(iv) 2015 work programme of World Bank

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/18</u> contains two activities submitted by the World Bank, one project preparation for a demonstration project on low-GWP alternatives, and another for technical assistance for the preparation of a national survey of ODS alternatives, for Thailand.

Issues to be addressed:

- Request for funding for preparation of a project that demonstrates climate-friendly and energy efficient alternative technologies to HCFCs in Thailand in line with decision 72/40
- Request for technical assistance for the preparation of a national survey on ODS alternatives for Thailand in line with decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4)

Preparation of a demonstration project for low GWP alternative technologies

The Executive Committee may wish to:

- (a) Consider the proposal for the preparation of a project in the foam sector in Thailand that would demonstrate climate-friendly and energy-efficient alternative technologies to HCFCs, in the context of its discussion on proposals for demonstration projects for low global-warming potential (GWP) alternatives to HCFCs as described in the document on the Overview of issues identified during project review (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13); and
- (b) Approve the project preparation request mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above, in case the Executive Committee selects this proposal.

Technical assistance for preparation for an ODS survey

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider the request to conduct a national HFC survey in Thailand in the context of its discussion on agenda item 12 on follow-up to decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4) of the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties on additional funding to conduct inventories or surveys on ODS alternatives.

(d) Investment projects

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/13</u> contains a list of projects for individual consideration (see table below). HPMP tranches of 18 countries and one methyl bromide project were recommended for blanket approval and were considered under agenda item 7(a).

Issues to be addressed:

• In the table below, each of the projects should be considered for approval individually. Project descriptions, and the comments and recommendations of the Secretariat can be found in the relevant country project document referred to in the table.

Country	Project	Agency	ExCom	Issue		
HPMP stage II						
Kyrgyzstan	HCFC phase-out management plan stage II - first tranche	UNDP	74/36	Stage II; all issues resolved.		
HPMP tranche request						
Dominican Republic	HCFC phase-out management plan stage I – second tranche	UNDP	74/27	Temporary use of high-GWP alternative		
El Salvador	HCFC phase-out management plan stage I – second tranche	UNDP/UNEP	74/29	Temporary use of high-GWP alternative		
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	HCFC phase-out management plan stage I – fourth and last tranche	UNDP/UNIDO/ Germany	74/33	Withdrawal of a technical assistance project		
Iraq	HCFC phase-out management plan stage I – second tranche	UNEP/UNIDO	74/34	Reprogramming of the activities, revision of funding distribution of tranches as well as among the agencies		
Kuwait	HCFC phase-out management plan stage I – second tranche	UNEP/UNIDO	74/35	Potential non-compliance with Agreement		
Lebanon	HCFC phase-out management plan stage I – third tranche	UNDO	74/38	Revision of work plan as per paragraph 7 of the Agreement (flexibility)		
Republic of Moldova	HCFC phase-out management plan stage I – second tranche	UNDP	74/45	Revision of Agreement and funding level		
Thailand	HCFC phase-out management plan stage I – second tranche	World Bank/Japan	74/48	Above US \$5 million; revision of work plan as per paragraph 7 of the Agreement (flexibility)		

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider whether to approve each of the projects listed in the table above on the basis of information provided in the relevant project proposal document including the Secretariat's comments, and any information provided at the meeting by the Secretariat or the relevant bilateral and/or implementing agency.

8. Analysis of the remaining eligible HCFC consumption in various sectors and subsectors of potential demonstration relevance (decision 72/40(d))

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/49</u> describes the methodology used to determine the remaining HCFC consumption in Article 5 countries; presents an analysis of the remaining HCFC consumption per sector and subsector; and provides an assessment of the sectors and subsectors of potential demonstration relevance.

Issues to be addressed: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To take note of the document on the analysis of the remaining eligible HCFC consumption in various sectors and subsectors of potential demonstration relevance (decision 72/40(d)) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/49; and
- (b) To consider the information contained in the document, together with the criteria for proposals for demonstration projects for low-global-warming potential alternatives set out in decision 72/40, to assess the demonstration project proposals submitted to the 74th meeting.

9. Draft criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HCFC phase-out management plans (decision 73/64)

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/50</u> contains a summary of the discussion at the 73rd meeting on the draft criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HPMPs, including that in the contact group convened at the 73rd meeting. The document concludes with the text of draft criteria which includes the various proposals presented by members of the Executive Committee for which a consensus had not been reached (text in square brackets).

Issues to be addressed:

Criteria for funding of HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HPMPs

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to adopt the draft revised criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HCFC phase-out management plans as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/50.

10. Review of funding of institutional strengthening projects (decision 61/43(b))

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/51</u> contains a brief review of the history of IS funding, its linkage with other forms of institutional support and capacity building provided through project management units (PMUs) in multi-year phase-out plans, and the UNEP Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP). It assesses the relevance of IS support in contributing to the achievement of Article 5 countries' compliance with the Montreal Protocol's control measures; and, sets out the range of activities that national ozone units (NOUs) in Article 5 countries will have to undertake post 2015. It also addresses IS issues not directly related to funding, including delays in submission, the quality of reports, and reporting format. Analysis of these issues and recommendations to address them has also been included in the document.

<u>Issues to be addressed:</u>

- Level of funding of IS support
- Amended reporting format for IS reporting
- Reporting requirements for the IS components in HPMPs
- IS renewal requests not submitted despite eligibility for renewed funding

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the review of funding of institutional strengthening (IS) projects prepared in line with decision 61/43(b) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/51;
- (b) To consider the level of funding for IS support taking into account the activities that Article 5 countries would need to undertake to enable them to achieve 35 per cent reduction in HCFCs required by 1 January 2020, and the 67.5 per cent reduction by 1 January 2025;
- (c) To approve the amended format for IS renewals with the identified objectives and indicators attached as Annex IV to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/51, and requesting the bilateral and implementing agencies to use the amended format from the 75th meeting and onwards;
- (d) With respect to countries with IS components included in the HPMP:
 - (i) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to provide reports on IS activities in those countries using the amended format referred to in sub-paragraph (c) when submitting a tranche request for an HPMP;
 - (ii) To request the Secretariat to include in the relevant pre-session documents of project proposals for stage I and stage II of HPMPs, information including the amount of IS funding for the entire stage of the HPMP, an explanation of the rationale for the distribution of the IS funding among the individual tranches, and a terminal report and action plan for the IS component;
- (e) With regard to expediting the submission of requests for stand-alone IS projects:
 - (i) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to submit a comprehensive progress report describing the status of implementation of the IS project, the reasons for the delays and actions taken, or to be taken, to address them, for those IS projects renewals not submitted within six months of the end of its existing current phase; and
 - (ii) To send a letter to governments of Article 5 countries that have not submitted an IS project renewal request despite being eligible for one, encouraging the country to work with the relevant implementing agency to address any issues and submit the IS renewal request as soon as possible.

11. Report on the status of consolidation of the accounts of the Multilateral Fund $(decision\ 72/42(b)(i))$

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/52</u> provides a status report on the implementation of International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) and UMOJA³.

Issues to be addressed: None

The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the report on the status of consolidation of the accounts of the Multilateral Fund (decision 72/42(b)(i)) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/52;
- (b) To request the Treasurer:
 - (i) To submit the final 2014 accounts of the Multilateral Fund containing the required information on the fixed-exchange rate mechanism, promissory notes, outstanding contributions, and counterpart contribution by the Government of Canada, to the 75th meeting;
 - (ii) To continue submitting one schedule per implementing agency, one stand-alone schedule for the budget of the Fund Secretariat, and a separate schedule on the financial status of the Multilateral Fund using the same format when the accounts were reported under United Nations System Accounting Standards;
 - (iii) To report on the Multilateral Fund bank account located in New York and the impact on the financial operation of the Fund Secretariat and the Multilateral Fund to the 75th meeting; and
- (c) To organise, together with the Fund Secretariat, an information workshop to update the implementing agencies on the reporting requirement under International Public Sector Accounting Standards prior to the 75th meeting.

12. Follow-up to decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4) of the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties on additional funding to conduct inventories or surveys on ODS alternatives

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/53</u> contains decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4) of the Meeting of the Parties on the request to the Executive Committee on providing additional funding to conduct inventories or surveys on ODS alternatives in interested Article 5 countries. The document also contains a note from the Secretariat providing factual data on the matter.

Issues to be addressed:

• Guidance from the Executive Committee on how to address the request from the Meeting of the Parties in decision XXVI/9 (paragraph 4)

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to consider the Note from the Secretariat attached to the present document, which provide information on the matter.

³ UMOJA is the new enterprise resource system in the United Nations that replaces multiple and fragmented legacy systems such as IMIS, Mercury and Sun.

13. Draft report of the Executive Committee to the Twenty-seventh Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/54</u> contains an outline of the report of the Executive Committee to the Twenty-seventh Meeting of the Parties which will summarize the most important decisions taken by the Committee at its 74th meeting.

Issues to be addressed:

• Since the reporting period includes only the 74th meeting, the report will need to be updated once the Report of the 74th meeting of the Executive Committee is finalized.

<u>The Executive Committee may wish</u> to authorize the Secretariat to finalize the report in the light of the discussions held and decisions taken at the 74th meeting for clearance by the Chair before onward transmission of the document to the Ozone Secretariat.

14. Report of the Sub-group on the Production Sector

<u>Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/74/55</u> will be issued during the 74th meeting and it will provide a report of the meeting of the Sub-group on the Production Sector to take place in the margins of the meeting. The document will contain an account of the Sub-group's consideration of a provisional agenda; a draft decision for the HCFC production sector guidelines; the Addendum to the HCFC production phase-out management plan (HPPMP) in China; the 2015 annual plan and 2014 progress report for the HPPMP in China; the format for submissions of annual implementation plans and progress reports for an HPPMP; and a preliminary data and request for authorization to carry out the technical audit of the HCFC production sector in Mexico.

15. Other matters

If substantive issues are agreed for inclusion on agenda item 2(a), these will be taken up under this agenda item.

16. Adoption of the report

The Executive Committee will have in front of it the draft report of the 74th meeting for its consideration and adoption.

17. Closure of the meeting

The meeting is expected to be closed on Friday, 22 May 2015.
