

United Nations Environment Programme

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/60 7 October 2014

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL Seventy-third Meeting Paris, 9-13 November 2014

DRAFT REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL TO THE TWENTY-SIXTH MEETING OF THE PARTIES

Introduction

1. The present report covers the activities undertaken by the Executive Committee since the Twenty-Fifth Meeting of the Parties¹ and consists of three parts dealing with: policy matters; projects, their implementation and monitoring; and business planning, administrative and financial matters. By decision 70/23, the Executive Committee decided to hold two meetings during 2014 on a trial basis. Accordingly, during the reporting period, the 71st meeting of the Executive Committee was held in Montreal from 2 to 6 December 2013, while the 72nd and 73rd meetings were held in Montreal and Paris from 12 to 16 May and 9 to 13 November 2014, respectively. The reports² of those meetings are available on the Multilateral Fund's web site (www.multilateralfund.org).

Attendance

2. The 71st meeting was attended³ by Belgium, Canada, Finland, Japan, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America, representing parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Montreal Protocol (non-Article 5 parties), and by India, Kuwait, Mali, Nicaragua, Serbia, Uganda and Uruguay, representing parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 (Article 5 parties), and was chaired by Ms. Fiona Walters (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), with Ms. Sonya Ruzin (Serbia) as Vice-Chair.

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

¹ The terms of reference of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol (UNEP/OzL.Pro.9/12, Annex V) require the Executive Committee to report annually to the Meeting of the Parties.

² UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/64 and Corr.1, UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/47 and Corr.1, [and UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/...].

³ In accordance with decision XXIV/22.

- 3. The 72nd [and 73rd meetings] were attended⁴ by Australia, Belgium, Italy, Japan, the Russian Federation, Sweden and the United States of America, representing non-Article 5 parties, and by China, the Comoros, Grenada, Mauritius, Nicaragua, Saudi Arabia and Uruguay, representing Article 5 parties, and were chaired by Mr. Premhans Jhugroo (Mauritius), with Mr. John Thompson (United States of America) as Vice-Chair.
- 4. All the meetings within the reporting period were also attended by representatives of the implementing agencies, namely, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), both as implementing agency and Treasurer of the Multilateral Fund, the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) and the World Bank, and by representatives of the Ozone Secretariat and other observers.

I. POLICY MATTERS

- 5. Bearing in mind the target of 10 per cent reduction in the baseline consumption and production of HCFCs for 1 January 2015, governments, bilateral and implementing agencies and the Secretariat continued to work intensively during the period under review on preparing and monitoring implementation of HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) and of an HCFC production phase-out management plan (HPPMP). Since the first HPMP and HPPMP had been approved at the 60th and 69th meetings, respectively, [to be completed] HPMPs for Article 5 parties, had been approved (see Annex to this report). Only six HPMPs for Article 5 parties still remained to be adopted.
- 6. In addition to the actions taken to implement decisions of Meetings of the Parties, the Executive Committee took decisions on a number of policy issues that had arisen in the course of reviewing and implementing projects. Further details on all these aspects can be found below.

(i) Guidelines and criteria for funding

- 7. During the reporting period, the Executive Committee pursued its efforts to assist parties in preparing their HPMPs, in accordance with decisions XIX/6 and XXI/9 of the Meetings of the Parties. The latter decision had also called on the Executive Committee, as a matter of urgency, to expedite the finalization of its guidelines on HCFCs. The contact group established at the 70th meeting to discuss the draft guidelines⁵ continued its work in the margins of the 71st meeting. After hearing its report, the meeting approved guidelines for funding the preparation of stage II of HPMPs, as set out in decision 71/42, identifying the criteria for submitting requests for project preparation funding.
- 8. In discussing the criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HPMPs, the 71st meeting noted a document⁶ prepared by the Secretariat in response to a request made at the 70th meeting containing additional information provided by relevant implementing agencies regarding incremental capital and operating costs incurred under stage I of HPMPs. The 72nd meeting discussed whether the existing guidelines for approval of HPMPs needed to be amended for approval of stage II, even though they had already been applied to stage II in some cases. With a view to enabling the Secretariat to prepare a document for the 73rd meeting, members were invited to submit any additional information they considered necessary (decision 72/39).

(ii) Submission of an agreement for stage II of an HPMP when stage I was still ongoing

9. It was emphasized at the 72nd meeting that there needed to be a common understanding on whether stages of an HPMP should be seen from the financial perspective as separate entities or as part of a unique continuous project until complete phase-out of HCFCs had been achieved. Accordingly, the Secretariat

⁴ In accordance with decision XXV/18.

⁵ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/55.

⁶ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/57.

sought guidance from the Committee on whether any of the options presented in the document⁷ submitted to the meeting could be considered when preparing agreements for stage II of an HPMP. The Executive Committee agreed that it was a complex issue that had to be considered carefully and therefore requested the Secretariat to consider further how to formulate a stage II agreement for an HPMP when there was an overlap with stage I, based on the views expressed by the Executive Committee, and to submit a recommendation to the 73rd meeting (decision 72/23).

Requests for preparatory funding for stage II of HPMPs (iii)

At the 72nd meeting there was discussion as to whether, when considering preparatory funding for stage II of HPMPs, clearer explanations were needed as to why in some cases countries that had received project preparation funds for a given sector in stage I of their HPMPs but had not included that sector in the first stage were being recommended for further preparation funds for that same sector in stage II, and whether the funds provided for stage I and not used had been returned to the Multilateral Fund. It was also unclear whether requests for additional preparatory funds related solely to achieving the 2020 compliance target, as stipulated in the guidelines, or whether they included targets beyond 2020. Following informal discussions in the margins of the meeting, the Executive Committee decided to remind bilateral and implementing agencies and Article 5 parties to prioritize the phase-out of HCFC-141b and compliance with the 2020 target when requesting and using project preparation funds for projects in non-low-volume-consuming (LVC) countries (decision 72/18).

(iv) **Alternatives to ODS**

- 11. Pursuant to decision XXV/5⁸, the Secretariat was requested to prepare an overview of approved HCFC demonstration projects, together with a discussion paper on options for a number of additional projects to demonstrate climate-friendly and energy-efficient alternative technologies to HCFCs, including not-in-kind technologies, for discussion at the 72nd meeting (decision 71/51(a)). The 72nd meeting therefore reviewed the HCFC demonstration projects approved to date and options for additional projects to demonstrate climate-friendly and energy-efficient technologies. The 14 HCFC demonstration projects already approved had been successful in facilitating the introduction of new low-global-warming potential (GWP) technologies as alternatives in HPMPs and the Secretariat had concluded that there was no urgent need for additional demonstration projects⁹. It was noted that HCFC demonstration projects often involved the development of new technologies by Article 5 parties and the inherent lack of pre-existing expertise entailed certain challenges when it came to adapting such technologies for other countries.
- 12. Following the report from a contact group, the Executive Committee decided to consider at its 75th and 76th meetings proposals for demonstration projects for low-GWP alternatives to HCFCs and adopted criteria for the selection of demonstration projects, as set out in decision 72/40. Bilateral and implementing agencies were invited to make proposals for a maximum of four feasibility studies no later than the 75th meeting, with a limit of US \$100,000 for each study, and it was agreed that further funding beyond the feasibility studies would not be considered. The Secretariat was requested to prepare a paper analysing the remaining eligible HCFC consumption in various sectors and subsectors of potential demonstration relevance for consideration at the 74th meeting (decision 72/40).

⁷ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/12.

⁸ This decision, *inter alia*, requested the Executive Committee to consider the information provided in the report on additional information on alternatives to ODS prepared by the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel pursuant to decision XXIV/7 and other related reports. 9 UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/40.

(v) Additional activities to maximize climate benefits in the HCFC production sector

13. At the 71st meeting, the Executive Committee decided that the 72nd meeting should address additional activities to maximize climate benefits in the HCFC production sector (decision 71/51(b)). The 72nd meeting heard a brief summary of issues related to the emission of by-products with high-GWP in the HCFC production sector. It was pointed out that the Meeting of the Parties was currently debating the matter and that HFC-23 was not at present a controlled substance under the Montreal Protocol. Substantial interest was expressed in the option of destroying HFC-23 in on-site/off-site incineration facilities, although the issues of cost, the duration of any potential Multilateral Fund support for such activities and thus of the sustainability of such an approach were raised. Based on a document¹⁰ prepared by the Secretariat, informal discussions had been held in the margins of the meeting; however, the Executive Committee was unable to reach consensus and took note of the document.

(vi) Minimizing adverse climate impact of HCFC phase-out in the refrigeration servicing sector

- 14. The 71^{st} meeting considered a document¹¹ summarizing the discussion that had taken place at the 70^{th} meeting on minimizing the adverse climate impact of HCFC phase-out in the refrigeration servicing sector and, following an exchange of views, decided to defer its consideration to the 72^{nd} meeting (decision 71/43).
- 15. After noting the documents¹² prepared by the Secretariat, the 72nd meeting urged bilateral and implementing agencies to continue to apply ingenuity in addressing HCFC phase-out in the servicing sector to ensure increased energy efficiency, as well as a reduction in emissions, and to consider the information contained in those documents when assisting Article 5 parties in the preparation and implementation of activities in the refrigeration servicing sector contained in their HPMPs. Article 5 parties, when implementing their HPMPs, were invited to consider a number of measures, as set out in decision 72/41.

(vii) Progress report on the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (MCII)

16. During discussion of the $MCII^{13}$ at the 72^{nd} meeting, some members expressed the view that additional input was needed, whereas others felt that the MCII was useful as it was. The Secretariat would send a letter to Executive Committee members inviting further comments on the MCII to be incorporated into the report to be presented to the 73^{rd} meeting pursuant to decision 69/23.

(viii) Fund disbursement

- 17. It was recalled at the 71st meeting that the main reason for tranche submission delays was failure to meet the required 20 per cent disbursement threshold for the previous tranche of stage I of an HPMP. The need for a common understanding on the application of the 20 per cent disbursement threshold was underlined. The Executive Committee therefore decided to confirm that achievement of the 20 per cent disbursement threshold should be determined in terms of the level of disbursement to final beneficiaries, where applicable. The Secretariat was requested to review the application of the threshold provision with a view to clarifying it and ensuring its consistent application, and to enable consideration of alternative options for defining pre-conditions for submission of multi-year tranches (decision 71/29).
- 18. The difficulties sometimes encountered in achieving a 20 per cent disbursement threshold level for a tranche before funds could be released for subsequent tranches were addressed by the 72nd meeting¹⁴

¹⁰ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/41.

¹¹ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/56.

¹² UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/53/Rev.1 and UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/42.

¹³ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/43.

¹⁴ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/12.

and possible alternatives discussed. The Secretariat was requested to continue assessing different modalities for the fund disbursement threshold and to inform the Executive Committee of the results of the analysis no later than the first meeting in 2015. The 20 per cent disbursement threshold would continue to be used as a requirement for the approval of tranches of HPMPs and, on an exceptional basis, bilateral and implementing agencies would be allowed to submit information on disbursements related to tranches up to six weeks before the 73rd and 74th meetings (decision 72/24).

19. The 71st meeting discussed, in particular, disbursement modalities for the HPMP in China and, after considering the document¹⁵ prepared by the Secretariat with input from the implementing agencies, requested the Secretariat, in cooperation with the implementing agencies, to continue its work and to report any additional information to the 72nd meeting (decision 71/44). The 72nd meeting was informed of the modality that had been developed by UNDP and the Government of China for the disbursement of funding¹⁶. The Executive Committee requested the Secretariat, in collaboration with the implementing agencies and the Treasurer, to consider the options on the timing of the disbursement of funds under stage II of the HPMP for China and to report on the matter to the 73rd meeting (decision 72/38).

(ix) Retrofit of existing HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants

20. The issue of retrofit of existing equipment to hydrocarbon (HC) technology was taken up by the 72nd meeting¹⁷. In view of the divergent opinions expressed regarding retrofitting, a contact group was convened to discuss the issue of safety standards and the Montreal Protocol's approach to retrofitting existing refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to HC technology. After hearing the report of the contact group, the Executive Committee decided that text should be added to approval of HPMPs, tranches, projects or activities that proposed the retrofit of HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants to the effect that, if the country engaged in retrofitting HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants and associated servicing, it did so on the understanding that it assumed all associated responsibilities and risks (decision 72/17).

(x) Sub-group on the Production Sector

- 21. The Sub-group on the Production Sector met in the margins of all the meetings during the reporting period. During the 71st meeting, it reached agreement on all issues except the draft HCFC production sector guidelines¹⁸. The HPPMP for China had been approved at the 69th meeting, but additional discussion had been required in order to finalize the framework Agreement and the conditions for stage I, which were approved during the 71st meeting (decision 71/49). The modifications to China's CFC production sector phase-out plan to permit exemptions for the production of CFCs for essential uses approved for other parties for 2014 were approved by the Sub-group and adopted by the 71st meeting (decision 71/50).
- 22. At the 72nd meeting, the Sub-group addressed all the issues on its agenda and continued deliberating on the draft HCFC production sector guidelines; some issues were resolved, but more time was needed to address the remaining elements. After hearing the report¹⁹ of the Sub-group, the Executive Committee requested the World Bank, on behalf of the Government of China, to resubmit the Addendum to the HPPMP for China to the 73rd meeting of the Executive Committee, including a number of elements as set out in decision 72/44.

¹⁵ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/58.

¹⁶ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/38.

¹⁷ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/12.

¹⁸ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/63.

¹⁹ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/46.

23. The 72nd meeting approved the 2014 tranche of the HPPMP for China, noting that funds used in stage I of the HPPMP from the CFC production sector would be reimbursed by funds from the HPPMP. The World Bank was requested to provide the remaining information requested by the Secretariat in relation to activities funded by the Multilateral Fund as soon as possible and, together with the Secretariat, to update the format for future submission of annual implementation plans and progress reports for the HPPMP (decision 72/45).

II. PROJECTS, THEIR IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING

(i) Fund achievement since inception

24. Since 1991, [to be completed] projects and activities (excluding cancelled and transferred projects) had been approved, with the following geographical distribution [to be completed] projects and activities for countries in Asia and the Pacific; [to be completed] for countries in Latin America and the Caribbean; [to be completed] for countries in Europe; and [to be completed] with global coverage. Of the [to be completed] tonnes of ODS to be eliminated once all these projects have been implemented, a total of [to be completed] tonnes of ODS had already been phased out. The sectoral distribution of phase-out in all approved projects and activities and funds approved since inception is shown in the table below:

Sector	ODP tonnes approved	ODP tonnes phased-out	Funds approved* (US \$)
Consumption			
Aerosol	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Destruction	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Foam	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Fumigant	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Halon	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Multi-sector	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Other	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Process agent	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Phase-out plan	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Refrigeration	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Several	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Solvent	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Sterilant	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Total consumption	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Production			
CFC	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Halon	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
CTC	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
TCA	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
MB	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Total production	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]

^{*} Excluding cancelled and transferred projects and including agency support costs, where applicable.

25. The total funds approved by the Executive Committee since 1991 in order to achieve the phase-out of ODS and to implement both ongoing investment projects and all non-investment projects and activities amounted to US \$[to be completed], including US \$[to be completed] for agency support costs (excluding cancelled and transferred projects). Of the total project funds approved, the amounts allocated to, and disbursed by, each of the implementing agencies and bilateral agencies, are indicated in the table below:

Agency	Funds approved* (US \$)	Agency support cost (US \$)	Funds disbursed** (US \$)
Bilateral	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
UNDP	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
UNEP	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
UNIDO	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
World Bank	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Total	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]

^{*} As at (excluding cancelled and transferred projects)
** As at (excluding cancelled and transferred projects)

(ii) Projects and activities approved during the reporting period (71st, 72nd [and 73rd] meetings of the Executive Committee)

26. During the reporting period, the Executive Committee approved a total of [to be completed] additional projects and activities, with a planned phase-out of [to be completed] ODP tonnes in the production and consumption of controlled substances amounting to US \$[to be completed], including US \$[to be completed]] for agency support costs as per the table below:

Agency	Funds approved (US \$)	Agency support cost (US \$)	Total (US \$)
Bilateral	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
UNDP	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
UNEP	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
UNIDO	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
World Bank	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Total	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]

Investment projects

- 27. Of the total funds approved in the period under review, the Executive Committee allocated US \$[to be completed], including US \$[to be completed] for agency support costs, for the implementation of investment projects to eliminate an estimated quantity of [to be completed] ODP tonnes in consumption and production of ODS.
- 28. During the reporting period, the Executive Committee approved [to be completed] first tranches of stage I of HPMPs or HPPMPs ([to be completed] for LVC countries and [to be completed] for non-LVC countries), [to be completed] second tranches of stage I, [to be completed] third tranches, and [to be completed] fourth tranches, together with the corresponding agreements. Commitments in principle totalled US \$[to be completed]. US \$[to be completed], including US \$[to be completed] in agency support costs, were approved during the reporting period for the tranches of these agreements.
- 29. In addition, the Executive Committee also approved funding for tranches of national methyl bromide phase-out plans.

Non-investment activities

30. Amendments to the 2013 and the 2014 work programmes of UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO and the World $Bank^{20}$ were approved by the 71^{st} , 72^{nd} [and 73^{rd}] meetings (decisions 71/30, 71/32, 72/25 [and 73/...]).

²⁰ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/20 to 22, UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/14 to 17, and UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/26 to 29.

These covered: renewal of institutional strengthening projects²¹ (IS); preparation projects for stage II of an HPMP and HCFC phase-out investment activities; preparation of projects in the fumigant sector; and verification reports on the implementation of an HPMP.

Demonstration projects

31. The Executive Committee approved the implementation of two pilot demonstration projects for ODS waste disposal and management on the understanding that no further funds would be available for the two countries concerned for any ODS disposal projects in the future (decisions 72/27 and 72/28). For ODS disposal projects withdrawn prior to the meeting, the Executive Committee, on an exceptional basis, decided to allow one project to be resubmitted to the 73rd meeting on certain conditions, but did not allow another one to be resubmitted as there was no indication that the guidelines for the submission of ODS disposal demonstration projects would be met by the time of the 73rd meeting (decision 72/21).

(iii) Monitoring and evaluation

- 32. During the period under review, the Executive Committee noted the desk study on the evaluation of the preparatory phase of the phasing-out of HCFCs and its recommendations contained in the document²² (decision 71/25). It also noted the interim and final reports on the evaluation of projects for the conversion of CFC-based metered dose inhalers to non-CFC technologies contained in the respective documents²³ (decisions 71/26 and 72/7). The project completion report (PCR) for 2013²⁴ and the PCR for multi-year agreements (MYAs) for 2014²⁵ were noted by the Executive Committee which invited all concerned to take into consideration the lessons learned from them when preparing and implementing future projects (decisions 71/24 and 72/5). At the 72nd meeting, the Executive Committee also noted the report on the MYA database²⁶ and requested bilateral and implementing agencies to add the missing information pertaining to approved multi-year projects to the MYA database no later than eight weeks prior to the 73rd meeting (decision 72/6).
- 33. The draft monitoring and evaluation work programme for 2014 was submitted to the 71st meeting, which requested the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to submit to the 72nd meeting a revised programme taking into account the suggestions made at the meeting (decision 71/27). The 72nd meeting approved the revised monitoring and evaluation work programme at a budget of US \$148,700 to include a desk study, together with field visits to seven countries and preparation of reports related to the evaluation of HCFC phase-out projects in the foam sector (decision 72/8).

(iv) Performance indicators

34. After hearing a report²⁷ from the Secretariat on performance indicators and proposals for their revision, the 71^{st} meeting modified the indicators, changing their weighting so that they became comparable (decision 71/28).

(v) Tranche submission delays

35. At the 71st meeting, it was noted that 49 of 66 tranches of MYAs²⁸ due for submission had been submitted to the meeting on time (decision 71/4). The 72nd meeting was informed that 48 out of

²¹ US \$[to be completed] have been approved since inception for IS projects in [to be completed] Article 5 countries.

²² UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/14.

²³ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/15 and UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/9.

²⁴ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/13.

²⁵ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/7.

²⁶ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/8.

²⁷ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/17.

²⁸ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/5.

73 activities related to tranches of HPMPs due for submission²⁹ had been submitted on time (decision 72/4). At both meetings, the Executive Committee noted that those tranches not submitted were not expected to have a negative impact on compliance, except for one country at the 71st meeting owing to its political situation. The Secretariat was requested to send letters to the governments concerned to urge submission of the next tranche.

(vi) Status reports and compliance

- 36. The 71st, 72nd [and 73rd] meetings considered updated reports on the status of compliance and information on projects with implementation delays³⁰. It was decided to request the Fund Secretariat and bilateral and implementing agencies to provide additional status and other reports on a number of projects (decisions 71/5 to 71/12, 72/9,72/13 to 72/16, [and 73/...]).
- 37. The 71^{st} and 72^{nd} meetings also considered progress and final reports on four pilot projects to demonstrate HCFC alternative technologies in several subsectors in China and the final report on the pilot project to demonstrate the use of super-critical CO_2 technology for polyurethane spray foams in Colombia (decisions 71/13 to 71/17 and 72/10 to 72/12).

(vii) Submission of verification reports

38. The 72nd meeting discussed the timing of submission of verification reports under the two-meetings-a-year regime³¹ and encouraged leading bilateral and implementing agencies to include a verification report for the year immediately preceding the year in which the tranche was submitted when presenting HPMPs to the first meeting each year. In the interests of flexibility, however, it was agreed that, should the verification reports not be ready in time for the first meeting of the year, transfer of any approved funds for tranches to the bilateral and implementing agencies would occur only after the Secretariat had received the verification reports confirming that in the year immediately preceding the tranche request the country had been in compliance with the Montreal Protocol and the Agreement between its Government and the Executive Committee (decision 72/19).

III. BUSINESS PLANNING, FINANCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

(i) 2014-2016 business plan

- 39. The 71st meeting endorsed the 2014-2016 business plan of the Multilateral Fund³², making a number of adjustments and requests to bilateral and implementing agencies (decision 71/18). The 72nd meeting noted the updated business plan and requested the Secretariat to continue monitoring cash flow availability in the next update to be submitted to the 73rd meeting (decision 72/3).
- 40. The 71st meeting took note of the business plans of the bilateral agencies, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO and the World Bank³³, and approved the performance indicators for the implementing agencies (decisions 71/20 to 71/23). It also requested bilateral and implementing agencies to address those activities which had not been submitted in 2013 as planned (decision 71/4).

²⁹ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/6.

³⁰ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/6, Add.1, Corr.1, Add.1/Corr.1, UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/11, Corr.1 and Add.1, and UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17.

³¹ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/12.

³² UNEPOzL.Pro/ExCom/71/7.

³³ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/8 to 12.

(ii) Financial planning

41. Reports on balances and availability of resources³⁴ were presented to the 71st, 72nd [and 73rd] meetings, which noted the return of funds and requested implementing agencies to return the balances from projects approved over two years previously, as well as any unobligated balances (decisions 71/3, 72/2 [and 73/...]). The return of unused balances also included those from projects where no new commitments were to be incurred after the final date of completion, as mandated by decisions 70/7(b)(ii) and (iii) and 71/11(b).

(iii) 2014 core units costs for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank

42. The 71st meeting approved the requested core unit budgets for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank³⁵, noting that UNDP and UNIDO had requested a 0.7 per cent increase, while the World Bank requested the same budget as that approved in 2013 and would be returning unused balances (decision 71/34).

(iv) Resource mobilization for climate co-benefits

43. The 71st meeting noted two reports³⁶ prepared by UNDP and the World Bank on the results of resource mobilization activities undertaken with funds approved at the 63rd meeting.

(v) Administrative cost regime

- 44. The 71st meeting noted the options for assessment of the administrative cost regime for the 2015-2017 triennium³⁷ and requested the Secretariat to prepare a document reviewing the regime for consideration at the last meeting in 2014 (decision 71/45).
- 45. The question of whether the new administrative cost regime approved at the 67th meeting should apply to the second and subsequent tranches of those HPMPs approved at the 66th meeting was discussed at the 72nd meeting, which decided to apply the new support cost regime in line with decision 67/15 for the second and subsequent tranches of HPMPs approved at the 66th meeting (decision 72/20).

(vi) Status of contributions and disbursements

46. As at [to be completed] 2014, the total income to the Multilateral Fund, including cash payments, promissory notes held, bilateral contributions, interest earned and miscellaneous income, amounted to US \$[to be completed], and total allocations, including provisions, amounted to US \$[to be completed]. The balance available at [to be completed] therefore amounted to US \$[to be completed]. The yearly distribution of contributions against pledges is shown in the Table below:

Year	Pledged contributions US \$	Total payments US \$	Arrears/outstanding pledges US \$
1991-1993	234,929,241	210,877,289	24,051,952
1994-1996	424,841,347	393,465,069	31,376,278
1997-1999	472,567,009	434,355,498	38,211,511
2000-2002	440,000,001	429,283,071	10,716,930
2003-2005	474,000,000	465,570,282	8,429,718
2006-2008	368,028,480	358,884,648	9,143,832
2009-2011	399,640,706	385,276,047	14,364,659
2012-2014	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]
Total:	[to be completed]	[to be completed]	[to be completed]

Note: Not including any disputed contributions.

³⁴ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/4, UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/4, and UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/4.

³⁵ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/24.

³⁶ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/6/Add.1.

³⁷ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/59.

- 47. The 71st and 72nd meetings requested the Treasurer to continue discussions with parties that still had outstanding contributions (decisions 71/2(d) and 72/1(d)).
- 48. During the period under review, the Executive Committee urged all parties to pay their contributions to the Multilateral Fund in full and as early as possible (decisions 71/2(c), 72/1(b) [and 73/...]).

(vii) Interest collected during the 2006-2008, 2009-2011 and 2012-2014 trienniums

49. As at [to be completed] 2014, the total level of interest recorded in the Treasurer's accounts amounted to US \$43,537,814 for the 2006-2008 triennium, US \$10,544,631 for the 2009-2011 triennium, and US \$[to be completed] for the 2012-2014 triennium.

(viii) Fixed-exchange-rate mechanism (FERM)

50. The Treasurer informed the 71st, 72nd [and 73rd] meetings of the total amounts gained from exchange differences since the inception of the FERM, which stood at US \$[to be completed] as at [to be completed]

(ix) Bilateral cooperation

51. The 71st, 72nd [and 73rd] meetings approved requests by France, Germany, Italy and Japan to offset funds amounting to [to be completed] for bilateral projects (decisions 71/31, 72/26 [and 73/...]), bringing the total for bilateral cooperation since the inception of the Multilateral Fund to US \$[to be completed] (including agency fees and excluding cancelled and transferred projects), representing approximately [to be completed] per cent of funds approved.

(x) Accounts of the Multilateral Fund

- 52. When considering the final 2012 accounts of the Multilateral Fund³⁸, the 71st meeting noted that UNEP had yet to receive the final audit report of the 2012 accounts from the United Nations Board of Auditors. The Treasurer was requested to record in the 2013 accounts the differences between the implementing agencies' provisional financial statements and their final 2012 accounts. The Treasurer was also requested to report to the 72nd meeting on the consolidation of the Multilateral Fund accounts with those of UNEP under the International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS), the mitigation of exchange rate risks on pledged contributions, and the procedures set by the UNEP-wide standard on cash advances and the potential impact on the implementation of projects funded by the Multilateral Fund. The Executive Committee requested the Secretariat, in cooperation with the implementing agencies and the Treasurer, to consider further the impact of fund transfers to implementing agencies from the Treasurer, including information on rates of return on interest, and to report back to the Executive Committee at its 72nd meeting (decision 71/46).
- 53. After hearing the report of the Treasurer³⁹, the 72nd meeting noted the accounts of the Multilateral Fund and requested the Treasurer to report on the status of the consolidation of the accounts to the 74th meeting once IPSAS and Umoja were fully operational. In consultation with the Secretariat, the Treasurer was also requested to seek expert views on the issue of fund transfers from the Treasurer to the implementing agencies and to report back to the 73rd meeting. The next report on the accounts of the Multilateral Fund should include integrated information on the UNEP-wide standard on cash advances and the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for HPMPs to show clearly the relationship between the two

-

³⁸ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/60.

³⁹ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/44.

issues, as well as further information on the criteria used for the placement of funds, so as to ensure risk reduction and the maximization of interest accrual (decision 72/42).

(xi) Reconciliation of the accounts of the Multilateral Fund

54. The 71st meeting requested the Treasurer and the implementing agencies to carry out certain 2012 adjustments in the 2013 accounts and noted the outstanding reconciling items (decision 71/47).

(xii) Budgets of the Fund Secretariat

- 55. The 71st meeting considered the submission⁴⁰ by the Secretariat and approved the revised 2013 budget of US \$7,067,547, as adjusted, noting that the total budget remained at the same level as approved at the 68th meeting. It also approved the revised 2014 budget in the amount of US \$6,983,852 to reflect an additional amount of US \$2,819,031 to cover operational costs. It noted the staff component costs of the 2015 budget and approved the proposed staff component costs of the 2016 budget. The Secretariat was requested to discuss options for cost savings with UNEP and to report to the Executive Committee by the 72nd meeting (decision 71/48).
- 56. The 72nd meeting considered the submission⁴¹ by the Secretariat and approved the revised 2014 budget, totalling US \$6,818,463, based on two Executive Committee meetings per year, and the revised 2015 and 2016 budgets including 9 per cent programme support costs, on the understanding that no allocation was made for a third meeting in those years (decision 72/43).

(xiii) UNEP's Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) budget for 2014

57. The 71st meeting approved the CAP budget for 2014⁴², which represented a 2 per cent increase over the 2013 budget, in the amount of US \$9,338,000, plus agency support costs of 8 per cent, amounting to US \$747,040. It requested UNEP to provide further information in future submissions of the CAP budget and to report to the Executive Committee by the 74th meeting on the implications of its adoption of the IPSAS in meeting the requirements of decision 35/36(d)⁴³ (decision 71/33).

(xiv) Fund Secretariat activities

- 58. During the period under review, the Fund Secretariat had taken action⁴⁴ pursuant to the decisions taken by the Executive Committee at its 70th, 71st, and 72nd meetings and prepared documentation and provided conference services. Proposals for projects and activities from implementing agencies and bilateral partners had been submitted amounting to US \$[to be completed]. In addition to the documents customarily prepared for Executive Committee meetings, the Secretariat had also prepared documents, *inter alia*, on the policy matters referred to above.
- 59. The Secretariat had analysed and reviewed [to be completed] funding requests and provided comments and recommendations for the Executive Committee's consideration. The requested level of funding for approval at the 71st, 72nd [and 73rd] meetings, following project review, amounted to US \$ [to be completed].

⁴⁰ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/62.

⁴¹ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/45.

⁴² UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/23.

⁴³ Funds approved annually for the CAP but not spent should be returned to the Multilateral Fund for re-programming at the second meeting of the Executive Committee in the year following that for which they had been approved

⁴⁴ UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/71/2, UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/72/2, and UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/2.

60. The Secretariat was requested to incorporate into its future reports on Secretariat activities an additional section summarizing the advice given by it to other bodies and organizations to enable monitoring and to ensure consistency (decision 71/1).

Annex
HPMPs AND HPPMPs APPROVED SINCE INCEPTION

Country	To be Total		Funding approved in principle (US \$)		
-	implemented by	phase-out	Project	Support	Total
		ODP tonnes	funds	costs	
Afghanistan	UNEP	8.3	398,825	51,847	450,672
_	Germany	1	280,276	36,436	316,712
Albania	UNIDO	2.1	230,000	20,700	250,700
	UNEP	1	85,000	11,050	96,050
Algeria	UNIDO	14.5	1,993,331	152,731	2,146,062
Angola	UNDP	1.6	176,000	15,840	191,840
Antigua and Barbuda	UNEP	0.0	51,700	6,721	58,421
Argentina	UNIDO	83.5	9,560,542	717,041	10,277,583
-	IBRD		914,612	68,596	983,208
	Italy	1	300,000	39,000	339,000
Armenia	UNDP	2.2	594,353	44,577	638,930
	UNEP	1	39,000	5,070	44,070
Bahamas (the)	UNEP	1.7	156,900	20,397	177,297
` '	UNIDO	1	151,420	13,628	165,048
Bahrain	UNEP	23.2	470,000	61,100	531,100
	UNIDO	1	2,338,985	163,729	2,502,714
Bangladesh	UNDP	24.5	1,201,074	90,081	1,291,155
C	UNEP	†	355,000	46,150	401,150
Barbados	UNEP	1.3	192,000	24,960	216,960
	UNDP	1	88,000	7,920	95,920
Belize	UNEP	1.0	213,500	27,755	241,255
201120	UNDP	1.0	66,500	5,985	72,485
Benin	UNEP	8.3	370,000	48,100	418,100
Domin.	UNIDO	1 0.5	260,000	19,500	279,500
Bhutan	UNEP	0.3	282,000	36,660	318,660
Dilutuii	UNDP	0.5	188,000	16,920	204,920
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Germany	2.1	315,000	40,950	355,950
Bosnia and Herzegovina	UNIDO	6.6	953,284	69,886	1,023,170
Brazil	UNDP	220.3	15,506,257	1,162,969	16,669,226
Diazii	Germany	220.3	4,090,909	460,000	4,550,909
Brunei Darussalam	UNEP	2.1	183,000	23,790	206,790
Druner Darussaram	UNDP	2.1	132,000	11,880	143,880
Burkina Faso	UNEP	10.1	546,168	71,002	617,170
Durkina i aso	UNIDO	10.1	249,900	22,491	272,391
Burundi	UNEP	2.5	172,000	22,360	194,360
Durunai	UNIDO	2.3	160,000	14,400	174,400
Cambodia	UNEP	15.0	950,000	123,500	1,073,500
Cambodia	UNDP	15.0	650,000	48,750	698,750
Comoroon	UNIDO	20.5	1,182,725	88,704	1,271,429
Cameroon Cabo Verde	UNEP			20,800	
		0.1	160,000		180,800
Central African Republic	UNEP	4.2	310,000	40,300	350,300
Chad	UNIDO	F -	250,000	18,750	268,750
Chad	UNEP	5.6	325,000	42,250	367,250
CI 'I	UNIDO	22.0	235,000	17,625	252,625
Chile	UNDP	22.0	1,497,966	112,347	1,610,313
CI. I I I I C	UNEP	0.447.5	288,489	37,504	325,993
China - Industrial, Commercial and Air Conditioning (ICR)	UNDP	3,445.2	61,000,000	4,396,900	65,396,900
China - XPS Foam	Germany		1,350,000	158,500	1,508,500

China - XPS Feam	Country	To be	Total	Funding app	roved in pri	nciple (US \$)
China - PU Foam UNIDO 48,650,000 3,512,360 52,162,360 China - Room Air Conditioning (RAC) UNIDO 73,000,000 5,303,870 78,303,870 China - Servicing Sector, including enabling UNIDO 5,240,000 586,400 5,826,400 China - Servicing Sector, including enabling Japan 400,000 52,000 452,000 China - Solvent UNDP 3,970.0 55,000,000 322,000 387,000 China - Solvent UNDP 78,9 672,1483 504,111 7,225,500 Colombia UNPP 78,9 672,1483 504,111 7,225,500 Comoros (the) UNEP 101,000 20,800 180,800 Compos (the) UNEP 1,1 160,000 20,800 180,800 Cong (the) UNEP 9,0 17,500 15,750 197,750 Cook Islands (the) UNEP 9,0 19,9631 1,111,871 Cota d'Ivoire UNEP 18,9 1,153,523 86,514 1124,037 Cota d'Ivoi		implemented by	phase-out	Project	Support	Total
China - PU Foam China a Pom Air Conditioning (RAC) IBRD 73,000,000 333,3870 78,333,870 China - Servicing Sector, including enabling UNEP 5,240,000 586,400 5,826,400 China - Servicing Sector, including enabling Japan 400,000 52,000 387,000 China - Solvent UNDP 360,000 27,000 387,000 China - Solvent UNDP 35,000 32,000 536,200			ODP tonnes			
China - Room Air Conditioning (RAC) UNIDO 75,000,000 5,432,150 80,432,150 China - Servicing Sector, including enabling Japan 400,000 52,000 452,000 China - Servicing Sector, including enabling UNDP 360,000 77,000 387,000 China - National Co-ordination UNDP 5,000,000 362,500 5,362,500 China - HCFC Production IBRD 3,970.0 95,000,000 362,500 100,320,000 Colombia UNEP 100,000 362,500 100,320,000 Comports the UNEP 0.1 160,000 20,800 113,000 Comports the UNEP 3.6 175,000 21,2871 111,871 Cong (the) UNEP 3.6 175,000 21,2871 111,871 Costa Islands (the) UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,2871 111,871 Costa Rica UNEP 2.2 3905,740 109,631 1,915,371 Costa Glivoire UNEP 2.2 390,540 169,631 1,915,371 </td <td>China - XPS Foam</td> <td>UNIDO</td> <td></td> <td>48,650,000</td> <td>3,512,360</td> <td>52,162,360</td>	China - XPS Foam	UNIDO		48,650,000	3,512,360	52,162,360
China - Servicing Sector, including enabling China - Servicing Sector, including enabling Japan WINDP 360,000 52,000 452,000 China - Servicing Sector, including enabling WINDP 360,000 32,000 3387,000 China - Solvent UNDP 360,000 332,000 5362,500 5362,500 China - HCFC Production UNDP 78.9 6,721,433 504,111 7,225,594 UNEP UNEP 100,000 13,000 113,000 UNDP 150,000 150,000 13,000 113,000 UNDP 175,000 22,750 197,750 UNEP 0.1 160,000 13,000 113,000 UNDP 175,000 122,750 197,750 UNIDO 18.9 1,153,523 86,514 1,240,037 UNIDO 200,000 69,000 989,000 100,000 10	China - PU Foam	IBRD		73,000,000	5,303,870	78,303,870
enabling China - Servicing Sector, including enabling Japan 400,000 52,000 452,000 China - National Co-ordination UNDP 5,000,000 32,000 387,000 China - Solvent UNDP 5,000,000 362,500 5,362,500 China - HCFC Production IBRD 3,970.0 95,000,000 322,000 100,320,000 Colombia UNPP 78.9 6,721,483 504,111 222,594 Comoros (the) UNEP 0.1 160,000 23,000 113,000 Compo (the) UNEP 3.6 175,000 21,575 197,750 Congo (the) UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Cost Islands (the) UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Cost Rica UNDP 18.9 1,153,523 86,514 1,240,037 Cote divoire UNEP 22.3 905,740 109,631 1,101,5371 Cotatia UNIDO 8.1 871,150 65,336 936,486	China - Room Air Conditioning (RAC)	UNIDO		75,000,000	5,432,150	80,432,150
China - Servicing Sector, including China - National Co-ordination Japan 400,000 52,000 452,000 China - National Co-ordination UNDP 360,000 27,000 387,000 China - Solvent UNDP 5,000,000 362,500 5,362,500 China - Solvent UNDP 78,9 6,721,483 504,111 7,225,594 Combini UNEP 0.1 160,000 23,000 113,000 Comoros (the) UNEP 0.1 160,000 22,750 197,750 Combini UNEP 0.1 160,000 22,750 197,750 Composition UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Composition UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Costa Rica UNDP 18.9 1,153,523 86,514 1,240,037 Cote d'Ivoire UNEP 22.3 905,740 109,611 1,111,871 Cote d'Ivoire UNEP 22.3 905,740 109,611 1,111,871 Cote		UNEP		5,240,000	586,400	5,826,400
China - Solvent UNDP 360,000 27,000 387,000 China - HCPC Production IBRD 3,970.0 95,000,000 5,220,000 100,320,000 Colombia UNDP 78,9 6,721,483 504,111 7,225,594 Comports (the) UNEP 0.1 160,000 20,300 180,800 Comports (the) UNEP 0.1 160,000 22,750 197,750 Comports (the) UNEP 0.1 160,000 22,750 197,750 Comports (the) UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Cost alsands (the) UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Cost al Slands (the) UNEP 1.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Cost al Slands (the) UNEP 1.8.9 1,155,523 86,514 1,240,037 Cost al Slands (the) UNEP 1.8.9 1,155,253 86,514 1,240,037 Cost al Slands (the) UNEP 2.3 905,740 109,613 1,101,371	China - Servicing Sector, including	Japan	1	400,000	52,000	452,000
China - HCFC Production IBRD 3,970.0 95,000,000 3,32,500 5,325,500 Colombia UNDP 78.9 6,721,483 504,111 7,225,594 Colombia UNEP 100,000 13,000 113,000 Comoros (the) UNEP 0.1 160,000 22,750 197,750 Congo (the) UNEP 3.6 175,000 22,750 197,750 Cook Islands (the) UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Costa Rica UNDP 18.9 1,153,523 86,514 1,240,037 Cote d'Ivoire UNEP 22.3 905,740 109,631 1,151,371 Cotatia UNIDO 8.1 871,150 69,000 989,000 Croatia UNIDO 8.1 871,150 65,336 935,486 Ialy 10NDP 19.3 1,747,527 131,065 1,878,592 Democratic Republic of the Congo UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 (the) <td></td> <td>UNDP</td> <td></td> <td>360,000</td> <td>27,000</td> <td>387,000</td>		UNDP		360,000	27,000	387,000
China - HCFC Production IBRD 3,970.0 95,000,000 5,320,000 100,320,000 Comorio (the) UNDP 78.9 6,721,483 504,111 7,225,594 Comoros (the) UNEP 0.1 160,000 23,000 113,000 Comp (the) UNEP 3.6 175,000 22,750 197,750 Cost (the) UNIDO 1,155,000 22,750 197,750 Cost (stands) UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Cost (stands) UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Cost (all) UNEP 2.2 905,740 109,631 1,015,371 Cost (all) UNIDO 8.1 871,150 65,336 936,486 Italy 10,100 29,000 60,000 989,000 Croatia UNIDO 8.1 871,150 65,336 936,486 Italy 1,11 1,11 1,11 1,11 1,11 1,11 1,11 1,11 1,11	China - Solvent	UNDP	1			
NEP 100,000 13,000 113,000 13,000 13,000 10	China - HCFC Production	IBRD	3,970.0	95,000,000	5,320,000	100,320,000
UNEP		UNDP				
Congo (the) UNEP UNIDO 3.6 175,000 175,000 15,750 197,750 Cook Islands (the) UNEP 0.0 99,000 12,871 111,871 Costa Rica UNDP 18.9 1,153,523 86,514 1,240,037 Cote d'Ivoire UNEP 22.3 905,740 109,631 1,015,371 UNIDO B.1 871,150 65,336 936,486 Italy 210,000 27,300 237,300 Cuba UNDP 19.3 1,747,527 Democratic Republic of the Congo (the) UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 (the) UNDP 240,000 21,600 265,550 (the) UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 (the) UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 (the) UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,6225 123,467 1,769,692 Ecuador			1			
UNIDO	Comoros (the)	UNEP	0.1	160,000	20,800	180,800
Cook Islands (the) UNDP 18.9 1,153,523 86,514 1,240,037 Cote d'Ivoire UNDP 18.9 1,153,523 86,514 1,240,037 Cote d'Ivoire UNIDO 22,3 905,740 109,631 1,015,371 UNIDO 8.1 871,150 65,336 936,486 Italy 210,000 27,300 237,300 Cuba UNDP 19.3 1,747,527 131,065 1,878,592 Democratic Republic of the Congo (the) UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 UNEP 0.2 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica Republic (the) UNEP 27.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Egypt UNIDO 174,0 2,325,115 174,406	Congo (the)	UNEP	3.6	175,000	22,750	
Costa Rica UNDP 18.9 1,153,523 86,514 1,240,037 Cote d'Ivoire UNEP 22.3 905,740 109,631 1,015,371 UNIDO 290,000 69,000 989,000 Croatia UNIDO 8.1 871,150 65,336 936,486 Loba UNDP 19.3 1,747,527 131,065 1,878,592 Democratic Republic of the Congo (the) UNDP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 (the) UNDP 240,000 21,600 261,600 Dibouti UNEP 0.2 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominican Republic (the) UNDP 27.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 UNPP 0.0 699,275 5		UNIDO		175,000	15,750	190,750
Cote d'Ivoire UNEP UNIDO 22.3 905,740 109,631 1,015,371 Croatia UNIDO 8.1 871,150 65,336 936,486 Italy 210,000 27,300 237,300 Cuba UNDP 19.3 1,747,527 131,065 1,878,592 Democratic Republic of the Congo (the) UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 (the) UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 (the) UNEP 0.2 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica Republic (the) UNEP 7.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 Euador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Egypt UNIDO 174,0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 Eypt UNIDO 174,0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 Eypt UNIDO 174,0	Cook Islands (the)	UNEP	0.0	99,000	12,871	111,871
Cote d'Ivoire UNEP UNIDO 22.3 905,740 109,631 1,015,371 Croatia UNIDO 8.1 871,150 65,336 936,486 Italy 210,000 27,300 237,300 Cuba UNDP 19.3 1,747,527 131,065 1,878,592 Democratic Republic of the Congo (the) UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 (the) UNDP 240,000 21,600 261,600 Djibouti UNEP 0.2 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica Republic (the) UNEP 27.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 El Salvador UNEP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 El Salvador UNEP 9.0 699,277	Costa Rica	UNDP	18.9	1,153,523	86,514	1,240,037
Croatia UNIDO Italy 8.1 ally 871,150 allo,000 allo,233,300 allo,368,686 936,486 allo,233,300 allo,237,300 allo,237,300 allo,237,300 allo,237,300 allo,237,300 allo,237,300 allo,237,300 allo,237,300 allo,250 allo,247,300 allo,250 allo,250,550 (the) UNDP 19.3 allo,47,527 allo,250 allo,250 allo,250 allo,250 allo,250,550 (the) UNDP 5.8 allo,230,00 allo,500 allo,500 allo,500 allo,600 a	Cote d'Ivoire	UNEP	22.3		109,631	
Cuba UNDP 19.3 1,747,527 131,065 1,878,592 Democratic Republic of the Congo (the) UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 (the) UNDP 240,000 21,600 261,600 Dijbouti UNEP 0.2 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominican UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominican Republic (the) UNEP 27.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 UNEP 50,000 6,500 56,500 Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,06 22,995 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,06 24,9821 UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 El Salvador UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 <td></td> <td>UNIDO</td> <td></td> <td>920,000</td> <td>69,000</td> <td></td>		UNIDO		920,000	69,000	
Cuba UNDP 19.3 1,747,527 131,065 1,878,592 Democratic Republic of the Congo (the) UNEP 5.8 235,000 30,550 265,550 UNDP 240,000 21,600 261,600 Dijbouti UNEP 0.2 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominican Republic (the) UNEP 27.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Eypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 Eypt UNIDO 375,000 11,700 386,700 Euadorial Guinea UNEP 2.1 <	Croatia	UNIDO	8.1	871,150	65,336	936,486
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)		Italy		210,000	27,300	237,300
(the) UNDP 240,000 21,600 261,600 Djibouti UNEP 0.2 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominican Republic (the) UNDP 27.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Eypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 Eypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 UNEP 10,000 11,700 386,700 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 2.2 165,000 13,500 163,500 Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 10,985 59,485 Enitrea UNEP 1.9 175,000 21,750 186,450 UNIDO 10,000 7,200 87,200 17,955 197,750 <	Cuba	UNDP	19.3	1,747,527	131,065	1,878,592
(the) UNDP 240,000 21,600 261,600 Djibouti UNEP 0.2 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominican Republic (the) UNDP 27.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Eypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 Eypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 UNEP 10,000 11,700 386,700 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 2.2 165,000 13,500 163,500 Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 10,985 59,485 Enitrea UNEP 1.9 175,000 21,750 186,450 UNIDO 10,000 7,200 87,200 17,955 197,750 <	Democratic Republic of the Congo	UNEP	5.8		30,550	
Djibouti UNEP 0.2 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominican Republic (the) UNDP 27.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 UNEP 50,000 6,500 56,500 Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 UNEP 115,000 14,950 129,950 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 UNDP 9.0 699,400 469,193 6,664,593 El Salvador UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 186,450 Eritrea UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 186,450 UNIDO 150,000 13,500 163,500 Ethiopia UNEP 1,9 175,000 22,750 197,550 UNIDO 140,000		UNDP		240,000	21,600	
Dominica UNEP 0.1 164,500 21,385 185,885 Dominican Republic (the) UNDP 27.1 1,646,225 123,467 1,769,692 UNEP 50,000 6,500 56,500 56,500 Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 UNEP 115,000 14,950 129,950 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 El Salvador UNEP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 186,450 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 0.0 84,500 10,985 95,485 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 UNIDO 1.9 140,000 12,600 152,600	Djibouti	UNEP	0.2	164,500	21,385	
Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 El Salvador UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 UNEP 375,000 11,700 386,700 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 186,450 Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 19,855 95,485 UNIDO 150,000 13,500 163,500 Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 19,855 95,485 UNIDO 19,000 17,200 87,200 87,200 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 Eyii UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 Gabon UNEP 1.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 UNEP <	Dominica	UNEP	0.1	164,500	21,385	185,885
Ecuador UNIDO 23.2 1,846,440 138,483 1,984,923 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 El Salvador UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 UNEP 375,000 11,700 386,700 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 186,450 Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 19,855 95,485 UNIDO 150,000 13,500 163,500 Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 19,855 95,485 UNIDO 19,000 17,200 87,200 87,200 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 Eyii UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 Gabon UNEP 1.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 UNEP <	Dominican Republic (the)	UNDP	27.1	1,646,225	123,467	1,769,692
UNEP 115,000 14,950 129,950 129,950 100,000 14,000 14,950 129,950 100,000 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 174,000 6,195,400 469,193 6,664,593 100,000 100,	_	UNEP]	50,000	6,500	56,500
Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 UNDP 6,195,400 469,193 6,664,593 El Salvador UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 186,450 UNIDO 150,000 13,500 163,500 Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 10,985 95,485 UNIDO 100 84,500 10,985 95,485 UNIDO 175,000 7,200 87,200 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 UNIDO 140,000 12,600 152,600 Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 UNIDO 100,000 37,713 327,813 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 Gorgia UNDP	Ecuador	UNIDO	23.2	1,846,440	138,483	1,984,923
Egypt UNIDO 174.0 2,325,415 174,406 2,499,821 UNDP 6,195,400 469,193 6,664,593 El Salvador UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 186,450 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 186,450 Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 10,985 95,485 UNIDO 10,000 7,200 87,200 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 UNIDO 140,000 12,600 152,600 Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 UNIDO UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500		UNEP	1	115,000	14,950	129,950
UNDP	Egypt	UNIDO	174.0		174,406	
El Salvador UNDP 9.0 699,277 52,446 751,723 UNEP 375,000 11,700 386,700 Equatorial Guinea UNEP 2.2 165,000 21,450 186,450 UNIDO 150,000 13,500 163,500 Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 10,985 95,485 UNIDO 80,000 7,200 87,200 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 UNIDO 140,000 12,600 152,600 Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 UNIDO 249,900 22,491 272,391 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana <td></td> <td>UNDP</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		UNDP				
Equatorial Guinea UNEP UNIDO 2.2 165,000 13,500 163,500 186,450 163,500 Eritrea UNEP UNEP UNIDO 80,000 7,200 87,200 84,500 10,985 95,485 95,485 95,485 Ethiopia UNEP UNIDO 175,000 22,750 197,750 197,750 197,750 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 197,750 197,750 Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 217,455 197,900 150,290 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 1000 100,000 12,490 150,290 Gambia (the) UNEP 2.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 100,000 100,000 100,000 9,000 100,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 14aly 325,000 42,250 367,250 100,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 200,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	El Salvador	UNDP	9.0	699,277	52,446	
Equatorial Guinea UNEP UNIDO 2.2 165,000 13,500 163,500 186,450 163,500 Eritrea UNEP UNEP UNIDO 80,000 7,200 87,200 84,500 10,985 95,485 95,485 95,485 Ethiopia UNEP UNIDO 175,000 22,750 197,750 197,750 197,750 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 197,750 197,750 Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 217,455 197,900 150,290 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 1000 100,000 12,490 150,290 Gambia (the) UNEP 2.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 100,000 100,000 100,000 9,000 100,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 14aly 325,000 42,250 367,250 100,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 200,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560		UNEP				
Eritrea UNEP 0.0 84,500 10,985 95,485 UNIDO 80,000 7,200 87,200 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 UNIDO 140,000 12,600 152,600 Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 UNEP 133,000 17,290 150,290 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 UNIDO 249,900 22,491 272,391 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO	Equatorial Guinea		2.2			
Ethiopia UNIDO 80,000 7,200 87,200 Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 UNIDO 140,000 12,600 152,600 Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 UNEP 133,000 17,290 150,290 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 UNIDO 249,900 22,491 272,391 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	-	UNIDO		150,000	13,500	163,500
Ethiopia UNEP 1.9 175,000 22,750 197,750 UNIDO 140,000 12,600 152,600 Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 UNEP 133,000 17,290 150,290 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 UNIDO 249,900 22,491 272,391 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	Eritrea	UNEP	0.0	84,500	10,985	95,485
UNIDO 140,000 12,600 152,600 Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 UNEP 133,000 17,290 150,290 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 UNIDO 249,900 22,491 272,391 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560		UNIDO]	80,000	7,200	87,200
Fiji UNDP 2.9 199,500 17,955 217,455 UNEP 133,000 17,290 150,290 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 UNIDO 249,900 22,491 272,391 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	Ethiopia	UNEP	1.9	175,000	22,750	197,750
UNEP 133,000 17,290 150,290 Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 UNIDO 249,900 22,491 272,391 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	-	UNIDO	1	140,000	12,600	152,600
Gabon UNEP 10.4 290,100 37,713 327,813 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	Fiji	UNDP	2.9	199,500	17,955	217,455
UNIDO 249,900 22,491 272,391 Gambia (the) UNEP 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560		UNEP]	133,000	17,290	150,290
Gambia (the) UNEP UNIDO 0.5 110,000 14,300 124,300 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	Gabon	UNEP	10.4	290,100	37,713	327,813
UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560		UNIDO]	249,900	22,491	272,391
UNIDO 100,000 9,000 109,000 Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	Gambia (the)	UNEP	0.5	110,000		
Georgia UNDP 2.3 500,900 37,568 538,468 Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560		UNIDO	<u> </u>	100,000	9,000	
Ghana UNDP 26.3 1,031,311 77,348 1,108,659 Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	Georgia	UNDP	2.3	500,900	37,568	538,468
Italy 325,000 42,250 367,250 Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560		UNDP	26.3	1,031,311	77,348	1,108,659
Grenada UNEP 0.3 210,000 27,300 237,300 Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560		Italy	<u> </u>		42,250	
Guatemala UNIDO 4.3 345,637 25,923 371,560	Grenada	UNEP	0.3	210,000		
UNEP 96.500 12.546 109.046	Guatemala	UNIDO	4.3	345,637		
		UNEP		96,500	12,546	109,046

Country	To be	Total	Funding approved in principle (U		
	implemented by	phase-out	Project Support		Total
		ODP tonnes	funds	costs	
Guinea	UNEP	7.9	327,000	42,510	369,510
	UNIDO		320,000	24,000	344,000
Guinea-Bissau	UNEP	1.0	165,000	21,450	186,450
	UNIDO	1	115,000	10,350	125,350
Guyana	UNEP	0.1	18,000	2,340	20,340
	UNDP	1	48,000	4,320	52,320
Haiti	UNEP	1.3	182,881	23,775	206,656
	UNDP		97,119	8,741	105,860
Honduras	UNIDO	7.0	380,000	28,500	408,500
	UNEP	1	250,000	32,500	282,500
India	UNDP	341.8	18,438,490	1,340,694	19,779,184
	UNEP	1	861,600	104,776	966,376
	Germany	1	1,994,400	229,384	2,223,784
Indonesia	UNDP	135.0	8,901,102	667,583	9,568,685
	Australia		300,000	39,000	339,000
	IBRD		2,714,187	203,564	2,917,751
	UNIDO		777,395	58,305	835,700
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	UNDP	164.4	4,565,746	342,431	4,908,177
. ,	UNEP	1	262,000	34,060	296,060
	UNIDO	1	2,506,277	187,971	2,694,248
	Germany	1	2,885,815	327,440	3,213,255
Iraq	UNEP	15.0	770,000	94,700	864,700
~1	UNIDO	1	410,000	30,750	440,750
Jamaica	UNDP	8.1	578,450	43,384	621,834
	UNEP	1	77,000	10,010	87,010
Jordan	UNIDO	25.5	2,259,217	170,824	2,430,041
	IBRD		2,341,150	175,587	2,516,737
Kenya	France	11.0	900,000	109,000	1,009,000
Kiribati	UNEP	0.0	109,000	14,171	123,171
Kuwait	UNEP	239.2	1,043,000	124,730	1,167,730
	UNIDO	1	8,861,677	664,626	9,526,303
Kyrgyzstan	UNDP	1.0	52,800	4,752	57,552
	UNEP	1	35,200	4,576	39,776
Lao People's Democratic Republic	UNEP	0.6	176,250	22,913	199,163
(the)	France	1	33,750	4,388	38,138
Lebanon	UNDP	20.0	2,495,109	187,133	2,682,242
Lesotho	Germany	1.4	280,000	36,400	316,400
Liberia	Germany	1.9	315,000	40,950	355,950
Madagascar	UNEP	6.0	300,000	39,000	339,000
	UNIDO		260,000	19,500	279,500
Malawi	UNEP	3.8	230,000	29,900	259,900
	UNIDO		120,000	10,800	130,800
Malaysia	UNDP	103.0	9,587,471	719,060	10,306,531
Maldives	UNEP	3.7	680,000	88,400	768,400
	UNDP		420,000	31,500	451,500
Mali	UNEP	5.2	280,000	36,400	316,400
	UNDP		280,000	21,000	301,000
Marshall Islands (the)	UNEP	0.1	113,000	14,690	127,690
Mauritius	Germany	8.0	950,000	114,500	1,064,500
Mexico	UNIDO	417.3	4,412,195	330,915	4,743,110
	UNDP		13,654,016	1,024,051	14,678,067
Micronesia (Federated States of)	UNEP	0.0	112,000	14,560	126,560

Country	To be	Total	Funding app	roved in pri	nciple (US \$)
· ·	implemented by	phase-out	Project	Support	Total
		ODP tonnes	funds	costs	
Mongolia	UNEP	1.0	236,000	30,680	266,680
E	Japan	1	130,000	16,900	146,900
Montenegro	UNIDO	0.3	404,500	30,338	434,838
Morocco	UNIDO	16.8	1,286,740	96,506	1,383,246
Mozambique	UNEP	2.3	165,000	21,450	186,450
1	UNIDO	1	150,000	13,500	163,500
Myanmar	UNEP	1.5	220,000	28,600	248,600
, and the second	UNIDO	1	60,000	5,400	65,400
Namibia	Germany	8.4	900,000	109,000	1,009,000
Nauru	UNEP	0.0	74,000	9,620	83,620
Nepal	UNEP	0.6	126,000	16,380	142,380
•	UNDP	1	84,000	7,560	91,560
Nicaragua	UNEP	2.7	108,000	14,040	122,040
-	UNIDO		222,000	19,980	241,980
Niger (the)	UNIDO	5.6	285,000	21,375	306,375
_	UNEP		275,000	35,750	310,750
Nigeria	UNDP	90.1	2,999,750	224,981	3,224,731
	UNIDO		1,939,080	145,431	2,084,511
Niue	UNEP	0.0	73,000	9,490	82,490
Oman	UNIDO	6.8	349,120	26,184	375,304
	UNEP		85,000	11,050	96,050
Pakistan	UNIDO	79.1	5,008,849	375,664	5,384,513
	UNEP		440,000	57,200	497,200
Palau	UNEP	0.1	120,000	15,600	135,600
Panama	UNDP	4.8	265,545	19,916	285,461
	UNEP		70,000	9,100	79,100
Papua New Guinea	Germany	3.4	1,250,000	147,500	1,397,500
Paraguay	UNEP	6.3	330,000	42,900	372,900
	UNDP		300,000	22,500	322,500
Peru	UNDP	3.7	232,671	20,940	253,611
	UNEP		50,000	6,500	56,500
Philippines (the)	UNEP	45.0	230,000	29,900	259,900
	UNIDO		1,770,650	132,799	1,903,449
	Japan		317,350	41,256	358,606
Qatar	UNIDO	57.9	1,726,600	129,495	1,856,095
	UNEP		310,000	40,300	350,300
Region: ASP –PIC regional approach	UNEP		285,000	37,050	322,050
Republic of Moldova (the)	UNDP	0.2	88,000	7,920	95,920
Rwanda	UNEP	1.4	170,000	22,100	192,100
	UNIDO		110,000	9,900	119,900
Saint Kitts and Nevis	UNEP	0.2	124,500	16,185	140,685
	UNDP		40,000	3,600	43,600
Saint Lucia	UNEP	0.1	51,892	6,747	58,639
	UNIDO		112,608	10,135	122,743
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	UNEP	0.3	345,800	44,954	390,754
	UNIDO		124,115	11,170	135,285
Samoa	UNEP	0.1	148,500	19,306	167,806
Sao Tome and Principe	UNEP	0.1	160,000	20,800	180,800
Saudi Arabia	UNIDO	703.3	12,480,171	882,206	13,362,377
	UNEP	1	720,800	89,289	810,089
	Japan		220,000	28,600	248,600
Senegal	UNIDO	12.7	505,216	37,891	543,107

Country	To be	Total	Funding approved in principle (US \$)		
·	implemented by	phase-out	Project	Support	Total
		ODP tonnes	funds	costs	
	UNEP		530,000	68,300	598,300
Serbia	UNIDO	2.9	897,760	67,333	965,093
	UNEP		75,500	9,815	85,315
Seychelles	Germany	1.4	600,000	76,000	676,000
Sierra Leone	UNEP	0.6	110,000	14,300	124,300
	UNIDO		100,000	9,000	109,000
Solomon Islands	UNEP	0.7	195,000	25,351	220,351
Somalia	UNIDO	1.9	315,000	22,050	337,050
South Africa	UNIDO	176.7	6,533,556	457,349	6,990,905
Sri Lanka	UNDP	4.8	398,866	29,915	428,781
	UNEP		249,000	32,370	281,370
Sudan (the)	UNIDO	16.2	1,456,341	109,226	1,565,567
Suriname	UNEP	0.7	104,000	13,520	117,520
	UNIDO		106,000	9,540	115,540
Swaziland	UNEP	6.2	210,000	27,300	237,300
	UNDP		667,948	50,096	718,044
Thailand	IBRD	234.7	22,749,072	1,592,436	24,341,508
	Japan		302,965	39,385	342,350
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	UNIDO	2.2	1,166,955	87,522	1,254,477
Timor-Leste	UNEP	0.1	164,900	21,437	186,337
	UNDP		106,800	9,612	116,412
Togo	UNEP	7.0	280,000	36,400	316,400
	UNIDO		350,000	26,250	376,250
Tonga	UNEP	0.0	127,000	16,511	143,511
Trinidad and Tobago	UNDP	17.9	1,462,733	109,705	1,572,438
Tunisia	UNIDO	10.6	1,100,195	77,014	1,177,209
	UNEP		100,000	13,000	113,000
	France		600,000	76,000	676,000
Turkey	UNIDO	507.9	14,120,090	1,026,975	15,147,065
·	UNEP		103,450	13,449	116,899
Turkmenistan	UNIDO	2.4	652,050	48,904	700,954
Tuvalu	UNEP	0.0	92,000	11,960	103,960
Uganda	UNEP	0.1	84,500	10,985	95,485
_	UNIDO		80,000	7,200	87,200
United Republic of Tanzania (the)	UNEP	0.6	110,000	14,300	124,300
•	UNIDO		100,000	9,000	109,000
Uruguay	UNDP	4.2	380,004	28,500	408,504
Vanuatu	UNEP	0.1	148,500	19,306	167,806
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	UNIDO	23.2	1,758,500	131,888	1,890,388
- '	UNEP		136,000	17,680	153,680
Viet Nam	IBRD	140.1	9,763,820	732,287	10,496,107
Yemen	UNEP	63.3	380,000	49,400	429,400
	UNIDO		410,000	28,700	438,700
Zambia	UNEP	1.7	175,000	22,750	197,750
	UNIDO		140,000	12,600	152,600
Zimbabwe	Germany	12.3	1,038,818	124,270	1,163,088
