



**United Nations
Environment
Programme**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/7
10 October 2014

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Seventy-third Meeting
Paris, 9-13 November 2014

2014 CONSOLIDATED PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

I. Overview of PCRs received and due

1. This report provides an overview of the project completion reports (PCRs) received since the 71st meeting.

2. Five PCRs were received for investment projects (Table 1) and 11 PCRs for non-investment projects (Table 2).

Table 1: PCRs submitted for investment projects (except multi-year projects)

Agency	Completed projects up to December 2013	PCRs received	PCRs still due	PCRs received		
				2012	2013	2014 ¹
France	15	11 ²	4	1	0	0
Germany	19	19 ³	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Italy	10	10 ⁴	0	2	0	1
Japan	6	6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spain	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States of America	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
UNDP	893	891 ⁵	2	5	4	0
UNIDO	444	444 ⁶	0	1	10	4
World Bank	455	450 ⁷	5	9	4	0
Total	1,846	1,835	11	18	18	5

¹ After the 71st meeting (7 December 2013 to 10 September 2014).

² In addition, France submitted 1 PCR for multi-year project.

³ In addition Germany submitted 1 PCR for multi-year project.

⁴ In addition, Italy submitted 1 PCR for multi-year project.

⁵ In addition, UNDP submitted 2 PCRs for cancelled projects and 3 PCRs for multi-year projects.

⁶ In addition, UNIDO submitted 2 PCRs for cancelled projects, 9 cancellation reports and 22 PCRs for multi-year projects.

⁷ In addition, the World Bank submitted 2 PCRs for cancelled projects.

Table 2: PCRs submitted for non-investment projects*

Agency	Completed projects up to December 2013	PCRs received	PCRs still due	PCRs received		
				2012	2013	2014 ¹
Australia	25	25 ²	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Austria	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Canada	57	55	2	0	1	0
Czech Republic	2	2	0	N/A	2	N/A
Denmark	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Finland	5	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
France	31	14	17	0	0	0
Germany	54	51	3	0	0	0
Israel	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	1
Japan	13	8	5	0	0	0
Poland	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Portugal	1	0	1	0	0	0
Singapore	2	0	2	0	0	0
South Africa	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spain	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sweden	5	5 ³	0	0	1	N/A
Switzerland	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
United States of America	40	40	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
UNDP	262	260 ⁴	2	8	6	3
UNEP	428	410 ⁵	18	6	51	5

Agency	Completed projects up to December 2013	PCRs received	PCRs still due	PCRs received		
				2012	2013	2014 ¹
UNIDO	112	111 ⁶	1	N/A	1	2
World Bank	39	32	7	2	2	0
Total	1,088	1,030	58	16	64	11

* Except project preparations, country programmes, multi-year projects, and on-going projects like networking and clearing-house activities as well as institutional strengthening projects).

¹ After the 71st meeting of the Executive Committee (7 December 2013 to 10 September 2014).

² In addition, Australia submitted 1 PCR for cancelled project.

³ In addition, Sweden submitted 3 PCRs for multi-year projects and 3 PCRs on transferred projects.

⁴ In addition, UNDP submitted 2 PCRs on transferred projects, 1 PCR for multi-year project and 1 PCR for project completed in 2014.

⁵ In addition, UNEP submitted 12 PCRs for multi-year projects.

⁶ In addition, UNIDO submitted 3 PCRs for multi-year projects.

II. Analysis of PCRs for investment projects

3. The five PCRs received were projects completed in five countries. In most cases the ODS phase-out in the projects covered by the five PCRs was as planned except for the total phase-out reported being slightly more than the planned amount. This also results in a different amount of the ODS phase-out data reported in the PCRs in the 2013 progress report, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: ODS phased out by projects with PCRs submitted

Agency	Number of projects	PCRs		2013 progress report	
		ODP phase-out planned	ODP phased out	ODP phase-out planned	ODP phased out
Bilateral	1	14.5	14.5	15.0	14.5
UNIDO	4	73.8	85.3	74.0	85.3
Total	5	88.3	99.8	89.0	99.8

4. The average delay in project implementation is 21.11 months and the average project duration is of 63.73 months as shown in Table 4. Causes of delays are described in section VI of this report.

Table 4: Implementation delays

Agency	Number of projects	Average delays as per PCRs (months)	Average delays as per 2013 progress reports (months)	Average duration as per PCRs (months)	Average duration as per 2013 progress reports (months)
Bilateral	1	12.17	12.17	53.80	53.80
UNIDO	4	23.34	23.09	66.21	66.21
Total	5	21.11	20.91	63.73	63.73

5. Key information on PCRs of investment projects is shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Key information provided on PCRs of investment projects

	Provided		Incomplete		Not applicable*		Not provided	
	Number of projects	(%)	Number of projects	(%)	Number of projects	(%)	Number of projects	(%)
List of annual consumption of ODS and substitutes	4	80.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
List of equipment	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Operating cost details	2	40.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	0	0.0
List of destroyed equipment	1	20.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	0	0.0

* According to indications of IAs

6. Bilateral and implementing agencies (IAs) rated 60 per cent of projects as highly satisfactory and 20 per cent as satisfactory as shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Overall assessment of project implementation by the IAs

Assessment	Bilateral	UNIDO	Total	%
Highly satisfactory	1	2	3	60.0
Satisfactory	0	1	1	20.0
Less satisfactory	0	0	0	0.0
Not applicable	0	1	1	20.0
Total	1	4	5	100.0

III. Analysis of PCRs for non-investment projects

7. Eleven PCRs were received for non-investment projects, the majority of which are for technical assistance projects¹. Total expenditures were 79 per cent of the planned expenditures indicating some overall savings, as shown in Table 7. This data needs to be reconfirmed once the final financial figures become available.

Table 7: Budgets, phase-out and delays reported in PCRs received for non-investment projects

Agency	Number of projects	Approved funds (US\$)	Funds disbursed (US \$)	ODP to be phased out (ODP tonnes)	ODP phased out	Average delays (months)
Bilateral	1	101,200	32,347	0.0	0.0	-2.07
UNDP	3	280,000	259,952	1.2	1.2	37.22
UNEP	5	197,000	197,000	17.0	2.9	33.28
UNIDO	2	825,961	615,429	48.0	75.0	51.25
Total	11	1,404,161	1,104,728	66.2	79.1	34.41

8. The delays experienced in project implementation varied widely. Of 11 non-investment projects, one was completed before the scheduled date, one was completed on time, while nine experienced delays in implementation. The overall average delay for non-investment projects is 34.41 months from the planned completion date.

9. The difference in ODP phase-out planned and reported as achieved is almost entirely due to two projects implemented by UNEP, for which the actual ODS phase-out was reported to be less than planned, and one project implemented by UNIDO, for which the ODS phase-out was reported to be more than planned.

10. Bilateral and IAs rated 18.2 per cent of the projects “highly satisfactory”; 18.2 per cent as “satisfactory as planned”; and 27.3 per cent as “satisfactory though not as planned”, as shown in Table 8. The validity of such assessments can only be verified during evaluations. The assessment was not provided in four of the 11 non-investment projects.

Table 8: Overall assessment of non-investment projects by the IAs

Assessment	Bilateral	UNDP	UNEP	UNIDO	Total	Percentage of total
Highly satisfactory	1	0	0	1	2	18.2
Satisfactory as planned	0	1	1	0	2	18.2

¹ This review does not include country programmes, project preparation, or UNEP’s recurrent activities (including networking), which do not require PCRs as per decision 29/4.

Assessment	Bilateral	UNDP	UNEP	UNIDO	Total	Percentage of total
Satisfactory though not as planned	0	2	0	1	3	27.3
Unsatisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Not Provided	0	0	4	0	4	36.4
Total	1	3	5	2	11	100.0

11. Most PCRs for non-investment projects contain substantial information and analysis. However, the sections on causes of delays and corrective actions taken are not always provided. Usually enterprise, Government, agency and external factors are given as reasons for delays.

IV. Schedule for submission of PCRs in 2015

12. The IAs submitted schedules for submission of PCRs due. Table IV in Annex I shows the schedule for submission of PCRs for projects completed as of 31 December 2013 and takes into account the number of outstanding PCRs as of 10 September 2014. The IAs will, in addition to the above schedule, submit PCRs in 2015 for projects completed during 2014.

V. Improve consistency of data reported in PCRs and in annual progress reports

13. Decision 71/24(b)(i) requested bilateral and IAs, in cooperation with the Secretariat, to establish full consistency of data reported in the PCRs, in the Inventory and the annual progress reports by end of January 2014. The Secretariat provided all agencies with detailed information on data completeness and inconsistencies of PCRs received in comparison to the Inventory and the progress reports. All cases of incomplete information and data inconsistencies in PCRs received in 2003, 2004, 2009, 2010 and 2011 have now been resolved, while this process still continues for several other years as shown in Annex I (Tables V to X).

14. In order to improve consistency of data and facilitate the preparation of PCRs, since July 2004 bilateral and IAs can download key project data from the website of the Secretariat.²

VI. Causes of delays

15. Causes of delays in submission of PCRs have been analyzed according to the type of projects: technical assistance, demonstration and investment projects. Within each category, the information is further separated according to what caused the delay: Government, implementing agency, external factors, enterprises, suppliers or other. A table with all the information is available upon request.

Technical assistance projects

Agencies-related delays

16. Planning and coordinating factors were the main causes for these delays. The difficulty faced by the IAs was seen in obtaining participant nominations for workshops, which postponed arrangements to the last minute, leaving no leeway for adjustment. For example, in a methyl bromide (MB) project, workshops dates had been coordinated with the time of the crop production session to ensure maximum impact of field demonstrations, which made postponement impossible. Additional workshop coordination delays were due to last minute cancellation by country representatives.

² When indicating the project number or title, the first page of the PCR forms will be automatically filled in with data from the Secretariat's project Inventory database, including actual data and remarks from the last progress reports.

17. Furthermore, changes from IA to bilateral donors for four pilot demonstration projects, impacted the timely completion of these projects. The IA refined its strategy following this setback and explored new separate projects demonstrating the climate benefit of Multilateral Fund projects.

External factors

18. According to the reports received, only one technical assistance project (in Syrian Arab Republic) has seen some delays caused by national security matters creating unstable and uncertain conditions, which slowed down the implementation of activities. However, collaboration between the IA and the local agency contributed to the completion of the project.

19. Delays took place because of limited financial resources and complicated administrative procedures for equipment procurement. To address these issues, some equipment items were not procured; new priorities were established; and a better management plan that included technical assistance to local beneficiaries was developed.

Other factors

20. Only one MB project saw delays in its first year of implementation due to problems in the selection of project beneficiaries among farmers.

Demonstration projects

Enterprises

21. Delays were noted during the contract signing process with the supplier and during equipment delivery. Following these delays, meeting and consultation were held by the IA (UNIDO) to resolve the issue.

External factors

22. Delays in the implementation of a demonstration project in Syrian Arab Republic were due to national security matters in the country.

Investment projects

Agencies-related delays

23. One project has noted a delay due to its transfer to another IA.

Enterprises

24. At the enterprise level, delays were observed for the need to re-survey the eligible companies for project implementation. The report also states that the IA made several interventions to resolve the issue.

Delays due to suppliers/contractors

25. Delays in investment projects were due to the suppliers' late procurement and/or delivery of equipment, which were solved after the IA held consultations with the enterprises.

External factors

26. A political crisis caused delays in the implementation of a project in Cote d'Ivoire, impeding the completion of the planned activities. The IA stated that the project targets were, however, partially achieved regardless of the country's instability and without additional funding.

VII. Lessons learned

27. The Secretariat has received comments from the bilateral and IAs, and the NOUs, but no comments were received from the industry. Comments from all stakeholders are significant and beneficial to project improvement and therefore should be strongly encouraged.

Investment projects

28. The full and active participation of all stakeholders is essential for a successful project implementation. For example, in Turkmenistan, the collaboration with the Regional Network of Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia highlights the important roles of regional networks in building partnerships, coordinating regional efforts in eliminating ODS; facilitating the exchange of information and promoting technical cooperation; transfer of technology; and training and research.

29. Increased capacity and know-how for the effective use of MB alternative technology by MB users and extension personnel in commodity sector was crucial to the achievement of the project main objective, the elimination of the MB use. The IA recommended extensive training workshops on integrated pest management techniques and on good phosphine fumigation practices for more technicians and professionals, nation-wide. Public awareness, production and distribution of awareness raising materials are useful and essential tools, especially when imposing a ban on a given product. Additionally, information on new technologies and MB substitutes is essential to all stakeholders, while their participation in training sessions is required to promote and coordinate phase-out actions.

30. Following the funding delays faced by the investment project in Cote d'Ivoire, the IA suggested that co-financing from the enterprises to be converted should be made available at the time of the project transfer.

Technical assistance projects

31. Technical assistance projects had difficulties in selecting participants to workshops. Longer time is needed to review the applications sent by potential trainees as well as increased collaboration among various stakeholders to successfully recruit participants.

32. Given the wide array of MB projects, IAs suggest the creation of regional forums to help the dissemination of information on technologies and to promote cooperation between, bilateral and IAs, organizations and institutions.

33. IAs stressed that in order to facilitate the monitoring and coordination of the national phase-out process, local government should establish focal points, which would collaborate with all the stakeholders. For example, the phase out of CFC-based metered dose inhalers (MDI) in Pakistan would benefit from a focal point in permanent consultation with the Ozone Cell and the Ministry of Environment. This would also improve the monitoring of essential use nominations (EUN)-based import of CFCs to ensure that the imported CFCs are used by the pharmaceutical industry only. In addition it would regulate the utilization procedures, just like for other controlled substances.

34. In the Islamic Republic of Iran, the MDI project needed awareness raising workshops for the medical and pharmaceutical professionals, particularly the specialists of asthma and pulmonary diseases,

and other target groups. This required an effective cooperation between NOU, the industry and health community stakeholders as well as the health authorities as high-level decision makers. South-South cooperation was very helpful wherein professionals from the Islamic Republic of Iran visited India to learn from the CFC-based MDI phase-out experience.

35. Thorough and detailed training combined with a deeper and global understanding of the Montreal Protocol are important factors in improving local know-how. For example, the collaboration among NOUs, local consultants and trainers during a training session that combined theory and practice was a successful undertaking. The trainees acquired knowledge and skills in performing recovery and recycling of refrigerants including CFC-12; proper servicing and repairing procedures; retrofit possibilities to use alternatives; handling method of standard tools for good practice and safety in performing refrigeration services; and other areas related to ODS phase-out. In addition, they learned about the licensing system for ODS and about how to establish a monitoring and control system for ODS phase-out.

36. A lesson from a technical assistance project in Myanmar refers to the need of having a way to identify the HCFC proportion in imported refrigeration equipment, in the absence of a harmonised system at the Customs Department. Thorough discussions with the importers could yield information that would allow estimating the HCFCs.

37. In Chile and Dominican Republic the halon consumption phase-out projects were modified to meet new demand as well as to correspond to the limited budget to purchase equipment. The technical assistance component helped in the creation of halon banks in two countries.

38. UNDP's experience in the implementation of chillers projects shows that long delays in funding can lead to losing co-finance opportunities as well as client's interest. Furthermore, the global project "Resource mobilization to maximize climate co-benefits", emphasized the limitations of UNDP's business model for these types of resource mobilization exercises. The project preparation funds for resource mobilization were critical to the success of this exercise and allowed UNDP to avoid the cross-subsidization of funds. The Climate and Clean Air Coalition (CCAC) experience served the feasibility study in Maldives, which used an innovative approach regarding technology choices, such as district cooling. Other countries, especially small islands developing states, will benefit from this demonstration project.

VIII. Recommendation

39. The Executive Committee might wish to consider:

- (a) Taking note of the 2014 consolidated project completion report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/7 including the schedule for submission of project completion reports (PCRs) due and the lessons learned;
- (b) Requesting the World Bank:
 - (i) To establish by the end of December 2014 in cooperation with the Secretariat, full consistency of data reported in the PCRs in the Inventory and in the annual progress reports;
 - (ii) To provide to the Secretariat by the end of December 2014 the information still missing in a number of PCRs;
 - (iii) To clear by the end of December 2014 the backlog of PCRs on projects completed before the end of 2006;

- (c) Requesting bilateral and implementing agencies to submit outstanding PCRs in line with its decisions 23/8 and 24/9; and
- (d) Inviting all those involved in the preparation and implementation of projects to take into consideration the lessons learned drawn from PCRs when preparing and implementing future projects.

Annex I
STATISTICS

Table I
SCHEDULE FOR PLANNED SUBMISSION OF PCRS IN 2014 AND ACTUAL DELIVERY

UNDP	Schedule	Sector	Investment PCRs		Non-Investment PCRs	
			Schedule	Received	Schedule	Received
UNDP	August 2014	Demonstration			1	3TAS
		Aerosol	1			
	Total UNDP		1	0	1	3
Status at September 10, 2014				-1		+2
UNEP	November 2013	Technical Assistance				2TAS
	December 2013	Technical Assistance			2	
		Training			1	
	January 2014	Technical Assistance				3TAS
	March 2014	Technical Assistance			3	
		Training			2	
	May 2014	Technical Assistance			2	
		Training			2	
	July 2014	Technical Assistance			1	
		Training			1	
September 2014	Technical Assistance			4		
	Training			0		
Total UNEP				18	5	
Status at September 10, 2014				N/A		-13
UNIDO	February 2014	FUM	1			1DEM
	March 2014	FOA	1			
	April 2014	FOA	1	1REF		
	May 2014			1SOL		
	July 2014			1FOA, 1FUM		1TAS
	August 2014	FUM	1			
	October 2014	REF	1			
	Total UNIDO		5	4	0	2
Status at September 10, 2014				0		+2
World Bank	April 2014	Foam (1)	1		0	
	July 2014	Halon (1)	1		1	
		Aerosol (1)				
	October 2014	Halon (1)	3		0	
		One-off plan (1) Refrigeration (1)				
Total World Bank		5	0	1	0	
Status at September 10, 2014				-2		-1

Table II
PCRS FOR INVESTMENT PROJECTS RECEIVED AND DUE BY IMPLEMENTING AGENCY, SECTOR AND YEAR
(FOR PROJECTS COMPLETED UNTIL THE END OF 2013)

Agency	Sector	PCR(s) Received in:																	PCR(s) Due in ¹											
		1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total	2004	2005	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
UNDP	Aerosol	1	-	9	4	11	-	-	4	3	5	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	2
	Foam	20	34	79	83	117	87	82	77	7	21	7	3	-	1	1	-	-	619	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Fumigant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Halon	-	-	3	13	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Phase-Out Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Process Agent	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Refrigeration	1	22	2	33	9	22	39	42	1	4	3	1	-	-	-	2	-	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Solvent	3	-	-	19	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sterilant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	25	56	93	152	137	110	122	126	11	31	13	6	1	1	5	2	-	891	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	2
UNIDO	Aerosol	6	6	10	6	4	2	-	7	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Foam	8	22	3	22	11	15	11	14	8	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Fumigant	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	1	-	6	1	6	3	2	-	1	1	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Halon	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Process Agent	-	-	-	-	1	3	2	4	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Refrigeration	12	25	11	32	14	22	24	34	7	4	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	188	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Solvent	5	13	5	3	3	5	5	4	9	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	32	66	29	63	35	48	42	64	24	13	3	10	5	3	1	2	4	444	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
World Bank	Aerosol	4	6	6	-	1	-	2	5	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Foam	18	25	38	20	20	18	8	26	12	6	6	-	-	3	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	
	Fumigant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Halon	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	2
	Multiple Sectors	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Others	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Phase-Out Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
	Process Agent	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Production	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Refrigeration	18	24	22	26	15	16	12	21	9	7	1	-	1	1	-	1	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
	Solvent	15	4	3	1	-	-	-	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sterilant	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	59	60	73	48	36	34	23	56	24	16	7	-	1	9	-	4	-	450	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	-	5	
Bilateral	Aerosol	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Foam	-	-	3	2	2	2	-	5	6	6	1	1	-	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Fumigant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
	Halon	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Phase-Out Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Refrigeration	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	5	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
	Solvent	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	2	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	-	1	5	2	3	2	-	7	11	7	5	3	1	-	2	-	50	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	4	
Grand Total	116	183	200	265	211	194	187	253	70	67	28	19	8	13	8	8	5	1,835	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	11	

¹ 6 months after projects completion according to the Progress Report

Table III

**PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT RECEIVED AND DUE FOR NON-INVESTMENT PROJECTS
(FOR PROJECTS COMPLETED UNTIL THE END OF 2013)**

Agency	Sector	See PCR(s) Received so far for Year Due																		PCR(s) Due in ¹											
		1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total	Before 1997	2002	2003	2005	2007	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
UNDP	Demonstration	-	-	5	-	-	6	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	3	4	1	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
	Technical Assistance	-	6	39	17	7	5	1	15	8	21	29	27	12	12	4	5	2	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
	Training	-	18	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	-	24	50	17	7	11	2	17	8	21	33	27	12	15	8	2	260	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	2	
UNEP	Technical Assistance	9	53	3	18	22	18	5	6	1	7	7	8	9	17	2	41	3	229	-	1	-	1	1	-	3	3	-	1	2	12
	Training	8	34	1	2	21	15	20	10	5	4	7	25	5	9	4	11	-	181	-	-	-	1	-	1	2	-	2	-	6	
	Total	17	87	4	20	43	33	25	16	6	11	14	33	14	26	6	52	3	410	-	1	-	2	1	1	5	3	2	1	2	18
UNIDO	Demonstration	-	-	-	6	7	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	
	Technical Assistance	-	6	8	-	4	1	3	4	3	15	9	6	2	3	-	1	1	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Training	-	1	1	-	5	6	7	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	-	7	9	6	16	10	13	8	3	16	9	6	2	3	-	1	2	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
World Bank	Demonstration	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
	Technical Assistance	5	4	6	-	1	-	2	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	2	2	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	2	5
	Training	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	6	7	6	-	1	-	2	1	1	2	2	-	-	-	2	2	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	2	2	7
Bilateral	Demonstration	5	5	12	-	3	1	1	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	
	Technical Assistance	-	-	13	1	1	9	14	15	8	5	15	7	13	19	-	4	1	125	1	1	-	1	-	-	3	9	4	-	2	21
	Training	1	3	19	1	9	6	5	6	6	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	62	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	2	8
	Total	6	8	44	2	13	16	20	21	16	7	17	8	15	19	-	4	1	217	2	1	1	2	-	1	4	11	4	-	4	30
Grand Total	29	133	113	45	80	70	62	63	34	57	75	74	43	63	16	65	8	1,030	2	2	1	4	1	2	9	18	6	3	10	58	

¹ 6 months after projects completion according to the Progress Report

Table IV

**SCHEDULE FOR SUBMISSION OF OUTSTANDING PCRS IN 2015
(FOR PROJECTS COMPLETED UNTIL 31 DECEMBER 2013)**

UNDP	Schedule	Sector	Investment PCRs	Non- investment PCRs
	August 2015			1
	Total		0	1
Total PCRs due as of 25 September 2014			N/A	1
UNIDO	November 2014	REF		1
	December 2014	FUM	1	
	January 2015	FUM	1	
	Total		2	1
Total PCRs due as of 25 September 2014			N/A	1
World Bank	March 2015	Foam, Aerosol	2	1
	April 2015	Halon	1	
		Methyl bromide	1	
	Total		4	1
Total PCRs due as of 25 September 2014			5	7

Table V

**SUMMARY OF PCRs RECEIVED IN 2005 WITH DATA PROBLEMS
(As of 10 September 2014)**

	Canada		Germany		Japan		UNDP		UNEP		UNIDO		World Bank		Total	
	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved
Incomplete Information	1	1	1	1	1	1	33	33			32	32	11	10	79	78
Solved as % of Total		100%		100%		100%		100%				100%		91%		99%
Data Inconsistencies																
Date Approved	3	3					3	3							6	6
Planned Date of Completion			1	1			15	15			2	2	2	1	20	19
Revised Planned Date of Completion	3	3			2	2	23	23	3	3			27	26	58	57
Date Completed	2	2	1	1	2	2	22	22	1	1	1	1	6	6	35	35
Funds Approved	1	1	1	1									6	6	8	8
Funds Disbursed	1	1					4	4			1	1	5	5	11	11
ODP To Be Phased Out							2	2					3	3	5	5
ODP Phased Out							4	4			1	1	3	3	8	8
Total	10	10	3	3	4	4	73	73	4	4	5	5	52	50	151	149
Solved as % of Total		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		96%		99%

Table VI

**SUMMARY OF PCRs RECEIVED IN 2006 WITH DATA PROBLEMS
(As of 10 September 2014)**

	Australia		Canada		France		Germany		Japan		Poland		UNDP		UNEP		UNIDO		World Bank		Total	
	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved
Incomplete Information	1	1	1	1	2	2	8	8					5	5	1	1	9	9	35	16	62	43
Solved as % of Total		100%		100%		100%		100%		N/A		N/A		100%		100%		100%		46%		69%
Data Inconsistencies																						
Date Approved	1	1			1	1	1	1											3	2	6	5
Planned Date of Completion	1	1	2	2	1	1									1	1			17	4	22	9
Revised Planned Date of Completion	1	1	5	5	1	1	4	4							3	3	1	1	43	8	58	23
Date Completed	2	2			2	2	3	3	1	1	1	1					1	1	5	3	15	13
Funds Approved			2	2	1	1	1	1											4	0	8	4
Funds Disbursed			4	4	1	1									1	1			4	0	10	6
ODP To Be Phased Out							2	2									1	1	5	2	8	5
ODP Phased Out			1	1	1	1	8	8	1	1							1	1	5	2	17	14
Total	5	5	14	14	8	8	19	19	2	2	1	1			5	5	4	4	86	21	144	79
Solved as % of Total		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		N/A		100%		100%		24%		55%

Table VII

**SUMMARY OF PCRs RECEIVED IN 2007 WITH DATA PROBLEMS
(As of 10 September 2014)**

	Canada		France		Germany		UNDP		UNEP		UNIDO		World Bank		Total	
	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved
Incomplete Information	2	2			7	7	26	26			3	3	10		48	38
Solved as % of Total		100%				100%		100%				100%		0%		79%
Data Inconsistencies																
Date Approved									1	1			1		2	1
Planned Date of Completion									1	1			1		2	1
Revised Planned Date of Completion	1	1					1	1			5	5	15		22	7
Date Completed			1	1	6	6	9	9	1	1	1	1	5		23	18
Funds Approved											1	1	3		4	1
Funds Disbursed									1	1			4		5	1
ODP To Be Phased Out			1	1	2	2	12	12	2	2	1	1	2		20	18
ODP Phased Out			1	1	7	7	12	12			1	1	1		22	21
Total	1	1	3	3	15	15	34	34	6	6	9	9	32	0	100	68
Solved as % of Total		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		0%		68%

Table VIII

**SUMMARY OF PCRS RECEIVED IN 2008 WITH DATA PROBLEMS
(As of 10 September 2014)**

	Australia		Canada		France		Sweden		UNDP		UNEP		UNIDO		World Bank		Total	
	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved
Incomplete Information	1	1	1	1					17	17	1	1	4	4	3		27	24
Solved as % of Total		100%		100%						100%		100%		100%		0%		89%
Data Inconsistencies																		
Date Approved									1	1			1	1	1		3	2
Planned Date of Completion	1	1	1	1			1	1	2	2			2	2	1		8	7
Revised Planned Date of Completion						1			6	6	3	3	1	1			10	11
Date Completed	1	1			1				14	14					1		18	15
ODP To Be Phased Out			1	1					12	12	2	2			1		16	15
ODP Phased Out			1	1					14	14	2	2			1		18	17
Total	2	2	3	3	1	1	1	1	49	49	7	7	4	4	5		73	67
Solved as % of Total		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		0%		92%

Table IX

SUMMARY OF PCRs RECEIVED IN 2012 WITH DATA PROBLEMS
(As of 10 September 2014)

	France		Italy		UNDP		World Bank		Total	
	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved
Incomplete Information	1	1			7	7	5		13	8
Solved as % of Total		100%				100%		0%		62%
Data Inconsistencies										
Revised Planned Date of Completion			1	1	4	4	2		7	5
Date Completed	1	1	2	2	3	3	1		7	6
ODP To Be Phased Out	1	1			1	1	3		5	2
ODP Phased Out	1	1			2	2	1		4	3
	3	3	3	3	10	10	7	0	23	16
Solved as % of Total		100%		100%		100%		0%		70%

Table X

SUMMARY OF PCRs RECEIVED IN 2013 WITH DATA PROBLEMS
(As of 10 September 2014)

	World Bank		UNDP		UNEP		Total	
	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved	Problems with PCRs	Problems with PCRs Solved
Incomplete Information	4		6	6	2	2	12	8
Solved as % of Total				100%		100%		67%
Data Inconsistencies								
Revised Planned Date of Completion	6				1	1	7	1
Date Completed			1	1	2	2	3	3
ODP To Be Phased Out	1		1	1			2	1
ODP Phased Out	1		2	2	2	2	5	4
Funds Disbursed			1	1			1	1
	8	0	5	5	5	5	18	10
Solved as % of Total		0%		100%		100%		56%