



**Programa de las
Naciones Unidas
para el Medio Ambiente**



Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
11 de junio de 2013

ESPAÑOL
ORIGINAL: INGLÉS

COMITÉ EJECUTIVO DEL FONDO MULTILATERAL
PARA LA APLICACIÓN DEL
PROTOCOLO DE MONTREAL
Septuagésima Reunión
Bangkok, 1 – 5 de julio de 2013

RESEÑA DE LAS CUESTIONES IDENTIFICADAS DURANTE EL EXAMEN DE PROYECTOS

Introducción

1. El presente documento consta de las siguientes secciones:
 - a) Un análisis del número de proyectos y actividades presentados por los organismos bilaterales y de ejecución a la 70ª Reunión;
 - b) Las cuestiones determinadas durante el examen de los proyectos;
 - c) Los proyectos y actividades presentados para su aprobación general; y
 - d) Los proyectos de inversión presentados para su consideración individual.

Proyectos y actividades presentados por los organismos bilaterales y de ejecución

2. Los organismos bilaterales y de ejecución presentaron 65 solicitudes de financiación para nuevos acuerdos plurianuales y tramos de acuerdos plurianuales aprobados, así como proyectos y actividades, por un monto total de 8 641 899 \$EUA, incluidos los gastos de apoyo a los organismos donde corresponda. Las solicitudes de financiación abarcaron:

- a) Los segundos/terceros/cuartos tramos aprobados de planes de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC para 28 países;
- b) Un tramo de un proyecto de eliminación de bromuro de metilo aprobado;
- c) Veintiuna solicitudes de renovación de proyectos de fortalecimiento institucional; y
- d) Actividades de preparación de planes de gestión de eliminación de HCFC para dos países.

3. Tras examinar los proyectos, se recomienda la aprobación general de 58 proyectos y actividades por un monto total que asciende a 6 135 580 \$EUA, incluidos los gastos de apoyo, destinándose a consideración individual del Comité Ejecutivo un tercer tramo de la etapa I del plan de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC (que presenta dos componentes de financiación) por un monto total de 377 632 \$EUA. En conjunto, la financiación de los proyectos que se recomiendan en la presente reunión para aprobación general y el destinado a su consideración individual asciende a un monto total de 6 513 212 \$EUA.

4. Los organismos pertinentes retiraron los terceros tramos (con dos componentes de financiación) de la etapa I del plan de gestión de eliminación de HCFC (de la República Islámica del Irán) que ascendían a un total de 622 711 \$EUA en su presentación original, y un segundo tramo (también con dos componentes de financiación) de la etapa I del plan de gestión de eliminación de HCFC (Nigeria) por un costo total de 1 235 176 \$EUA en su presentación original, puesto que los niveles de desembolso de los tramos anteriores no alcanzaron el umbral del 20 por ciento. Se retiró también una enmienda a un programa de trabajo de la ONUDI (financiación para la preparación de la etapa II del plan de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC para México), dado que el Comité Ejecutivo no había terminado sus conversaciones sobre el proyecto de directrices para la financiación de la preparación de la etapa II de los planes de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC¹.

¹ En su 70ª Reunión, el Comité Ejecutivo debatió el proyecto de directrices tal y como se recoge en el documento UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/51).

5. Conforme a lo recomendado en el documento sobre “Situación de las contribuciones y los desembolsos” (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/3), el total de recursos a disposición del Comité Ejecutivo para su aprobación en la 70ª Reunión el 27 de mayo de 2013 asciende a 69 487 827 \$EUA. Este volumen de recursos es superior a lo solicitado para los proyectos y actividades en dicha reunión.

Cuestiones determinadas durante el examen de los proyectos

6. En el transcurso del proceso de examen de los proyectos presentados a la 70ª Reunión no se identificaron cuestiones de políticas.

7. No obstante, al examinar las solicitudes de financiación para las tramas presentadas a la 70ª Reunión, la Secretaría observó que en varios de los países que operan al amparo del artículo 5, los refrigerantes formulados con hidrocarburos (en estado puro como es el caso del R-290 o en mezclas), se utilizan para retroadaptar, operar y/o rellenar equipos de refrigeración y de climatización formulados con HCFC-22. Parece desprenderse de ello que las condiciones del mercado se inclinan a favor de esta práctica, la cual está ocurriendo independientemente de las actividades que se estén ejecutando en el marco de los planes de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC. A la Secretaría le preocupa la seguridad en el uso de refrigerantes formulados con hidrocarburos en sistemas concebidos y proyectados para refrigerantes incombustibles, para los que las políticas, los reglamentos reguladores, la formación y la concienciación que permiten su uso seguro no parecen haberse implantado; para los que la capacidad técnica necesaria para efectuar debidamente el servicio y el mantenimiento de los equipos formulados con hidrocarburos tiene sus limitaciones y presenta riesgos conexos para técnicos y usuarios finales (en casi todos los subsectores que se están tratando actualmente, las alternativas al HCFC-22 que presenten un potencial de calentamiento atmosférico (PCA) inferior al del HCFC-22 son inflamables). En opinión de la Secretaría lo lógico es que los países que operen al amparo del artículo 5 consideren adoptar normas para el almacenamiento, instalación, funcionamiento y eliminación de refrigerantes inflamables y de equipos formulados con tales alternativas, y que hagan uso de técnicos y partes interesadas debidamente capacitados en su manipulación y uso seguros.

8. En el transcurso del proceso de examen de proyectos, los organismos bilaterales y de ejecución pertinentes indicaron que ya habían facilitado asistencia a una serie de países que operan al amparo del artículo 5 en lo tocante a esta cuestión, asegurando la debida introducción a las tecnologías y refrigerantes inflamables como alternativas al HCFC-22. Esta cuestión se analiza ulteriormente en la ponencia de reflexión que trata de la reducción al mínimo del menoscabo climático que pudiera derivarse como consecuencia de la implantación de la eliminación de los HCFC en el sector de servicio y mantenimiento de equipos de refrigeración (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/53).

Informes de verificación para los países de bajo consumo

9. En el apartado c) de su Decisión 61/46, el Comité Ejecutivo pidió a la Secretaría que, en la primera reunión de cada año, comenzando en 2013, le facilitara una lista de todos los países cuyo nivel de consumo de HCFC fuera igual o inferior a 360 toneladas métricas (tm) que tengan un plan de gestión de eliminación de HCFC aprobado, junto con una muestra indicativa del 20 por ciento de los países que se recogen en dicha lista para posibilitar que dicho Comité Ejecutivo aprobara la tal muestra para poder expedir una verificación de que tales países están en situación de cumplimiento con el acuerdo del plan de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC de ese año.

10. En consonancia con el apartado c) de la Decisión 61/46 indicado *supra*, la lista de los 86 países que operan al amparo del artículo 5 cuyo nivel de consumo es inferior a 360 tm se recoge en el anexo I que se adjunta al presente documento. De dicha lista, la Secretaría seleccionó una muestra de 17 países, como se indica en el Cuadro 1 que se muestra seguidamente, con objeto de verificar su cumplimiento del acuerdo del plan de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC, siguiendo para ello los siguientes criterios:

- a) La distribución geográfica de los países;
- b) El nivel de consumo de HCFC entre los países (es decir, siete países con un nivel de consumo de HCFC inferior a 100 tm; seis países con un nivel de consumo comprendido entre 101 y 200 tm, y cuatro países con un nivel de consumo superior a los 200 tm);
- c) Los países que consumen HCFC solo en el sector de servicio y mantenimiento (12) y también países con consumo en el sector de fabricación (5);
- d) Los países que potencialmente presentan cuestiones conexas a los datos del consumo (partiendo del examen de los planes de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC) (12) y otros que no presentan esas cuestiones potenciales atinentes a tales datos (5); y
- e) La participación de todos los organismos bilaterales y de ejecución pertinentes (uno de cada para Francia y Alemania, nueve para el PNUMA, y tres cada uno para el PNUD y la ONUDI).

Cuadro 1. Muestra de países que operan al amparo del artículo 5 para la verificación del cumplimiento de su acuerdo del plan de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC

Nº	País	Nivel de consumo (tm)	Organismo principal	Organismo cooperante
1	Albania	107,31	ONUDI	PNUMA
2	Bahamas (las)	88,00	PNUMA	ONUDI
3	Estado Plurinacional de Bolivia (el)	102,15	Alemania	PNUD
4	República Centroafricana (la)	217,94	PNUMA	ONUDI
5	Cuba	283,62	PNUD	
6	Fiji	152,79	PNUD	PNUMA
7	Gambia (la República de)	25,70	PNUMA	ONUDI
8	República Democrática Popular Lao (la)	41,93	PNUMA	Francia
9	Malawi	196,30	PNUMA	ONUDI
10	Mongolia	23,73	PNUMA	Japón
11	Níger (la República de)	290,39	ONUDI	PNUMA
12	Santa Lucía	3,96	PNUMA	ONUDI
13	Santo Tomé y Príncipe	39,0	PNUMA	
14	Serbia	151,11	ONUDI	PNUMA
15	Sri Lanka	235,20	PNUD	PNUMA
16	Turkmenistán	124,10	ONUDI	
17	Uganda	2,67	PNUMA	ONUDI

11. En el transcurso de la ejecución de los planes de gestión de eliminación definitiva se aprobó una financiación por valor de 30 000 \$EUA, más gastos de apoyo al organismo, para la redacción de informes de verificación. Partiendo de ello, el Comité Ejecutivo puede estimar oportuno pedir a los organismos bilaterales y de ejecución pertinentes que incluyan en sus respectivas enmiendas a los programas de trabajo a presentar a la 71ª Reunión la financiación para los informes de verificación correspondientes a la etapa I de los planes de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC de los países que operan al amparo del artículo 5 enumerados en el Cuadro 1.

Proyectos y actividades presentados para aprobación general

12. En el anexo II del presente documento se enumeran 58 proyectos y actividades por un monto total que asciende a 6 135 580 \$EUA, incluidos los gastos de apoyo que se recomiendan para aprobación general. La aprobación de estos proyectos por parte del Comité Ejecutivo incluiría las condiciones o

disposiciones pertinentes que se recogen las hojas de cálculo de la evaluación de los respectivos proyectos y la aprobación de la ejecución de los programas conexos con los tramos pertinentes de los proyectos plurianuales.

Proyectos de inversión para consideración individual

13. La Secretaría, tras efectuar un examen, sólo propuso para consideración individual el plan de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC (etapa I, tercer tramo) destinado a la República Bolivariana de Venezuela (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/48). Las cuestiones principales son conexas a la situación del sistema de cuotas y concesión de licencias; a la cuantía del desembolso; y la marcha general de las actividades de ejecución del plan de gestión de eliminación de los HCFC.

ANNEX I

List of LVC countries with an approved stage I HPMP

No.	Country	Baseline (mt)	Lead	Cooperating
1	Albania	107.31	UNIDO	UNEP
2	Angola	290.00	UNDP	
3	Antigua and Barbuda	5.51	UNEP	
4	Armenia	126.69	UNDP	UNEP
5	Bahamas (the)	87.55	UNEP	UNIDO
6	Barbados	66.73	UNEP	UNDP
7	Belize	49.86	UNEP	UNDP
8	Bhutan	5.62	UNEP	UNDP
9	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	102.15	Germany	UNDP
10	Bosnia and Herzegovina	70.65	UNIDO	
11	Brunei Darussalam	110.62	UNEP	UNDP
12	Burundi	129.20	UNEP	UNIDO
13	Cambodia	272.21	UNEP	UNDP
14	Cape Verde	18.50	UNEP	
15	Central African Republic	217.94	UNEP	UNIDO
16	Chad	292.70	UNEP	UNIDO
17	Comoros (the)	2.48	UNEP	
18	Congo (the)	160.65	UNEP	UNIDO
19	Cook Islands (the)	0.86	UNEP	
20	Costa Rica	224.94	UNDP	
21	Croatia	72.73	UNIDO	Italy
22	Cuba	283.62	UNDP	
23	Djibouti	11.73	UNEP	
24	Dominica	7.24	UNEP	
25	El Salvador	186.51	UNDP	UNEP
26	Equatorial Guinea	114.36	UNEP	UNIDO
27	Eritrea	19.71	UNEP	UNIDO
28	Ethiopia	100.00	UNEP	UNIDO
29	Fiji	152.79	UNDP	UNEP
30	Gambia (the)	25.70	UNEP	UNIDO
31	Georgia	93.00	UNDP	
32	Grenada	15.10	UNEP	
33	Guatemala	143.79	UNIDO	UNEP
34	Guinea-Bissau	26.00	UNEP	UNIDO
35	Guyana	31.02	UNEP	UNDP
36	Haiti	66.00	UNEP	UNDP
37	Honduras	344.65	UNIDO	UNEP
38	Jamaica	263.68	UNDP	UNEP
39	Kiribati	0.97	UNEP	
40	Kyrgyzstan	66.61	UNEP	UNEP
41	Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	41.93	UNEP	France
42	Lesotho	62.68	Germany	
43	Liberia	95.45	Germany	
44	Malawi	196.30	UNEP	UNIDO
45	Maldives	76.47	UNEP	UNDP
46	Mali	272.25	UNEP	UNDP
47	Marshall Islands (the)	3.99	UNEP	
48	Mauritius	144.98	Germany	

No.	Country	Baseline (mt)	Lead	Cooperating
49	Micronesia (Federated States of)	2.56	UNEP	
50	Mongolia	23.73	UNEP	Japan
51	Montenegro	13.88	UNIDO	
52	Mozambique	118.18	UNEP	UNIDO
53	Myanmar	77.80	UNEP	UNIDO
54	Namibia	149.76	Germany	
55	Nauru	0.18	UNEP	
56	Nepal	20.00	UNEP	UNDP
57	Nicaragua	118.36	UNEP	UNIDO
58	Niger	290.39	UNIDO	UNEP
59	Niue	0.15	UNEP	
60	Palau	2.97	UNEP	
61	Papua New Guinea	58.71	Germany	
62	Paraguay	333.06	UNEP	UNDP
63	Republic of Moldova	17.00	UNDP	
64	Rwanda	74.77	UNEP	UNIDO
65	Saint Kitts and Nevis	8.92	UNEP	UNDP
66	Saint Lucia	3.96	UNEP	UNIDO
67	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	5.13	UNEP	UNIDO
68	Samoa	4.60	UNEP	
69	Sao Tome and Principe	38.95	UNEP	
70	Serbia	151.11	UNIDO	UNEP
71	Seychelles	24.89	Germany	
72	Sierra Leone	30.37	UNEP	UNIDO
73	Solomon Islands	35.05	UNEP	
74	Sri Lanka	235.20	UNDP	UNEP
75	Suriname	35.92	UNEP	UNIDO
76	Swaziland	81.74	UNEP	UNDP
77	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	32.78	UNIDO	
78	Timor-Leste	9.08	UNEP	UNDP
79	Tonga	2.55	UNEP	
80	Turkmenistan	124.14	UNIDO	
81	Tuvalu	1.64	UNEP	
82	Uganda	2.67	UNEP	UNIDO
83	United Republic of Tanzania	30.86	UNEP	UNIDO
84	Vanuatu	5.11	UNEP	
85	Zambia	89.95	UNEP	UNIDO
86	Zimbabwe	314.94	Germany	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)		C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	
AFGHANISTAN					
SEVERAL					
Ozone unit support					
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000
Total for Afghanistan			\$150,000		\$150,000
ALBANIA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) <i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 6.0 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 5.4 ODP tonnes and 6.5 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>	UNEP	0.2	\$20,000	\$2,600	\$22,600
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) <i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 6.0 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 5.4 ODP tonnes and 6.5 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>	UNIDO	0.4	\$92,000	\$8,280	\$100,280
Total for Albania			0.6	\$112,000	\$10,880
BENIN					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) <i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 23.8 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 23.6 ODP tonnes and 24.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>	UNIDO	1.0	\$40,000	\$3,000	\$43,000
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) <i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 23.8 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 23.6 ODP tonnes and 24.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>	UNEP	1.4	\$85,000	\$11,050	\$96,050
Total for Benin			2.4	\$125,000	\$14,050

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
BHUTAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	UNDP		\$42,000	\$3,780	\$45,780	
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	UNEP		\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
	Total for Bhutan		\$112,000	\$12,880	\$124,880	
BURKINA FASO						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	2.0	\$120,000	\$15,600	\$135,600	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 28.9 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 26.7 ODP tonnes and 31.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
	Total for Burkina Faso	2.0	\$120,000	\$15,600	\$135,600	
CAMBODIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	UNDP	0.6	\$200,000	\$15,000	\$215,000	
<i>oted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 15 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 17.1 ODP tonnes and 12.8 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	UNEP	0.9	\$100,000	\$13,000	\$113,000	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 15 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 17.1 ODP tonnes and 12.8 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VII:1/2014-12/2015)	UNEP		\$112,667	\$0	\$112,667	
	Total for Cambodia	1.5	\$412,667	\$28,000	\$440,667	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
CHAD						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	1.6	\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance and the revised funding level, that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 16.1 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 26.0 ODP tonnes and 6.2 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, and that the revised funding level for stage I of the HPMP was US\$560,000, in accordance with decision 60/44(f)(xii).</i>						
Total for Chad		1.6	\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
COLOMBIA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 11/2013-10/2015)	UNDP		\$275,600	\$19,292	\$294,892	
Total for Colombia			\$275,600	\$19,292	\$294,892	
COMOROS						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Comoros			\$95,000	\$4,550	\$99,550	
CONGO, DR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP	2.9	\$116,000	\$10,440	\$126,440	
<i>The Fund Secretariat was requested, once the Meeting of the Parties made a decision on the request for change of the baseline, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted.</i>						

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	2.9	\$116,500	\$15,145	\$131,645	
<i>The Fund Secretariat was requested, once the Meeting of the Parties made a decision on the request for change of the baseline, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted.</i>						
Total for Congo, DR		5.8	\$232,500	\$25,585	\$258,085	
COSTA RICA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP		\$168,000	\$12,600	\$180,600	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 32.21 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 14.2 ODP tonnes and 14 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 18.11 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended</i>						
Total for Costa Rica			\$168,000	\$12,600	\$180,600	
DJIBOUTI						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Djibouti			\$60,000		\$60,000	
ECUADOR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.4	\$20,000	\$2,600	\$22,600	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 44.16 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 25.74 ODP tonnes and 21.24 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre blended polyol systems. Noted that the implementation of the national CFC phase-out plan (NPP) would continue until December 2013. UNEP was requested to return the remaining balance by that date to the Fund and to report to the Executive Committee at its 72nd meeting on the completion of the implementation of the NPP.</i>						

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNIDO	1.8	\$86,500	\$6,488	\$92,988	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 44.16 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 25.74 ODP tonnes and 21.24 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre blended polyol systems. Noted that the implementation of the national CFC phase-out plan (NPP) would continue until December 2013. UNEP was requested to return the remaining balance by that date to the Fund and to report to the Executive Committee at its 72nd meeting on the completion of the implementation of the NPP.</i>						
Total for Ecuador		2.2	\$106,500	\$9,088	\$115,588	
GUINEA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Guinea			\$60,000		\$60,000	
HONDURAS						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNIDO	1.2	\$90,000	\$6,750	\$96,750	
<i>Approved on the understanding that funding of the third tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan could be submitted after the project completion report of the terminal phase-out management plan for Honduras had been submitted to the Executive Committee.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.8	\$50,000	\$6,500	\$56,500	
<i>Approved on the understanding that funding of the third tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan could be submitted after the project completion report of the terminal phase-out management plan for Honduras had been submitted to the Executive Committee.</i>						
Total for Honduras		2.0	\$140,000	\$13,250	\$153,250	
JAMAICA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.2	\$18,000	\$2,340	\$20,340	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP	4.7	\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	
Total for Jamaica		4.9	\$118,000	\$9,840	\$127,840	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
KIRIBATI						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Kiribati			\$60,000		\$60,000	
LEBANON						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP		\$745,589	\$55,919	\$801,508	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 73.5 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 58.4 ODP tonnes and 88.6 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
Total for Lebanon			\$745,589	\$55,919	\$801,508	
MACEDONIA, FYR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, fourth tranche)	UNIDO		\$148,000	\$11,100	\$159,100	
Total for Macedonia, FYR			\$148,000	\$11,100	\$159,100	
MADAGASCAR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.9	\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
Total for Madagascar			0.9	\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100
MALAWI						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.7	\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 10.8 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 8.6 ODP tonnes and 13.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
Total for Malawi			0.7	\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
MALAYSIA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase X: 1/2014-12/2015)	UNDP		\$279,500	\$19,565	\$299,065	
	Total for Malaysia		\$279,500	\$19,565	\$299,065	
MALI						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,677	\$0	\$60,677	
	Total for Mali		\$60,677		\$60,677	
MARSHALL ISLANDS						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
	Total for Marshall Islands		\$60,000		\$60,000	
MONGOLIA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2014-12/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
	Total for Mongolia		\$60,000		\$60,000	
MOZAMBIQUE						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$80,800	\$0	\$80,800	
	Total for Mozambique		\$80,800		\$80,800	
NIUE						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
	Total for Niue		\$60,000		\$60,000	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
PAKISTAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP		\$200,000	\$26,000	\$226,000	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 247.4 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 239.8 ODP tonnes and 255.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNIDO		\$80,000	\$6,000	\$86,000	
Total for Pakistan			\$280,000	\$32,000	\$312,000	
PALAU						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Palau			\$60,000		\$60,000	
PANAMA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.7	\$26,600	\$3,458	\$30,058	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP	1.8	\$100,907	\$7,568	\$108,475	
Total for Panama			2.5	\$127,507	\$11,026	\$138,533
PHILIPPINES						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2014-12/2015)	UNEP		\$181,133	\$0	\$181,133	
Total for Philippines			\$181,133		\$181,133	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)		C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	
RWANDA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.2	\$40,000	\$5,200	\$45,200
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 4.1 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 3.8 ODP tonnes and 4.4 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>					
SEVERAL					
Ozone unit support					
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000
Total for Rwanda		0.2	\$100,000	\$5,200	\$105,200
SAMOA					
SEVERAL					
Ozone unit support					
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 11/2013-10/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000
Total for Samoa			\$60,000		\$60,000
SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550
<i>The Fund Secretariat was requested, in the event that the baseline consumption for compliance was amended based on the revised HCFC consumption data reported under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the revised figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted.</i>					
Total for Sao Tome and Principe			\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550
SEYCHELLES					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	Germany	0.4	\$160,000	\$20,267	\$180,267
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 1.4 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 1.4 ODP tonnes and 1.3 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>					

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Seychelles		0.4	\$220,000	\$20,267	\$240,267	
SIERRA LEONE						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.1	\$23,000	\$2,990	\$25,990	
Total for Sierra Leone		0.1	\$23,000	\$2,990	\$25,990	
SOLOMON ISLANDS						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Solomon Islands			\$60,000		\$60,000	
SOUTH SUDAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
Total for South Sudan			\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
SRI LANKA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP	0.4	\$60,000	\$4,500	\$64,500	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 13.9 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 13.4 ODP tonnes and 14.3 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.5	\$24,000	\$3,120	\$27,120	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 13.9 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 13.4 ODP tonnes and 14.3 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
Total for Sri Lanka		1.0	\$84,000	\$7,620	\$91,620	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
SWAZILAND						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.2	\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 7.3 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 9.5 ODP tonnes and 5.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
Total for Swaziland		0.2	\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150	
TONGA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Tonga			\$60,000		\$60,000	
TURKEY						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNIDO		\$260,000	\$18,200	\$278,200	
Total for Turkey			\$260,000	\$18,200	\$278,200	
VIETNAM						
FUMIGANT						
Methyl bromide						
National phase-out plan of methyl bromide (fourth tranche)	IBRD	20.0	\$58,284	\$4,371	\$62,655	
Total for Vietnam		20.0	\$58,284	\$4,371	\$62,655	
GRAND TOTAL		48.9	\$5,730,757	\$404,823	\$6,135,580	