**UNITED
NATIONS**

EP

United Nations
Environment
Programme

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
 THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Seventieth Meeting
Bangkok, 1-5 July 2013

**MULTI-YEAR AGREEMENT DATABASE FOR HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLANS
(DECISION 68/7)**

1.   This report provides information to the Executive Committee on the progress of development and use of the database for the annual reporting on multi-year agreements (MYAs). Decision 63/61(e) requests the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to inform the Executive Committee on the status of updating the information contained in the database tables.

Background

2.   Through decision 49/6, the Executive Committee requested that the Secretariat develop a reporting format for the tracking of accumulative progress achieved in the annual work programmes of phase-out plans. Decisions 50/9, 51/13 and 53/8 indicated the type of information needed and the way to follow in this process. Decision 59/7 of the Executive Committee further requested the Secretariat to pursue the necessary work to enable use of the MYA tables through the electronic database for HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) in order to enable online submissions of MYA tables for new HPMPs starting April 2010 and subsequent tranches of HPMPs from September 2010 and to improve the usability of the software for the bilateral and implementing agencies as well as the Secretariat, through improvements in data entry, compatibility, assessments and output formats. The Executive Committee provided a budget of US $60,000 for the purchase and installation of the hardware and software needed, noting, in decision 59/52, that this amount should be deducted from the monitoring and evaluation budget.

3.   Following this, the HPMP database was created using the same concept as the MYA tables for the CFC data. It was enlarged to include more complex information, and had been used for all HPMP submissions. In addition, the database provides the project evaluation sheets for new HPMPs.

4.   Technical work on the MYA tables was needed to adapt the database to the repeated changes in the HPMPs. Guidelines for its use by agencies have been provided and included in the database. The guidelines include instructions for entering data related to the submission of a new HPMP, post-approval data entry, and a tranche request for the HPMP. It includes definitions of various database concepts as well as a map for the database. The Secretariat staff received training on the specific uses, responsibilities and rules of access to the database.

Recent work

5.   Further improvements to the database were undertaken; moreover, during discussions within the Secretariat, other issues surfaced, which will be addressed in the future.

Issues addressed in the MYA database	
Issue	**Solution**
1. Adding auto acknowledgements when the submit button is used.	Done. There is a screen confirmation that can be printed.
2. Possibility to download in PDF format.	Done in Excel since the PDF format can distort the files. It is a page by page download.
3. Approved project was not automatically added to the first list of countries, e.g. Argentina has approved HPMP, but not shown in the list of approved HPMPs.	It has been fixed. This seems to be an import-related issue.
4. ExCom approvals (Table 2) are not working.	It has been fixed.
5. IT team need to inform officers when the database is undergoing maintenance and is not working, and when the maintenance is completed.	The database will be moved to the production server when user traffic is low; this way, there will be less maintenance problems.

Data entry

6.   Annex I reflects the information entered into the database by country and by agency. As in the previous report there are three categories of information:

(a)   Category I: countries for which data on plans and activities have been submitted.

(b)   Category II: countries where a basic set-up was undertaken, but no data about planned activities included; and

(c)   Category III: countries with no data entered into the MYA tables.

7.   Table 1 below shows the differentiation among the three categories.

Table 1

NUMBER OF COUNTRIES BY CATEGORY

Category	I	II	III	Total
Number of countries	96	31	16*	143
Percentage	67	22	11	100

*7 countries did not yet submit their HPMPs, including South Sudan which is presently not in the database.

8.   It is worth noting that more countries are included into Category I than previously reported meaning that for approved HPMPs, there was an increase of data entries into the database.

9.   It is also worth pointing out that entering data into the database is mandatory. Decision 63/61(d) clearly requests agencies "to update the entries in the MYA database shortly after the approval of an HPMP to reflect the approved and planned activities for the whole HPMP and the relevant annual implementation plans up to and including the year of the next tranche submission." While data has been entered for many countries, there is, however, a need to improve the quality of data and to update the MYA tables regularly.

10.   The Executive Committee may wish to:

(a)   Take note of the report on the multi-year agreement (MYA) database for HCFC phase-out management plans presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/9; and

(b)   Request bilateral and implementing agencies to fill in the missing information in the MYA database and to update it no later than eight weeks prior to the 71st meeting of the Executive Committee.

- - - -

Annex I

DATA SUBMISSION BY CATEGORY OF DATA AND AGENCY

I. – Data about plans and activities have been submitted

	COUNTRY	AGENCY	REMARKS*
1	Afghanistan	UNEP	
2	Albania	UNIDO	
3	Algeria	UNIDO	Errors filling out the table
4	Angola	UNDP	
5	Argentina	UNDP	Information missing
6	Armenia	UNDP	
7	Bahrain	UNEP	Information missing
8	Bangladesh	UNDP	Information missing
9	Belize	UNEP	
10	Benin	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
11	Bhutan	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
12	Brazil	UNDP	
13	Brunei Darussalam	UNEP	
14	Burkina Faso	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
15	Burundi	UNEP	Information missing
16	Cambodia	UNEP	
17	Cameroon	UNIDO	Information missing
18	Cape Verde	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
19	Central African Republic (the)	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
20	Chad	UNEP	
21	Chile	UNDP	Information missing (2 years)
22	China	UNDP	
23	Colombia	UNDP	
24	Comoros (the)	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
25	Costa Rica	UNDP	Information missing (2 years)
26	Croatia	UNIDO	
27	Cuba	UNDP	Information missing
28	Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
29	Djibouti	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
30	Dominica	UNEP	
31	Dominican Republic (the)	UNDP	
32	Egypt	UNIDO	
33	El Salvador	UNDP	Information missing (2 years)
34	Equatorial Guinea	UNEP	Information missing
35	Gabon	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
36	Gambia (the)	UNEP	Information missing (2 years)
37	Georgia	UNDP	
38	Grenada	UNEP	
39	Guatemala	UNIDO	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
40	Guinea-Bissau	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
41	Guyana	UNEP	
42	Honduras	UNIDO	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
43	Indonesia	UNDP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
44	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	UNDP	
45	Iraq	UNEP	Information missing (2 years)
46	Jamaica	UNDP	Information missing (2 years)
47	Kenya	Germany	
48	Kuwait	UNEP	
49	Kyrgyzstan	UNDP	
50	Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	UNEP	
51	Lesotho	Germany	

	COUNTRY	AGENCY	REMARKS*
52	Liberia	Germany	
53	Madagascar	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
54	Malawi	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
55	Mali	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
56	Mauritius	Germany	
57	Mexico	UNIDO	
58	Mongolia	UNEP	
59	Montenegro	UNIDO	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
60	Morocco	UNIDO	Information missing (2 years)
61	Myanmar	UNEP	
62	Namibia	Germany	
63	Nepal	UNEP	
64	Nicaragua	UNIDO	
65	Niger (the)	UNIDO	Information missing (2 years)
66	Nigeria	UNDP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
67	Pakistan	UNIDO	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
68	Panama	UNDP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
69	Papua New Guinea	Germany	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
70	Paraguay	UNEP	
71	Republic of Moldova (the)	UNDP	
72	Rwanda	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
73	Saint Lucia	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
74	Sao Tome and Principe	UNEP	Errors filling out the table (double annual plans)
75	Senegal	UNIDO	Information missing (2 years)
76	Serbia	UNIDO	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
77	Seychelles	Germany	
78	Sierra Leone	UNEP	Information missing (2 years)
79	Somalia	UNIDO	Information missing (2 years)
80	South Africa	UNIDO	
81	Sri Lanka	UNDP	
82	Sudan (the)	UNIDO	
83	Swaziland	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
84	Thailand	World Bank	
85	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	UNIDO	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
86	Timor-Leste	UNEP	
87	Togo	UNEP	
88	Trinidad and Tobago	UNDP	Information missing (2 years)
89	Turkmenistan	UNIDO	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
90	United Republic of Tanzania (the)	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
91	Uruguay	UNDP	
92	Vanuatu	UNEP	
93	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	UNIDO	
94	Viet Nam	World Bank	
95	Zambia	UNEP	Data for all years except 2013 submitted
96	Zimbabwe	Germany	

Category I: no remarks mean that all data had been correctly entered.

II. – A basic set-up was undertaken, but no data about planned activities included

	COUNTRY	AGENCY
1	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	Germany
2	Bosnia and Herzegovina	UNIDO
3	Congo (the)	UNEP
4	Cook Islands (the)	UNEP

	COUNTRY	AGENCY
5	Côte d'Ivoire	UNEP
6	Ecuador	UNIDO
7	Eritrea	UNEP
8	Fiji	UNDP
9	Ghana	UNDP
10	Guinea	UNEP
11	India	UNDP
12	Jordan	UNIDO
13	Kiribati	UNEP
14	Lebanon	UNDP
15	Malaysia	UNDP
16	Maldives	UNEP
17	Marshall Islands (the)	UNEP
18	Micronesia (Federated States of)	UNEP
19	Mozambique	UNEP
20	Nauru	UNEP
21	Niue	UNEP
22	Oman	UNIDO
23	Palau	UNEP
24	Qatar	UNIDO
25	Saint Kitts and Nevis	UNIDO
26	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	UNEP
27	Samoa	UNEP
28	Solomon Islands	UNEP
29	Suriname	UNEP
30	Tonga	UNEP
31	Tuvalu	UNEP

III. – MYA tables with no data entries

	COUNTRY	AGENCY	REMARKS
1	Antigua and Barbuda	UNEP	
2	Bahamas (the)	UNEP	
3	Barbados	UNEP	
4	Botswana	Germany	HPMP not submitted
5	Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	UNIDO	HPMP submission delayed
6	Haiti	UNEP	
7	Libya	UNIDO	HPMP not submitted
8	Mauritania	UNEP	HPMP not submitted
9	Peru	UNDP	
10	Philippines (the)	World Bank	
11	Saudi Arabia	UNIDO	
12	Syrian Arab Republic	UNIDO	HPMP submission delayed
13	Tunisia	UNIDO	HPMP not submitted
14	Turkey	UNIDO	
15	Uganda	UNEP	
16	Yemen	UNEP	

Note: A new Member State of the United Nations, South Sudan, has not been added yet to the database.

- - - -