

Programme des Nations Unies pour l'environnement

Distr. GENERALE

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/66/48 19 mars 2012

FRANÇAIS ORIGINAL : ANGLAIS

COMITE EXECUTIF DU FONDS MULTILATERAL AUX FINS D'APPLICATION DU PROTOCOLE DE MONTREAL Soixante-sixième réunion Montréal, 16-20 avril 2012

PROPOSITION DE PROJET : TURQUIE

Le présent document comporte les observations et la recommandation du Secrétariat du Fonds sur la proposition de projet suivante :

Destruction

• Projet pilote de démonstration sur la gestion et l'élimination des SAO ONUDI résiduaires

Les documents de présession du Comité exécutif du Fonds multilatéral aux fins d'application du Protocole de Montréal sont présentés sous réserve des décisions pouvant être prises par le Comité exécutif après leur publication.

FICHE D'ÉVALUATION DU PROJET – PROJET NON PLURIANNUEL

TURQUIE

TITRE DU PROJET

Agence d'exécution

ONUDI

Projet pilote de démonstration sur la gestion et l'élimination des SAO résiduaires

ORGANISME NATIONAL DE COORDINATION : Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Urbanisation (UNO)

DERNIÈRES DONNÉES DE CONSOMMATION DE SAO SIGNALÉES POUR LE PROJET

A: DONNÉES VISÉES À L'ARTICLE 7 (TONNES PAO, 2010)

| Annexe I, CFC | 0 | |
|---------------|---|--|
| | | |

B: DONNÉES SECTORIELLES DU PROGRAMME DE PAYS (TONNES PAO, 2010)

| SAO | Sous-secteur/quantité | Sous-secteur/quantité | Total |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| CFC | | | 0 |
| | | | |

PLAN D'ACTIVITÉS DE L'ANNÉE EN COURS :

Financement total : 1 844 700 \$ US Élimination totale : 130 tonnes PAO

TITRE DU PROJET

| CONSOMMATION DE SAO DE L'ENTREPRISE | S. 0. |
|--|--|
| SAO À ÉLIMINER | S. O. |
| SAO À INTRODUIRE | S. O. |
| PROJET FAISANT PARTIE DU PLAN D'ACTIVITÉ ACTUEL | S Oui |
| SECTEUR | SAO résiduaires |
| SOUS-SECTEUR | Secteur de l'entretien de l'équipement de réfrigération |
| RETOMBÉES DU PROJET | 103,72 tonnes métriques de CFC-12 |
| DUREE DU PROJET | 24 mois |
| PARTICIPATION LOCALE | 100 % |
| COMPOSANTE D'EXPORTATION | % |
| MONTANT DEMANDÉ AU FONDS MUI TU ATÉRAI | \$ US 1 076 250 |
| COÛTS D'APPUI DE L'AGENCE D'EXÉCUTION (7.5 %) | \$ US 80 719 |
| COÛT TOTAL DU PROJET POUR LE FOND | S \$US 1 156 969 |
| MULTILATÉRAL | |
| RAPPORT COÛT-EFFICACITÉ | \$ US/kg 10,37 tonnes SAO (métrique) |
| JALONS DE SURVEILLANCE DU PROJET | Inclus |
| | |
| RECOMMANDATION DU SECRÉTARIAT | A examiner individuellement |

DESCRIPTION DU PROJET

Introduction

1. Au nom du gouvernement de la Turquie, l'ONUDI a présenté à la 66^e réunion une proposition pour un projet pilote de démonstration portant sur la gestion et l'élimination de déchets de substances appauvrissant la couche d'ozone (SAO), pour le montant initialement proposé de 1 583 500 \$US. En accord avec la décision 58/19, ce projet visera à détruire 130 tonnes métriques (tm) de SAO résiduaires stockées dans le pays.

2. À sa 57^e réunion, le Comité exécutif a fourni des fonds à l'ONUDI pour préparer un projet pilote de démonstration sur les SAO pour la Turquie. À cette réunion, la décision prise visait à évaluer les projets pilotes d'élimination des SAO qui seraient en accord avec la décision XX/7 de la Vingtième réunion des Parties, qui stipulait que les projets pilotes pourraient porter sur la collecte, le transport, le stockage et la destruction des SAO, en mettant l'accent sur les stocks de substances déjà constitués ayant un potentiel de réchauffement global (PRG) net élevé, dans un échantillon représentatif de la diversité régionale des pays visés à l'article 5. Les membres ont aussi souligné que les projets de démonstration sur l'élimination des SAO devraient être réalisables et comprendre des possibilités de mobiliser un cofinancement. La Turquie a été l'un des pays retenus en fonction de ces critères.

<u>Contexte</u>

3. À sa 58^e réunion, le Comité exécutif a discuté des critères et des lignes directrices à appliquer pour la sélection de projets d'élimination des SAO, ce qui a mené à l'adoption de la décision 58/19. Cette décision a jeté les bases pour l'examen et l'approbation de projets de démonstration sur l'élimination des SAO. L'examen effectué par le Secrétariat reposait sur les principes établis par cette décision. Le Secrétariat a également appliqué le sous-paragraphe a)ii) de la décision, qui précise qu'aucun financement ne sera disponible pour la collecte des SAO dans le cadre d'un projet pilote. La définition de la collecte de SAO faisait partie d'une annexe au rapport de la 58^e réunion, intitulée « Définition des activités figurant dans les lignes directrices intérimaires sur le financement des projets de démonstration sur la destruction des SAO ». Ce projet pilote pour la Turquie couvrira les SAO déjà récupérées, ainsi que des quantités supplémentaires à récupérer par le biais d'un certain nombre de systèmes de récupération et de collecte en place à l'échelle locale.

4. Le projet pilote de la Turquie cherche à démontrer la viabilité d'un modèle d'activités pour une gestion efficace des déchets de SAO, de la collecte à l'élimination, avec l'aide financière du Fonds multilatéral, en vue de détruire les SAO indésirables et de générer des crédits de carbone. Ces crédits serviraient ultérieurement à soutenir le système actuel de récupération et de collecte des SAO afin de pouvoir intégrer d'autres frigorigènes. On prévoit, après la conclusion du projet de démonstration, que ce système sera entièrement autonome et sera en mesure de créer des incitations au lancement de nouvelles activités de gestion et de destruction des SAO résiduaires dans les prochaines années. Les futures activités de destruction devraient être réalisées par des entreprises d'incinération locales.

5. Le projet fournit par ailleurs l'occasion de créer des synergies avec un projet du Fonds pour l'environnement mondial (FEM) sur la destruction des polluants organiques persistants (POP), en particulier les stocks de polychlorobiphényles (PCB), qui pourrait être mis en œuvre en parallèle et sous une supervision institutionnelle coordonnée. L'entreprise d'incinération locale considérée pour la destruction des SAO résiduaires lors de la phase II du projet (sans l'aide financière du Fonds multilatéral) est en train d'être accréditée comme centre régional d'élimination des POP. La proposition de projet détaillée figure à l'annexe I du présent document.

Description du projet

6. Le projet pilote présenté par l'ONUDI portera sur l'élimination de 83,72 tonnes de CFC-12 et de 50 tonnes de halon, pour un total de 133,72 tonnes de SAO indésirables. En Turquie, il existe des centres de récupération et de régénération autorisés par le gouvernement dans trois villes, Ankara (TUHAB), Istanbul (ISISO) et Izmir (ESSIAD). Le pays compte également de nombreuses petites entreprises de récupération et de collecte qui alimentent ces grands centres. Ce projet vise d'abord à mettre en place des mesures institutionnelles destinées à intégrer les systèmes actuels de récupération et de collecte dans un réseau efficace de validation et d'évaluation des substances collectées, qui appuiera la viabilité du projet dans l'avenir. La coopération des secteurs privé et public a déjà été sollicitée pour cette initiative par le biais d'ateliers de consultation organisés pendant la phase de préparation du projet.

7. Le réseau de collecte sera par ailleurs soutenu par un certain nombre de règlements nationaux, dont l'un portant sur les déchets d'équipement électrique et électronique, partiellement adopté à la fin de 2006 après l'achèvement des études techniques. Le processus sera mis en œuvre sous la coordination du Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Urbanisation, avec le concours des institutions sectorielles concernées. La législation devrait entrer en vigueur d'ici le milieu de 2012. Ce projet recevra aussi l'appui de politiques gouvernementales de promotion de mesures environnementales sévères (notamment l'interdiction de rejeter les SAO à l'air libre et la définition des responsabilités en matière de financement des programmes de recyclage), qui contribueront à la durabilité du modèle d'activités pour la gestion des SAO résiduaires.

8. La Turquie a adopté une approche globale qui consiste à exporter les substances en vue de leur destruction, opération qui sera menée par l'initiateur du projet (Pan Gulf), identifié par le gouvernement. Pan Gulf financera également le projet. Le projet pilote vise aussi à encourager les entreprises locales de recyclage et de collecte des déchets à recueillir les SAO d'équipements déjà dans le processus de recyclage, pour les revenus potentiels liés à leur destruction. Les SAO résiduaires exportées seront détruites dans un centre respectant les normes internationales spécifiées dans le rapport de l'Équipe spéciale sur les technologies de destruction relevant du Groupe de l'évaluation technique et économique (TEAP) et le Code de bonne gestion. On aura ultérieurement recours à un centre d'incinération local (IZAYDAS). Cette entreprise devra être certifiée afin d'atteindre le niveau DRE (efficacité de destruction) de 99,99 pour cent imposé par le TEAP.

9. Le projet de démonstration sur la destruction des SAO résiduaires devrait être mis en œuvre en deux ans.

Estimation des SAO à éliminer

10. Selon la proposition, la quantité de SAO que devra prendre en charge le projet pilote s'élève à 133,72 tonnes, dont 83,72 tonnes de CFC-12 et 50 tonnes de halon 1301. Ces substances, qui sont stockées dans différents centres de récupération et de recyclage, sont prêtes à être détruites. Le tableau I ci-dessous présente la provenance des déchets :

| Source de collecte | Quantité (CFC-12 et halon) (tm) |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Centre de récupération ASO (TUHAB) | 0,62 |
| Fédération des travailleurs du métal (MESF) | 5,1 |
| Ateliers d'entretien (déclarés par la MESF) | 3 |
| Autres (indiquées par des entreprises d'entretien d'équipements de réfrigération et de climatisation, des programmes de responsabilité, etc.) | 75 |
| Banque de halon de Turquie | 50 (halon) |
| TOTAL | 133,72 |

Tableau 1 : Quantités estimées de SAO résiduaires prises en charge par le projet

Gestion financière du projet

11. La proposition révèle que le financement apporté par le Fonds multilatéral couvrira les coûts de destruction des SAO résiduaires actuellement stockées, qui seront exportées dans un centre accrédité des États-Unis d'Amérique, par le biais d'un accord conclu avec l'initiateur du projet, Pan Gulf. L'accord entre Pan Gulf et le gouvernement de la Turquie prévoit le partage des coûts, les crédits étant la propriété du gouvernement ou de l'institution autorisée par lui. L'émission des crédits doit faire l'objet d'une lettre d'approbation du Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Urbanisation pour une période donnée. Ce projet relatif au carbone doit être inscrit au Registre national du carbone administré par le Ministère.

12. La proposition prévoit par ailleurs la conception d'un système de monétisation des crédits de carbone générés par les SAO à détruire devant servir à accroître l'échelle du projet, selon les résultats obtenus avec les activités pilotes.

Surveillance et vérification des opérations de destruction

13. Afin de garantir que la totalité des SAO résiduaires est adéquatement prise en charge, la procédure sera suivie de près et les données seront saisies dans un système de base de données électronique conçu à cet effet. L'initiateur du projet, Pan Gulf, établira un plan de surveillance couvrant toutes les opérations et permettant de rendre compte de toutes les activités associées au projet. Ce plan stipulera la fréquence de collecte des données et définira un système d'enregistrement ainsi que le rôle de chaque personne responsable du suivi. Il comprendra par ailleurs des mesures de contrôle qualité assurant que l'ensemble des opérations, la collecte des données et les analyses de SAO sont menées de manière cohérente tout au long du projet. Le tout sera intégré à un système de gestion globale des données destiné à garantir la bonne coordination des données entre les volets constitution des stocks de SAO, administration du projet et destruction des déchets. Ce système sera étroitement lié au Registre national du carbone administré par l'unité du climat au sein du Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Urbanisation. Cette unité collaborera activement avec l'Unité nationale d'ozone. Vu l'absence d'installations de production en Turquie, il n'y a aucun risque de voir s'accroître les volumes de substances à traiter ou les stocks non admissibles.

Coût du projet

14. Le financement total demandé pour le projet a été estimé à 1 583 500 \$ US, répartis comme le montre le tableau ci-après.

| | Activité | Financement par le Fonds multilatéral (\$ US) | Cofinancement de Pan Gulf |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Phase I — unités de récupération du CFC-12, séparation huile et gaz | 185 000 | |
| 2 | Trois conteneurs ISO (10 000 litres) | 60 000 | |
| 3 | Gestion du projet relatif au marché du carbone (documentation, vente, etc.) | 125 000 | |
| 4 | Formation en matière de santé, sécurité et environnement (atelier technique avec experts internationaux) | 65 000 | Contributions en nature (ateliers, instructeurs, experts) : 150 000 \$ US |
| 5 | Sensibilisation | 50 000 | |

Tableau 2 : Coût proposé du projet

| | Activité | Financement | Cofinancement de Pan Gulf |
|----|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| | | par le Fonds | |
| | | multilatéral | |
| | | (\$ US) | |
| 6 | Destruction du CFC-12 déjà | 440 000 | Programme d'incitation pour le |
| | collecté par le biais de | | CFC-11 et le CFC-12 |
| | programmes turcs de | | |
| | remplacement par des | | |
| | équipements à haut rendement | | |
| | énergétique | | |
| 7 | Incinération des halons | 225 000 | Programme d'incitation pour |
| | | | les halons |
| 8 | Surveillance et vérification de | 100 000 | |
| | la destruction des SAO | | |
| 9 | Acheminement des SAO | 50 000 | |
| | dispersées en Turquie vers des | | |
| | installations centralisées pour | | |
| | les regrouper dans des | | |
| | conteneurs ISO en vue de leur | | |
| | transport à destination des | | |
| 10 | Etats-Unis d'Amerique | 150.000 | |
| 10 | Transport du CFC-12 regroupe | 150 000 | |
| | vers des centres de destruction | | |
| | americains (RemTec) | 1 450 000 | |
| | SOUS-TOTAL | 1 450 000 | |
| | Imprevus (10% de tous les | 133 500 | |
| | elements saut les éléments 4 et | | |
| | 5) | | |
| | TOTAL | 1 583 500 | |
| | | | |

OBSERVATIONS ET RECOMMANDATION DU SECRÉTARIAT

OBSERVATIONS

15. Le Secrétariat a émis un certain nombre de commentaires et observations au sujet de la proposition de projet soumise, après un examen minutieux effectué en respectant des critères établis dans la décision 58/19. Il a noté que le gros des opérations de collecte des SAO résiduaires sera réalisé par des centres de récupération et de recyclage déjà établis dans le pays et qu'il reste à mettre sur pied un système pour mieux centraliser la collecte. Il a attiré l'attention de l'ONUDI sur le fait que les activités de collecte ne sont pas admissibles au financement en vertu des lignes directrices. L'ONUDI a répondu que même si ce système sera l'une des principales réalisations du projet pilote, aucun financement n'est demandé au Fonds multilatéral pour sa mise en place. À l'initiative de Pan Gulf, un programme d'incitation est en train d'être établi pour offrir une certaine motivation financière à la collecte de SAO résiduaires; on espère que celui-ci permettra de catalyser les efforts en vue d'instaurer un système de collecte de plus grande ampleur. Par ailleurs, l'ONUDI a informé le Secrétariat que l'actuelle réglementation de la Turquie en ce qui a trait à la récupération, au recyclage et à la destruction était en train d'être alignée avec celle de la Commission européenne, au vu de leur aspiration à devenir membre de l'Union européenne.

16. La question de l'inclusion des halons a également été portée à l'attention de l'ONUDI en rapport avec la décision 58/19a)ii)d, et le fait que cette composante n'a pas de valeur de démonstration importante comme le stipule la décision. L'ONUDI a accepté de soustraire la quantité de halons indiquée dans la proposition. Elle a ensuite informé le Secrétariat que 20 tonnes métriques de CFC-12 venaient d'être enregistrées par l'un des centres de récupération et de recyclage autorisés par le gouvernement, lesquels seraient ajoutés à la quantité de substances prises en charge par le projet de démonstration. La quantité totale de SAO résiduaires devant être détruites dans le cadre du projet pilote s'élève donc à 103,72 tonnes métriques de CFC-12 déjà recueillies.

Le Secrétariat a ensuite voulu savoir pourquoi la destruction des SAO résiduaires ne pouvait être 17. effectuée en Europe, continent qui assure une surveillance plus stricte des crédits de carbone et dont la proximité pourrait permettre d'abaisser les coûts de transport. Il a par ailleurs attiré l'attention de l'ONUDI sur une question semblable soulevée par le Comité exécutif en ce qui a trait au projet mexicain de destruction de SAO au sujet duquel certains membres ont exprimé des doutes quant à la façon dont les crédits de carbone sont calculés et déterminés à partir de marchés volontaires. Il a demandé à l'ONUDI de justifier le choix de cette approche. Celle-ci a expliqué que l'on avait aussi envisagé de faire affaires à un centre européen, mais que les exigences semblaient plus lourdes qu'aux États-Unis d'Amérique et que cela pourrait engendrer des délais. Le cofinancement offert par Pan Gulf inclut par ailleurs son engagement à entreprendre toutes les activités nécessaires pour générer des revenus des crédits de carbone. Pan Gulf constitue également une solution intéressante en raison de son expérience et de ses liens directs avec la Climate Action Reserve (CAR) par l'entremise d'un accord de longue date avec RemTec, centre d'incinération avant reçu l'accréditation CAR. Le gouvernement de la Turquie a par conséquent conclu que l'option d'exporter vers un centre situé aux États-Unis d'Amérique, avec le concours de Pan Gulf, donnerait les résultats les plus durables à la fois pour le projet et le pays.

Le Secrétariat a par ailleurs demandé des éclaircissements au sujet de la phase II du projet, afin de 18. connaître notamment sa viabilité future et d'en savoir davantage sur IZAYDAS, le centre local d'incinération de déchets. L'ONUDI a confirmé qu'IZAYDAS jouerait un rôle de premier plan lors de la seconde étape de la procédure. Déjà autorisé, le centre se charge actuellement de l'incinération de déchets chimiques et dangereux, y compris les SAO, en accord avec les règlements nationaux sur l'élimination des déchets. Cette entreprise n'a toutefois aucune connexion avec les programmes d'échange de crédits de carbone ni avec le système de collecte intégrée proposé dans le projet. Le projet pilote aura donc notamment pour mission d'établir ces liens pour veiller à ce que le centre soit intégré au programme d'échange pour accroître les possibilités de financement et de renforcement des capacités dans les domaines de la collecte, de la vérification et de la destruction. Les ajustements nécessaires aux installations pour prendre en charge les SAO résiduaires seraient financés à partir des propres ressources de l'entreprise, des agences de financement locales/régionales ou à même les revenus générés par les activités d'échange de crédit de carbone une fois les liaisons établies avec ce programme. L'entreprise se chargera de l'incinération des SAO à l'échelle nationale lors de la deuxième phase. L'ONUDI a par ailleurs souligné qu'IZAYDAS ne demandait aucun investissement de la part du Fonds multilatéral pour le projet pilote.

19. Dans les discussions qui ont suivi avec l'ONUDI au sujet du budget, le Secrétariat a noté certains éléments non admissibles car associés à des activités de collecte ou à des stocks de halon. Des modifications ont donc été effectuées. Le coût final du projet a été révisé à 1 076 250 \$ US, plus les coûts d'appui de 80 719 \$ US, calculés à 10,37 \$ US/kg, prix inférieur au seuil de 13,2 \$ US/kg prévu dans la décision 58/19. Le tableau ci-après présente la répartition des coûts :

| Élément | Activité | Financement par le Fonds multilatéral (\$ US) | Cofinancement de Pan Gulf (\$ US) |
|---------|------------------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Trois conteneurs ISO | 60 000 | |
| | (10 000 litres) | | |
| 2 | Gestion du projet relatif au | 100 000 | |
| | marché du carbone | | |
| | (documentation, vente, etc.) | | |

| Tableau 3 | : Coûts | convenus | du | pro | jet |
|-----------|---------|----------|----|-----|-----|
| | | | | | |

| Élément | Activité | Financement | Cofinancement de |
|---------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| | | par le Fonds | Pan Gulf |
| | | multilatéral | (\$ US) |
| | | (\$ US) | |
| 3 | Formation en matière de santé, | 30 000 | 150 000 |
| | sécurité et environnement | | |
| | (atelier technique avec experts | | |
| | internationaux) | | |
| 4 | Destruction de CFC-12 déjà | 550 000 | Programme d'incitation pour le |
| | collecté par le biais de | | CFC-11 et le CFC-12 |
| | programmes turcs de | | |
| | remplacement par des | | |
| | équipements à haut rendement | | |
| | énergétique | 0 | |
| 5 | Surveillance et vérification de | 85 000 | |
| | la destruction des SAO | F O 000 | |
| 6 | Acheminement des SAO | 50 000 | |
| | dispersées en Turquie vers des | | |
| | installations centralisees pour | | |
| | les regrouper dans des | | |
| | conteneurs ISO en vue de leur | | |
| | transport a destination des | | |
| 7 | Etats-Unis d'Amerique | 150.000 | |
| / | Transport de CFC-12 regroupe | 150 000 | |
| | vers des centres de destruction | | |
| | SOUS TOTAL | 1 025 000 | |
| | SOUS-TOTAL | 51 250 | |
| | imprevus (5 % de tous les | 51 250 | |
| | TOTAL | 1 076 250 | |
| | IUIAL | 1 0/0 250 | |
| 1 | | | |

RECOMMANDATION

- 20. Le Comité exécutif pourrait souhaiter envisager :
 - a) De prendre note avec satisfaction de la présentation par le gouvernement de la Turquie d'un projet pilote visant la gestion et l'élimination de SAO résiduaires afin de détruire au total 103,72 tonnes métriques de déchets de SAO; et
 - b) D'approuver en principe la mise en œuvre d'un projet pilote pour la gestion et la destruction de SAO résiduaires en Turquie, au montant de 1 076 250 \$ US, plus les coûts d'appui de l'agence de 80 719 \$ US pour l'ONUDI, étant entendu qu'aucun autre financement ne sera accessible pour tout projet futur d'élimination de SAO en Turquie.

| MULTILATERAL FUND | FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION BSTANCES THAT DEPLETE TI | N OF THE N HE OZONE | MONTREA LAYER | AL PROT | OCOL | |
|---|--|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|-----|
| | | | | | | |
| | PROJECT COVER SHE | ET | | | | |
| COUNTRY | Turkey | IMPLEM | ENTING | | UNIDC |) |
| COUNTRI | Turkey | AGENCY | | CIUDO | • | |
| | | | | | | |
| PROJECT TITLE | Demonstration project for dispos | al of unwant | ed ODS in | Turkey | | |
| PROJECT IN CURRENT BI | ISINESS PROJECT | Ves | | | | |
| SECTOR | | ODS Destr | uction | | | |
| SUB-SECTORS | | Refrigerati | on and Air | -condition | ers (CFC | Cs) |
| | | sub-sector; | | | | |
| | | Fire fightin | ig (halons) | sub-sector | | |
| ODS DESTROYED | 2 years of project (MIE funded) | | 80 | mt of CE | | |
| ODS DESTROTED | 2 years of project (MLF funded) 2 years of project (MLF funded) | | 50 | mt of Ci mt of hal | lons | |
| | June F June (| | | | | |
| | Carbon Trading Offset Programm | ne, | 30 | mt of CF | Cs | |
| | (expected average per year) | | | | | |
| PROJECT IMPACT | ODS reduction per annum | | | | | |
| I ROJECT IVITACI | 2 years of project (MLF funded) | | 40 | mt of CF | Cs | |
| | - j | | 25 | mt of ha | lons | |
| | Reflecting annual emissions in C | O ₂ | 324,000 | t CO ₂ e | | |
| | equivalent in refrigerating sector | <u>_</u> | 1 40 000 | | | |
| | Reflecting annual emissions in CO_2 | | 140,000 | $t CO_2 e$ | | |
| | Carbon Trading Offset Programn | ne | 27 | mt of CF | C-12 | |
| | (expected average per year) | | 3 | mt of CF | C-11 | |
| | | | 218,700 | tCO ₂ e | | |
| | | | 11,400 | tCO ₂ e | | |
| PROJECT DURATION | | | | 2 Years (N | ЛLF | |
| | | | | funded ph | ase) | |
| PROJECT COSTS | | | | | | |
| | Incremental Capital Costs | US\$ | | 1 | ,335,000 | |
| | Contingencies | US\$ | | | 133,500 | |
| | Policy & Management Support | 022 | | | 115.000 | |
| | Total Project Costs | US\$ | | 1 | 583 500 |) |
| LOCAL OWNERSHIP | | 0.54 | 100% | - | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| EXPORT COMPONENT | | - | 0% | | | |
| REQUESTED GRANT | | US\$ | | 1, | 583,500 | |
| COST EFFECTIVENESS | | US\$/kg | | | 12.2 | |
| IMPLEMENTING AGENCY SUPPORT COSTS US\$ TOTAL COST OF PROJECT TO MULTULATED AL FUND US\$ | | | 1 / | 118,762 | | |
| STATUS OF COUNTERPART FUNDING | | Committe | n, 1, | ded by | Pan | |
| | | | Gulf (in | kind cont | tribution | for |
| | | | the train | ing work | shops U | SD |
| | | 150,000 | and | incen | tive | |
| DDOIECT MONITODINC M | ESTONES | | programm | ne for subs | stances) | |
| NATIONAL COORDINATION | NG RODV | | TR Mini | stry of F | nvironme | nt |
| | | | and Urb | anisation | G.D. | of |
| | | | Environm | nental M | lanageme | nt |
| | | | (NOU) | | - | |

Project summary

UNIDO is submitting a demonstration project for disposal of unwanted ozone depleting substances (ODS) in Turkey to the 66th Meeting of the Executive Committee. This project will cover the disposal of 80 ODP tons of CFC-12 and CFC-11 in the domestic refrigerator sector of Turkey and 50 ODP tons of halons from the Halon Bank of Turkey in the next 2 years. **This quantity of CFCs has already been collected** from various sources in the country. The collected CFC-12 will be incinerated in an eligible destruction facility in the United States as required by the CAR Protocol *Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol Destruction of Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1.0* (see http://www.climateactionreserve.org/). As supporting measures during the initial period the collection and recovery system will be improved and, if required, the legislative system will be adapted. (<u>The MLF shall fund the transportation/storage and destruction activities of these 2 years, costs for improving the collection system and changing legislation will be covered by income from sale of carbon credits.)</u>

Assuming a price of USD 3 per ton of CO₂e and emission reductions of approximately 300,000 CO₂e, the activities of the first two years will generate a financial value of around 900,000 Mio USD through utilization of the carbon market. This financial benefit will be used to implement a sustainable recovery and collection system for ODSs with the view to later incorporate other refrigerants. This system should be fully self-sustaining without further need for financial support. It should create incentives for further ODS waste management and destruction activities in future years which will lead to an estimated additional destruction of 30 ODP tons of CFC-11 and CFC-12 per year – Carbon Trading Offset Programme (Please NOTE: there is no funding requested from the MLF beyond the first two years of the project). This latter part of the programme consists of the recovery and collection of ODS from various sources in Turkey, in particular end of life treatment refrigerators, air-conditioning equipment and servicing activities.

This project is **unique and innovative** in its approach because it demonstrates for the first time:

- That funds from MLF may not only be used for a one time destruction of ODS but will provide a type of "seed money" for implementing a <u>sustainable</u>, <u>comprehensive ODS waste management and destruction system</u> in Turkey for the future,
- the sourcing of <u>co-funding from the carbon market</u> as proposed by the *Report by the Secretariat on funding opportunities for the management and destruction of banks of ozone-depleting substances (UNEP 2009)*,
- fund raising in the private sector by involving companies specialized in RAC manufacturing, waste management and incineration activities, and
- the implementation and operation of <u>state of the art recovery and collection technologies</u> in an Article 5 country enabling an improvement of ODS collection and recovery activities and thereby significantly reducing the impact on the ozone layer as well as on the global climate.

| PREPARED BY | UNIDO (K. Vigh and M. Cevik) | DATE | 18 February 2012 |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|------|------------------|
| REVIEWED BY | UNIDO (P. Krajnik and S. Mohammed) | DATE | 20 February 2012 |

Table of Contents

| 1. PROJECT OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGY | |
|--|--------------|
| 2. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE ODS DISPOSAL PILOT PROJECT | 6 |
| 3. BACKGROUND ON ODS AND WASTE RELATED LEGISLATION IN TUR | KEY 8 |
| 4. CO-FINANCING COMPONENT: PAN GULF INDUSTRIAL COMPANY | |
| 4.1 Background of Pan Gulf Company | 11 |
| 4.2 Objective of activities undertaken by Pan Gulf | 11 |
| 4.3 Project Financing & Pan Gulf Co-Financing | 12 |
| 5. DESTRUCTION OF ODS | |
| 5.1 Facility Requirements | |
| 5.2 Process of the Destruction | |
| 6. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION | |
| 6.1 Implementation structure and beneficiaries | |
| 6.1.1 Collection | 23 |
| 6.1.2 Storage, registration and verification | |
| 6.1.3 Destruction and incineration | 27 |
| 6.1.4 Implementation structure | 27 |
| 6.2 Sustainability of the business model | |
| | |
| 7. ACTIVITIES AND PROJECT COSTS | |
| 7. ACTIVITIES AND PROJECT COSTS 7.1 Implementation schedule | |

| ANNEX: | LINKAGES | BETWEEN | MONTREAL | PROTOCOL | AND | THE | CARBON |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|--------|
| MARKEI | T (S) | ••••••••••••••••••• | •••••• | •••••• | ••••• | ••••• | |

PROJECT OF THE GOVERNMENT OF TURKEY

DEMONSTRATIONPROJECT FORDISPOSAL OF UNWANTED ODS IN REPUBLIC OF TURKEY

1. Project objectives and strategy

UNIDO submits a Demonstration Project for Disposal of Unwanted ODS in Turkey to the 66th Meeting of Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the implementation of the Montreal Protocol.

The project aims to develop a sustainable business model for ODS waste management from collection to disposal, in a way that shows how "seed money" from the MLF can be used within a country to take the banked ODS and destroy it so that it can generate carbon credits. This will create income to those who participate. Thus, the demonstration project will show how this financial mechanism can be used as an incentive to enterprises in A5 countries, such as India, China, Brazil, and Mexico, to participate in carbon trading programs for profit or for lower cost destruction.

Therefore the objective of the project is to implement a sustainable and integrated business model for an efficient ODS waste management system (including the establishment of an effective recovery system for CFC-11 in insulation foam used in refrigerators, as well as CFC-12 in refrigeration equipment) and to destroy 80 metric tons of CFC-12 and CFC-11 in the refrigerator sector in Turkey and 50 metric tons of halons (halon-1301);

1. Destroying already collected/accessible 80 ODP tons of CFC-12 and 50 ODP tons of halon-1301 located in the Halon Bank of Turkey (in the first two years of the project is considered as the demonstration phase- to be funded by the MLF);

2. Investing the financial benefits created through the use of the carbon market (by selling the emission reductions generated through destruction activities in the first 2 years) in modifying and/or improving national legislation with regard to a producer/distributor responsibility program for ODS containing equipment and improving the collection and recovery system in Turkey.

This will result in annual destruction of additional 27 ODP tons of CFC-12 and 3 ODP tons of CFC-11 adding up to 30 ODP tons in future years (to be sustainably funded through the annual sale of carbon credits, as well as other national incentive systems to be implemented in parallel).¹

The proposed implementation mechanism, partially funded by MLF with the in-kind contributions to be provided by Pan-Gulf Co. as well as the beneficiaries listed in Section 6; will recover CFC-12 and CFC-11 from domestic refrigerators and other refrigeration systems to be considered within the implementation process.

The current legislation on ODS and waste management gives the authority of the regulating certification and monitoring of waste recycling and recovery activities to the Ministry of

¹ The consumption of CFC-11 in the past years has been very low.

Environment and Urbanization (MoEnU, G.D. of Environmental Management). Work is ongoing to adapt the system to the requirements of the 2002/96/EC-Directive (WEEE-Directive), under the coordination of MoEnU and in close cooperation with the relevant institutions. The proposed entry into force of this legislation is mid 2012.

Besides this ongoing work of the Ministry, companies have been licensed for the destruction of electric and electronic waste; including licenses for the destruction of the dangerous waste/chemicals (one company also got a license to store such goods). 1 company (İZAYDAŞ) is authorized to conduct waste incineration. 17 companies of different size have been declared as eligible to de-manufacture electronic equipment for recycling. Nine of these facilities recycle airconditioning and refrigeration equipment. All facilities are capable to recycle materials such as iron, aluminum, copper, chrome, plastics, paper, etc. In 2011, the number of the recycled electronic waste was reported approximately 6,000 tons.

The Turkish Halon Bank (TUHAB) is the only authorized institution for the collection and verification of halons as well as other ODSs. It was established under the Ankara Chamber of Industry in 2007, and carry out its activities in cooperation with the other recovery and reclamation centers established in Istanbul (ISISO) and Izmir (ESSIAD) during the phase-out activities funded by MLF. Implementation will be done under the overall coordination of a Coordination/Implementation Board to be established by the National Ozone Unit in collaboration with these Centers and active participation of relevant institutions such as the RAC Sector Association, private sector and government institutions such as the Waste Management Department of the Ministry of Environment and Urbanization.

IZAYDAŞ Co. as the only authorized incineration plant of the country and other public and private sector companies will also be included in the implementation of the project (chapter 6).

The project complies with the criteria established by Decision 58/19 including focus on specific aspects not addressed by other pilot projects. This project is unique and innovative in its approach, because it demonstrates for the first time in the country/region:

- a. Funds from MLF may not only be used for a one time destruction of ODS but will provide a type of "seed money" for implementing a sustainable, integrated and comprehensive ODS waste management and destruction system in Turkey for the future years. If the business model is proven to be viable any lessons learned regarding the application of carbon markets and the introduction of producer responsibility programs could likely be modified and transferred to other countries where collection activities have already been carried out,
- b. Resource mobilization from the Turkish private sector, chambers, associations, unions and development agencies,
- c. Co-funding from Pan Gulf Industrial Company,
- d. The sourcing of co-funding from the carbon market as proposed by the Report by the Secretariat on funding opportunities for the management and destruction of banks of ozone-depleting substances (UNEP 2009),
- e. The implementation of advanced environmental policies (such as ban on venting of ODS, defining responsibility for financing recycling programs) which support the establishment of the business model for ODS waste management,

- f. The implementation and operation of state of the art recovery and collection technologies in an Article 5 country enabling an increase of ODS collection and destruction efficiency and thereby significantly reducing the impact on the ozone layer as well as on the global climate.
- g. The extraction and destruction of CFC-11 from insulation foams would be the next step for setting up an advanced waste management system for ODS. There are ongoing and pipelined R&D activities and projects by research institutions in cooperation with the private sector companies, on the recovery of CFC-11 from insulation foams. The Project will cooperate and include such contributions by the institutions to provide extremely valuable "real life" data about the amount of CFC-11 contained in insulation foams of refrigerators and scientific methodologies, etc. to improve and further develop the system.
- h. The project can build upon a well established energy efficiency strategy in Turkey through which around 2 million domestic refrigerators and air conditioners will be collected by the end of 2025 and from which over 100 tons of ODS have been collected for destruction. By providing a clear strategy for the beneficial destruction of ODS, further energy efficient programs will provide incentive opportunities.

UNIDO strongly believes that this project proposal is a real opportunity to demonstrate to Article 5 countries, that ODS destruction could be self-sustained and moreover self-financed through the commercialization of carbon credits, as well as national or self-funding/incentive mechanisms and the results of this demonstration project can be replicated in other Article 5 countries.

2. Justification for the ODS disposal pilot project

The Executive Committee, at its 58th Meeting, approved a set of interim guidelines for the funding of demonstration projects for the disposal of ODS in accordance with paragraph 2 of decision XX/7 of the Meeting of the Parties. The following information is provided to comply with all the requirements as set out by the above mentioned Decision 58/19.

i. An indication of the category or categories of activities for the disposal of ODS (collection, transport, storage, destruction), which will be included in the project proposal:

The project includes all categories of activities for the disposal of ODS namely collection, transport, storage and destruction, however it only seeks funding from the MLF for the later three activities in relation to the existing stock of 80 tons of ODS (CFC-12 and CFC-11) as described in (iv) in line with the interim guidelines for the funding of demonstration projects for the disposal of ODS.

All collection activities will be financed through the innovative use of carbon markets and other national incentive programmes to be mobilized by the relevant national bodies involved in the implementation.

ii. An indication of whether disposal programmes for chemicals related to other multilateral environmental agreements are presently ongoing in the country or planned for the near future, and whether synergies would be possible:

The potential synergies with relevant programmes in the country can be listed as follows:

- The ongoing projects on energy efficiency and POPs, under implementation by UNDP in cooperation with UNIDO and relevant ministries (e.g. Ministry of Industry, Minsitry of Energy and Natural Resources, Ministry of Environment and Urbanization), as well as national institutions in the country, funded by GEF and co-financed by partnering Ministries and private sector companies. These efforts are linked with relevant EU and international legislations such as Stockholm Convention, Energy Efficiency and Labeling regulations of EU.
- Improvement of Turkish legislation on environment and chemicals, by the MoEnU, e.g. adaptation of RoHS and WEEE directives of EU, as by-laws,
- Ongoing R&D activities by universities in cooperation with private sector institutions and national research funds.

iii. An estimate of the amount of each ODS that is meant to be handled within the project:

The project activities aim to handle a total estimated amount of 80 MT of CFC-12 within the first two years of the project, based on the official data provided by the authorized institutions to the National Ozone Unit on the already collected and accessible amounts, and the calculation of the potential amount to be covered with the relevant equipment collection or recycling activities together, which is in a very advanced stage of the collection. Details are given below (see item iv.).

iv. The basis for the estimate of the amount of ODS; this estimate should be based on known existing stocks already collected, or collection efforts already at a very advanced and well-documented stage of being set up

The expected amount of CFC-12 for incineration for the first two years of the project is estimated to be 80 MT, based on the data on the already collected and recorded quantities provided in the below table.

Table 1: Overview of the reported and accessible amounts of CFC stocks in Turkey byJanuary 2012

| Source of collection | Quantity | | |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| | CFCs | | |
| ASO Recovery Center (Turkish Halon Bank- | 0,62 MT | | |
| TUHAB) | | | |
| Metal Goods Craftsmen Federation (MESF) | 5,1 MT | | |
| Dispersed Service Shops (reported by MESF) | 3 MT | | |
| | (minimum) | | |
| Other sources (reported by servicing | 75 MT | | |
| companies for refrigeration and air- | (minimum) | | |
| conditioning equipment, responsibility | | | |
| programmes, etc.) | | | |

Source: TUHAB, MESF

v. For collection activities, information regarding existing or near-future, credible collection efforts and programmes that are at an advanced stage of being set up and to which activities under this project would relate.

According to the By-law on Phase out of Ozone Depleting Substances and Montreal Protocol, the Government developed a licensing program for individuals and companies involved in the repair and maintenance of refrigerators and other products, which require ozone depleting substance for their continued functioning. This program ensures that the recovery, recycling and recharge of ozone depleting substances will be consistent with the overall goal of ozone depleting substance phase out. Three centers have been established for the recovery, recycling and reclamation of ODS. Those form the basis for the refrigeration management system which already started in 2005.

As a supportive action energy efficiency related collection campaigns for refrigerators and white goods have been launched. The activities aim to replace old equipment by new machinery with a better environmental profile. Within the project the close co-operation with the RAC sector associations, leading manufacturing companies, service shop associations and networks enhanced.

The NOU officially requested the relevant institutions to provide data and update the NOU on their activities on this collection programs on a regular basis. Also NOU declared intention to include this request as a reporting obligation to the relevant legislation, in cooperation with the relevant departments of the Ministry (e.g. Climate Change and Waste Management Departments).

vi. For activities that focus at least partially on CTC or halon, an explanation of how this project might have an important demonstration value

As already mentioned in table 1, 50 metric tons of halon-1301 currently stored in the Turkish Halon Bank will also be destroyed. The destruction of this quantity equals an incineration of 500 tons of CFC, thus adding a high environmental benefit to the project. Together with the incineration services provided by Pan Gulf Company (chapter 4) is gives an example on now excess quantities of such substances can be handled on a region level.

3. Background on ODS and waste related legislation in Turkey

List of legislations relevant to the project

Main legislations with respect to the ozone depleting substances are the Law on Environment No.2872 (Official Gazette: 11 August 1983, no 18132) as amended by the Law No.5491 (Official Gazette: 13 May 2006, no 26167) and the By-law on Phase-out of the Ozone Depleting Substances (Official Gazette: 25 July 1999, no 23766) which regulate controlled substances, control of use and placing on the market, trade controls and reporting requirements.

The development of the national legislation reflects Turkey's intention to join the EU and its effort to transpose EU regulations into national laws. Following acts are important for this project:

- 1. The main laws are the Law No. 2872 on Environment as amended by Law No. 5491 and the Law No 4856 on the Establishment and Duties of MoEnU.
- 2. Since 2004, Turkey is party to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and since 2009 to the **Kyoto Protocol**. Therefore Turkey has been considering how Directive 2003/87/EC on **emissions trading** is applicable in the country. The current rate of greenhouse gas emissions per capita in Turkey is lower than the average for the OECD countries or economies in transition.² The statistical office, TURKSTAT is responsible for the collection and processing of relevant data.
- 3. All provisions (the only exception being Article 8 on the establishment of a reporting and data recording system) of Directive 91/689/EEC on **hazardous waste** have been transposed into the 2005 By-Law on Hazardous Waste Control. MoEnU and its Provincial Directorates have been designated as competent authorities for:
 - a. Provisional storage, disposal and recovery of hazardous waste;
 - b. Inspection of disposal/recovery facilities and preparing plans and programmes for waste management.
- 4. MoEnU and the Ministry of Transport are responsible for the issuing of licenses for transport. For reporting purposes, waste producers and operators of waste disposal/recovery facilities are obliged to keep the records on type, amount and other characteristics of waste (e.g. mode of transport, method of treatment) and to submit them to MoEnU. Turkey indicated the need to establish an inventory of data on hazardous waste, to strengthen the administrative capacity (notably in the monitoring), to enhance industry awareness with regard to the management of hazardous waste and to build new disposal/recovery facilities.
- 5. There is an ongoing work on the adaptation of the Directive 2002/96/EC on **waste** electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE), which was partially adopted by the end of 2006 after finalization of technical studies, as the national WEEE by-law, under the coordination of MoEnU, in cooperation with the relevant sector institutions. The proposed period for the entry into force of the draft legislation mentioned to be mid 2012, by the MoEnU Officers.
- 6. As regards Directive 2001/80/EC on Large Combustion Plants (LCP), Turkish legislation (notably the By-Law on Air Pollution Control) provides for definitions of LCPs, sets emission limit values, designates MoEnU, Provincial Governors and local authorities as competent bodies, lays down requirements concerning monitoring of emissions (e.g. methods and frequency of measurements) and reporting. According to the estimations there are around 70 installations13 falling within the scope of the directive, the majority of which meet the emission targets set in the directive. Difficulties in the implementation of the Directive may be expected due to high costs of investment needed (in some cases the modernization of existing installations will be initiated only after completion of privatization process). The administrative capacity, in particular with regard to enforcement and inspection should be strengthened.
- 7. The Directive 2000/76/EC on **incineration of waste** (incl. definitions, procedure of application, principles of operation, issuance of permits, emission limit values, monitoring

² Green House Gas emission per capita in Turkey: 4.17 tonnes

mechanism, methods and frequency of measurements) has been transposed as well as the remaining provisions in 2007. In 2011, there were 18 facilities (1 incineration and 17 coincineration plants) operating on the basis of a license issued by the MoEnU. These facilities are suitable to destruct the electronical waste and recycle the materials such as iron, aluminum, copper, chrome, plastics, paper, etc. By 2010 the number of the recycled electronical waste recorded by the MoEnU to be around 6,000 tons.

Institutions authorized by the relevant regulations for ODS collection, validation and destruction in the country can be summarized as follows:

The Turkish Halon Bank (TUHAB), established under the Ankara Chamber of Industry in 2007, is the only authorized institution for collection and validation of halons and other ODSs, with a ministerial circular (MoEF -No.200/4). TUHAB also designated as the coordinating institution within the CFC & Halon phase-out activities uner Turkey National Programme for ODS Phase-out, as one of the recovery and reclamation centers.

Recovery and Reclamation Centers ISISO and ESSIAD, in cooperation with the other centers established in Istanbul (ISISO) and Izmir (ESSIAD) within the CFC phase-out activities funded by MLF.

IZAYDAŞ (Izmit Waste and Residue Treatment, Incineration and Valuation Co.Inc.), a company of the Kocaeli Metropolitan Municipality, is the only authorized institution for the waste incineration processes in the country.

Metal Craftsmen Federation (MESF), authorized for the in-site collection, validation and recovery of the ODSs, via their member organizations, service technicians, by using the ODS recovery equipments provided within the previous CFC phase-out programme.

The improvement of Turkish legislation is considered as another important element of the proposed demonstration project, to ensure the creation of a sustainable, integrated and transparent ODS waste management system.

The proposed changes shall include:

- 1. Ban on venting of ODS and on deposition of ODS containing products/equipments (wastes) in landfills
- 2. Defining and assigning the responsibility for financing recycling programs (e.g. local authorities, producer responsibility programs).
- 3. Requirements that the applied recycling technology achieves an acceptable amount of ODS recovery.

Implementation will be done under the overall coordination of a Coordination/Implementation Board to be established by the National Ozone Unit with the active participation of relevant institutions including Waste Management Department of the MoEnU, IZAYDAŞ Co, etc.

4. Co-financing component: Pan Gulf Industrial Company

4.1 Background of Pan Gulf Company

Pan Gulf Industrial Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Pan Gulf Holdings. The enterprise is active in the business world in the Middle East for over 30 years and is listed in the top 50 companies in Saudi Arabia.

Pan Gulf can be regarded as a leading company offering solutions for environmental problems. As a principal approach the company follows global environmental organizations, which set out strict guidelines for safe recycling and destruction of halons and ODS and global warming gases. It also offers training and educating to individuals as well as organization to improve their environmental profile.

The enterprise offers state of the art processing for halon and ozone depleting substance (ODS) in the Middle East and Asia, meet international standards for recycling and adhere to the most up to date specifications. It also uses the latest in halon and ODS recycling equipment, sophisticated laboratory equipment, performing series of test and by utilizing our internationally trained technicians.

The halon and ODS recovery and recycling provided meets current standards set out by the Montreal Protocol, ASTM – D7673-10, ISO – 7201-1 (1989) Mil Spec – DTL – 38741A for Halon 1211, ASTM D 5632 – 8 Type 1, ASTM D 5632 – 08 Type 2, ISO -7201 (1989) for halon 1301, and GOST standard for halon 2402. Pan Gulf provides our customers with full laboratory certificates.

Pan Gulf has formed a partnership with Reclamation Technologies International Company, RemTec. RemTec provides technical support to Pan Gulf.

4.2 Objective of activities undertaken by Pan Gulf

- 1. Destroy all Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS), meeting highest standards of the Montreal Protocol
- 2. Fulfill a United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) objective of providing ODS destruction services to Turkey and Western Asia and potentially the Western Asian Region and Africa.
- 3. Provide an incentive towards the collection of ODS
- 4. Provide co-financing to the overall project to enhance components such as training, certification and verification.
- 5. Provide technical assistance to the Government of Turkey.

Through the direct involvement and experience of the company in the area of ODS destruction, the following items will be undertaken to assist the Government of Turkey and UNIDO:

- > Destruction of ODS collected through this project.
- > Monitoring and Verification of ODS Destruction.
- Provide Carbon Market project management, including handling documentation, sales and other administrative as well as technical assistance.
- > Transportation of aggregated CFC to RemTec for destruction.

Provide technical assistance, including providing training workshops to be held in both facilities located in the USA and Saudi Arabia; the workshops will also cover health and environmental issues.

• Technical Support Component

The project will address not only the destruction as the only subject, because the environmental and economic benefit mainly depends on successful marketing of the recovered construction materials (steel, plastics, rubber, aluminum, glass, etc.). These activities will need to be supported through provision of a technical support component for ensuring that the collection of the high quantities of recovered material could be sold. This coincides with the priorities to develop recycling activities in Turkey.

Under the technical support component the following actions are planned:

a) Establish quality standards for the recovered construction materials using data and information from the de-manufacturing equipment supplier.

b) Conduct four workshops to ensure a high level of professional technical assistance in the fields of health and safety and for protection of the environment for technicians who are working in the collection of end-of-life refrigerator. The workshop goal is to ensure a high level of assistance in the fields of health and safety and for protection of the environment.

• Monitoring and verification of the destruction

In order to ensure that all the ODS are properly monitored and accounted for, Pan Gulf well develop a monitoring plan capturing all operations and reporting activities associated with this ODS destruction project. It will stipulate the frequency of data collection; a record keeping plan; the role of each individual involved in monitoring; quality control provisions to ensure that operations, data acquisition and ODS analyses are carried out consistently and with precision; and will develop data management systems and coordination of data between ODS aggregators, project developers, and destruction facilities.

4.3 Project Financing & Pan Gulf Co-Financing

The proposal envisaged that funding from the Multilateral Fund will cover the costs of destruction of the currently available ODS waste by exporting it for destruction to an accredited destruction facility in the United States. The demonstration project also foresees co-financing, to which Pan Gulf will undertake the following components and offer co-financing.

• ODS Collection Incentive – Co-Financing

Pan Gulf will provide an incentive for the collection of the ODS as described below.

| Lusie 21 Linutein meeninges progradu sy Lun Oun | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Substance | UNITS | Incentive amo | Incentive amount in US\$ | | | | | | |
| | | Pure | Non-Pure | | | | | | |
| CFC 11* | kg | \$ 1.5 | \$1 | | | | | | |
| CFC 12 | kg | \$ 2.5 | \$ 2 | | | | | | |
| Halon 1301 | | | \$ 1.5 | | | | | | |

Table 2: Financial incentives provided by Pan Gulf

5. Destruction of ODS

RemTec International's' processing systems and plasma arc technology provides the highest levels of Destruction and Removal Efficiency (DRE) levels of any of the technologies available. Dioxins and Furans are almost non detectable. The process can be regarded as safe, environmentally preferred and accepts 100% waste streams of all ODS including halons.

5.1 Facility Requirements

- RemTec International's state of the art processing and tracking systems for ODS
- Plasma Arc Destruction Equipment and Technology
- ARI Standards Certified Laboratory
- > Complete Tracking of the recovery, processing, testing, and destruction of the ODS
- Aggregation to qualify for Carbon Offsets
- Protocol to meet Third Party Verification

• RemTec International – Supporting Information

RemTec International has proven capability to develop and operate ODS processing and destruction systems and facilities. In the following the capabilities for processing and destroying ODS are documented:

RemTec International is headquartered in Bowling Green, Ohio, USA. The company designed and processed ODS for the National Halon Bank (NHB) in Australia. It was the original contractor chosen to recover and reclaim ODS at the NHB. RemTec also designed the Tank Management software and control system. It also completed the Make Safe Halon 1301 Program from 1996-2002 for the Common Wealth of Australia.

Facility, Storage Tank



The National Halon Bank in Australia was designed to recover, process and destroy ODS using RemTec's proprietary Cylinder Piercing Technology (CPT) and the Modular Automated Reclamation System (MARS) to recover and prepare the ODS for destruction. RemTec specifically developed Cylinder Piercing Technology (CPT) for this project.



The RemTec Defender Halon Recovery systems were used to collect ODS from larger cylinders.



RemTec utilizes a special forklift clamp attachment that will lift, rotate and invert ton and ¹/₂ ton size cylinders. This will facilitate the safe handling of cylinders within the factory.

Inside views of RemTec International facility in Bowling Green, OH





RemTec International is an industry leader in the recovery, reclaiming, separation and destruction of Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS) for several important reasons.

First, the company is committed to developing systems, which are efficient at processing ODSs with minimal loss to the atmosphere. Secondly, the processing systems are flexible. The equipment is designed to operate in stand-alone mode or together as part of our integrated ODS processing and destruction facility. The recovery and processing equipment also meets highest standards.



NHB Laboratory 1996-2002



Tank Farm Management – NHB Australia

In the following the steps RemTec takes in processing ODS are described. Additional information can be found under the following web-address: <u>www.remtec.net</u>





• Receiving and Inspection

Each cylinder containing ODS is immediately leak tested to ensure it does not emit any product to the atmosphere. It is weighed and a scale weight is recorded on a tag and this tag is also placed on the pallet. Each cylinder receives a tag to identify the source of the material with the receiving weight and other particulars of the cylinder. A log sheet is then prepared to list all cylinders received by serial number and total weight received.





• Recovery

Cylinder Piercing Technology (CPT) equipment is used to efficiently recover the ODS by piercing a hole in the cylinder and collecting the ODS without release of any material to the atmosphere. The ODS is collected into batches of approximately 2,000 pounds. After the cylinder reaches a vacuum, the weight of the empty cylinders is recorded to determine the amount of ODS collected from that cylinder. This information is logged and made part of the report of accountability.



• Analytical Testing

Each batch is tested. A sample is drawn from each cylinder and analyzed by the ARI Certified testing laboratory.





• PLASCON Services and Scope of Supply

A block diagram showing SRL Plasma's scope of supply is shown below:



• Worldwide Application of PLASCON

The PLASCON in-flight plasma-arc system was developed by the Australian Commonwealth Scientific Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) in association with SRL Plasma. The technology was designed to treat chemical manufacturing process wastes. The technology has been adapted to treat a wide range of halogenated organic waste worldwide since it was first commercialised in 1992.



5.2 Process of the Destruction

• PLASCON - A

The ODS waste will be destroyed in a destruction facility that is compliant with both the international standards specified in the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP) Report of the Task Force on Destruction Technologies and Code of Good Housekeeping. This project will focus exclusively on the destruction of waste CFCs, no CTC or halon will be involved in this pilot project.

• Electric ARC Plasmas

Plasma is an ionized gas consisting of molecules, atoms, ions and electrons. It differs from the normal gaseous state because it is electrically conducting. Plasma is often referred to as the fourth state of matter, since material passes from solid, to liquid, to gas and finally becomes plasma with increasing temperature. Gases become electrically conducting at temperatures in excess of 4,000°C and in most industrial plasmas temperatures greater than 10,000°C are attained. A plasma

column is generated by the passage of an electric current through a gaseous medium between a cathode and an anode.

Electric arc plasmas offer the advantage of very high temperature, high energy density and accurate, rapid control of the process; attributes which if correctly used, make the technology particularly relevant to waste destruction applications. Any organic molecule injected into the plasma decomposes instantaneously into its component atoms and ions due to the very high temperatures involved.

• PLASCON Generic Process Description

PLASCON is an in-flight argon plasma arc facility for the destruction of organic waste liquid and gases. PLASCON is especially useful for the destruction of concentrated organic halogenated compounds that other processes have difficulty in treating. Typical PLASCON feed stocks are CFCs, Halons, PCBs, organochlorine pesticides and herbicides, chlorinated solvents, sulphur hexafluoride, dioxins and other waste organic compounds.

The PLASCON process is designed to have a high destruction performance for a wide range of materials and to suppress any potential back reactions that would lead to undesirable by-products. This is particularly important when treating chlorinated organic wastes. Although the PLASCON process cannot directly treat solid wastes, the addition of suitable front-end technologies in combination with PLASCON allow for effective treatment of solid wastes. Front- end technologies that have proven successful for treating solids include thermal desorption and solvent extraction. Solid wastes must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to assess the suitability of the PLASCON process for a particular application.

The process inputs (*electricity, argon, oxygen or steam and sodium hydroxide*) are significantly less than those required for a comparably sized high temperature incinerator. Consequently, the process effluents are also substantially less. The liquid effluent is a low volume, concentrated inorganic salt solution suitable for discharge to an industrial sewer. The gaseous effluent consists of a mixture of argon, carbon gases and water vapor.

The critical conditions that PLASCON achieves are rapid heating of the waste (1 ms to about 3,000°C), pyrolysis (about 20 ms), followed by rapid quenching (from 1,500°C to less than 100°C in 2 ms). The essential components of the PLASCON process include:

- 1 Plasma generation and waste injection
- 2 Reaction chamber (flight tube)
- 3 Quench
- 4 Scrubbing system
- 5 Off Gases
- 6 Liquid Effluent
- 7 Destruction Efficiency
- 8 Power supply
- 9 Process control system



• Plasma Generation and Waste Injection

The plasma torch is of segmented design using argon as the plasma gas. The argon plasma is generated by a direct current discharge between a cathode and anode. At typical operating conditions the mean exit enthalpy of the plasma is about 11 MJ/kg at a mean exit temperature in excess of 10,000°C. The torch is rated at 150 kW and has an electrical efficiency of roughly 50%. Argon was chosen as the plasma gas since it has suitable thermodynamic properties, is monatomic and for its inertness to the torch components. A durable, long-life torch design is therefore possible which is crucial to any industrial application.

Waste enters the torch at a specially designed *Injection Manifold* and instantly mixes with the plasma. The mixture temperature at this point is approximately 3,000°C.

• Reaction Chamber (Flight Tube)

The waste is rapidly pyrolysed (degraded by heat) in the injection zone and the hot gases pass down the flight tube (a water-cooled reaction chamber) undergoing further pyrolysis. Virtually all intractable waste materials contain little or no oxygen and when pyrolysed will produce copious amounts of soot (carbon). Therefore, depending on the nature of the waste stream, oxygen or steam is added at the injection manifold to ensure that all carbon is converted to carbon gases. The hot plasma gases continue to cool to approximately 1000°C in the reaction chamber.

• Quench

The hot gas mixture at the bottom of the flight tube resulting from the decomposition of a halogenated organic waste stream is typically CO, CO₂, acid halide gases, Ar and water vapour,

together with trace amounts of carbon fines (less than 0.1% of the feed). The hot gases exiting the *Flight Tube* undergo rapid quenching to approximately 50° C by direct sprays of cool alkaline liquor. This rapid quenching prevents the formation of any undesired organic molecules such as Dibenzodioxins or Dibenzofurans.

• Scrubbing

The cool gases from the *Quench* are further sprayed with alkaline scrubber liquor to neutralise acid halide gases. The gas mixture is then passed to a counter-current, packed column where final traces acid gases are removed. A percentage of the carbon dioxide in the gas stream is also removed during this process.

• Off Gases

The composition of the off gas from the packed column is dependent on the nature of the waste stream being treated. Off gases resulting from the destruction of ODS gases (CFCs and Halons) can be discharged directly to atmosphere. The destruction of other chlorinated organic wastes results in an off gas mixture that contains levels of H_2 and CO. These gases are passed to a small ground flare that converts CO to CO₂ and H₂ to H₂O prior to atmospheric discharge.

• Liquid Effluent

Liquid effluent is an alkaline, near saturated aqueous solution of sodium halide salts. The solution also contains sodium carbonate and bicarbonate. The flow rate of the liquid effluent is generally $1.2 - 2.4 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$. By-products may be recoverable.

• Destruction Efficiency

The destruction efficiency of the PLASCON process is typically in excess of 99.9999%, exceeding all current and predicted international standards. PLASCON produces no detectable levels of dioxins or any other undesirable organic compounds

• Power Supply

The power supply has been designed to meet the needs of the PLASCON process. It is a 12 pulse, SCR based transformer/rectifier that converts a 415 V AC 3 phase power input into a current controlled DC output. The power supply is designed for an electrical efficiency of at least 95%, with provision for power factor correction from 150 kW to 200 kW. A specialised arc starting device initiates the arc between the cathode and the primary anode.

Process Control

PLASCON operation is controlled by a fully integrated, process control software system that monitors forty-nine process parameters on a continuous basis. Data is logged and stored for analysis, fault finding and to meet regulatory requirements. If set points are exceeded by a specified amount the entire system instantaneously shuts down. Under normal operating conditions a soft shut down routine is executed. In this mode the system shuts down in under one minute. Start-up from cold takes between two and three minutes.

No specialized skills are needed to operate the process. Once the elemental composition of the feed is known all the process parameters can be predetermined and the system then operates in the most efficient manner.

The electrical nature of PLASCON and the unit's rapid start up and shut down capability marry well with a sophisticated process control system. A small distributed process control (DCS) system is the usual control device, although PLASCON is flexible and can easily run on a PLC type controller. PLASCON is fully automatic and can be operated remotely and unmanned if so desired. All critical parameters are constantly monitored by the control system so that rapid shut down is automatically initiated if control is lost.

Less than 0.5 gram of waste is present in the flight tube at any instant so the probability of significant amounts of untreated waste entering the environment by accident is negligible. In this respect alone, PLASCON offers great safety advantages over conventional long residence time processes.

• Physical Dimensions

The skid mounted PLASCONTM plant is very compact, being the size of a standard shipping container. This makes the process easy to locate and relocate if the need arises.



The PLASCONTM skid itself includes all of the core PLASCONTM technology components such as the plasma torch, reaction chamber, quench, packed column, steam boiler where required, feed mass flow controller, heat exchangers, closed loop de-ionized water cooling systems and all associated instrumentation and electrical controls. The PLASCONTM power supply and control PC are the only core hardware items that are mounted off-skid.

For safety reasons $PLASCON^{TM}$ is normally mounted in a covered bounded area. The Power supply requires a small undercover area at least 3m * 3m * 2.4m, with adequate ventilation for heat removal.

6. Project implementation

6.1 Implementation structure and beneficiaries

The project proposes multi-dimensional and multi-level implementation model, in order to cover the largest range of stakeholders for a better coverage of activities, sustainability of the action and results, as well as increasing its impact in the relevant sector and the country.

6.1.1 Collection

• RAC sector and servicing companies, sector associations

In Turkey, more than 2.000 service shops registered to the ODS Monitoring database of the NOU, as well as more than 50 RAC manufacturing companies of different scale and product range, who are actively involved in the collection of all types of ODSs from the market and existing equipment containing refrigerants like CFCs, HCFCs and HFCs. The collection activities will be organized in cooperation with (but not limited to) the below listed institutions, considered as the beneficiaries of the project.

Metal Goods Craftsmen Federation (MESF)

MESF is an institution established to represent people and companies in ore extraction and metal-working industry. Throughout Turkey, MESF has 300 000 producer members. MESF provides assistance to its members and helps them improve their business. A country-wide communication platform has been created to improve cooperation between members of MESF, dealing with many aspects of general and specialized business issues. Among all the 33 job disciplines, MESF has the highest acceptance among workers.

According to the needs of today's world, MESF started to operate through online market in order to create electronic demand and supply. New developments are also expected to be pursued as the needs change.

Map: Spatial distribution of the recovery centers and local recovery units of MESF in TR



Recycling & Electronical Equipment Demanufacturing Facilities

• ULUSOY SDK International Co. and GEP Engineering Co. (SEG Umwelt Service GmbH partner)

Ulusoy Recycling and Waste Management established in 2009, has begun its operations within the body of Ulusoy International Investment Holding. It operates in the areas of directly packaging, disaggregation and selling of a portion of all kinds of scrap and waste material, including but not limited to iron, nonferrous metals, plastic, nylon, paper, cardboard and wood packages, waste batteries and accumulators, used waste tires, waste electrical and electronic goods and cards with their scraps, by bringing them to the field of Ulusoy Recycling and Waste Management.

Ulusoy Recycling and Waste Management provides support and service with a strong supply, marketing and distribution organization structure. The management team mainly composed of qualified engineers.

The business processes of Ulusoy Recycling and Waste Management works with Customer Relationship Management, Reporting and Warehouse Management supported by management information systems. The company renders service in several places in Turkey, with representations in Kocaeli.

Activities of the Company:

- Buying and selling all kind of scraps.
- Removal and transport operations of scraps from the field.
- Disposal of return products guaranteed.

• Disposal of products under control, which should not be released, and making them unusable.

• Delivery of environmentally harmful products to necessary destinations under the necessary rules and procedures.

As per the information provided by the company officers, there is an ongoing cooperation with the GEP Engineering Co, the representative of SEG Umwelt Service GmbH in Turkey, for the establishment of a fridge de-manufacturing and recycling facility, which is planned to have a capacity of 300.000 fridges/year.

• EXITCOM Co.

As the quantity of electric and electronic waste generated in Turkey has grown rapidly during the past years, Exitcom provides recycling services in the field of electric and electronic waste at plants in Germany and Turkey since 1999. Within the scope of WEEE, the facilities offer recycling services with high environmental orientation necessary to implement the legal requirements of WEEE and provide support in consulting, logistics, recycling certification, environmental technologies and reverse supply chain management. The company ascribes to a high environmental profile, safety issues, and high quality of services through certified ISO 14001, ISO 9001, and OHSAS 18001 management systems which apply to the entire operation. Adding to this, Recycling services are also offered business partners in Europe and the Middle East.

Exitcom runs large projects with international companies, as well as sets up co-operations on a regular basis; for example IBM, DELL, HP, XEROX, SAMSUNG, ALCATEL, SİEMENS, VODAFONE, FUJİTSU, TOSHİBA, TCHİBO, etc. An additional business operation is the collection and pre-sorting of batteries in Turkey (TAP – Portable Batteries and import dealers institute). In collaboration with TUBİTAK (T.S.T.R.I. / Turkish Scientific and Technical Research Institute) new recycling systems are set up with the objective to introduce new recycling technology to Turkey. Exitcom also established the first recycling plant for electric and electronicwaste in Turkey where used fluorescent lamps were processed. The underlying strategy of the company is to prevent recyclable materials going to landfill or incineration before recycling treatment. In a similar approach, a closed recycle system for Toner Cartridges was developed - before used products were simply sent to incineration. In the recycling process toner dust is separated, with a recovery rate of up to 98 %. A total 42 % of the recovered material is plastic, which can be used in other industrial production processes.

The enterprise also offers know-how to support the implementation of the WEEE directive in Turkey. It co-operates in projects with Turkey's largest electronic markets as ELECTRO WORLD MEDIA MARKT AND TEKNOSA, etc, and shares experiences gained in other European countries and collaborates closely with the Ministry of Environment. Exitcom also works for constitutions running collecting areas in municipalities like ISTANBUL, KOCAELİ, BURSA, IZMIR, SAKARYA, MERSIN, SAMSUN etc, and provides education programmes for schools, universities and other companies.

Other Relevant Institutions

Turkish Municipalities Union (TBB)

Established in 1945 in order to work in the field of municipality working; it operates been as an NGO.

The main roles of the Union are to:

- Train the employees of municipalities in terms of "e-municipalities", information technologies and knowledge management
- Present opinions when new laws for municipalities are prepared
- Improve the coordination and communication among all the municipalities as well as supporting technical and administrative knowledge exchange
- Pursue the developments in municipalities among the world and attend to conferences, seminars, discussions and technical trips which are related to them
- Provide printed publications such as books, magazines etc. which are related to municipalities
- Cooperate and work together with other organizations such as government organizations, NGOs, universities and design projects with them.
- Cooperate with international organizations who are working in the field of municipalities and being a member of international communities in related fields, building up relationships with other country municipalities
- In the procedures of European Union membership, supporting the country to develop local administration skills, build up Projects to work together with EU

6.1.2 Storage, registration and verification

Recovery and reclamation centers

• Turkish Halon Bank (ASO)

Turkey presented this National Programme to the Multilateral Fund in 1992 and as a result it got the right to benefit from the financial resources for projects. Through the World Bank, financial resources were provided for the Turkish Halon Bank Project. With the coordination of the Ministry of Environment and Forestry and Ankara Trade Center, this Halon Bank was established.

Halon Bank is responsible for:

- Recording of existing amounts of halons in Turkey
- Providing technical support for alternatives of halons

• Storing and testing halons and selling it to firms and organizations still allowed to use halons (critical uses)

ISISO

This company was founded in 1986 with the objective to help small companies and provide technical assistance. It operates in the region of İstanbul.

Apart from the main goal of establishment, which is to help the partners having their own businesses as developing their technical capabilities, other goals such as providing knowledge of modern production methods, enhancing the coordination between companies and improve their occupational knowledge have gained importance.

ESSIAD

The Association of the Aegean Industrialists and Businessmen of Refrigeration (ESSIAD) was founded by leading businessmen and enterprises of the HVAC-R sector (Heating, Ventilation, Air-conditioning and Refrigeration sector) in 1990. The main purpose of the Association is to keep the rights and the legal ethics of the people and companies in the HVAC-R sector, to support market improvement plans and deliver high quality products to the end-user. The association counts 103 members, from academics to leading regional companies. ESSIAD became the first sector association with ISO-9001:2000 Quality Management System Certificate.

In the following, the most important activities are summarised:

- Authorized ODS recovery and reclamation center in Aegean region.
- Conferences and meetings about CE Marking and similar rules of EU, arranging conferences with EU Business Development Centers and KOSGEB in order to train the members on getting maximum financial and technical support from EU.
- Studies for establishing permanent sector specific trainings and hence plan to increase the number of qualified personnel.

- Increasing Capacity for International Competitiveness of HVRAC Sector, to be funded by the Ministry of Economy of Turkey.
- Feasibility Study Project for an Accredited Test and Analysis Laboratory which is funded directly by Izmir Development Agency.
- Leonardo Da Vinci European Union Vocational Education and Training Mobility Program. ESSIAD signed the local partnership statement with Buca DMO Multi-programmed High School, who presented this project within "Researching the Hygiene and Mechanical Air-Conditioning Systems in Buildings". ESSIAD attends abroad visits within this content.
- ESSIAD issues a refereed journal under the name "Refrigeration World" four times a year.

6.1.3 Destruction and incineration

IZAYDAŞ

This company was founded in May 1996, established by Kocaeli Metropolitan Municipality, and it has been working in waste management since 1997.

IZAYDAŞ manages all types of waste including

- Clinical and hazardous waste incineration and energy production
- Waste storage and disposal
- Sterilization of medical waste
- Biogas center

The company runs the biggest incineration facility and is able to incinerate all types a waste dealt within this project. However, certification to operate on voluntary carbon markets has not yet been received. A certification in accordance with VCS is considered for the later phase of this project.

PAN GULF

Pan Gulf will provide disposal and incineration services involving voluntary carbon markets (CAR). See chapters 4 and 5.

6.1.4 Implementation structure

Proposed Implementation Structure 1. COLLECTION 2. VALIDATION-REGISTRATION **3. DESTRUCTION AND STOCKING IZAYDAŞ** Incineration Facilities **RAC Sector Companies – Consumer/Producer Responsibility Programmes/Campaigns** (National Authorized Institution) Ankara Chamber of Industry – T. Halon Bank (coordination) **RAC Sector Manufacturing Companies/Importers & their Associations** Izmir Recovery Center (ESSIAD) **CARBON FINANCE** Local Administrations / Turkish Union of Istanbul Recovery Center (ISISO) USA/Saudi Arabia (CAR/Pan Gulf) Municipalities (coordination) EU/Turkey (VCS) **Gebze OIZ - Potential Recycling Cluster Recycling and Scrap Companies** $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ √∕ Servicing companies **CO-FINANCING** Authorized local collection & recovery units (MESF Members) Contributions from Pan Gulf Co. **LEGISLATIVE ISSUES** (COMMITTED) National Funding Agencies (e.g. National Ozone Unit TTGV, KOSGEB, Development Agencies) (POTENTIAL) UNIDO/ UNEP **Relevant Public Inst.**

Figure 2: Draft chart for national implementation system



6.2 Sustainability of the business model

Based on the refrigerator manufacturing data of the country between 1992-2004, representing the period of the official years of CFC phase-out, an approximate total of 30.6 million refrigerators produced in the country out of which 15.1 million were sold in the domestic market, while 16.7 million exported, with a very small ratio of imports to the country. This represents an average amount of 1.16 million refrigerators sold per year in the domestic market (Table 3).

| | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Production | 1087 | 1247 | 1265 | 1637 | 1638 | 1850 | 1875 | 2139 | 2446 | 2483 | 3 319 | 4 286 | 5 308 |
| Domestic sales | 796 | 927 | 767 | 834 | 963 | 1230 | 1410 | 1258 | 1468 | 1018 | 1088 | 1362 | 2 004 |
| Export | 281 | 397 | 586 | 802 | 695 | 784 | 818 | 1046 | 1088 | 1530 | 2247 | 3035 | 3 014 |
| Export/Prod. (%) | 26 | 32 | 46 | 49 | 42 | 42 | 44 | 49 | 44 | 62 | 68 | 71 | 63 |

Table 3: Manufacturing, Domestic sales and Export Data for refrigerator production (x1000units) 1992-2004

Considering an average 20 years life span of refrigerators, it means 5% demand of the total amount annually. For 100% market penetration it means 15*0.05 = 0.75 million pieces as annual demand with annual domestic sales of more than 2 millions. Because of the average life span of 20 years and the phase out of CFC from the manufacturing at 2005, about half of the appliances running contain CFCs.

In 2011, 5% of this 15 million refrigerators running in Turkey calculated to reach the end of their life (0.75 million pieces), half of which (0.375 million) estimated to contain CFCs. Considering that one unit contains 0.10 kg of CFC-12 as a refrigerant and 0.5 kg of CFC 11 in the insulation foam of the fridge, as an average, altogether (375 000 * 0.60 kg =) 225 metric tons of CFCs will be calculated as an installed capacity which 37.5 t of it is the refrigerant (CFC 12) and 187.5 t CFC 11 in the foam insulation in the domestic refrigerators and freezers.

As a good estimate, also taking into account the recession of the past years, for 2011 only 2 million pieces is calculated in this project.

Till 2005, CFC 12 was used predominantly; therefore half of the running appliances, about 1 million domestic air conditioning units contain CFC 12. This results in an installed capacity of 1 million *1.5 kg/unit CFC content = 1500 t installed capacity.

The information provided by the private sector recycling and de-manufacturing companies included to the project beneficiaries list (the companies plan investments to improve existing plants for de-manufacture of used equipment), represent the below figures as a base for the assumptions on the total amount to be considered in the project:

| Assumptions: | |
|---|------------------------|
| Plant Input | 300,000 fridges/annum |
| Recovery of CFC-12 from cooling system | 130 g/fridge (average) |
| Recovery of CFC-11 from insulation foam | 320 g/fridge (average) |

These assumptions would result in annual ODP reduction potential of 30 ODP MT out of which CFC-12 will be approximately 27 ODP MT and CFC-11 will be 3 ODP MT.

7. Activities and project costs

The project has three main phases: "Collection", "Storage and Verification" and "Destruction / Incineration". The activities of these phases are indicated below.

Table 4: Implementation phases and activities

| Project Phase | Activities |
|------------------------------|---|
| COLLECTION | 1. Stage I CFC-12 recovery units with separation of oil and gas |
| | 2. Awareness raising |
| | 1. Carbon market project management (documentation, sale etc.) |
| STORAGE AND VERIFICATION | 2. Destruction of CFC-12 already collected by existing Energy Efficient Appliances Replacement Programs in Turkey |
| | 3. Incineration of halons |
| | 4. Monitoring and verification of ODS destruction |
| | 1. Three ISO containers (10000 litres) |
| DESTRUCTION/ INCINERATION | 2. Health, safety, environment training (technical workshop with international expert) |
| | 3. Transportation of aggregated CFC-12 to US destruction facility REMTEC |

7.1 Implementation schedule

The implementation schedule of the activities, for the two years of the project (MLF funded), will follow a strict time plan given in table 5.

| | | Months - Year 1 | | | | | Months - Year 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| Tasks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MLF approval and funding | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Financial appraisal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aggregation of already collected ODS at a central location (Ankara) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transportation of already collected ODSs to the USA * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Destruction of ODSs * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CAR project development * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Monitoring of ODS destruction according to the CAR * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Verification of issuance of carbon credits * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adaptation of legislation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercialization of carbon credits * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Workshops (4) * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equipment specification | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equipment procurement | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project monitoring | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project monitoring milestones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 5: Implementation schedule 2 years (MLF funded)

* Tasks undertaken by Pan Gulf

7.2 Project costs

The following table gives an overview of the activities requested to be funded by the MLF. The co-financing component by Pan Gulf is indicated where it is relevant.

Table 6: The following table gives an overview of the total costs, co-financing component and phase(s) of the activities.

| Item | Activity | MLF Funding | Co-Financing Pan Gulf | Project Phase | Activities to |
|------|--|----------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| | | Tunung | i un oun | | undertaken by Pan Gulf |
| 1 | Stage I CFC-12 recovery units with separation of oil and gas | 185,000 | | COLLECTION | |
| 2 | Three ISO containers (10000 litres) | 60,000 | | DESTRUCTION/ INCINERATION (STOCK AND VERIFICATION) | |
| 3 | Carbon market project management (Documentation, Sale etc.) | 125,000 | | STOCK AND VERIFICATION | XX |
| 4 | Health, safety, environment training (Technical workshop with international expert) | 65,000 | In kind contribution (workshops, trainers, experts): USD 150,000* 1. 1 Workshop in a destruction facility in the USA hosting 10 participants; 2. 1 workshop in Saudi Arabia for max 50 participants; 3. 2 workshops in Turkey for max 150 participants. *This contribution does not cover the travel and | DESTRUCTION/ INCINERATION & STOCK AND VERIFICATION | XX |

| | | | accommodation | | |
|----|----------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| | | | costs. | | |
| 5 | Awaranass raising | 50,000 | | COLLECTION | |
| 5 | Awareness faising | 50,000 | | COLLECTION | |
| 6 | Destruction of | 440,000 | Incentive | STOCK AND | XX |
| | CFC-12 already | | program for | VERIFICATION | |
| | collected by | | CFC-11 & CFC- | | |
| | existing energy | | 12, as indicated | | |
| | efficient appliances | | in table 2 | | |
| | replacement | | | | |
| | Turkey | | | | |
| 7 | Incineration of | 225,000 | Incentive | STOCK AND | |
| | halons | | program for | VERIFICATION | |
| | | | halons as | | |
| | | | indicated in | | |
| | | 100.000 | table 2 | | |
| 8 | Monitoring and | 100,000 | | STOCK AND | XX |
| | ODS destruction | | | VERIFICATION (DESTRUCTION/ | |
| | OD5 destruction | | | (DESTRUCTION/ INCINERATION) | |
| 9 | Transportation of | 50,000 | | COLLECTION | |
| | dispersed ODS | | | | |
| | within Turkey to | | | | |
| | centralized facility | | | | |
| | to aggregate in ISO | | | | |
| | containers for | | | | |
| 10 | Transport to the US | 150,000 | | DESTRUCTION | XX |
| 10 | aggregated CFC- | 150,000 | | INCINERATION | 7171 |
| | 12 to US | | | | |
| | destruction facility | | | | |
| | RemTec | | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 1,450,000 | | | |
| | Contigencies (10% | 133,500 | | | |
| | all items except 4 | | | | |
| | and 5) | 1 500 500 | | | |
| | IUIAL | 1,583,500 | | | |
| | | | 1 | | |

Annex: Linkages between Montreal Protocol and the Carbon Market(s)

1. Overview of GHG Markets

By implementing the **Kyoto Protocol** and its flexible mechanisms (article 6 Joint Implementation = **JI**, article 12 Clean Development Mechanism = **CDM** and article 17 International Emissions Trading = **IET**) for the first time a market for greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions was established³. While JI/CDM are project based mechanisms (**crediting system**, meaning that credits are only issued after emissions have been reduced) the IET is classified as a **cap and trade system** (where a central authority issues "allowances" which can be sold and bought immediately after issuance; emission reductions are occurring if the central authority issues less allowances than required by market participants under business as usual scenarios)

Additionally to the carbon market created by the Kyoto Protocol several countries or **regional initiatives have established (compliance) emission trading systems** (e.g. EU ETS, New Zealand etc.) including energy intensive corporations (primarily power companies and heavy industry). Units traded in those systems are usually similar in their nature (presenting 1 ton of CO2e) and structure (allowances allocated through an authority versus carbon credits/offsets from specific projects). However they often differ in their requirements for quality and project categories⁴.

Info box 1 provides an overview of the most common carbon markets and units traded:

Units defined by the Kyoto Protocol:

- Assigned Amount Units (AAUs)
- Certified Emission Reductions (CERs)
- Emission Reduction Units (ERUs)
- Removal Units (RMUs)

• Units defined by EU and national legislation:

- EU Allowances
- UK Allowances and Credits
- Australian Abatement Certificates and Sequestration Rights
- US SOx and NOx Allowances, Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiatives
- Other

• Units defined by contracts and non governmental regulated standards:

- Verified Emission Reductions (VERs)

³ Often also referred to as carbon market since the general unit traded is 1 ton of CO2-equivalents (other types of GHG emissions such as CH4 or HFC-23 are converted into 1 ton of CO2e; E.G. 1 ton HFC equals 11700 tons of CO2e)

⁴ E.G. The EU recently stopped the inflow of carbon credits from HFC-23 and N2O (from adipic acid production) CDM projects by May 2013, while New Zealand may still allow them (but is also discussing a restriction)

Besides the so called "**compliance markets**" a market for verified or voluntary emission reductions units⁵ has developed over the past few years. The "**voluntary market**" defines its units through contracts and non-governmental regulated standards (see footnote below for examples). VERs are mainly bought by private persons (to offset their carbon footprint) or companies not covered by any compliance regime in their Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) programs. This said it is natural that VERs usually achieve lower prices than units traded in compliance carbon markets⁶.

Table shows the dominant role of the EU ETS in the global arena with a market value in 2009 of 118,474 Mio USD, but even the market for voluntary emission reductions has a volume of 419 resp. 338 Mio USD in 2008 and 2009.

| | 20 | 08 | 2009 | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Volume (MtCO ₂ e) | Value (US\$ million) | Volume (MtCO ₂ e) | Value (US\$ million) | | | |
| | | Allowances Markets | | | | | |
| EU ETS | 3,093 100,526 6,3 | | 6,326 | 118,474 | | | |
| NSW | 31 | 183 | 34 | 117 | | | |
| ссх | 69 | 309 | 41 | 50 | | | |
| RGGI | 62 | 198 | 805 | 2,179 | | | |
| AAUs | 23 | 276 | 155 | 2,003 | | | |
| Subtotal | 3,278 | 101,492 | 7,362 | 122,822 | | | |
| | Spo | t & Secondary Kyoto of | fsets | | | | |
| Subtotal | 1,072 | 26,277 | 1,055 | 17,543 | | | |
| | P | roject-based Transactio | ns | | | | |
| Primary CDM | 404 | 6,511 | 211 | 2,678 | | | |
| JI | 25 | 367 | 26 | 354 | | | |
| Voluntary market | 57 | 419 | 46 | 338 | | | |
| Subtotal | 486 | 7,297 | 283 | 3,370 | | | |
| Total | 4,836 | 135,066 | 8,700 | 143,735 | | | |

Table 7: Overview of Carbon Markets (Source: World Bank)

Subtotals and totals may not exactly add up because of rounding.

The following table shows prices of different kind of VERs. While **CRTs** achieved prices of <u>USD</u> 8.8 and 7.1 in 2008 and 2009, **VCUs** could only be sold at prices of USD 5.5 and 4.6 respectively. This difference in prices can mostly be explained by perceptions of market participants that the inclusion of CAR into any compliance emissions trading in the United States would be more probable in the future than the inclusion of VCS projects.

Voluntary Carbon Units (VCUs) are traded under Climate Action Reserve (CAR) Climate Reserve Tons (CRT). ⁶ This is not always true, in certain cases prices of units traded in compliance markets have gone virtually to zero if there is high oversupply and if such units cannot be traded on other markets (e.g. EU ETS in 2007)

⁵ In general Verified Emission Reductions (VERs) but specifically in the Voluntary Carbon Standard (VCS)

Table 8: Overview of the North American Carbon Market (Source: World Bank)

| TABLE 2 North American carbon market - traded volumes and values, 2008-09 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Average Pric | e (US\$/tCO₂e) | Volume | (MtCO₂e) | Value (million US\$) | | | | |
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | | | |
| RGGI (Allowances) ⁺ | 3.9 | 3.3 | 61.9 | 805.2 | 198.2 | 2,178.6 | | | |
| Alberta (Offsets/EPCs) | 10.0 | 13.5* | 3.4 | 4.5 | 33.5 | 60.8 | | | |
| CCX (CFIs) | 4.4 | 1.2 | 69.2 | 41.4 | 306.7 | 49.8 | | | |
| Voluntary Offset Market | 6.8 | 4.9 | 15.4 | 29.0 | 104.1 | 143.4 | | | |
| of which CAR | 8.8 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 14.9 | 46.6 | 104.5 | | | |
| of which CCX | 4.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 5.9 | | | |
| of which VCS | 5.5 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 15.2 | | | |
| of which ACR | 3.8 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 16.3 | 6.1 | | | |
| of which Other | 8.5 | 7.3 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 28.1 | 11.7 | | | |
| Total market | | | 149.9 | 880.1 | 642.5 | 2,432.5 | | | |
| Courses Disambara New France, Finance, France | tom Unristalace Nators | t DCCI includes quarterly | auchian finance # Alberts | neira is an actimate | | | | | |

2. **Relation of GHG Markets to the Montreal Protocol (MP)**

Many chemicals used as refrigerants and blowing agents not only are depleting the ozone layer (Ozone Depleting Substances = ODS) but are also having a significantly adverse effect on the global climate (Greenhouse Gases =GHGs). While the MP regulates consumption and production of ODS (not disposal) the KP regulates emissions not covered by the MP (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, HFCs, PFCs. SF₆).

Since most ODS are not included in the "Kyoto basket" of gases reductions of such ODS cannot (yet) be structured as CDM or JI projects (Please see info box 2 below for details)

Paragraph 44 of the Modalities and Procedures for the CDM requires that a baseline shall cover emissions from all gases, sectors and source categories listed in Annex A of the Kyoto Protocol within the project boundary.

Paragraph 17 of EB 34 provides guidance on project/leakage emissions of GHGs as defined in paragraph 1 of the Convention but not included in Annex A of the Kyoto Protocol such as GHG gases (e.g., CFCs, HCFCs) covered under the Montreal Protocol.

Hence, claiming emission reductions associated with the replacement of CFC or HCFC refrigerants and blowing agents with no-ODP and low-GWP gases is not eligible in accordance to CDM modalities and procedures.

Paragraph 17 of EB 34:

17. With reference to a proposed methodology, the Board considered the analysis of implication of different options proposed by the Meth Panel with regard to accounting emissions of GHGs and also implications on gases covered under the Montreal Protocol.

The Board agreed that:

(a) The project boundary shall encompass all anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases, as defined in paragraph 1 of the Convention but not included in Annex A of the Kyoto Protocol, under the control of the project participants that are significant and reasonably attributable to the CDM project activity.

(b) The leakage emissions from greenhouse gases, as defined in paragraph 1 of the Convention but not included in Annex A of the Kyoto Protocol, should be accounted, if the CDM project activity results in an increase of such emissions.

(c) The global warming potentials used to calculate the carbon dioxide equivalence of anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases not listed in Annex A, shall be those accepted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change in its third assessment report

While the international Kyoto based market cannot uptake any emission reductions generated through the destruction of ODS some voluntary standards as well as regional compliance markets have begun to recognize ODS destruction as a highly verifiable source of GHG reduction credits.

In 2007 the Chicago CCX Offset Project Protocol: Ozone Depleting Substances Destruction

On January 25th 2010 the Voluntary Carbon Standard <u>www.v-c-s.org</u> *Extension of Scope to Include Ozone-Depleting Substances* and on May 3rd 2010 the first methodology proposal "Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions By Recovering and Destroying Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS) from Products" was submitted which is currently assessed

On February 3rd 2010 Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol Destruction of Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1.0 as well as U.S. Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol Destruction of U.S. Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1.0 was accepted by the board.

On December 16th 2010 the California Air Resources Board endorsed the cap-and-trade regulation, marking a significant milestone toward reducing California's greenhouse gas emissions under its AB 32 law. Included in the regulation are four protocols, or systems of rules, covering carbon accounting rules for offset credits in forestry management, urban forestry, dairy methane digesters, *and the destruction of existing banks of ozone-depleting substances in the U.S.* (mostly in the form of refrigerants in older refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment).

As of January 2011, 9 ODS destruction projects are registered under the Climate Action Reserve and around 2.5 mio CRTs have been generated. These developments impressively show the increasing importance of ODS destruction projects as GHG mitigation measure and the relevance of the carbon market to incentives destruction activities.

3. Possible Ways of the Utilization of the Carbon Market: Comparison between CAR and VCS

According to decision XX/7 adopted by the Parties to the Montreal Protocol the project is using the carbon market to leverage seed funding from the MLF. The first analysis of Annex I explains in detail which carbon markets resp. regulations/standards accept the creation of carbon credits through the destruction of ODS. It also shows that the Climate Action Reserve

and the Voluntary Carbon Standard are the two main possibilities, which currently provide the most suitable methodologies to calculate, monitor and verify emission reductions achieved through the destruction of ODSs from Article 5 countries. The country should be completely aware of the working mechanism, conditions and possible consequences of selecting one or the other scheme, since it has important long term effects.

Main Characteristics

The two main structural differences between CAR and VCS are the regulations regarding the location of the destruction and the sort of ODSs eligible for destruction.

Location of the destruction:

CAR requires destruction in the United States, while VCS allows destruction in the project's host country.

Sort of ODSs eligible for destruction:

CAR covers the destruction of refrigerant gases only (CFC-12 in the project case) in Article 5 countries. VCS also covers the destruction of CFC-11 contained in foams.

Considering Advantages and Disadvantages

As mentioned earlier, any of the carbon market schemes can be applicable for the present project under appropriate considerations, however it is essential to take into account the disadvantages of the schemes just as much as the advantages.

Location of the destruction:

CAR disposes upon destruction (exclusively) in the United States. This obviously takes the burden of destruction off the stakeholder country in terms of logistics, necessary investments at the incineration facilities, etc. However, the cost of ODS transportation to the United States obviously represents a massive expenditure.

The following table shows the destruction facilities used by all ODS destruction projects registered under the CAR:

| Destruction facilities used for ODS destruction projects under the CAR | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Facility | Location | | | | | | | |
| Clean Harbors El Dorado | Arkansas, U.S. | | | | | | | |
| RemTec International Plascon Arc Plasma | Obio US | | | | | | | |
| Destruction facility | 0110, 0.5 | | | | | | | |
| Veolia Environmental Services | Texas, U.S. | | | | | | | |

VCS allows destruction in the project's host country, which may raise more challenges in the beginning. However, in the long-term the development of national capacities should pay off.

Sort of ODSs eligible for destruction:

CAR covers the destruction of CFC-12 only; it does not offer any solutions for CFC-11 contained in foams.

Under VCS there is no such problem or limitation.

Apart from the above it should be also mentioned that there is no experience worldwide on ODS destruction projects under VCS mechanism, while CAR has already been used for that purpose.

Revenues from the Carbon Markets

Another very important viewpoint is the amount of the expected revenues from the carbon market. As it can be seen in the table below, CAR has offered higher prices then VCS, even during the decreasing tendencies of 2010.

US offset prices 2010

 Table 9: Overview Market Prices per VER

| | 2010 | CAR | VCS |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Average mid-market price, | 1st Q | \$4.6 | |
| US\$/tCO2e | 2nd Q | \$3.3 | \$2.8 |
| | 3rd Q | \$3.5 | \$3.1 |
| | 4th Q | \$2.9 | \$1.4 |

Carbon Market Analyst-North America, Point Carbon Research, March, 2010

It should be noted that CAR prices have to be considered always together with the transportation cost to the United States, as mentioned above.

The following table sums up what the two schemes would mean to Turkey, taking into consideration all the pros and contras.

| CAR | VCS |
|---|--|
| Destruction out of Turkey. | Destruction in Turkey. |
| Local capacities are not involved. | Local capacities are involved. |
| Higher transportation costs to US. | Local transportation costs. |
| Destruction of only CFC-12. | Destruction of CFC-12 and CFC-11. |
| Higher market prices. | Lower market prices. |
| Experience in ODS destruction projects. | No experience in ODS destruction projects. |

Which carbon market schemes to choose?

Being aware already of all the most important points that should be considered when making a decision, the two most convenient options are presented below in details.

Option 1: VCS – Using Local Resources

Using VCS means using and continuously improving local resources and capacities. This option would offer a solid, calculable plan for the whole length of the project. It would

bring benefits such as the implementation of state of the art recovery and collection technologies, which could be also considered as preparation for EU accession, continuous tracking of ODS movement within the country and many other factors like replacement of old equipment implying improvement of energy efficiency, etc.

> Option 2: CAR and VCS – A Combined Solution

This option divides the destruction project into two phases:

The first phase, the first 2 years of the project, would use exclusively CAR. Thanks to the higher market prices of CAR, the income of the destruction would be considerable and could be used in Turkey for the preparation to the second phase of the project and to miscellaneous local investments, e.g. conversions at the companies.

The second phase, the additional years in the future, would then use the combination of CAR and VCS. In this way, under VCS, the destruction of CFC-11 could also happen.

In the first 2 years the project will destroy all the CFC-12, which had already been collected from various sources in Turkey. The collected CFC-12 will be incinerated in an eligible destruction facility in the United States as required by the CAR Protocol Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol Destruction of Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1.0 (see http://www.climateactionreserve.org/) (Additionally during this start up phase an effective legislative system for ODS-containing appliances can be established.) (The MLF shall fund the transportation/storage and destruction activities of the first 2 years, costs of changing legislation will be covered by income from sale of carbon credits in the 1st year.)

The financial benefit gained during the first two years of the project through the carbon market, can be used to incentivize further ODS waste management and destruction activities in the future, which will lead to further destruction of CFC-11 and CFC-12. It is essential to point out that in this phase of the project there can not be any funding requested from the MLF.

Annex I: ODS Destruction Project in Turkey

Revised Project Costs after discussion with the Multilateral Fund Secretariat

| Item | Activity | MLF Funding | Co-Financing Pan Gulf | Activities to be undertaken by Pan Gulf |
|------|--|----------------|---|---|
| 1 | Three ISO containers (10000 litres) | 60,000 | | |
| 2 | Carbon market project management (Documentation, Sale etc.) | 100,000 | | XX |
| 3 | Health, safety, environment training (Technical workshop with international expert) | 30,000 | In kind contribution (workshops, trainers, experts): USD 150,000* 1. 1 Workshop in a destruction facility in the USA hosting 10 participants; 2. 1 workshop in Saudi Arabia for max 50 participants; 3. 2 workshops in Turkey for max 150 participants. *This contribution does not cover the travel and accommodation costs. | XX |
| 4 | Destruction of CFC-12 already collected by existing energy efficient appliances replacement programs in Turkey | 550,000 | Incentive program for CFC-11 & CFC-12, as indicated in table 2 | XX |
| 5 | Monitoring and verification of ODS destruction | 85,000 | | XX |

| 6 | Transportation of dispersed ODS within Turkey to centralized facility to aggregate in ISO containers for transport to the US | 50,000 | |
|---|---|-----------|----|
| 7 | Transportation of aggregated CFC-12 to US destruction facility RemTec | 150,000 | XX |
| | SUBTOTAL | 1,025,000 | |
| | Contingency (5% all items except Activity 3) | 51,250 | |
| | TOTAL | 1,076,250 | |

Annex II :

| Revised amounts | of ODS | to be | included | in | the | project |
|------------------------|--------|-------|----------|----|-----|---------|
|------------------------|--------|-------|----------|----|-----|---------|

| Source of collection | Quantity | |
|--|----------|--|
| | CFC-12 | |
| ASO Recovery Center (Turkish Halon Bank- | 0,62 MT | |
| TUHAB) | | |
| Metal Goods Craftsmen Federation (MESF) | 5,1 MT | |
| Service Shops (members of MESF) | 3 MT | |
| ESSIAD | 20 MT | |
| Other sources (reported by servicing | 75 MT | |
| companies for refrigeration and air- | | |
| conditioning equipment, responsibility | | |
| programmes,etc) | | |