



**United Nations  
Environment  
Programme**

Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
13 January 2012



ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF  
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
Sixty-fifth Meeting  
Bali, Indonesia, 13-17 November 2011

**Corrigendum**

**REPORT OF THE SIXTY-FIFTH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Paragraph 26**

*Replace sub-paragraphs (a)(iii), (a)(v) and (a)(vii) with:*

- (iii) That the net level of support costs being returned by the implementing agencies to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting was US \$76,196 against projects, which included the return of US \$19,121 from UNDP, US \$1,416 from UNIDO and US \$55,659 from the World Bank;
- (v) That bilateral agencies had balances totalling US \$147,297 excluding support cost noting that Italy is no longer returning the balance of US \$7,957 against the project in Serbia (YUG/SOL/56/INV/33) to the 65<sup>th</sup> Executive Committee meeting further to a verbal update provided at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting.
- (vii) The return of the remaining balance of US \$64,471 in project costs and US \$4,835 in programme support costs for phase VII of the institutional strengthening project for the Philippines (PHI/SEV/60/INS/89) for the transfer of the project from the World Bank to UNEP, in accordance with decision 64/25.

**Paragraph 168(d)**

*Replace 1.1 with 6.79.*

**Paragraphs 157 and 174**

*Add* sub-paragraph (d)(bis) as follows:

(d)(bis) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption;

**Paragraph 174(c)**

*Replace* the figure 45.81 *with* 57.86.

**Annex IV:**

*Replace* Annex IV *with* the attached.

**Annexes XIII, XV and XVII**

*Add* the following text before the end of paragraph 1:

with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data, with the funding to be adjusted accordingly, as per decision 60/44.

**Annex XV**

*Replace* 5.49 *with* 5.47 in paragraph 1.

*Replace* rows 1.1, 1.2 and 4.1.1 to 4.1.3 of Appendix 2-A *with* the following:

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	N/A	N/A	8.41	8.41	7.57	7.57	7.57	7.57	7.57	5.47	N/A
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	N/A	N/A	8.41	8.41	7.57	7.57	7.57	7.57	7.57	5.47	N/A
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											2.90
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											-
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											5.47

**Annex XXI**

*Replace* 48.12 *with* 50.86 in paragraph 1.

*Replace* 48.12 *with* 50.86 in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A.

**Annex XXVI**

*Add* 4.3.3 after 4.2.3 in paragraph 2.

Replace the table in Appendix 1-A with the following:

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	54.19
HCFC-141b	C	I	28.79
Subtotal			82.98
HCFC-141b* in imported pre blended polyols			11.31
Total			94.29

\*average of 2007-2009 in eligible companies

Replace the year 2009 in the box of the first row of Appendix 2-A with 2010.

#### Annex XXVII

Replace 515.18 in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A with 515.76.

#### Annex XXIX

Replace 28.31 with 28.32 in paragraph 1.

Replace rows 4.1.1 to 4.3.3 of Appendix 2-A with the following:

4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	3.79
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)	25.78
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	1.11
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	0
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)	0
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	0.79
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	0
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)	0

#### Annexes XXX and XXXI

Add the following text before the end of paragraph 1:

with the understanding that this figure is to revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data.

-----

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>ANGOLA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP		\$86,222	\$7,760	\$93,982	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 15.95 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 19.25 ODP tonnes and 12.65 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 1.59 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Angola</b>			<b>\$86,222</b>	<b>\$7,760</b>	<b>\$93,982</b>	
<b>ARGENTINA</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities in the foam sector (additional funding)	IBRD		\$120,000	\$9,000	\$129,000	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension for institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$311,567	\$23,368	\$334,935	
<b>Total for Argentina</b>			<b>\$431,567</b>	<b>\$32,368</b>	<b>\$463,935</b>	
<b>BAHAMAS</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$18,200	\$2,366	\$20,566	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 4.81 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 3.50 ODP tonnes and 6.13 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 1.68 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 4.81 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 3.50 ODP tonnes and 6.13 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 1.68 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>	UNIDO		\$105,128	\$9,462	\$114,590	
	<b>Total for Bahamas</b>		<b>\$123,328</b>	<b>\$11,828</b>	<b>\$135,156</b>	

## BANGLADESH

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNDP	0.6	\$55,000	\$4,125	\$59,125	
---	------	-----	----------	---------	----------	--

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to meet the 30 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 72.65 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 67.75 ODP tonnes and 77.56 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. Noted that the project to phase out 20.20 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used for polyurethane foam insulation in the manufacture of domestic refrigerators at Walton Industries, had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. To further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Bangladesh from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 20.20 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 62nd meeting, and UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to further deduct 4.33 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.*

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP		\$230,000	\$29,900	\$259,900	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to meet the 30 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 72.65 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 67.75 ODP tonnes and 77.56 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. Noted that the project to phase out 20.20 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used for polyurethane foam insulation in the manufacture of domestic refrigerators at Walton Industries, had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. To further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Bangladesh from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 20.20 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 62nd meeting, and UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to further deduct 4.33 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Bangladesh</b>		<b>0.6</b>	<b>\$285,000</b>	<b>\$34,025</b>	<b>\$319,025</b>	
<b>BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase III: 3/2012-2/2014)	UNIDO		\$95,333	\$7,150	\$102,483	
<b>Total for Bosnia and Herzegovina</b>			<b>\$95,333</b>	<b>\$7,150</b>	<b>\$102,483</b>	
<b>BURUNDI</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$45,000	\$5,850	\$50,850	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 7.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 6.90 ODP tonnes and 7.40 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 2.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 7.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 6.90 ODP tonnes and 7.40 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 2.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNIDO	0.4	\$80,000	\$7,200	\$87,200	
	<b>Total for Burundi</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>\$125,000</b>	<b>\$13,050</b>	<b>\$138,050</b>	
<b>CAMEROON</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNEP		\$139,532	\$0	\$139,532	
	<b>Total for Cameroon</b>		<b>\$139,532</b>		<b>\$139,532</b>	
<b>CHAD</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
	<b>Total for Chad</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>CHINA</b>						
<b>SOLVENT</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
<p>Sector plan for phase-out of HCFCs in the solvent sector (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement, which replaced the previous one approved at the 64th meeting in decision 64/49, between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update the Agreement, including Appendix 2-A, with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 65.9 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNDP	33.0	\$2,500,000	\$187,500	\$2,687,500	8.35
	<b>Total for China</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>	<b>\$187,500</b>	<b>\$2,687,500</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>COSTA RICA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$140,513	\$10,538	\$151,051	
<b>Total for Costa Rica</b>			<b>\$140,513</b>	<b>\$10,538</b>	<b>\$151,051</b>	
<b>CUBA</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in formulated polyols in the foam sector)	UNDP	13.4	\$650,000	\$48,750	\$698,750	9.79
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US \$1,187,527 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 16.88 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.70 ODP tonnes and 22.07 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 30.23 ODP tonnes. Noted that during implementation of stage I of the HPMP the Government of Cuba could submit an investment project to phase-out 1.32 ODP tonnes of HCFC-22 used by the company Frioclima to manufacture refrigeration and air conditioning equipment. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						



# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP	1.7	\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US \$1,187,527 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 16.88 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.70 ODP tonnes and 22.07 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 30.23 ODP tonnes. Noted that during implementation of stage I of the HPMP the Government of Cuba could submit an investment project to phase-out 1.32 ODP tonnes of HCFC-22 used by the company Frioclima to manufacture refrigeration and air conditioning equipment. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 5.91 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$149,066	\$11,180	\$160,246	
	<b>Total for Cuba</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>\$899,066</b>	<b>\$67,430</b>	<b>\$966,496</b>	
<b>DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector and monitoring)	UNDP	0.6	\$336,532	\$25,240	\$361,772	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 51.20 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 48.49 ODP tonnes and 53.92 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 19.51 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 70.71 ODP tonnes. Noted that the project to phase out 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used in the polyurethane rigid insulation foam for the manufacture of commercial refrigerators at FARCO, had already been approved at the 61st meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2016. Noted the deduction of 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 61st meeting, and UNDP and the Government were requested to further deduct 7.63 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 51.20 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 48.49 ODP tonnes and 53.92 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 19.51 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 70.71 ODP tonnes. Noted that stage I of the HPMP also covered US\$357,733 for an already approved HCFC phase-out project at the 61st Meeting (FARCO), and the deduction of 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2016. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to further deduct 7.63 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>	UNEP		\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250	4.50
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (sector phase-out for the use of HCFCs in the foam manufacturing sector)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 51.20 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 48.49 ODP tonnes and 53.92 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 19.51 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 70.71 ODP tonnes. Noted that that the project to phase out 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used in the polyurethane rigid insulation foam for the manufacture of commercial refrigerators at FARCO, had already been approved at the 61st meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2016. Noted the deduction of 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 61st meeting, and UNDP and the Government were requested to further deduct 15.77 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>	UNDP	8.2	\$343,468	\$25,760	\$369,228	4.64
<b>Total for Dominican Republic</b>		<b>8.8</b>	<b>\$705,000</b>	<b>\$54,250</b>	<b>\$759,250</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>ECUADOR</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (conversion of the foam manufacturing by Indurama)	UNIDO	15.0	\$1,331,440	\$99,858	\$1,431,298	9.79
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$630,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US\$1,331,440 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 14.96 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Funding would be disbursed only upon receipt by the Secretariat of confirmation that an adequate and operational licensing system was in place. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 17.49 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 20.65 ODP tonnes and 14.32 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 38.16 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 14.96 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
Technical assistance to eliminate the remaining consumption of methyl bromide to be in compliance with the total phase-out	UNIDO	40.8	\$317,500	\$23,813	\$341,313	
<p><i>Approved on the understanding that no additional funding will be provided for Ecuador for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide in the country.</i></p>						
Technical assistance to eliminate the remaining consumption of methyl bromide to be in compliance with the total phase-out	UNEP		\$12,500	\$1,625	\$14,125	
<p><i>Approved on the understanding that no additional funding will be provided for Ecuador for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide in the country.</i></p>						

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO		\$200,500	\$15,038	\$215,538	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$630,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US \$1,331,440 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 14.96 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Funding would be disbursed only upon receipt by the Secretariat of confirmation that an adequate and operational licensing system was in place. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 17.49 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 20.65 ODP tonnes and 14.32 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 38.16 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 6.12 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$630,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US\$1,331,440 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 14.96 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Funding would be disbursed only upon receipt by the Secretariat of confirmation that an adequate and operational licensing system was in place. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 17.49 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 20.65 ODP tonnes and 14.32 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 38.16 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 6.12 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Ecuador</b>		<b>55.8</b>	<b>\$1,891,940</b>	<b>\$144,234</b>	<b>\$2,036,174</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>EGYPT</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Rigid (insulation refrigeration)</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (phase-out of HCFC-141b in the manufacture of insulation foam for refrigeration equipment at Kiriazi Refrigerators Factory)	UNIDO	9.0	\$374,395	\$28,080	\$402,475	4.55
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 25 per cent of the baseline. Noted that it was agreed to establish as the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 386.27 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 396.60 ODP tonnes and 375.93 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 98.34 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 484.61 ODP tonnes. Noted that two foam projects to phase out 17.6 ODP tonnes of HCFC 141b had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. UNIDO and the Government were requested to further deduct 13.64 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment by the Government: to introduce an HCFC import quota system, including HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols and all HCFC refrigerant blends by 1 January 2013; to ban imports of HCFC-141b pre-blended polyols by 1 January 2018; and to ban imports of pure HCFC-141b once all eligible enterprises using HCFC-141b were converted to non HCFC-technology. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Egypt from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (phase-out of HCFC-141b in the polyurethane foam sector)	UNDP	42.1	\$2,000,000	\$150,000	\$2,150,000	5.23
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 25 per cent of the baseline. Noted that it was agreed to establish as the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 386.27 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 396.60 ODP tonnes and 375.93 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 98.34 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 484.61 ODP tonnes. Noted that four foam projects to phase out 37.4 ODP tonnes of HCFC 141b had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. UNDP and the Government were requested to further deduct 99.23 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment by the Government: to introduce an HCFC import quota system, including HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols and all HCFC refrigerant blends by 1 January 2013; to ban imports of HCFC-141b pre-blended polyols by 1 January 2018; and to ban imports of pure HCFC-141b once all eligible enterprises using HCFC-141b were converted to non HCFC-technology. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Egypt from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Technical assistance/support</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (enabling activities in the refrigeration and air-conditioning sector)	UNIDO		\$332,895	\$24,967	\$357,862	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 25 per cent of the baseline. Noted that it was agreed to establish as the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 386.27 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 396.60 ODP tonnes and 375.93 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 98.34 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 484.61 ODP tonnes. UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 6.13 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment by the Government: to introduce an HCFC import quota system, including HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols and all HCFC refrigerant blends by 1 January 2013; to ban imports of HCFC-141b pre-blended polyols by 1 January 2018; and to ban imports of pure HCFC-141b once all eligible enterprises using HCFC-141b were converted to non HCFC-technology. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Egypt from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (coordination and management)	UNIDO		\$242,710	\$18,203	\$260,913	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 25 per cent of the baseline. Noted that it was agreed to establish as the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 386.27 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 396.60 ODP tonnes and 375.93 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 98.34 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 484.61 ODP tonnes. Noted the commitment by the Government: to introduce an HCFC import quota system, including HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols and all HCFC refrigerant blends by 1 January 2013; to ban imports of HCFC-141b pre-blended polyols by 1 January 2018; and to ban imports of pure HCFC-141b once all eligible enterprises using HCFC-141b were converted to non HCFC-technology. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Egypt from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						
	<b>Total for Egypt</b>	<b>51.1</b>	<b>\$2,950,000</b>	<b>\$221,250</b>	<b>\$3,171,250</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>EL SALVADOR</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (phase out of HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector)	UNDP	4.9	\$439,277	\$32,946	\$472,223	9.79
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US\$350,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; US\$439,277 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector; and US\$285,000 were provided for the institutional strengthening from July 2011 to December 2020. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 11.68 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.86 ODP tonnes and 11.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 16.62 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2015. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP	0.7	\$166,500	\$5,850	\$172,350	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US\$350,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; US\$439,277 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector; and US\$285,000 were provided for the institutional strengthening from July 2011 to December 2020. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 11.68 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.86 ODP tonnes and 11.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 16.62 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2015. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.08 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP	0.9	\$91,072	\$6,830	\$97,902	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US\$350,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; US\$439,277 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector; and US\$285,000 were provided for the institutional strengthening from July 2011 to December 2020. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 11.68 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.86 ODP tonnes and 11.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 16.62 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.08 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						



# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>Total for El Salvador</b>		<b>6.6</b>	<b>\$696,849</b>	<b>\$45,626</b>	<b>\$742,475</b>	
<b>EQUATORIAL GUINEA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$40,000	\$5,200	\$45,200	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 6.29 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 6.22 ODP tonnes and 6.36 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under the HPMP. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 2.20 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	0.3	\$75,000	\$6,750	\$81,750	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 6.29 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 6.22 ODP tonnes and 6.36 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under the HPMP. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 2.20 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Equatorial Guinea</b>		<b>0.3</b>	<b>\$115,000</b>	<b>\$11,950</b>	<b>\$126,950</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>FIJI</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$47,900	\$6,227	\$54,127	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 8.41 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 7.63 ODP tonnes and 9.18 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 2.94 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP		\$71,800	\$6,462	\$78,262	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 8.41 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 7.63 ODP tonnes and 9.18 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 2.94 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Fiji</b>			<b>\$119,700</b>	<b>\$12,689</b>	<b>\$132,389</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>GAMBIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 0.92 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 0.91 ODP tonnes and 0.94 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.32 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	0.1	\$50,000	\$4,500	\$54,500	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 0.92 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 0.91 ODP tonnes and 0.94 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.32 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Gambia</b>		<b>0.1</b>	<b>\$75,000</b>	<b>\$7,750</b>	<b>\$82,750</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>GUINEA-BISSAU</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.43 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of zero ODP tonne and 2.86 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	0.1	\$40,000	\$3,600	\$43,600	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.43 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of zero ODP tonne and 2.86 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
<b>Total for Guinea-Bissau</b>		<b>0.1</b>	<b>\$75,000</b>	<b>\$8,150</b>	<b>\$83,150</b>	
<b>INDIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (remaining balance for phase VIII)	UNDP		\$46,654	\$3,499	\$50,153	
<b>Total for India</b>			<b>\$46,654</b>	<b>\$3,499</b>	<b>\$50,153</b>	
<b>INDONESIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$271,246	\$20,343	\$291,589	
<b>Total for Indonesia</b>			<b>\$271,246</b>	<b>\$20,343</b>	<b>\$291,589</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>IRAQ</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP		\$115,000	\$14,144	\$129,144	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 13.82 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the approved funding included US \$40,000 for security related cost, provided on an exceptional basis, to enable the implementation of the programme, and not taken into account when establishing the reduction of eligibility associated with the activities particularly in the servicing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 108.38 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 110.96 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 105.80 ODP tonnes for 2010. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 14.98 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Iraq from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNIDO		\$80,000	\$6,000	\$86,000	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 13.82 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the approved funding included US \$40,000 for security related cost, provided on an exceptional basis, to enable the implementation of the programme, and not taken into account when establishing the reduction of eligibility associated with the activities particularly in the servicing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 108.38 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 110.96 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 105.80 ODP tonnes for 2010. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 14.98 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Iraq from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP</i></p>						
<b>Total for Iraq</b>			<b>\$195,000</b>	<b>\$20,144</b>	<b>\$215,144</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	

### JORDAN

#### REFRIGERATION

##### Sectoral phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (air-conditioning sector plan)	IBRD	7.8	\$1,070,100	\$80,258	\$1,150,358	7.07
---	------	-----	-------------	----------	-------------	------

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2017 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 82.98 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 70.90 ODP tonnes and 95.04 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 11.31 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 94.29 ODP tonnes. Noted that the project to phase out HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment at Petra Engineering Industries Co., had already been approved at the 60th meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment of the Government to ban the manufacture and import of HCFC-based, residential air-conditioning equipment by 31 December 2016; the overall air-conditioning sector plan would incorporate policy and technical approaches to improve the energy efficiency of residential air-conditioning equipment to offset the climate impact of the alternative refrigerant, HFC-410A. The World Bank was requested to submit with the request for funding of the final tranche a verification for 2015 as specified in paragraph 5 (b) of the Agreement between the Government and the Committee; and to report on progress achieved when submitting the request for the second tranche. Noted the commitment of the Government to achieving energy consumption for residential air conditioners using HFC-410A at least equal to or lower than the HCFC-22 air conditioners they replaced. Noted the deduction of 8.07 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 60th meeting, and the World Bank and the Government were requested to further deduct 17.44 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Jordan from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption.*

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (policy support, awareness promotion, monitoring)	UNIDO		\$70,000	\$6,300	\$76,300	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2017 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 82.98 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 70.90 ODP tonnes and 95.04 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 11.31 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 94.29 ODP tonnes. Noted that the project to phase out HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment at Petra Engineering Industries Co., had already been approved at the 60th meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 8.07 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 60th meeting. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Jordan from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Jordan</b>		<b>7.8</b>	<b>\$1,140,100</b>	<b>\$86,558</b>	<b>\$1,226,658</b>	
<b>KENYA</b>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
Technical assistance for the final elimination of methyl bromide in post harvest sector	UNIDO	6.6	\$287,700	\$21,578	\$309,278	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the revised agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee, and on the understanding that no additional funding would be provided for Kenya for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide in the country.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Kenya</b>		<b>6.6</b>	<b>\$287,700</b>	<b>\$21,578</b>	<b>\$309,278</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	

## MALAYSIA

### FOAM

#### Sectoral phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (polyurethane foam sector plan)	UNDP	49.3	\$4,327,247	\$324,544	\$4,651,791	9.64
--	------	------	-------------	-----------	-------------	------

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2016 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 515.76 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 494.04 ODP tonnes and 537.47 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNDP was requested to report at the end of 2013 the status of the adoption of low GWP alternative technologies by the four systems houses assisted in the technical assistance component and to provide confirmation that the HCFC import quota has been established. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 94.60 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Malaysia from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.*

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (management and coordination)	UNDP		\$312,908	\$23,468	\$336,376	
--	------	--	-----------	----------	-----------	--

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2016 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 515.76 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 494.04 ODP tonnes and 537.47 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNDP was requested to report at the end of 2013 the status of the adoption of low GWP alternative technologies by the four systems houses assisted in the technical assistance component and to provide confirmation that the HCFC import quota has been established. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Malaysia from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.*



## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (technical assistance for the servicing sector)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2016 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 515.76 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 494.04 ODP tonnes and 537.47 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNDP was requested to report at the end of 2013 the status of the adoption of low GWP alternative technologies by the four systems houses assisted in the technical assistance component and to provide confirmation that the HCFC import quota has been established. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 8.42 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Malaysia from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>	UNDP		\$359,845	\$26,988	\$386,833	4.50
	<b>Total for Malaysia</b>	<b>49.3</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>\$375,000</b>	<b>\$5,375,000</b>	
<b>MOROCCO</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2017 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the consumption of 68.00 ODP tonnes reported for 2009, which were the latest data available when the project to phase out 11.00 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used in the manufacture of polyurethane rigid insulation foam for domestic refrigerators at Manar Company was approved at the 62nd meeting. This project had been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 11.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 62nd meeting, and UNIDO and the Government were requested to further deduct 5.77 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Morocco from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>	UNIDO		\$80,000	\$6,000	\$86,000	
	<b>Total for Morocco</b>		<b>\$80,000</b>	<b>\$6,000</b>	<b>\$86,000</b>	
<b>NIGER</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
<p>Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2012-12/2013)</p>	UNEP		\$64,828	\$0	\$64,828	
	<b>Total for Niger</b>		<b>\$64,828</b>		<b>\$64,828</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>OMAN</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Rigid</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (technical assistance for the foam sector)	UNIDO	1.1	\$104,120	\$7,809	\$111,929	7.91
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 31.46 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 30.7 ODP tonnes and 32.2 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 1.1 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 32.57 ODP tonnes. UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 2.25 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP	0.7	\$65,000	\$8,450	\$73,450	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 31.46 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 30.7 ODP tonnes and 32.2 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 1.1 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 32.57 ODP tonnes. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.54 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNIDO	2.3	\$210,000	\$15,750	\$225,750	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 31.46 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 30.7 ODP tonnes and 32.2 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 1.1 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 32.57 ODP tonnes. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.54 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of institutional strengthening support (phase IV: 12/2011-11/2013)	UNIDO		\$68,467	\$5,135	\$73,602	
	<b>Total for Oman</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>\$447,587</b>	<b>\$37,144</b>	<b>\$484,731</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PANAMA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNDP		\$132,773	\$9,958	\$142,731	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 24.77 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 24.96 ODP tonnes and 24.58 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 2.5 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 27.27 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.78 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 24.77 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 24.96 ODP tonnes and 24.58 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 2.50 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 27.27 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.78 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 11/2011-10/2013)	UNEP		\$149,500	\$0	\$149,500	
<b>Total for Panama</b>			<b>\$317,273</b>	<b>\$14,508</b>	<b>\$331,781</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>QATAR</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (sector conversion from HCFC-142b/HCFC-22 in the production of extruded polystyrene insulation boards to low GWP isobutene at Qatar Insulation, Orient Insulation and Al Kawthar Insulation)	UNIDO	11.8	\$914,750	\$68,606	\$983,356	4.72
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline, and the reallocation of funding remaining from the TPMP of US \$110,000 plus agency support costs for UNIDO and US \$60,000 plus agency support costs for UNEP, as agreed under the TPMP, in line with the implementation plans provided. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 86.08 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 79.75 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 92.41 ODP tonnes for 2010. UNIDO, and the Government were requested to deduct 20.10 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption.</i></p>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP		\$105,000	\$13,650	\$118,650	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline, and the reallocation of funding remaining from the TPMP of US \$110,000 plus agency support costs for UNIDO and US \$60,000 plus agency support costs for UNEP, as agreed under the TPMP, in line with the implementation plans provided. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 86.08 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 79.75 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 92.41 ODP tonnes for 2010. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 37.76 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption.</i></p>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline, and the reallocation of funding remaining from the TPMP of US \$110,000 plus agency support costs for UNIDO and US \$60,000 plus agency support costs for UNEP, as agreed under the TPMP, in line with the implementation plans provided. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 86.08 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 79.75 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 92.41 ODP tonnes for 2010. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 37.76 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption.</i></p>	UNIDO		\$131,157	\$9,837	\$140,994	4.50
<b>Total for Qatar</b>		<b>11.8</b>	<b>\$1,150,907</b>	<b>\$92,093</b>	<b>\$1,243,000</b>	
<b>SENEGAL</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 36.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 34.76 ODP tonnes and 37.54 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 12.65 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNEP	1.2	\$100,000	\$12,887	\$112,887	4.50
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 36.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 34.76 ODP tonnes and 37.54 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 12.65 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNIDO	2.4	\$200,000	\$15,000	\$215,000	4.50
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
<p>Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2012-12/2013)</p>	UNEP		\$152,101	\$0	\$152,101	
<b>Total for Senegal</b>		<b>3.7</b>	<b>\$452,101</b>	<b>\$27,887</b>	<b>\$479,988</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SERBIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 12/2011-11/2013)	UNIDO		\$131,300	\$9,848	\$141,148	
	<b>Total for Serbia</b>		<b>\$131,300</b>	<b>\$9,848</b>	<b>\$141,148</b>	
<b>SIERRA LEONE</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.67 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 1.54 ODP tonnes and 1.80 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.58 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	0.1	\$50,000	\$4,500	\$54,500	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.67 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 1.54 ODP tonnes and 1.80 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.58 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
	<b>Total for Sierra Leone</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>\$75,000</b>	<b>\$7,750</b>	<b>\$82,750</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SURINAME</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	0.1	\$68,000	\$6,120	\$74,120	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 1.98 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 2.68 ODP tonnes and 1.28 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.69 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$27,000	\$3,510	\$30,510	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 1.98 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 2.68 ODP tonnes and 1.28 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.69 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
<b>Total for Suriname</b>		<b>0.1</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>	<b>\$9,630</b>	<b>\$104,630</b>	
<b>TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO</b>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
Technical assistance to phase out the use of methyl bromide	UNEP	0.2	\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250	
<i>Approved on the understanding that no additional funding would be provided for methyl bromide activities in future for the country.</i>						
<b>Total for Trinidad and Tobago</b>		<b>0.2</b>	<b>\$25,000</b>	<b>\$3,250</b>	<b>\$28,250</b>	
<b>URUGUAY</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP		\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	4.50
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 23.33 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 21.92 ODP tonnes and 24.71 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 5.33 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols, resulting in a value of 28.66 ODP tonnes. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.18 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that during the implementation of stage I of the HPMP, the Government could submit an investment project to phase out the use of HCFC-22 in the refrigeration manufacturing assembly of cold rooms, should appropriate alternatives be available.</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$150,800	\$11,310	\$162,110	
	<b>Total for Uruguay</b>		<b>\$250,800</b>	<b>\$18,810</b>	<b>\$269,610</b>	
<b>VIETNAM</b>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
National phase-out plan of methyl bromide (third tranche)	IBRD	10.0	\$120,000	\$9,000	\$129,000	
<i>The Government and the World Bank were requested to continue monitoring the phase-out of methyl bromide in Viet Nam and report back to the Executive Committee annually on the progress in meeting the reductions required by this project.</i>						
	<b>Total for Vietnam</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>\$120,000</b>	<b>\$9,000</b>	<b>\$129,000</b>	
<b>ZIMBABWE</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (conversion of the foam manufacturing sector: Capri Refrigeration, Ref Air, Commercial Refrigeration, Delfy Enterprises and Freezing Modern Way)	Germany	6.1	\$3,737	\$486	\$4,223	8.62
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, and on the understanding that US\$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US\$478,818 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFCs used in the foam manufacturing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 15.44 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 12.38 ODP tonnes and 18.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 21.55 ODP tonnes. Germany and the Government were requested to deduct 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that US\$7,474 plus agency support costs of US\$972 would be allocated at the 65th meeting and that Germany would submit a request for the remaining balance of US \$419,417 plus agency support costs of US \$50,096 to the 66th meeting. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2015.</i>						



# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	Germany		\$3,737	\$486	\$4,223	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, and on the understanding that US\$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US\$478,818 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFCs used in the foam manufacturing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 15.44 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 12.38 ODP tonnes and 18.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 21.55 ODP tonnes. Germany and the Government were requested to deduct 5.4 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that US\$7,474 plus agency support costs of US\$972 would be allocated at the 65th meeting and that Germany would submit a request for the remaining balance of US \$419,417 plus agency support costs of US \$50,096 to the 66th meeting. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2015.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Zimbabwe</b>		<b>6.1</b>	<b>\$7,474</b>	<b>\$972</b>	<b>\$8,446</b>	
<b>REGION: EUR</b>						
<b>DESTRUCTION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Strategy for disposal and destruction of ODS for 4 LVC countries in the Europe and Central Asia region (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro and Turkmenistan)	UNIDO		\$35,000	\$2,625	\$37,625	
<p><i>Approved on the condition that, upon submission of the full demonstration project, the information required by decision 58/19 would be provided.</i></p>						
Strategy for disposal and destruction of ODS for 4 LVC countries in the Europe and Central Asia region (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro and Turkmenistan)	Czech Rep		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
<p><i>Approved on the condition that, upon submission of the full demonstration project, the information required by decision 58/19 would be provided.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Region: EUR</b>			<b>\$70,000</b>	<b>\$7,175</b>	<b>\$77,175</b>	
<b>GLOBAL</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Agency programme</b>						
Core unit budget (2012)	UNIDO		\$0	\$1,984,561	\$1,984,561	
<p><i>UNIDO was requested to consider the options in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/20 and other possible options for an administrative cost regime for 2012-2014 and to report thereon to the Fund Secretariat.</i></p>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
Compliance Assistance Programme: 2012 budget <i>UNEP was requested in future submissions of the CAP budget to continue to provide detailed information on the activities for which the global funds would be used; continue to extend the prioritisation of funding between CAP budget lines so as to accommodate changing priorities and provide details on the reallocations made for its budget following decisions 47/24 and 50/26, on the condition that such reallocations did not result in savings from movement of personnel-related costs to other activity lines; continue to report on the current staff post levels and to inform the Committee of any changes thereto, particularly in respect of increased budget allocations; and provide a report in the context of UNEP's role in implementation of HPMPs, clearly delineating expenditures made from the CAP budget and those made from HPMP funds.</i>	UNEP		\$8,987,000	\$718,960	\$9,705,960	
Core unit budget (2012) <i>UNDP was requested to consider the options in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/20 and other possible options for an administrative cost regime for 2012-2014 and to report thereon to the Fund Secretariat.</i>	UNDP		\$0	\$1,984,561	\$1,984,561	
Core unit budget (2012) <i>The World Bank was requested to consider the options in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/20 and other possible options for an administrative cost regime for 2012-2014 and to report thereon to the Fund Secretariat.</i>	IBRD		\$0	\$1,724,644	\$1,724,644	
	<b>Total for Global</b>		<b>\$8,987,000</b>	<b>\$6,412,726</b>	<b>\$15,399,726</b>	
	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>271.3</b>	<b>\$30,729,020</b>	<b>\$8,061,463</b>	<b>\$38,790,483</b>	

## Summary

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60/Corr.1  
Annex IV

Sector	Tonnes (ODP)	Funds approved (US\$)		
		Project	Support	Total
<b>BILATERAL COOPERATION</b>				
Foam	6.1	\$3,737	\$486	\$4,223
Phase-out plan		\$3,737	\$486	\$4,223
Destruction		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550
<b>TOTAL:</b>	6.1	\$42,474	\$5,522	\$47,996
<b>INVESTMENT PROJECT</b>				
Foam	146.6	\$10,141,229	\$760,593	\$10,901,822
Fumigant	57.4	\$737,700	\$56,016	\$793,716
Refrigeration	7.8	\$1,402,995	\$105,225	\$1,508,220
Solvent	33.0	\$2,500,000	\$187,500	\$2,687,500
Phase-out plan	20.3	\$4,806,715	\$416,635	\$5,223,350
<b>TOTAL:</b>	265.0	\$19,588,639	\$1,525,969	\$21,114,608
<b>WORK PROGRAMME AMENDMENT</b>				
Foam		\$120,000	\$9,000	\$129,000
Fumigant	0.2	\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250
Destruction		\$35,000	\$2,625	\$37,625
Several		\$10,917,907	\$6,515,097	\$17,433,004
<b>TOTAL:</b>	0.2	\$11,097,907	\$6,529,972	\$17,627,879
<b>Summary by Parties and Implementing Agencies</b>				
Czech Republic		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550
Germany	6.1	\$7,474	\$972	\$8,446
IBRD	17.8	\$1,310,100	\$1,822,902	\$3,133,002
UNDP	154.6	\$12,975,990	\$2,960,130	\$15,936,120
UNEP	2.8	\$10,725,061	\$854,619	\$11,579,680
UNIDO	90.0	\$5,675,395	\$2,418,290	\$8,093,685
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	271.3	\$30,729,020	\$8,061,463	\$38,790,483

**ADJUSTMENTS ARISING FROM THE 65TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR BALANCES ON PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Project Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Support Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Total (US\$)</b>
Germany (per decision 65/2(a)(viii))	7,474	972	8,446
UNDP (per decision 65/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	253,695	19,121	272,816
UNIDO (per decision 65/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	18,808	1,416	20,224
World Bank (per decision 65/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	433,019	55,659	488,678
<b>Total</b>	<b>712,996</b>	<b>77,168</b>	<b>790,164</b>

**ADJUSTMENTS ARISING FROM THE 65TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR TRANSFERRED PROJECTS**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Project Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Support Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Total (US\$)</b>
UNEP (per decisions 64/25 and 65/2(a)(vii))	64,471	0	64,471
World Bank (per decisions 64/25 and 65/2(a)(vii))	-64,471	-4,835	-69,306
UNIDO (per decision 65/2(a)(vi))	183,500	13,763	197,263

**NET ALLOCATIONS TO IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES AND BILATERAL CONTRIBUTIONS BASED ON DECISIONS OF THE 65TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Project Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Support Costs (US\$)</b>	<b>Total (US\$)</b>
Czech Republic (1)	35,000	4,550	39,550
Germany	0	0	0
UNDP	12,722,295	2,941,009	15,663,304
UNEP	10,789,532	854,619	11,644,151
UNIDO	5,840,087	2,430,637	8,270,724
World Bank	812,610	1,762,408	2,575,018
<b>Total</b>	<b>30,199,524</b>	<b>7,993,223</b>	<b>38,192,747</b>

(1) Total amount to be assigned to the 2011 bilateral contributions.



**United Nations  
Environment  
Programme**

Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
20 November 2011

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF  
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
Sixty-fifth Meeting  
Bali, Indonesia, 13-17 November 2011

**REPORT OF THE SIXTY-FIFTH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Introduction

1. The 65<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol was held at the Wantilan Convention Centre, Bali, Indonesia, from 13 to 17 November 2011.
2. The meeting was attended by representatives of the following countries, Members of the Executive Committee in accordance with decision XXII/24 of the Twenty-Second Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol:
  - (a) Parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: Australia (Chair), Belgium, Czech Republic, France, Japan, Switzerland, and the United States of America; and
  - (b) Parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: Argentina, China (Vice-Chair), Cuba, Grenada, Kenya, Kuwait and Morocco.
3. In accordance with the decisions taken by the Executive Committee at its Second and Eighth Meetings, representatives of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), both as implementing agency and as Treasurer of the Fund, the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) and the World Bank attended the Meeting as observers.
4. The President and Vice-President of the Implementation Committee under the Non-Compliance Procedure for the Montreal Protocol, the Executive Secretary and staff members of the Ozone Secretariat were also present.
5. Representatives of the Alliance for Responsible Atmospheric Policy and the Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) also attended as observers.

## **AGENDA ITEM 1: OPENING OF THE MEETING**

6. A statement was made by Mr Arief Yuwono, Deputy Minister for Environmental Degradation Control and Climate Change of the Ministry of Environment of Indonesia, who welcomed the participants to Bali. He said that the Montreal Protocol was an outstanding example of shared responsibility, transparent and equitable governance, an efficient financial mechanism and market transformation through cooperative action by governments and industry to protect the environment, with exemplary collaboration between developed and developing countries.

7. Although the global community was aware of its responsibility to eliminate ozone-depleting substances (ODS), each country had its specific characteristics and requirements. Indonesia was meeting the challenges of compliance with the Montreal Protocol, while ensuring economic development with safe, efficient, low-global-warming-potential (GWP) technologies. Stage I of the country's HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP), which had been approved for funding at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee, involved close collaboration between government and industry, with intensive consultation with HCFC-consuming sectors covering consumption patterns; selection of viable, safe, efficient alternative technologies that also improved energy efficiency; practical, consensual, enforceable policies and regulations to encourage voluntary compliance and ensure sustainable phase-out; and actions that could be implemented within the required timeframe.

8. The Chair commented that Australia would be working bilaterally with Indonesia in implementation of its HPMP (stage I).

9. Following the statement by the Deputy Minister, the meeting was opened by the Chair, Mr. Patrick McInerney (Australia), who welcomed members to Indonesia and, on behalf of the Executive Committee, thanked the Government of Indonesia for hosting the meeting.

10. The 65<sup>th</sup> meeting was the last of the triennium and was therefore the final opportunity to ensure that the goals set by the Parties for the 2009-2011 triennium were met. One important consideration during the replenishment discussions at the Meeting of the Parties would be that a large number of activities included in the 2011 budget had not been submitted to the Executive Committee and it was expected that a balance of US \$35 million would remain from the budget for 2011. The Executive Committee's work and the speed at which funds were disbursed and provided to countries to assist them to comply with the Montreal Protocol were therefore significant indicators that could influence the replenishment process.

11. In addition to the usual items on the agenda, one outstanding policy issue before the Committee was the flexibility provision under HPMPs, on which he hoped agreement would be reached as it related to both technology change and the consequent funding allocations. There were also specific issues related to some HPMPs that called for the Committee's guidance. The Committee would be considering two other policy papers relating to heat exchangers and the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (MCII).

12. As it was his last meeting as Chair, he wished to express his gratitude for the support he had received throughout his term and for the continued dedication and commitment shown by members of the Executive Committee.

## **AGENDA ITEM 2: ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS**

### **(a) Adoption of the agenda**

13. The Executive Committee adopted the following agenda for the meeting on the basis of the provisional agenda contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/1.

1. Opening of the meeting.
2. Organizational matters:
  - (a) Adoption of the agenda;
  - (b) Organization of work.
3. Secretariat activities.
4. Status of contributions and disbursements.
5. Status of resources and planning:
  - (a) Report on balances and availability of resources;
  - (b) 2011-2014 business plans and annual tranche submission delays;
  - (c) Status of implementation of delayed projects and prospects of Article 5 countries in achieving compliance with the next control measures of the Montreal Protocol.
6. Programme implementation:
  - (a) Monitoring and evaluation:
    - (i) 2011 consolidated project completion report;
    - (ii) Completion report format for multi-year agreement projects;
    - (iii) Desk study on evaluation of multi-year agreement projects;
    - (iv) Report on the review of the document “Regulations to Control Ozone Depleting Substances: a Guide Book (2000)”;
    - (v) Draft monitoring and evaluation work programme for the year 2012;
  - (b) Report on implementation of approved projects with specific reporting requirements.
7. Project proposals:
  - (a) Overview of issues identified during project review;
  - (b) Bilateral cooperation;
  - (c) Amendments to work programmes for 2011:
    - (i) UNDP;
    - (ii) UNEP;
    - (iii) UNIDO;

- (iv) World Bank;
  - (d) Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) budget for 2012;
  - (e) 2012 core unit costs for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank, and administrative cost regime for the 2012-2014 triennium (decisions 62/25(c) and 64/6(c)(iii));
  - (f) Investment projects.
8. Incremental costs related to retooling for manufacturing heat exchangers (decisions 61/45 and 62/61).
  9. Report on the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (decisions 59/45, 62/62 and 63/62).
  10. Report of the Production Sector Sub-group.
  11. Accounts of the Multilateral Fund:
    - (a) 2010 final accounts;
    - (b) Reconciliation of the accounts.
  12. Revised 2012 and 2013 and proposed 2014 budgets of the Fund Secretariat.
  13. Draft report of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund to the Twenty-third Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol.
  14. Other matters.
  15. Adoption of the report.
  16. Closure of the meeting.

14. One member requested that the Committee consider, under agenda item 14 (Other matters), the request from the United Arab Emirates for assistance in implementing its HPMP. In addition, the Chief Officer requested that the Committee consider the dates of meetings of the Executive Committee in 2012 under agenda item 14 (Other matters).

**(b) Organization of work**

15. The meeting agreed to follow its customary procedure, noting that the Sub-group on the Production Sector would meet in the margins of the meeting.

**AGENDA ITEM 3: SECRETARIAT ACTIVITIES**

16. The Chief Officer, after having expressed the Secretariat's appreciation to the Government of Indonesia for hosting the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee, introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/2, which provided an overview of the work done by the Secretariat since the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting.



17. She informed the meeting that the Secretariat had had several contacts with the Government of the Russian Federation since the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting in relation to the outstanding contributions to the Multilateral Fund. A letter had been received confirming that although unfortunately the Ministry of Finance was unable to send a representative, senior officials from the Russian Ministries of Foreign Affairs and Natural Resources would attend a meeting to be held in the margins of the Twenty-Third Meeting of the Parties to discuss the issue and it was hoped that some progress could be made. It had also been proposed that a follow-up meeting should take place in Moscow with the Ministry of Finance. The Secretariat would consider, in consultation with other interested Parties, the need for a follow-up meeting on the basis of the discussions that would take place during the Meeting of the Parties.

18. The Secretariat had prepared nearly 60 documents for the meeting, reviewing funding requests amounting to nearly US \$111 million, of which 34 requests concerned HPMPs, including those that had been withdrawn.

19. Following the statement by the Chief Officer, one member requested clarification of the objective of the meeting of the Administrative Management team of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) held in Nairobi in September 2011, attended by the Senior Administrative and Fund Management Officer of the Multilateral Fund. The Chief Officer said that the objective of such a meeting, which usually took place annually, was to give all UNEP's administrative and finance management staff an update on the latest developments in administrative matters, and attendance was necessary to ensure appropriate and effective liaison with UNEP in Nairobi.

20. Another member expressed pleasure at the attendance of a Senior Programme Management Officer at the Joint Meeting of the Latin American and Caribbean Networks of Ozone Officers, and hoped that a representative of the Secretariat would be able to attend the upcoming regional meeting of the English-speaking countries of the Caribbean and Haiti.

21. The Executive Committee took note with appreciation of the report on Secretariat activities.

#### **AGENDA ITEM 4: STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND DISBURSEMENTS**

22. The Treasurer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/3 and provided updated information on countries' contributions to the Fund as at 11 November 2011. He indicated that additional contributions amounting to approximately US \$34,700,000 had been received since the document had been published.

23. The Chair noted that there appeared to be an issue with contributions to the Fund in promissory notes, the encashment of which was due into the next replenishment period, and parties were strongly urged to accelerate encashment.

24. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the report of the Treasurer on the status of contributions and disbursements and the information on promissory notes as contained in Annex I to the present report;
- (b) To urge all Parties with outstanding pledges to pay their contributions to the Multilateral Fund in full and as early as possible;
- (c) To request contributing Parties with promissory notes due for encashment after the replenishment period to facilitate the acceleration of their encashment as soon as possible and before the end of the replenishment period 2009-2011; and

- (d) To call on the implementing agencies to accept promissory notes if necessary.

(Decision 65/1)

## AGENDA ITEM 5: STATUS OF RESOURCES AND PLANNING

### (a) Report on balances and availability of resources

25. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/4. She indicated that there were sufficient resources available to cover project approvals and other costs, provided that the implementation agencies accepted some promissory notes and that their encashment was accelerated as needed. During the meeting the World Bank confirmed that it was transferring to UNEP, in accordance with decision 64/25, the balance of US \$64,470.91 for the institutional strengthening project in the Philippines (PHI/SEV/60/INS/89). Italy also informed the Committee that after consultations with UNIDO as implementing agency, it would no longer return the balance of US \$8,991 against the project in Serbia (YUG/SOL/56/INV/33).

26. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
- (i) The report on balances and availability of resources contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/4;
  - (ii) That the net level of funds being returned by the implementing agencies to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting was US \$705,522 against projects, which included the return of US \$253,695 from UNDP, US \$18,808 from UNIDO and US \$433,019 from the World Bank;
  - (iii) That the net level of support costs being returned by the implementing agencies to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting was US \$81,031 against projects, which included the return of US \$19,121 from UNDP, US \$1,416 from UNIDO and US \$60,494 from the World Bank;
  - (iv) That implementing agencies had balances totalling US \$1,717,559, excluding support costs, from projects completed over two years previously, which included US \$257,492 for UNDP, US \$277,674 for UNEP, US \$526,072 for UNIDO, and US \$656,321 for the World Bank;
  - (v) That bilateral agencies had balances totalling US \$139,340, excluding support costs, attributed to France and Japan from projects completed over two years previously;
  - (vi) The return of the remaining balance of US \$183,500 in project costs, plus US \$13,763 in support costs already included in sub-paragraph (ii) above, owing to the transfer of the terminal phase-out management plan in Peru (PER/PHA/55/INV/41) from UNDP to UNIDO, in accordance with decision 64/2(h);

- (vii) The return of the remaining balance of US \$60,495 in project costs and US \$4,835 in programme support costs for phase VII of the institutional strengthening project for the Philippines (PHI/SEV/60/INS/89) for the transfer of the project from the World Bank to UNEP, in accordance with decision 64/25;
- (viii) The return of US \$8,446 including US \$972 agency fees to be offset against Germany's approvals at the present meeting
- (b) To reiterate decision 31/2(a)(i) and (ii) and request UNIDO to update the Committee at the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting on the date at which the remaining unobligated balances for completed projects would be returned in full.

**(Decision 65/2)**

**(b) 2011-2014 business plans and annual tranche submission delays**

27. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/5, noting that three issues needed to be addressed which would have an impact on the carry-over for the next triennium: first, there might be a balance of US \$35.3 million from the 2011 budget if all activities were approved as submitted; second, activities totalling US \$42.1 million included in the business plan for the US \$275.4 million 2011 budget had not been submitted; third, there remained a balance from the funds set aside as per decision 55/2(b) for a special funding facility. He also indicated that two out of five annual tranches of multi-year agreements (MYAs) due for consideration at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting had been submitted, but three had not, including two second-tranche HPMPs.

28. During the ensuing discussion, a number of members supported inclusion of the value of projects included in the business plan but not submitted in the calculation of the requirements for the next triennium, while the balance from the 2011 budget and from funds set aside for a special funding facility should be included in the carry-over to the next triennium. The representatives of the implementing agencies summarized the situation with regard to those HCFC activities not submitted as planned in 2011, concluding that in several instances the delays might have an impact on compliance.

29. In response, the representative of the Secretariat said that the submission of those HPMPs had been delayed for reasons other than funding and were not related to the carry-over, except that the relevant funds would be provided in the next triennium.

30. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The report on the status of the 2011 business plans as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/5;
  - (ii) The information on annual tranche submission delays under multi-year agreements (MYAs) submitted to the Secretariat by UNDP and UNIDO, as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/5;
  - (iii) That two out of five annual tranches of MYAs due for submission had been submitted on time to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (b) That the balance of the 2011 budget would be included in the carry-over calculation for the next triennium to be addressed in the context of the funding requirements for 2012-2014:

- (c) That the balance of US \$453,747 set aside for a special funding facility, as per decision 55/2(b), would be included in the carry-over calculation;
- (d) To request the Secretariat to send a letter to:
  - (i) The Government of Armenia to urge the submission of the second tranche of its HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) to the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting; and
  - (ii) The Government of Nigeria to urge the submission of the second tranche of its HPMP to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**(Decision 65/3)**

**(c) Status of implementation of delayed projects and prospects of Article 5 countries in achieving compliance with the next control measures of the Montreal Protocol**

31. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/6. He said that as UNIDO had agreed to return the project preparation funds for methyl bromide activities for Algeria and Tunisia to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting, no additional status reports would be required.

32. The Committee heard a report from the representative of UNDP on the plan for phase-out of CFCs in the manufacture of pharmaceutical metered-dose inhalers (MDIs) in Pakistan, indicating that one of the manufacturers was considering ceasing the manufacture of MDIs, but that could not be confirmed until the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting. With regard to accelerated CFC production phase-out (first tranche) in India, the representative of the World Bank indicated that the amendment to the agreement had been signed by the World Bank. She also indicated that the report on the second component of the pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal in Mexico would be submitted to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting.

33. The representative of UNIDO, referring to the proposed request that bilateral and implementing agencies report to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting on the signing of project documents, agreements and small-scale funding agreements or when working arrangements had been put in place for approved HPMPs, asked whether sending a letter informing a country that a project had been approved would meet the requirements of that request. The representative of the Secretariat pointed out that a letter alone did not indicate that all working arrangements were in place.

34. The Committee was informed by one member that the methyl bromide project in Jamaica, implemented by Canada, had been completed and an implementation delay report would not therefore be needed.

35. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) With appreciation, the status reports and reports on projects with implementation delays submitted to the Secretariat by the implementing agencies and the Governments of Australia, France, Italy and Japan, addressed in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/6;
  - (ii) That 51 country programme reports for the year 2010 had been submitted through the web-based system, which had been initiated on 25 April 2007;
  - (iii) The completion of four of the 34 projects listed with implementation delays, as indicated in Annex III to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/6;

- (iv) That the Secretariat and the implementing agencies would take established actions according to the Secretariat's assessments (progress and some progress as per Annexes IV and V to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/6) and report to and notify governments and implementing agencies as required;
- (b) To request:
- (i) That letters of possible cancellation be sent in respect of the following projects:

Agency	Code	Project title
UNIDO	ALG/REF/44/INV/62	Conversion of CFC-11 to HCFC-141b and CFC-12 to HFC-134a technology in the last group of commercial refrigerator manufacturers (refrigeration sector terminal project) in Algeria
UNDP	CHI/REF/48/INV/160	Terminal umbrella project for phase-out of the use of CFC-11, CFC-12 and R-502 (CFC-115) in the manufacture of refrigeration equipment in Chile
UNIDO	KEN/SOL/57/TAS/47	Technical assistance for the total phase-out of CTC and TCA in the solvent sector in Kenya

- (ii) Additional status reports on the projects listed in Annexes II and III to the present report;
- (iii) Bilateral and implementing agencies to report to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting on the signing of project documents/agreements/small-scale funding agreements or when working arrangements had been put in place for approved HCFC phase-out management plans;
- (iv) The Governments of Israel, Portugal and Spain to provide their implementation delay reports to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee; and
- (v) The Fund Secretariat to write to the following countries enquiring why they had reported that their licensing systems were not operating satisfactorily: the Cook Islands, the Democratic Republic of the Congo and Lesotho.

**(Decision 65/4)**

## **AGENDA ITEM 6: PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION**

### **(a) Monitoring and evaluation**

#### **(i) 2011 consolidated project completion report**

36. The Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer introduced documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/7 and Add.1. She indicated that the purpose of the report was to provide the Executive Committee with an overview of the results reported in the project completion reports (PCRs) received since the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting in November 2010.

37. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the 2011 consolidated project completion report (PCR), including the schedule for submission of PCRs due and the lessons learned, as presented in Annex II to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/7;

- (b) To request the implementing agencies and bilateral agencies concerned:
  - (i) To establish, by mid-February 2012, in cooperation with the Multilateral Fund Secretariat, full consistency of data reported in the PCRs in the inventory and in the annual progress reports;
  - (ii) To provide, by mid-February 2012, the information still missing in a number of PCRs;
  - (iii) To clear, by mid-February 2012, the backlog of PCRs on projects completed before the end of 2006; and
- (c) To invite all those involved in the preparation and implementation of projects to take into consideration the lessons learned from PCRs when preparing and implementing future projects.

**(Decision 65/5)**

**(ii) Completion report format for multi-year agreement projects**

38. The Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/8, noting that the format focused on the main achievements, the budget and expenditures, pinpointed causes of delays in implementation and listed lessons learned for future endeavours.

39. One member suggested that it was not necessary to report on expenditures for each year as called for in Section 4 of the document as those figures already appeared in the annual progress report for MYA projects.

40. It was also noted that the template called for a considerable quantity of detailed information, which could prove difficult to collect for projects already completed. A member proposed that this level of detail be requested for HPMPs so that it would be clear such detailed information would have to be collected for HCFC PCRs henceforward. The MYA PCRs for non-HCFC phase-out plans to be submitted could provide less detail.

41. The Executive Committee decided to take note of the multi-year agreement project completion report format as presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/8, together with the comments made by members of the Executive Committee.

**(Decision 65/6)**

**(iii) Desk study on evaluation of multi-year agreement projects**

42. The Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/9, a desk study on the evaluation of MYAs. The desk study comprised the first phase of an evaluation of the effectiveness and results of the implementation of MYAs; for the second phase a more detailed investigation at field level was proposed.

43. A number of members said that the information contained in the desk study was valuable and useful. The intended final outcome of the study was not, however, clear. One member asked whether the evaluation of the MYAs would conclude with recommendations on how MYAs might be improved. One member drew attention to cases of inaccuracy in the desk study, and noted instances where the study had

not taken due cognizance of the results of a previous study, undertaken in 2007, adding that corrections and amendments should be made before moving on to the second phase of the evaluation.

44. It was suggested that the second phase should focus more on non-low-volume-consuming (non-LVC) countries, as well as on the evolution of the MYA modality, and their contribution to compliance, and should concentrate less on issues not directly related to MYA implementation. It was also pointed out that, according to the Committee's standard procedure, studies were not modified once presented, although further comments and corrections could be annexed to the study.

45. The Executive Committee decided:

(a) Regarding the first phase:

- (i) To note the desk study on the evaluation of multi-year agreements presented to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee;
- (ii) To note the comments and observations made at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on the desk study;
- (iii) To request the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to post the comments and observations already received on the desk study on the website;
- (iv) To invite current Executive Committee members to submit comments and observations on the desk study intersessionally by 16 January 2012; and
- (v) To request the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to compile the comments, observations and any responses received on the desk study as an annex and to submit it, together with a corrigendum if necessary, for further consideration by the Executive Committee at its 66<sup>th</sup> meeting;

(b) Regarding the second phase:

- (i) To note that the desk study contained issues for the second phase of the evaluation;
- (ii) To invite comments from current Executive Committee members giving guidance on the terms of reference for the second phase, which could be made in a discussion group on the Multilateral Fund Secretariat website intersessionally up until 16 January 2012; and
- (iii) To request the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to consider the intersessional comments and to submit the terms of reference for the second phase to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

**(Decision 65/7)**

**(iv) Report on the review of the document "Regulations to Control Ozone Depleting Substances: a Guide Book (2000)"**

46. The Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/10.

47. Several members commented that a review of national regulations for the control of ODS would appear to go beyond the remit of the Multilateral Fund. Furthermore, any such review would be complex, given the widely disparate legislative structures of different countries.

48. The Executive Committee decided not to recommend an evaluation of legislation, regulations and quotas as suggested in the 2012 draft monitoring and evaluation work programme.

**(Decision 65/8)**

**(v) Draft monitoring and evaluation work programme for the year 2012**

49. The Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/11.

50. One member proposed that the evaluation of chiller projects with co-funding modalities be postponed until the last Executive Committee meeting in 2012 as implementation difficulties had been encountered and the main related activities would be conducted in 2012. A later report would allow better information to be provided.

51. Another member asked that the Committee recommend that the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer include a special focus on the African Region in the evaluation of methyl bromide projects, highlighting appropriate phase-out strategies and lessons learned from completed projects.

52. Several members commented that the proposal to evaluate methyl bromide-related issues in quarantine and pre-shipment (paragraph 6(e) of the document) was beyond the remit of the Executive Committee and should not be addressed. One member said that the need for further technical assistance (paragraph 6(h) of the document) prejudged the outcome of the evaluation of methyl bromide projects and should also not be addressed. She emphasized that each project should be evaluated not only against its objectives but also against its results, adding that the proposed budget for monitoring and evaluation appeared to be higher than in the past.

53. The Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer confirmed that the desk study on chillers would be an update of that conducted in 2009.

54. The Executive Committee decided to approve the proposed 2012 work programme, with the suggested changes, at a budget of US \$325,000, as reflected in the table below:

**BUDGET FOR THE 2012 MONITORING AND EVALUATION WORK PROGRAMME**

<b>2012</b>	
<b>Description</b>	<b>Amount (US \$)</b>
Evaluation of MYAs	
-11 case studies	132,000
-Final report (10 working days*US \$500)	5,000
Evaluation of methyl bromide projects:	
• Desk study (1 consultant * 35 working days at US \$500/day)	17,500
• 7 case studies	84,000
• Final report (10 working days *US \$500)	5,000
Desk study on the evaluation of chiller projects (1 consultant * 25 working days at US \$500/day)	12,500
Desk study for evaluation of MDI (1 consultant * 35 working days at US \$500/day)	17,500
Staff travel	47,000
Miscellaneous (equipment, communication, etc.)	4,500
<b>Total 2012</b>	<b>325,000</b>

**(Decision 65/9)**



**(b) Report on implementation of approved projects with specific reporting requirements**

55. The representative of the Secretariat introduced documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/12 and Add.1.

56. With regard to the global chiller replacement project, one member indicated that the Executive Committee generally did not approve retroactive funding, and that to do so would set a dangerous precedent. However, countries that expressed an interest in becoming involved in chiller replacement projects should be encouraged.

57. With regard to the sector plans for the phase-out of the production and consumption of ODS in process agent uses for China, Executive Committee members commended the World Bank and China on their efforts to develop an independent monitoring programme, but considered that independent verification as called for in the agreements between the Government of China and the Executive Committee was still required for 2010 as that was the final year falling under the agreements.

58. The Executive Committee decided:

*National phase-out plans (NPPs) and terminal phase-out management plans (TPMPs)*

- (a) To request the relevant bilateral and implementing agencies to submit to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting complete progress reports on the implementation of the NPPs and TPMPs due, as per specific decisions taken between the 59<sup>th</sup> and 64<sup>th</sup> meetings, but not yet submitted.
- (b) With regard to Afghanistan:
  - (i) To note the verification report on the 2009 and 2010 audit of ODS consumption in Afghanistan;
- (c) With regard to Ecuador:
  - (i) To note the 2010 progress report on the implementation of the national CFC phase-out plan (NPP) for Ecuador;
  - (ii) To request UNEP and UNIDO to expedite the completion of the remaining activities under the NPP, noting that they would assist Ecuador to sustain zero consumption of CFCs and facilitate the phase-out of HCFCs, and to report to the Executive Committee at its 67<sup>th</sup> meeting on the completion of the implementation of the NPP; and
  - (iii) To request UNEP to submit the verification reports on the 2009 and 2010 CFC consumption of Ecuador no later than the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (d) With regard to Egypt:
  - (i) To note the 2010 progress report on the implementation of the national CFC phase-out plan (NPP) for Egypt; and
  - (ii) To request UNIDO to submit the independent consumption verification report for the years 2009 and 2010 no later than the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee, together with a timetable for completion of the remaining activities in the NPP;

- (e) With regard to the Philippines:
- (i) To note the progress report on the implementation of the national CFC phase-out plan (NPP) for the Philippines for the period 2003-2011 and the verification report on the CFC consumption for 2008;
  - (ii) To note that the grant agreement between the World Bank and the Government of the Philippines had closed on 30 June 2011;
  - (iii) To request the World Bank to return the remaining balance of the NPP to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting;
  - (iv) To further note the request by the Government of the Philippines to select UNEP as the implementing agency for the additional activities needed to ensure the sustainability of the NPP and the CFC phase-out; and
  - (v) To request the Government of the Philippines, with the assistance of UNEP, to submit a verification report on the CFC consumption for 2009 and 2010, and an implementation plan for 2012-2013 for the remaining funds under the NPP to the 66<sup>th</sup> Meeting;
- (f) With regard to Tunisia:
- (i) To note the submission of the verification report on the consumption of CFCs and halons for 2008 and 2009 and the annual progress report for 2010 and the first half of 2011 on the implementation of the national ODS phase-out plan (NPP) for Tunisia;
  - (ii) To approve the biennial implementation plan for the NPP, as modified, for the remainder of 2011 and 2012; and
  - (iii) To request the World Bank to submit a verification report on consumption of CFCs and halons for 2010 to the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting;

*Methyl bromide phase-out plans*

- (g) With regard to Guatemala:
- (i) To note the progress report on the implementation of the national phase-out plan for methyl bromide (phase II, first tranche);
  - (ii) To urge the Government of Guatemala, with the assistance of UNIDO, to speed up the implementation of the first tranche (phase II) of the phase-out plan; and
  - (iii) To request UNIDO to submit a progress report on the implementation of the national phase-out plan for methyl bromide no later than the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (h) With regard to Honduras:
- (i) To note the progress report on the implementation of the national phase-out plan for methyl bromide in Honduras;

*Sector phase-out plans*

- (i) With regard to China:
  - (i) To note the status report on the implementation of the approved annual programmes for 2009 up to June 2011 in the polyurethane foam sector plan, submitted by the World Bank on behalf of the Government of China;
  - (ii) To request the Government of China and the World Bank to report to the Fund Secretariat at the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting on the status of the legal issue with respect to the transportation of halons from dismantled fire protection equipment and systems, in the context of additional status reports under the agenda item on the status of delays and compliance;
  - (iii) To note the submission of four reports by the World Bank, on behalf of the Government of China, related to production and consumption of ODS in process agent uses; and
  - (iv) To request the World Bank:
    - a. To provide an independent verification of consumption and production of CTC for controlled uses in 2010, which should, as a minimum, verify the set-up of the monitoring system and the quality of the information provided through the system;
    - b. To carry out site visits to the relevant enterprises not yet visited and revisit a sample of those already visited in order to gather the necessary data for the verification report; and
    - c. To submit the related report no later than eight weeks prior to the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (j) With regard to India:
  - (i) To note the verification of CTC production and consumption in India for the year 2010;
  - (ii) To note that, in the verification, the use of CTC for vinyl chloride monomer (VCM) production had been classified as feedstock from the beginning of 2005, while in 2007 the use of CTC in the production of VCM had already been classified as a process agent application by the Nineteenth Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol (decision XIX/15);
  - (iii) To request the World Bank to ask the Government of India to update accordingly the CTC consumption data for 2008 to 2010 reported to the Ozone Secretariat under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
  - (iv) To request the World Bank to coordinate with the Government of India to investigate to what degree the quantities of CTC destroyed in 2008, 2009 and 2010 would offset the quantities of CTC used as process agent for the production of VCM in 2008, 2009 and 2010, and to provide a related report to be submitted no later than eight weeks before the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting;

- (v) To request the World Bank to provide a report on the implementation of the CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors during the year 2010 in time for the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting and, if applicable, a revision of the planned activities; and
- (vi) To request the Secretariat to inform the Executive Committee on progress at the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting, under the agenda item on Special reporting requirements.

*HCFC demonstration and investment projects*

- (k) With regard to China:

Conversion from HCFC-141b-based to cyclopentane-based pre-blended polyol in the manufacture of rigid polyurethane foam at Guangdong Wanhua Rongwei Polyurethane Co., Ltd.

- (i) To note the progress report on conversion from HCFC-141b-based to cyclopentane-based pre-blended polyol in the manufacture of rigid polyurethane foam at Guangdong Wanhua Rongwei Polyurethane Co., Ltd., submitted by the World Bank;
- (ii) To approve the request for the replacement of the downstream enterprise Zhongshan Jinli Refrigeration Equipment Manufacturing Co., Ltd., by Guangdong Vanward New Electric Co., Ltd., as requested by the Government of China;
- (iii) To request the Government of China and the World Bank to submit a final progress report to the Executive Committee once the demonstration project had been completed, including conversion of the four downstream foam enterprises;

Conversion from HCFC-141b-based to HFC-245fa-based spray polyurethane foam at Harbin Tianshuo Building Materials Co.

- (iv) To note the progress report on the project for the conversion from HCFC-141b-based to HFC-245fa-based spray polyurethane foam at Harbin Tianshuo Building Materials Co., submitted by the World Bank;
- (v) To request the Government of China and the World Bank to submit a final progress report to the Executive Committee once the demonstration project had been completed;

Conversion of the foam part of Jiangsu Huaiyin Huihuang Solar Co., Ltd. from HCFC-141b to cyclopentane

- (vi) To note the progress report on conversion of the foam part of Jiangsu Huaiyin Huihuang Solar Co., Ltd. from HCFC-141b to cyclopentane, submitted by the World Bank;
- (vii) To request the Government of China and the World Bank to submit a final progress report to the Executive Committee once the demonstration project had been completed.

*Chiller replacement projects*

- (l) To note the submission of a progress report on the World Bank's "Global chiller replacement project";
- (m) To include Argentina as one of the beneficiary countries under the global chiller project, with conditions identical to those specified in decisions 46/33 and 47/26; and
- (n) To request UNDP and UNIDO to submit progress reports on the implementation of chiller projects not yet completed to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting, including information on co-funding, as well as on the remaining chillers and those already converted for each of their activities and on the climate impact of the chosen alternatives.

**(Decision 65/10)****AGENDA ITEM 7: PROJECT PROPOSALS****(a) Overview of issues identified during project review**

59. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/13, which contained an analysis of the projects and activities submitted to the present meeting, issues identified during project review, the list of projects and activities submitted for blanket approval and the list of investment projects for individual consideration.

*Flexibility provision under HCFC phase-out management plans*

60. With regard to the degree of flexibility allowed in the implementation of HPMPs, the representative of the Secretariat recalled that the Committee, at its 64<sup>th</sup> meeting, had discussed the flexibility provision under HPMPs in relation to technology changes and funding reallocation among sectors, but had not reached a decision on whether to continue considering the matter on a case-by-case basis or to take a policy decision on the matter.

61. During the ensuing discussion, several members spoke in favour of taking a policy decision on the issue of flexibility, given that HCFC phase-out was technically challenging and flexibility was needed to accommodate changes in technology and the potential need for reallocation of funding between sectors, and to ensure completion of projects on schedule. Other members, however, favoured continuance of the current practice of consideration on a case-by-case basis. One member argued that such an approach was more likely to ensure that funding was allocated according to the project agreement.

62. The Executive Committee decided to consider the matter of the flexibility provision under stage I HPMPs in relation to technology changes and funding reallocation among sectors on a case-by-case basis and to reconsider the issue at a later stage.

**(Decision 65/11)***Provision of relevant information in project documentation*

63. One member drew attention to a policy issue of concern, namely the provision of information on second-stage conversions in the relevant documentation. In a number of instances, she said, inadequate information was being provided to the Executive Committee to allow assessment of whether funding of second-stage conversions was necessary to meet compliance targets, or was the most cost-effective means

of achieving those targets. It was therefore difficult to determine whether HCFC guidelines were being met.

64. There were also instances before the Committee in which countries were seeking funding for second-stage conversions to phase out HCFC-141b-based pre-blended polyols not reported under Article 7. Under the HCFC guidelines, second-stage conversions were eligible for funding only if they were necessary or were the most cost-effective projects to meet the targets under the Montreal Protocol, based on consumption reported under Article 7.

65. One member said that it could prove useful to develop a template outlining the kinds of information and data that agencies were required to provide under decision 62/16. He added that, while most of the discussion on second-stage conversions had thus far focused on a possible generic approach, other projects might be considered on a case-by-case basis.

66. The Committee recognized a further issue related to second-stage conversions, namely situations in which previous conversions in enterprises had been eligible for financing, but not all production lines had been converted or new lines had been added later, and further clarity was needed as to whether eligibility for further conversions should be taken line by line, or for the enterprise as a whole. On that issue, one member, supported by others, said that while financing in the first instance had referred to the manufacturing capacity of certain lines of production, any further lines set up after the initial funding should not be considered for second-stage conversion. Except in those situations, that should be dealt with separately, such a general rule would be of assistance in guiding the implementing agencies in their project preparation.

67. The Committee agreed to establish a contact group to consider the matter further.

68. After hearing the report of the contact group, the Executive Committee decided to request the Secretariat to prepare for the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting:

- (a) A document containing information on previous conversions funded by the Multilateral Fund, and describing the conditions under which agreements were signed with Article 5 countries on the phase-out of CFCs; and
- (b) A document on options for a tracking system to correlate, by country, the amounts of HCFC 141b-based pre-blended polyols exported by systems houses with the amounts used by foam enterprises in importing Article 5 countries that had been approved for phase-out, which could be updated on a periodic basis.

**(Decision 65/12)**

*Projects submitted for blanket approval*

69. When considering the list of projects and activities for blanket approval, the Executive Committee agreed to remove project preparation for HPMP investment activities for Argentina, from the list of projects and activities recommended for blanket approval and to consider it in connection with the amendments to the World Bank's work programme for 2012 (see paragraph 82).

70. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the projects and activities submitted for blanket approval at the levels of funding indicated in Annex IV to the present report, together with the conditions or provisions included in the corresponding project evaluation documents and the conditions attached to the projects by the Executive Committee; and

- (b) That, for projects related to renewal of institutional strengthening, blanket approval included approval of the observations to be communicated to recipient governments contained in Annex V to the present report.

**(Decision 65/13)**

**(b) Bilateral cooperation**

71. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/14.

72. With regard to the request for project preparation submitted by the Czech Republic, several members noted that the amount of detailed information available to consider the ODS demonstration projects in four LVC countries was insufficient and did not meet the requirements of decision 58/19. Furthermore, the volume of ODS for disposal for the four countries was not very clear. Another member added that demonstration projects for ODS destruction and disposal were not required for compliance with the Montreal Protocol. Nevertheless, the project represented the first regional project for ODS disposal and would serve as an interesting example for other LVC countries. If the necessary information were provided at the time the full project was submitted, project preparation could then be approved.

73. One member informed the Committee that the project for the first tranche of an HPMP for Botswana had been withdrawn.

74. With regard to approval of only a portion of the first tranche of the HPMP for Zimbabwe in Germany's 2009-2011 bilateral allocation, one member said that he had been assured by the German bilateral agency that funding of the project in the next triennium would not delay its implementation and would not raise issues of compliance for Zimbabwe.

75. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the bilateral component of the request for project preparation for the development of a strategy for disposal and destruction of ozone-depleting substances for four low-volume-consuming countries in the Europe and Central Asia region (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro and Turkmenistan) at the level of funding of US \$35,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,550 for the Government of the Czech Republic, on the condition that, upon submission of the full demonstration project, the information required by decision 58/19 would be provided;
- (b) To request the Treasurer to offset the costs of the bilateral projects approved at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting as follows:
- (i) US \$39,550 (including agency fees) against the balance of the Czech Republic's bilateral contribution for 2011; and
- (ii) US \$8,446 (including agency fees) against the balance of Germany's bilateral contribution for 2009-2011 and US \$469,512 (including agency fees) to be offset against Germany's approved pledge for 2012-2014.

**(Decision 65/14)**

**(c) Amendments to work programmes for 2011**

**(i) UNDP**

76. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/15, indicating that the projects contained in UNDP's work programme amendments had been approved under agenda item 7(a) (see paragraph 70).

**(ii) UNEP**

77. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/16, indicating that the projects contained in UNEP's work programme amendments had been approved under agenda item 7(a) (see paragraph 70).

**(iii) UNIDO**

78. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/17, indicating that the institutional strengthening projects contained in UNIDO's work programme amendments had been approved under agenda item 7(a) (see paragraph 70).

Project preparation for the development of a strategy for disposal and destruction of ozone-depleting substances for four low-volume-consuming countries in the Europe and Central Asia region (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro and Turkmenistan)

79. The representative of the Secretariat recalled that the Executive Committee had already approved the bilateral component of the request for project preparation for the development of a strategy for disposal and destruction of ODS for four LVC countries in the Europe and Central Asia region under agenda item 7(b) (see decision 65/14).

80. The Executive Committee decided to approve the implementing agency component of the request for project preparation for the development of a strategy for disposal and destruction of ozone-depleting substances for four low-volume-consuming countries in the Europe and Central Asia region (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro and Turkmenistan) at the level of funding of US \$35,000, plus agency support costs of US \$2,625 for UNIDO, on the condition that, upon submission of the full demonstration project, the information required by decision 58/19 would be provided.

**(Decision 65/15)**

**(iv) World Bank**

81. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/18.

Argentina: Project preparation for HPMP investment activities

82. One member sought clarification on whether the intention behind the request to prepare an HCFC foam sector plan in Argentina was to include the foam sector plan within stage I or stage II of the HPMP, noting that there was limited time available to prepare a new project that would contribute to meeting the 2013 and 2015 control targets. Following confirmation by the World Bank that the project would be part of stage I of the HPMP and would be necessary for compliance with 2013 and 2015 control measures, the member expressed an expectation that, given the level of project preparation already approved for Argentina, the foam sector plan should assist the country in meeting HCFC targets beyond 10 per cent reduction step in 2015.



83. On that understanding, the Executive Committee decided to approve additional funding for project preparation for HPMP investment activities in Argentina at the amount of US \$120,000, plus agency support costs of US \$9,000 for the World Bank.

**(Decision 65/16)**

**(d) Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) budget for 2012**

84. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/19.

85. A number of members expressed appreciation to UNEP for its restraint in confining increases to salary costs, as requested.

86. Members proposed several ways in which UNEP could make further savings in its CAP budget, including merging several budget lines, or by saving salary costs when positions were temporarily vacant. It was pointed out that UNEP was now actively implementing many HPMPs for LVC countries, for which support costs were provided. It was suggested that UNEP ensure that expenditures from the CAP budget and those from HPMP funds be closely monitored and recorded. An observation was made that UNEP might be exceeding its current mandate for compliance assistance by its reference to climate issues in its programme.

87. Several members commented on the paucity of information on South-South cooperation in Latin America and the Caribbean and UNEP was requested to provide additional information, in line with decision 62/24. It was also requested to make every effort to avoid an increase in the budget lines for activities in its future CAP budget and to propose further savings in the current budget, taking into account the advice given by members.

88. The Executive Committee agreed to refer the matter to a contact group for further discussion.

89. After hearing the report of the contact group, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) budget for 2012 at the amount of US \$8,987,000, plus agency support costs of 8 per cent, amounting to US \$718,960 for UNEP, as contained in Annex VI to the present report;
- (b) To request UNEP, in future submissions of the CAP budget:
  - (i) To continue to provide detailed information on the activities for which the global funds would be used;
  - (ii) To continue to extend the prioritization of funding between CAP budget lines so as to accommodate changing priorities, and to provide details on the reallocations made for its budget following decisions 47/24 and 50/26, on the condition that such reallocations did not result in savings from movement of personnel-related costs to other activity lines;
  - (iii) To continue to report on the current staff post levels and to inform the Executive Committee of any changes thereto, particularly in respect of increased budget allocations; and

- (iv) To provide a report in the context of UNEP's role in implementation of HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs), clearly delineating expenditures made from the CAP budget and those made from HPMP funds.

**(Decision 65/17)**

**(e) 2012 core unit costs for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank, and administrative cost regime for the 2012-2014 triennium (decisions 62/25(c) and 64/6(c)(iii))**

90. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/20, drawing attention to an error in recommendation (b)(iii), where the triennium should read 2012-2014 instead of 2015-2017.

91. One member remarked that it was timely for the Executive Committee to consider modifications to the administrative cost regime, which had been adopted in 2002, because, *inter alia*, support costs were rising gradually each year and would therefore increase substantially over the implementation period, which could extend to 2030 and beyond. It was suggested that a graduated scale might be useful to account for the economies of scale inherent in larger projects and reduce the level of support costs for such projects. Other members supported that idea, and echoed the concern that support costs had been increasing gradually and the desire to avoid that.

92. Other members urged that the original funding levels be retained, as the implementing agencies provided valuable support to countries implementing their projects and there was a need to ensure that sufficient funds were provided to the implementing agencies to assist Article 5 countries in implementing HPMPs.

93. The Executive Committee agreed to refer the matter to a contact group for further discussion. After hearing the report of the contact group, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the report on the 2012 core unit costs for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank, and on the administrative cost regime for the 2012-2014 triennium, as presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/20;
- (b) To approve the 2012 core unit budgets in the following amounts:
  - (i) US \$1,984,561 for UNDP, representing a 0.7 per cent increase over 2011 levels;
  - (ii) US \$1,984,561 for UNIDO, representing a 0.7 per cent increase over 2011 levels;
  - (iii) US \$1,724,644 for the World Bank, representing a 0.68 per cent increase over 2011 levels;
- (c) To request:
  - (i) The implementing agencies to consider the options in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/20 and other possible options for an administrative cost regime for 2012-2014 and to report thereon to the Fund Secretariat;
  - (ii) The Fund Secretariat, taking into account the input provided by the implementing agencies as per sub-paragraph (c)(i) above, to provide a further assessment of administrative costs for the 2012-2014 triennium to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting, including options that were discussed during the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting; and

- (d) At its 66<sup>th</sup> meeting, to consider further requesting the implementing agencies to provide an estimation of the use of administrative costs for reporting, project implementation and internal requirements.

**(Decision 65/18)**

**(f) Investment projects**

**Methyl bromide**

Ecuador: Technical assistance to eliminate the remaining consumption of methyl bromide so as to be in compliance with the total phase-out (UNIDO/UNEP)

94. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/31.

95. It was observed that the proposed project had not been included in the business plans for 2011 and that, in principle, it should not have been submitted or reviewed. As it had already been reviewed by the Secretariat, however, it could be approved exceptionally on the understanding that, in the future, projects that were not in the business plans would not be reviewed by the Secretariat or placed before the Executive Committee, unless there was some urgent and compelling reason for doing so.

96. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for technical assistance to eliminate the remaining consumption of methyl bromide so as to be in compliance with the total phase-out in Ecuador, at a total cost of US \$317,500, plus agency support costs of US \$23,813 for UNIDO, and US \$12,500, plus agency support costs of US \$1,625 for UNEP, on the understanding that no additional funding would be provided to Ecuador for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide in the country.

**(Decision 65/19)**

Kenya: Technical assistance for the final elimination of methyl bromide in the post-harvest sector (UNIDO)

97. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/40.

98. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the request for technical assistance for the final elimination of methyl bromide in the post-harvest sector in Kenya at a total cost of US \$287,700, plus agency support costs of US \$21,578 for UNIDO, on the understanding that no additional funding would be provided to Kenya for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide in the country; and
- (b) To approve the revised Agreement between the Government of Kenya and the Executive Committee for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide, contained in Annex VII to the present report.

**(Decision 65/20)**

### **HPMPs for low-volume-consuming countries**

#### Angola: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP)

99. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/21.

100. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Angola for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$176,000, plus agency support costs of US \$15,840 for UNDP;
- (b) To note that the Government of Angola had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 15.95 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 19.25 ODP tonnes and 12.65 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To deduct 1.59 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Angola and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex VIII to the present report;
- (e) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Angola, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$86,222, plus agency support costs of US \$7,760 for UNDP.

**(Decision 65/21)**

#### Bahamas: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

101. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/22.

102. It was observed that it would be useful to revise the proposal to develop a strategy for the use of more climate-friendly alternatives to HCFCs, and that it might be useful for the Bahamas to take a staged approach that shortened the period for stage I and allowed for the use of new alternatives with a lower GWP during stage II as they became available. Further details were also requested on the activities that had been included to address the high leakage rates.

103. The representative of UNIDO said that, although the Bahamas was willing to explore the use of other alternatives to HCFCs with a lower GWP such as propane, it had few alternatives to the use of R-410A at the present time. He also explained that UNIDO intended to address the problem of the leakage rates by developing a training programme for those using the equipment concerned.

104. Following informal consultations, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for the Bahamas for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$342,345, consisting of US \$156,900, plus agency support costs of US \$20,397 for UNEP, and US \$151,420, plus agency support costs of US \$13,628 for UNIDO;
- (b) To note that the Government of the Bahamas had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 4.81 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 3.50 ODP tonnes and 6.13 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To deduct 1.68 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Bahamas and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex IX to the present report; and
- (e) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Bahamas, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$135,156, consisting of US \$18,200, plus agency support costs of US \$2,366 for UNEP, and US \$105,128, plus agency support costs of US \$9,462 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 65/22)**

Burundi: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

105. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/27.

106. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Burundi for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$368,760, consisting of US \$172,000, plus agency support costs of US \$22,360 for UNEP, and US \$160,000, plus agency support costs of US \$14,400 for UNIDO;
- (b) To note that the Government of Burundi had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 7.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 6.90 ODP tonnes and 7.40 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To deduct 2.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Burundi and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex X to the present report;

- (e) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Burundi, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$138,050, consisting of US \$45,000, plus agency support costs of US \$5,850 for UNEP, and US \$80,000, plus agency support costs of US \$7,200 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 65/23)**

Cuba: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP)

107. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/29.

108. It was observed that Cuba had originally asked for stage I of the proposed HPMP to cover the targets up to 2020 with the flexibility to submit a stand-alone project to address the refrigeration and air conditioning manufacturing sector at a later time. Pursuant to decision 54/39(d), the Secretariat had observed that no stand-alone projects could be submitted after 2010, and had requested that stage I should cover activities up to the 2015 control target, and that the manufacturing sector be included in stage II. However, an exception had already been made to allow for stand-alone projects for the phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols and it was desirable for Cuba to have the flexibility to include the manufacturing sector once low-GWP alternatives became available.

109. One member said that a large part of the HPMP was for the conversion of enterprises using HCFC-141b-based pre-blended polyols which were being imported, and queried whether that conversion would be cost effective.

110. Following informal discussions the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Cuba for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$1,747,527, plus agency support costs of US \$131,065 for UNDP, on the understanding that:
  - (i) US \$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and
  - (ii) US \$1,187,527 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector;
- (b) To note that the Government of Cuba had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 16.88 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.70 ODP tonnes and 22.07 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 30.23 ODP tonnes;

- (c) To deduct 19.26 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To note that during implementation of stage I of the HPMP the Government of Cuba could submit an investment project to phase-out 1.32 ODP tonnes of HCFC-22 used by the company Frioclima to manufacture refrigeration and air conditioning equipment;
- (e) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Cuba and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XI to the present document; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Cuba, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$750,000, plus agency support costs of US \$56,250 for UNDP.

**(Decision 65/24)**

Ecuador: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

111. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/31 and drew the Committee's attention to the fact that, at the time of submission and review of the HPMP, the Government of Ecuador had not included HCFCs in its ODS import/export licensing system, but was expected to approve their inclusion in November 2011.

112. During the ensuing discussion, a number of issues were raised, including how to resolve the issue of whether eligibility for funding for second-stage conversions was applicable to enterprises as a whole or to specific production lines within those enterprises, whether second-stage conversions in enterprises that used imported HCFC-141b-based pre-blended polyols were eligible, and how to ensure that double-counting was avoided in instances where HCFC-141b-based pre-blended polyols were produced in a systems house for export to an importing country for consumption in the foam manufacturing sector, and were thus subject to reduction under an HPMP. With regard to the issue of eligibility for funding for second-stage conversion, one member said that it was complex in circumstances where an enterprise had received funding for conversion of production lines from CFCs to HCFCs, and had later added further production lines that also used HCFCs. On the issue of double-counting, the representative of the Secretariat said that, following project review, funding was based on use of the ODS in specific enterprises, not in the systems house, so only reduction of HCFCs used in manufacture at the enterprise level was funded. In addition, HCFC-141b-based pre-blended polyols that were re-exported by an importing country were not eligible for funding in that country, though that situation could be complex as pre-blended polyols could be sourced from different countries.

113. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Ecuador for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$2,114,873, consisting of US \$1,846,440, plus agency support costs of US \$138,483 for UNIDO, and US \$115,000, plus agency support costs of US \$14,950 for UNEP, on the understanding that:
  - (i) US \$630,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and

- (ii) US \$1,331,440 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 14.96 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector;
- (b) To note that the Government of Ecuador had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 17.49 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 20.65 ODP tonnes and 14.32 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 38.16 ODP tonnes;
- (c) To deduct 21.08 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Ecuador and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XII to the present report;
- (e) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted;
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Ecuador, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$1,680,736, consisting of US \$1,531,940, plus agency support costs of US \$114,896 for UNIDO, and US \$30,000, plus agency support costs of US \$3,900 for UNEP; and
- (g) That funding would be disbursed only upon receipt by the Secretariat of confirmation that an adequate and operational licensing system was in place.

**(Decision 65/25)**

El Salvador: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP/UNEP)

114. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/33.

115. One member said that a date should be specified for the Government's commitment to establish a policy banning the import of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, and should be included either in the decision or the agreement. It was also pointed out that, in the agreement with El Salvador, Appendix 2-A in particular, HCFCs should be disaggregated by substance.

116. After discussion with the country, the representative of UNDP confirmed that the Government of El Salvador was willing to commit to establishing such a policy by July 2015.

117. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for El Salvador for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US\$ 1,138,423, consisting of US \$699,277, plus agency



support costs of US \$52,446 for UNDP, and US \$375,000, plus agency support costs of US \$11,700 for UNEP, on the understanding that:

- (i) US \$350,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44;
  - (ii) US \$439,277 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector; and
  - (iii) US \$285,000 were provided for institutional strengthening from July 2011 to December 2020;
- (b) To note that the Government of El Salvador had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 11.68 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.86 ODP tonnes and 11.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 16.62 ODP tonnes;
  - (c) To note the commitment by the Government of El Salvador to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2015;
  - (d) To deduct 9.02 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
  - (e) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of El Salvador and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XIII to the present report;
  - (f) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted; and
  - (g) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for El Salvador, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$742,475, consisting of US \$530,349, plus agency support costs of US \$39,776 for UNDP, and US \$166,500, plus agency support costs of US \$5,850 for UNEP.

**(Decision 65/26)**

Equatorial Guinea: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

118. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/34.

119. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Equatorial Guinea for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$349,950, consisting of US \$165,000, plus agency support costs of US \$21,450 for UNEP, and US \$150,000, plus agency support costs of US \$13,500 for UNIDO;
- (b) To note that the Government of Equatorial Guinea had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 6.29 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 6.22 ODP tonnes and 6.36 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under the HPMP;
- (c) To deduct 2.20 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Equatorial Guinea and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XIV to the present report;
- (e) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Equatorial Guinea, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$126,950, consisting of US \$40,000, plus agency support costs of US \$5,200 for UNEP, and US \$75,000, plus agency support costs of US \$6,750 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 65/27)**

Fiji: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP/UNEP)

120. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/35.

121. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Fiji for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$367,745, consisting of US \$199,500, plus agency support costs of US \$17,955 for UNDP, and US \$133,000, plus agency support costs of US \$17,290 for UNEP;
- (b) To note that the Government of Fiji had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 8.41 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 7.63 ODP tonnes and 9.18 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;

- (c) To deduct 2.94 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Fiji and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XV to the present report;
- (e) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Fiji, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$132,389, consisting of US \$71,800, plus agency support costs of US \$6,462 for UNDP, and US \$47,900, plus agency support costs of US \$6,227 for UNEP.

**(Decision 65/28)**

Gambia: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

122. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/36.

123. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for the Gambia for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$233,300, consisting of US \$110,000, plus agency support costs of US \$14,300 for UNEP, and US \$100,000, plus agency support costs of US \$9,000 for UNIDO;
- (b) To note that the Government of the Gambia had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 0.92 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 0.91 ODP tonnes and 0.94 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To deduct 0.32 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of the Gambia and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XVI to the present report;
- (e) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted; and

- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for the Gambia, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$82,750, consisting of US \$25,000, plus agency support costs of US \$3,250 for UNEP, and US \$50,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,500 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 65/29)**

Guinea-Bissau: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

124. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/37.

125. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Guinea-Bissau for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$234,100, consisting of US \$130,000, plus agency support costs of US \$16,900 for UNEP, and US \$80,000, plus agency support costs of US \$7,200 for UNIDO;
- (b) To note that the Government of Guinea-Bissau had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.43 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of zero ODP tonnes and 2.86 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To deduct 0.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Guinea-Bissau and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XVII to the present report;
- (e) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Guinea-Bissau, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$83,150, consisting of US \$35,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,550 for UNEP, and US \$40,000, plus agency support costs of US \$3,600 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 65/30)**

Nepal: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNDP)

126. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/43, drawing attention to the conditions set out when the project was approved in principle, namely that Nepal would either have to submit its instrument of ratification of the Copenhagen Amendment to the official depositary in New York, or be found by the Meeting of the Parties to be in compliance with paragraphs 8

and 9 of Article 4 of the Protocol. She advised the Committee that Nepal had submitted the request for the first tranche of the HPMP to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting for approval in order to allow implementation to start as soon as ratification had been confirmed. The representative of UNEP confirmed that, at present, although the Government of Nepal had not yet finalized ratification of the Copenhagen Amendment, it had made progress since the meeting of the Open-Ended Working Group, notably by placing the bill of ratification on the Parliament's agenda.

127. In the ensuing discussion, several members were sympathetic to the situation of Nepal, expressing appreciation for the level of commitment it had shown to phase out HCFCs, while acknowledging that, without ratification of the Copenhagen Amendment, the country would be under no legal obligation under the Protocol to phase out HCFCs. A number of members considered that the progress shown by Nepal justified disbursement of at least the first tranche of funding for the HPMP, and argued that the special nature of the situation required a flexible and pragmatic approach. One member suggested that no more than the first tranche should be disbursed until ratification.

128. One member said that the lack of legal obligation would mean that the country was not subject to referral to the Implementation Committee in possible instances of non-compliance, and approval of a project under such circumstances would set an unfortunate precedent. The Executive Committee had, in fact, already provided positive assistance in approving funds for preparation of the HPMP and consistent with the guidelines expected that the country would have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment when the full HPMP was submitted for approval.

129. The Executive Committee decided to request UNEP to re-submit the request for the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP of US \$116,970, consisting of US \$63,000, plus agency support costs of US \$8,190 for UNEP, and US \$42,000, plus agency support costs of US \$3,780 for UNDP, and the draft Agreement, only after the country had submitted its instruments of ratification for the Copenhagen Amendment to the United Nations Treaty Depository Office in New York.

**(Decision 65/31)**

Sierra Leone: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

130. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/48.

131. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Sierra Leone for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$233,300, consisting of US \$110,000, plus agency support costs of US \$14,300 for UNEP, and US \$100,000, plus agency support costs of US \$9,000 for UNIDO;
- (b) To note that the Government of Sierra Leone had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.67 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 1.54 ODP tonnes and 1.80 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To deduct 0.58 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;

- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Sierra Leone and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XVIII to the present report;
- (e) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Sierra Leone, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$82,750, consisting of US \$25,000, plus agency support costs of US \$3,250 for UNEP, and US \$50,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,500 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 65/32)**

Suriname: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

132. The Chair introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/49.

133. One member, supported by another, suggested that stage I of the HPMP be limited to a 10 per cent reduction by 2015 to allow the use of new low GWP alternatives up to stage II, as they became available, and that stage II include policies such as duties or taxes to influence use of any systems that had been imported. Those concerns were allayed by discussions with a member who was familiar with the existing technologies in Suriname.

134. Following informal discussions, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Suriname for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$233,060, consisting of US \$104,000, plus agency support costs of US \$13,520 for UNEP, and US \$106,000, plus agency support costs of US \$9,540 for UNIDO;
- (b) To note that the Government of Suriname had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 1.98 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 2.68 ODP tonnes and 1.28 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To deduct 0.69 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Suriname and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XIX to the present report; and

- (e) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Suriname, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$104,630, consisting of US \$27,000, plus agency support costs of US \$3,510 for UNEP, and US \$68,000, plus agency support costs of US \$6,120 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 65/33)**

Zimbabwe: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (Germany)

135. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/52.
136. Several members noted that Zimbabwe had a number of second-stage conversions, which were related to imports of HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended polyols that were not reported under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol. Funding for those conversions was only for technical assistance. They indicated that they could approve the project if Zimbabwe's commitment to ban import of those substances by 1 January 2015 was included in the decision.
137. The Executive Committee decided:
- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Zimbabwe for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$1,038,818, plus agency support costs of US \$124,270, for the Government of Germany, on the understanding that:
    - (i) US \$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and
    - (ii) US \$478,818 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFCs used in the foam manufacturing sector;
  - (b) To note that the Government of Zimbabwe had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 15.44 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 12.38 ODP tonnes and 18.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 21.55 ODP tonnes;
  - (c) To note the commitment by the Government of Zimbabwe to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2015;
  - (d) To deduct 11.51 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
  - (e) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Zimbabwe and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XX to the present report; and

- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Zimbabwe, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$426,891, plus agency support costs of US \$51,068 for the Government of Germany, noting that US \$7,474, plus agency support costs of US \$972, would be allocated at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting and that the Government of Germany would submit a request for the remaining balance of US \$419,417, plus agency support costs of US \$50,096, to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**(Decision 65/34)**

### **HPMPs and sector plans for non-LVC countries**

#### Bahrain: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

138. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/23.
139. Several members commented that the mandate of the Executive Committee did not permit it to approve a project that condoned non-compliance under the Montreal Protocol. Only the Parties could make such a decision. The Secretariat had proposed several options that would allow the country to become compliant, but the Government of Bahrain had found them unsuitable.
140. The Chair suggested that the representative of Bahrain meet with the representative of the implementing agency to discuss the proposed options again. Depending on the outcome of those discussions, a contact group might be set up for further discussions.
141. Subsequently, the representative of UNEP reported that Bahrain had decided to withdraw the project proposal.

#### Bangladesh: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP/UNEP)

142. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/24.
143. One member commented that the wording of the draft decision proposed by the Secretariat was not consistent with that of other approvals, lacking the actual target to be reached by the country.
144. The Executive Committee decided:
- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Bangladesh for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 30 per cent of the baseline, at the amount of US \$460,275 consisting of US \$55,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,125 for UNDP, and US \$355,000, plus agency support costs of US \$46,150 for UNEP, and to note that the project to phase out 20.20 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used for polyurethane foam insulation in the manufacture of domestic refrigerators at Walton Industries, at the amount of US \$1,146,074, plus agency support costs of US \$85,956 for UNDP, had already been approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP;
- (b) To note that with the amounts referred to in paragraph (a) above, the total funding for stage I of the HPMP for Bangladesh amounts to US \$1,556,074, plus agency support costs of US \$136,231.
- (c) To note that the Government of Bangladesh had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 72.65 ODP tonnes, calculated using the actual consumption of 67.75 ODP tonnes and



77.56 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;

- (d) To note the deduction of 20.20 ODP tonnes from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting, and to deduct a further 4.33 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for implementation of stage I of the HPMP;
- (e) To note that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Bangladesh from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP;
- (f) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Bangladesh and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXI to the present document;
- (g) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption; and
- (h) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Bangladesh, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$319,025, consisting of US \$55,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,125 for UNDP, and US \$230,000, plus agency support costs of US \$29,900 for UNEP.

**(Decision 65/35)**

China: Sector plan for phase-out of HCFCs in the solvent sector (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP)

145. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/28.
146. Members requested additional information to be provided regarding technology selection, costs and justification for conversion of enterprises that had previously received support from the Multilateral Fund.
147. Following discussions in a contact group, it was reported that those issues had been satisfactorily resolved.
148. The Executive Committee decided:
- (a) To approve, in principle, the solvent sector plan under stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for China for the period 2011 to 2015, at the amount of US \$5,000,000, plus agency support costs for UNDP;
  - (b) To deduct 65.9 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
  - (c) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of China and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXII to the present report, to replace the previous Agreement approved at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting by decision 64/49;

- (d) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update the Agreement, including Appendix 2-A, with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption accordingly; and
- (e) To approve the first tranche of the solvent sector plan under stage I of the HPMP for China and the corresponding implementation plan for 2011-2012 at the amount of US \$2,500,000, plus agency support costs of US \$187,500 for UNDP.

**(Decision 65/36)**

Dominican Republic: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP/UNEP)

149. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/30.
150. The Executive Committee decided:
- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for the Dominican Republic for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$1,468,459, consisting of US \$1,313,450, plus agency support costs of US \$98,509 for UNDP, and US \$50,000, plus agency support costs of US \$6,500 for UNEP; and to note that the project to phase out 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used in the polyurethane rigid insulation foam for the manufacture of commercial refrigerators at FARCO, at the amount of US \$332,775, plus agency support costs of US \$24,958 for UNDP had already been approved at the 61<sup>st</sup> meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP;
  - (b) To note that with the amounts referred to in paragraph (a) above, the total funding for stage I of the HPMP for Dominican Republic amounts to US \$1,696,225, plus agency support costs of US \$129,967.
  - (c) To note that the Government of the Dominican Republic had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 51.20 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 48.49 ODP tonnes and 53.92 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol plus the 2007-2009 average amount of HCFC-141b contained in imported preblended polyols of 19.51 ODP tonnes, resulting in 70.71 ODP tonnes;
  - (d) To note the commitment of the Government of the Dominican Republic to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, no later than 1 January 2016;
  - (e) To note the deduction of 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for one project approved at the 61<sup>st</sup> meeting, and to deduct a further 23.40 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP;
  - (f) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of the Dominican Republic and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXIII to the present report; and

- (g) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for the Dominican Republic, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$759,250, consisting of US \$680,000, plus agency support costs of US \$51,000 for UNDP, and US \$25,000, plus agency support costs of US \$3,250 for UNEP.

**(Decision 65/37)**

Egypt: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP/UNIDO)

151. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/32, drawing attention to a request for a change in the starting point to account for the use of imported pre-blended polyols identified only late in the project preparation process, as well as the accelerated phase-out to be achieved. Members raised concerns regarding the adoption of policies to ban the importation of pre-blended polyols containing HCFC-141b to support sustained phase-out, as well as ongoing questions regarding a general policy on second-stage conversions and import and export of pre-blended polyols.

152. Following discussions in the contact group on second-stage conversions, the use of pre-blended polyols containing HCFC-141b and commitments by the Government of Egypt to introduce policy measures to control and ban the import of HCFC-141b, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the request by UNIDO for the cancellation of the project for the conversion from HCFC-141b to cyclopentane in the manufacture of polyurethane foam at Delta Electric Appliances, approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee, with a total phase-out of 80.91 mt (8.90 ODP tonnes) of HCFC-141b, given that the enterprise had been purchased by non-Article 5 capital, and that the approved funding of US \$422,740, plus agency support costs of US \$31,706, would be returned to the Multilateral Fund at the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (b) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Egypt for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 25 per cent, at the amount of US \$6,610,148, consisting of US \$ 1,432,575, plus agency support costs of US \$107,443 for UNIDO, and US \$4,716,400, plus agency support costs of US \$353,730 for UNDP, and to note that two foam projects to phase out 17.60 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b at the amount of US \$892,840, plus agency support costs of US \$66,963 for UNIDO, as well as four foam projects to phase out 37.40 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b at the amount of US \$1,479,000, plus agency support costs of US \$115,463 for UNDP, had already been approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP;
- (c) To note that with the amounts referred to in paragraph (b) above, the total funding for stage I of the HPMP for Egypt amounts to US \$8,520,815 plus agency support costs of US \$643,599.
- (d) To note a revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption calculated on the basis of the baseline of 386.27 ODP tonnes, using actual consumption of 396.60 ODP tonnes and 375.93 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 98.34 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 484.61 ODP tonnes;
- (e) To note the commitment by the Government of Egypt to introduce an HCFC import quota system, including HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols and all

HCFC refrigerant blends by 1 January 2013, further noting the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b pre-blended polyols by 1 January 2018, and the commitment by the Government to ban imports of pure HCFC-141b once all eligible enterprises using HCFC-141b were converted to non-HCFC technology;

- (f) To note the deduction of 55.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for six projects approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting, and deducting a further 119.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP;
- (g) To note that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Egypt from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP;
- (h) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Egypt and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXIV to the present report; and
- (i) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Egypt, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$3,171,250, consisting of US \$950,000, plus agency support costs of US \$71,250 for UNIDO, and US \$2,000,000, plus agency support costs of US \$150,000 for UNDP.

**(Decision 65/38)**

Iraq: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

153. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/38.

154. The Executive Committee recognized the difficult situation regarding implementation and enforcement of ODS phase-out in Iraq, the particular efforts undertaken in the HPMP to strengthen enforcement in the country, and the high level of commitment to HCFC phase-out shown by the Government of Iraq when proposing an acceleration of the phase-out schedule.

155. The Executive Committee therefore decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Iraq for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 13.82 per cent of the baseline, at the amount of US \$1,305,450, consisting of US \$770,000, plus agency support costs of US \$94,700 for UNEP, and US \$410,000, plus agency support costs of US \$30,750 for UNIDO;
- (b) To note that the approved funding included US \$40,000 for security-related costs, provided on an exceptional basis, to enable the implementation of the programme, and not taken into account when establishing the reduction of eligibility associated with the activities, particularly in the servicing sector;
- (c) To note that the Government of Iraq had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 108.38 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 110.96 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol and estimated consumption of 105.80 ODP tonnes for 2010;

- (d) To deduct 14.98 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (e) To note that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Iraq from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP;
- (f) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Iraq and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXV to the present report;
- (g) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption; and
- (h) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Iraq, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$215,144, consisting of US \$115,000, plus agency support costs of US \$14,144 for UNEP, and US \$80,000, plus agency support costs of US \$6,000 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 65/39)**

Jordan: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNIDO/World Bank)

156. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/39/Rev.1, containing a revised submission of the HPMP for Jordan, which had previously been considered at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting. Further clarifications were requested with regard to the nature and extent of the changes to the HPMP, as well as to the extent of commitments in the Agreement with the Government of Jordan, and a contact group was formed to further discuss those issues.

157. Following discussion in the contact group, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Jordan for the period 2011 to 2017 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline at the amount of US \$2,617,217, consisting of US \$92,184, plus agency support costs of US \$8,297 for UNIDO, and US \$2,341,150, plus agency support costs of US \$175,586 for the World Bank, and to note that the project to phase out HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment at Petra Engineering Industries Co., at the amount of US \$2,167,033, plus agency support costs of US \$162,527 for UNIDO, had already been approved at the 60<sup>th</sup> meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP;
- (b) To note that with the amounts referred to in paragraph (a) above, the total funding for stage I of the HPMP for Jordan amounts to US \$4,600,367, plus agency support costs of US \$346,410.
- (c) To note that the Government of Jordan had agreed at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the estimated baseline of 82.98 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, amended by 11.31 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended polyol systems, representing the average of such imports for eligible enterprises between 2007 and 2009, resulting in 94.29 ODP tonnes;

- (d) To note:
- (i) The commitment of the Government of Jordan to ban the manufacture and import of HCFC-based, residential air-conditioning equipment by 31 December 2016;
  - (ii) That the overall air-conditioning sector plan would incorporate policy and technical approaches to improve the energy efficiency of residential air-conditioning equipment to offset the climate impact of the alternative refrigerant, HFC-410A;
  - (iii) To note the commitment of the Government of Jordan to achieve energy consumption for residential air conditioners using HFC-410A at least equal to or lower than the HCFC-22 air conditioners they replaced;
  - (iv) That the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Jordan from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP;
  - (v) That with the request for funding of the final tranche a verification would be submitted for the year 2015 as specified in paragraph 5(b) of the draft Agreement between the Government of Jordan and the Executive Committee;
  - (vi) That stage II of the HPMP would focus on conversion to low-GWP alternatives in the foam sector;
- (e) To request the World Bank to report on progress achieved when submitting the request for the second tranche;
- (f) To note the deduction of 8.06 ODP tonnes of HCFCs (125 mt of HCFC-22 and 10.8 mt of HCFC-141b) from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for a project at Petra Engineering Co., and to deduct a further 17.44 ODP tonnes of HCFCs (317.1 mt of HCFC-22) for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP;
- (g) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Jordan and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXVI to the present report; and
- (h) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Jordan, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$1,226,658, consisting of US \$70,000, plus agency support costs of US \$6,300 for UNIDO, and US \$1,070,100, plus agency support costs of US \$80,258 for the World Bank.

**(Decision 65/40)**

Malaysia: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP)

158. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/41, and explained that, in light of the concerns that had been raised at the present meeting by the members of the Executive Committee, the Secretariat had developed an analysis of the need for second-stage conversions in stage I of the HPMP.

159. The members welcomed the analysis of the Secretariat on second-stage conversions. Members noted that the proposal covered 19 per cent of the baseline and suggested an extended commitment for

stage I of the HPMP for Malaysia be considered. Concern was also expressed at the cost of the technical assistance to the four locally-owned systems houses, for which there appeared to be no associated ODP reduction, and it was pointed out that despite the focus on larger enterprises there did not appear to be any associated economies of scale.

160. Following discussion in a contact group, issues related to additional justification of the need for second- stage conversions in stage I of the HPMP, the cost-effectiveness of the projects and the lack of ODP reductions associated with the technical assistance component for systems houses were successfully resolved, and it was agreed that the ODP reduction component could be extended to an additional 5 per cent in 2016.

161. One member requested that the implementing agencies be asked to report back on the state of the adoption of alternative technologies by systems houses by the end of 2013 and provide confirmation that HCFC import quotas had been established.

162. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Malaysia for the period 2011 to 2016 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline, at the amount of US \$9,587,470, plus agency support costs of US \$719,060 for UNDP,
- (b) To note that the Government of Malaysia had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 515.76 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 494.04 ODP tonnes and 537.47 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To deduct 103.02 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To note that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Malaysia from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP;
- (e) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Malaysia and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXVII to the present report;
- (f) To request UNDP to report at the end of 2013 the status of the adoption of low global warming potential (GWP) alternative technologies by the four systems houses assisted in the technical assistance component and to provide confirmation that the HCFC import quota has been established; and
- (g) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Malaysia, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$5,000,000, plus agency support costs of US \$375,000 for UNDP.

**(Decision 65/41)**

Morocco: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNIDO)

163. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/42, adding that the Government of Morocco had made a commitment to reduce its HCFC consumption by 20 per cent by 2017.

164. Two members welcomed the commitment of the Government of Morocco to further reduce its HCFC consumption, and one member encouraged Morocco to sign the Beijing and Copenhagen amendments to the Protocol.

165. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Morocco for the period 2011 to 2017 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline, at the amount of US \$335,000, plus agency support costs of US \$25,125 for UNIDO, and to note that the project to phase out 11.00 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used in the manufacture of polyurethane rigid insulation foam for domestic refrigerators at Manar Company at the amount of US \$951,740, plus agency support costs of US \$71,381 for UNIDO, had already been approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting and had been included in stage I of the HPMP;
- (b) To note that with the amounts referred to in paragraph (a) above, the total funding for stage I of the HPMP for Morocco amounts to US \$1,286,740, plus agency support costs of US \$96,506.
- (c) To note that the Government of Morocco had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the consumption of 68.00 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, which were the latest data available when the HCFC phase-out project for Manar Company had been approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting;
- (d) To note the deduction of 11.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting, and to deduct a further 5.77 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for implementation of stage I of the HPMP;
- (e) To note that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not prevent Morocco from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption greater than that proposed in stage I of the HPMP;
- (f) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Morocco and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXVIII to the present report; and
- (g) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Morocco, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$80,000, plus agency support costs of US \$6,000 for UNIDO.

**(Decision 65/42)**



Oman: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

166. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/44.

167. In response to a query from one member, the representative of the Secretariat reported that Oman had in place an HCFC licensing and quota system that met the requirements under the Montreal Protocol. The system was to be updated to bring it into conformity with the regulations of the Gulf Cooperation Council.

168. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Oman for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$471,354, consisting of US \$349,120, plus agency support costs of US \$26,184 for UNIDO, and US \$85,000, plus agency support costs of US \$11,050 for UNEP;
- (b) To request UNIDO to report to the 69<sup>th</sup> meeting whether an updated licensing and quota system had been enacted before 1 January 2013;
- (c) To note that the Government of Oman had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 31.47 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 30.7 ODP tonnes and 32.2 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 1.10 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 32.57 ODP tonnes;
- (d) To deduct 1.1 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (e) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Oman and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXIX to the present report; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Oman, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$411,129, consisting of US \$314,120, plus agency support costs of US \$23,559 for UNIDO, and US \$65,000, plus agency support costs of US \$8,450 for UNEP.

**(Decision 65/43)**

Panama: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP/UNEP)

169. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/45.

170. One member, noting that the proposal would cover over 10 per cent of the baseline by 2015, suggested that the commitment period be extended to 2016. In response to a question from another member regarding the rate of reduction for that year, he cited precedents set at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting, at which the Executive Committee had decided that countries could phase out more than 10 per cent of the required amount, which would be counted towards future reductions.

171. In discussions with the implementing agencies the outstanding issue was resolved, and it was agreed that no additional commitment would be requested.

172. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Panama for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$364,561, consisting of US \$265,545, plus agency support costs of US \$19,916 for UNDP, and US \$70,000, plus agency support costs of US \$9,100 for UNEP;
- (b) To note that the Government of Panama had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 24.77 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 24.96 ODP tonnes and 24.58 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 2.5 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 27.27 ODP tonnes;
- (c) To deduct 4.78 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Panama and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXX to the present report;
- (e) To request the Fund Secretariat, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Panama, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$182,281, consisting of US \$132,773, plus agency support costs of US \$9,958 for UNDP, and US \$35,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,550 for UNEP.

**(Decision 65/44)**

Qatar: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNIDO and UNEP)

173. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/46, highlighting the very high consumption of HCFC-22 in the servicing sector in Qatar compared to similar countries. Following some discussion, a contact group was set up to consider the matter. When reporting back, the convener informed the Committee that UNIDO had reported that the service sector consumption included 618 mt of HCFC-22 that was a one-off consequence of the establishment of major infrastructure in the country and that Qatar had agreed to subtract that amount from its starting point. He reported further that agreement had been reached to approve the HPMP for Qatar.

174. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note that the Government of Qatar had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 86.08 ODP

tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 79.75 ODP tonnes and 92.41 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;

- (b) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Qatar for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet a 20 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$2,206,395, consisting of US \$1,726,600, plus agency support costs of US \$129,495 for UNIDO and US \$310,000, plus agency support costs of US \$40,300 for UNEP;
- (c) To deduct 45.81 ODP tonnes from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Qatar and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXXI to the present report;
- (e) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Qatar, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$1,243,000, consisting of US \$1,045,907, plus agency support costs of US \$78,443 for UNIDO, and US \$105,000, plus agency support costs of US \$13,650 for UNEP; and
- (f) To approve the reallocation of funding remaining from the terminal phase-out management plan (TPMP) of US \$110,000, plus agency support costs for UNIDO and US \$60,000, plus agency support costs for UNEP, as agreed under the TPMP, in line with the implementation plans provided.

**(Decision 65/45)**

Senegal: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

175. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/47.

176. One member said that, given the high leakage rates noted in the project proposal, the emphasis on codes of practice was welcome.

177. The Executive Committee decided

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Senegal for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$1,141,407, consisting of US \$505,216, plus agency support costs of US \$37,891 for UNIDO, and US \$530,000, plus agency support costs of US \$68,300 for UNEP;
- (b) To note that the Government of Senegal had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 36.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 34.76 ODP tonnes and 37.54 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To deduct 12.65 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;

- (d) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Senegal and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXXII to the present report; and
- (e) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Senegal, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$327,887, consisting of US \$200,000, plus agency support costs of US \$15,000 for UNIDO, and US \$100,000, plus agency support costs of US \$12,887 for UNEP.

**(Decision 65/46)**

Uruguay: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNDP/UNIDO)

178. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/50, stating that two issues needed to be addressed: the basis for calculation of the starting point, and the fact that the HPMP was phasing out more than 10 per cent of its estimated baseline by 2015.

179. The Secretariat also confirmed that UNDP had officially informed the Secretariat that the Government of Uruguay had agreed to establish its starting point using the estimated baseline calculated using 2009 and 2010 data, and not 2010 consumption as the country had earlier proposed.

180. With regard to the phase-out of consumption of HCFC-22 used in the assembly of cold rooms, one member expressed concern that the choice of technology for the conversion used alternatives with a high GWP, suggesting that that component of the HPMP be deferred to a time when new technologies with lower GWP had been developed. The representative of the Secretariat said that R-507 and R-404A were the only technologies currently available that were compatible with the current systems being installed for cold rooms, although UNIDO had indicated that it would encourage Uruguay to explore the possible use of hydrocarbons as an alternative for the two remaining enterprises.

181. Another member said that, with regard to the phase-out of HCFC 141-b contained in imported pre-blended polyols, the document did not contain an indicative list of enterprises using imported polyol systems, nor a strategy for the conversion of those enterprises, in line with decisions 61/47 and 63/15. The representative of the Secretariat responded that the reason was that Uruguay did not have the technology for the conversion of those enterprises at the present time, and had therefore opted to submit a project for those conversions later, consistent with decision 63/15, as part of stage I of its HPMP.

182. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Uruguay for the period 2011 to 2015, to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$380,004, plus agency support costs of US \$28,500 for UNDP;
- (b) To note that the Government of Uruguay had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 23.33 ODP tonnes calculated using the average of actual consumption of 21.92 ODP tonnes and 24.71 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 5.33 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols, resulting in a value of 28.66 ODP tonnes;
- (c) To deduct 4.18 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption in paragraph (b) above;

- (d) To note that during the implementation of stage I of the HPMP, the Government of Uruguay could submit an investment project to phase out the use of HCFC-22 in the refrigeration manufacturing assembly of cold rooms, should appropriate alternatives be available;
- (e) To approve the draft Agreement between the Government of Uruguay and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex XXXIII to the present report; and
- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Uruguay and the corresponding implementation plan at the amount US \$100,000, plus agency support costs of US \$7,500 for UNDP.

**(Decision 65/47)**

**AGENDA ITEM 8: INCREMENTAL COSTS RELATED TO RETOOLING FOR MANUFACTURING HEAT EXCHANGERS (DECISIONS 61/45 AND 62/61)**

183. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/53.

184. One member said that the report contained in attachment I to the document was technically weak and confusing. Experts in his country had focused on different characteristics of the alternative refrigerant, concluding that it would be necessary to change the inner and outer diameters of the tubing, which would result in a significant increase in cost for the manufacturers. That was not an economic consideration but a technical necessity. He suggested that a further detailed study be undertaken.

185. Other members were prepared to accept the recommendations of the Secretariat and to approve the additional costs for Indonesia and Jordan. They recalled that their original position had been that the incremental costs associated with retooling the heat exchangers was an avoidable technical upgrade. However, some technical assistance could be provided for enterprises to change from static to dynamic testing of heat exchangers.

186. The Executive Committee agreed to defer consideration of the incremental costs related to retooling for manufacturing heat exchangers to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**AGENDA ITEM 9: REPORT ON THE MULTILATERAL FUND CLIMATE IMPACT INDICATOR (DECISIONS 59/45, 62/62, 63/62, AND 64/51)**

187. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/54, which had previously been issued as document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/50.

188. There was general consensus that further in-depth discussions were required to clarify the exact purpose and objective of the MCII. To that end, it would also be beneficial to draw on the views and experience of the implementing agencies in order to conclude the deliberations of the Executive Committee on the matter and implement paragraph 11(b) of decision XIX/6 of the Nineteenth Meeting of the Parties. One member commented that the MCII was already being used to provide the Committee with information on projects.

189. Following informal discussions, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To take note of the report on the experience gained in implementing the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (MCII); and

- (b) To continue the discussion of the MCII at its 66<sup>th</sup> meeting, based on intersessional discussions.

**(Decision 65/48)**

#### **AGENDA ITEM 10: REPORT OF THE PRODUCTION SECTOR SUB-GROUP**

190. The representative of Australia, as the convener of the Production Sector Sub-group, introduced the Sub-group's report. The Sub-group had continued to discuss the proposed draft HCFC production sector guidelines. Several elements remained to be agreed, including the cut-off date and eligibility of swing plants, and the Sub-group had agreed to continue consideration of the issue at its next meeting.

191. The Sub-group had also discussed issues related to CFC production sector phase-out plans in China and India. In addition, it had heard a presentation by a consultant on the preliminary findings of the technical audit of HCFC production in China, asked questions of the consultant and provided some considerations for the consultant to take into account when finalizing the report on the technical audit, expected to be submitted to the next meeting of the Sub-group.

192. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To request the Secretariat and the World Bank to consult further with respect to the information in the verification report on China's 2010 CFC production, pursuant to decision 60/47, in order to confirm that all the requirements of that decision were fulfilled, and further to request the Secretariat to report on the issue at the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee; and
- (b) To consider at its 66<sup>th</sup> Meeting whether China's CFC production sector phase-out plan required modification to permit exemptions for the production of CFCs for essential uses approved for other Parties for 2012.

**(Decision 65/49)**

#### **AGENDA ITEM 11: ACCOUNTS OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND**

##### **(a) 2010 final accounts**

193. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/56 containing the final 2010 accounts for the Multilateral Fund, indicating that the agencies had submitted their final 2010 accounts in line with the agreed deadline but after UNEP's accounts had been closed. Consequently, the differences between the implementing agencies provisional and final accounts were shown separately and would be recorded in the 2011 accounts. In addition, the fixed-exchange-rate mechanism (FERM) exchange differences would be presented as a single line item in the income section of the accounts, and cash returns from balances on completed bilateral projects would be reflected as prior year payments from contributing parties.

194. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The audited financial statement of the Multilateral Fund as at 31 December 2010, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/56;

- (ii) The actions taken with respect to recording the loss on the fixed-exchange-rate-mechanism previously recorded as part of the Secretariat's expenditures as a separate line item in the accounts of the Fund and that any losses or gains under the mechanism would be recorded in UNEP's accounts using an identifying code;
  - (iii) The action taken regarding the return of bilateral project balances in cash as part of prior years' contributions instead of miscellaneous income;
  - (iv) The indicative breakdown of the US \$500,000 annual fees of the Treasurer, provided by the Treasurer as a supplement to the 2010 accounts only;
  - (v) That document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/58 on the revised 2012, approved 2013 and proposed 2014 budgets contained the Secretariat's report on monitoring staff costs and assessing the appropriate rate of increase for future years;
  - (vi) That the final audit report on the 2010 financial statement for the year ended 31 December 2010 was pending UNEP's review; and
- (b) To request the Treasurer to record in the 2011 accounts the differences between the agencies' provisional statements and their final 2010 accounts, as reflected in tables 1 and 2 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/56.

**(Decision 65/50)**

**(b) Reconciliation of the accounts**

195. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/57, indicating that a correction was required to the project number in row 8 of Table 3, to read SIL/REF/41/TRA/08.

196. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the reconciliation of the 2010 accounts, as presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/57;
- (b) To request the implementing agencies to carry out 2010 adjustments in 2011 as follows:
  - (i) UNDP to adjust its records of approved amounts by US \$(33) in its progress report;
  - (ii) UNEP to adjust its records of approved amounts by US \$3,984 and its income by US \$22,807 and US \$13,494 in its progress report; and
  - (iii) UNIDO to adjust its records of approved amount by US \$(14) and its income by US \$226,429 and US \$3,015 in its progress report;
- (c) To request the Treasurer to refund to UNDP an amount of US \$32,642 to rectify the overstated interest income reported by UNDP as of September 2010;
- (d) To note the 2010 outstanding reconciling items as follows:
  - (i) US \$11 difference in income in UNDP's 2010 accounts;

- (ii) US \$(12) in income and US \$184 additional expenditure in UNIDO's 2010 accounts; and
- (iii) Shortfall in income of US \$38,234 in the World Bank's 2010 accounts;
- (e) To note the standing reconciling items as follows:
  - (i) UNDP standing reconciling items for unspecified projects at the amounts of US \$68,300 and US \$29,054; and
  - (ii) World Bank standing reconciling items for the following projects:
    - a. Sweden bilateral (THA/HAL/29/TAS/120) at the amount of US \$225,985;
    - b. United States of America bilateral (CPR/PRO/44/INV/425) at the amount of US \$5,375,000; and
    - c. United States of America bilateral (CPR/PRO/47/INV/439) at the amount of US \$5,375,000.

**(Decision 65/51)**

**AGENDA ITEM 12: REVISED 2012 AND 2013, AND PROPOSED 2014 BUDGETS OF THE FUND SECRETARIAT**

197. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/58. Several members of the Committee praised the work undertaken by the Secretariat and expressed support for the budget recommendations that had been presented. One member asked the Secretariat to provide more information on the utilization of balances in future years. Another member suggested that future budget proposals include explanatory notes for the different sections. One member expressed concern at the 3 per cent rate applied to the 2012, 2013, as well as 2014, budgets in salaries for staff.

198. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the Secretariat's feedback on the appropriate rate of increase for staff costs, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/58;
- (b) To maintain the 3 per cent rate applied to the 2012, 2013 as well as 2014 budgets and to request the Secretariat to revisit the rate of 3 per cent in 2012 to further assess the most appropriate rate once all positions had been filled and occupied for at least two years;
- (c) To approve the amount of US \$3,034,869 in the revised 2012 budget of the Fund Secretariat, as contained in Annex XXXIV to the present report, to cover the operational costs of the Secretariat and the 2012 revised personnel component cost, resulting in a total of US \$6,919,774;
- (d) To approve the additional amount of US \$42,063 in the revised 2013 personnel component costs of the budget totalling US \$4,043,516; and
- (e) To approve the proposed 2014 personnel component costs of the budget totalling US \$4,164,821;



- (f) To request the Secretariat to report to the Executive Committee in the future on any balances returned to the Fund and provide information on how such balances were utilized in the document of the final accounts of the Fund.

**(Decision 65/52)**

**AGENDA ITEM 13: DRAFT REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND TO THE TWENTY-THIRD MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL**

199. The Chief Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/59.

200. Following the presentation, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To take note of the draft report of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol to the Twenty-third Meeting of the Parties (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/59); and
- (b) To authorize the Secretariat to finalize the report in the light of the discussions held and decisions taken at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee, and to forward it for consideration by the Twenty-third Meeting of the Parties.

**(Decision 65/53)**

**AGENDA ITEM 14: OTHER MATTERS**

**(a) Request from the United Arab Emirates for assistance in implementing their HPMP**

201. The representative of Kuwait said that the United Arab Emirates had been in contact with the Secretariat about a possible funding request to fulfil its commitments under the Montreal Protocol. The Chief Officer explained that the Secretariat had not yet received such a request from the United Arab Emirates and said that the Ozone Secretariat might be able to provide additional information to the Executive Committee on the status of the country.

202. The representative of the Ozone Secretariat explained that pursuant to paragraph 41 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ImpCom/13/3, the Implementation Committee, in accordance with decision VI/5 of the Sixth Meeting of the Parties, had made a recommendation that the United Arab Emirates should be reclassified as a party operating under Article 5 from 1995, in addition to being asked to pay its outstanding contribution to the Multilateral Fund for the year 1994 and that it should be urged not to seek financial assistance for national programmes from the Multilateral Fund.

203. The Chair said that because of uncertainties related to the request being made by the United Arab Emirates further discussion of the subject would be deferred until such time as more specific details were available as to the nature of the request being made by the country.

**(b) Dates and venues of the 66<sup>th</sup> and 67<sup>th</sup> meetings of the Executive Committee**

204. The Chief Officer informed the Executive Committee that the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee could be held in Montreal from 16 to 20 April 2012 and that the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting could tentatively be held from 16 to 20 July 2011. She informed the Executive Committee that the Secretariat was also discussing with the Ozone Secretariat the scheduling for the Open-ended Working Group of the Parties to

the Montreal Protocol (OEWG) and the option of holding the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting back-to-back with the 32<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the OEWG.

205. It was suggested that it might be better not to hold the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting back-to-back with the 32<sup>nd</sup> OEWG, although one member requested that the Secretariat be given the flexibility to make such an arrangement for that meeting at its discretion.

206. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To hold its 66<sup>th</sup> meeting in Montreal from 16 to 20 April 2012; and
- (b) Tentatively to hold its 67<sup>th</sup> meeting, at a place to be determined, from 16 to 20 July 2012.

**(Decision 65/54)**

#### **AGENDA ITEM 15: ADOPTION OF THE REPORT**

207. The Executive Committee adopted its report on the basis of the draft report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/L.1.

#### **AGENDA ITEM 16: CLOSURE OF THE MEETING**

208. Following the customary exchange of courtesies, the Chair declared the meeting closed at 5.05 p.m. on Thursday, 17 November 2011.

-----

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 1 : STATUS OF THE FUND FROM 1991-2011 (IN US DOLLARS)**

As at 11 November 2011

<b>INCOME</b>		
Contributions received:		
- Cash payments including note encashments		2,504,778,917
- Promissory notes held		24,844,325
- Bilateral cooperation		140,028,304
- Interest earned		205,599,319
- Additional income from loans and other sources		453,747
- Miscellaneous income		13,234,363
<b>Total Income</b>		<b>2,888,938,976</b>
<b>ALLOCATIONS* AND PROVISIONS</b>		
- UNDP	655,691,538	
- UNEP	200,959,040	
- UNIDO	684,914,209	
- World Bank	1,072,838,375	
Unspecified projects	453,747	
Less Adjustments	-	
<b>Total allocations to implementing agencies</b>		<b>2,614,856,909</b>
Secretariat and Executive Committee costs (1991-2010)		
- includes provision for staff contracts into 2013		85,325,772
Treasury fees (2003-2011)		4,050,550
Monitoring and Evaluation costs (1999-2011)		3,028,504
Technical Audit costs (1998-2010)		1,709,960
Information Strategy costs (2003-2004)		
- includes provision for Network maintenance costs for 2004		104,750
Bilateral cooperation		140,028,304
Provision for fixed-exchange-rate mechanism's fluctuations		
- losses/(gains) in value		(26,752,405)
<b>Total allocations and provisions</b>		<b>2,822,352,344</b>
Cash		41,742,307
<b>Promissory Notes:</b>		
	<b>2012</b>	14,783,900
	<b>2013</b>	8,209,219
	<b>2014</b>	1,851,206
		<b>24,844,325</b>
<b>BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS</b>		<b>66,586,632</b>

\* Amounts reflect net approvals for which resources are transferred including promissory notes that are not yet encashed by the Implementing Agencies. It reflects the Secretariat's inventory figures on the net approved amounts. These figures are under review in the on-going reconciliation exercise.

**TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL**  
**TABLE 2 : 1991 - 2011 SUMMARY STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND OTHER INCOME**  
**BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS**

As at 11 November 2011

Description	1991-1993	1994-1996	1997-1999	2000-2002	2003-2005	2006-2008	1991 - 2008	2009	2010	2011	1991 - 2011
Pledged contributions	234,929,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,000	368,028,480	2,414,366,078	133,342,202	132,940,489	133,346,281	2,813,995,051
Cash payments/received	206,290,209	381,555,255	412,907,966	407,967,672	417,556,075	339,786,281	2,166,063,459	120,766,944	114,271,140	103,677,374	2,504,778,917
Bilateral assistance	4,366,255	11,909,814	21,445,913	21,315,399	48,014,207	19,098,367	126,149,955	3,567,623	6,160,272	4,150,454	140,028,304
Promissory notes	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	6,574,681	9,256,028	9,013,617	24,844,325
Total payments	210,656,464	393,465,069	434,353,879	429,283,071	465,570,282	358,884,649	2,292,213,415	130,909,248	129,687,439	116,841,445	2,669,651,547
Disputed contributions	0	8,098,267	0	0	0	32,471,642	40,569,909	0	405,792	0	40,975,701
Outstanding pledges	24,272,777	31,376,278	38,213,130	10,716,930	8,429,718	9,143,831	122,152,664	2,432,954	3,253,050	16,504,837	144,343,504
Payments %age to pledges	89.67%	92.61%	91.91%	97.56%	98.22%	97.52%	94.94%	98.18%	97.55%	87.62%	94.87%
Interest earned	5,323,644	28,525,733	44,685,516	53,946,601	19,374,449	43,537,814	195,393,757	4,403,437	3,645,451	2,156,674	205,599,319
Additional income						453,747	453,747	0	0	0	453,747
Miscellaneous income	1,442,103	1,297,366	1,223,598	1,125,282	1,386,177	3,377,184	9,851,710	1,741,884	909,467	731,303	13,234,363
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>	<b>217,422,212</b>	<b>423,288,168</b>	<b>480,262,993</b>	<b>484,354,955</b>	<b>486,330,908</b>	<b>406,253,394</b>	<b>2,497,912,629</b>	<b>137,054,569</b>	<b>134,242,357</b>	<b>119,729,422</b>	<b>2,888,938,976</b>
<b>Accumulated figures</b>	<b>1991-1993</b>	<b>1994-1996</b>	<b>1997-1999</b>	<b>2000-2002</b>	<b>2003-2005</b>	<b>2006-2008</b>	<b>1991 - 2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>1991 - 2011</b>
Total pledges	234,929,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,000	368,028,480	2,414,366,078	133,342,202	132,940,489	133,346,281	2,813,995,051
Total payments	210,656,464	393,465,069	434,353,879	429,283,071	465,570,282	358,884,649	2,292,213,415	130,909,248	129,687,439	116,841,445	2,669,651,547
Payments %age to pledges	89.67%	92.61%	91.91%	97.56%	98.22%	97.52%	94.94%	98.18%	97.55%	87.62%	94.87%
Total income	217,422,212	423,288,168	480,262,993	484,354,955	486,330,908	406,253,394	2,497,912,629	137,054,569	134,242,357	119,729,422	2,888,938,976
Total outstanding contributions	24,272,777	31,376,278	38,213,130	10,716,930	8,429,718	9,143,831	122,152,664	2,432,954	3,253,050	16,504,837	144,343,504
As % to total pledges	10.33%	7.39%	8.09%	2.44%	1.78%	2.48%	5.06%	1.82%	2.45%	12.38%	5.13%
Outstanding contributions for certain Countries with Economies in Transition (CEITs)	24,272,777	31,376,278	32,540,870	9,811,798	7,511,983	6,020,412	111,534,118	2,670,566	3,141,513	3,326,537	120,672,733
CEITs' outstandings %age to pledges	10.33%	7.39%	6.89%	2.23%	1.58%	1.64%	4.62%	2.00%	2.36%	2.49%	4.29%

PS: CEITs are Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Slovenia, Tajikistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan, including Turkmenistan up to 2004 as per decision XVI/39.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

TABLE 3 : 1991-2011 Summary Status of Contributions

As at 11 November 2011

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions	Exchange (Gain)/Loss. NB:Negative amount = Gain
Andorra	34,764	34,660	0	0	104	0
Australia*	53,885,957	52,614,050	1,610,907	0	-339,000	522,471
Austria	29,820,885	28,709,882	131,790	0	979,213	-997,918
Azerbaijan	893,835	311,683	0	0	582,152	0
Belarus	2,757,648	0	0	0	2,757,648	0
Belgium	36,953,779	36,953,780	0	0	0	710,805
Bulgaria	1,249,950	1,249,950	0	0	0	0
Canada*	99,311,374	88,675,675	9,782,205	0	853,494	-4,192,389
Cyprus	557,846	557,846	0	0	0	0
Czech Republic	8,063,325	7,815,305	248,020	0	0	173,477
Denmark	24,366,454	24,205,401	161,053	0	0	-917,062
Estonia	270,863	270,862	0	0	0	10,832
Finland	19,144,452	18,745,294	399,158	0	0	-708,738
France	215,163,852	199,891,123	15,272,729	0	0	-14,729,230
Germany	313,361,380	244,379,243	49,603,603	19,437,658	-59,124	-2,691,984
Greece	15,477,570	14,216,932	0	0	1,260,638	-1,517,252
Hungary	5,309,587	4,658,166	46,494	0	604,927	-76,259
Iceland	1,107,552	1,107,552	0	0	0	47,056
Ireland	9,409,152	9,409,152	0	0	0	485,136
Israel	11,567,842	3,824,671	152,462	0	7,590,709	0
Italy	168,558,417	148,024,479	15,287,208	0	5,246,730	3,291,976
Japan	557,099,376	533,764,997	18,080,919	0	5,253,460	0
Kuwait	286,549	286,549	0	0	0	0
Latvia	479,970	479,969	0	0	0	-2,483
Liechtenstein	273,840	273,839	0	0	0	0
Lithuania	738,691	245,725	0	0	492,967	0
Luxembourg	2,486,973	2,486,973	0	0	0	-79,210
Malta	180,788	180,788	0	0	0	0
Monaco	187,674	187,674	0	0	0	-1,388
Netherlands	57,032,746	57,032,746	0	0	0	0
New Zealand	8,113,608	8,113,607	0	0	0	225,284
Norway	21,548,286	21,548,286	0	0	0	270,900
Panama	16,915	16,915	0	0	0	0
Poland	9,958,006	7,673,016	113,000	0	2,171,991	0
Portugal	12,920,688	11,125,959	101,700	0	1,693,029	198,162
Romania	440,060	440,060	0	0	0	0
Russian Federation	105,073,728	0	0	0	105,073,728	0
Singapore	531,221	459,245	71,976	0	0	0
Slovak Republic	2,416,550	2,400,028	16,523	0	0	0
Slovenia	1,405,400	1,405,400	0	0	0	0
South Africa	3,793,691	3,763,691	30,000	0	0	0
Spain	84,244,396	76,255,176	3,184,763	0	4,804,458	-569,654
Sweden	37,654,049	35,965,676	1,688,374	0	0	-538,242
Switzerland	41,139,728	39,226,498	1,913,230	0	0	-2,129,153
Tajikistan	106,504	41,428	0	0	65,076	0
Turkmenistan**	293,245	5,764	0	0	287,481	0
Ukraine	9,217,690	1,082,925	0	0	8,134,764	0
United Arab Emirates	559,639	559,639	0	0	0	0
United Kingdom	190,095,816	189,530,816	565,000	0	0	-3,537,544
United States of America	647,742,136	624,381,219	21,567,191	5,406,667	-3,612,941	0
Uzbekistan	690,604	188,606	0	0	501,998	0
SUB-TOTAL	2,813,995,051	2,504,778,917	140,028,304	24,844,325	144,343,504	-26,752,405
Disputed Contributions***	40,975,701	0	0	0	40,975,701	0
TOTAL	2,854,970,752	2,504,778,917	140,028,304	24,844,325	185,319,205	0

NB: (\*) The bilateral assistance recorded for Australia and Canada was adjusted following approvals at the 39<sup>th</sup> Meeting and taking into consideration a reconciliation carried out by the Secretariat through the progress reports submitted to the 40<sup>th</sup> Meeting to read US \$1,208,219 and US \$6,449,438 instead of US \$1,300,088 and US \$6,414,880 respectively.

(\*\*) In accordance with decisions VI/5 and XVI/39 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol, Turkmenistan has been reclassified as operating under Article 5 in 2004 and therefore its contribution of US \$5,764 for 2005 should be disregarded.

(\*\*\*) Amounts for France, Germany, Italy, Japan and the United Kingdom netted off from the 1996 contributions and are shown here for records only. Amount for the United States of America netted off from the 2007 and 2008 contributions. Additional amount of US \$405,792 reflected from the USA 2010 contributions.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

TABLE 4 : Status of Contributions for 2011

As at 11 November 2011

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	12,948	12,881			67
Australia	2,892,711	2,892,711	339,000		(339,000)
Austria	1,435,834	456,621			979,213
Azerbaijan	8,094				8,094
Belarus	32,375				32,375
Belgium	1,783,865	1,783,865			0
Bulgaria	32,375	32,375			0
Canada	4,819,027	3,965,533			853,494
Cyprus	71,225	71,225			0
Czech Republic	454,869	454,869			0
Denmark	1,196,258	1,196,258			0
Estonia	25,900	25,900			0
Finland	912,976	912,976			0
France	10,199,760	9,634,760	565,000		0
Germany	13,884,041		2,776,808	5,553,617	5,553,617
Greece	964,777				964,777
Hungary	394,976				394,976
Iceland	59,894	59,894			0
Ireland	720,345	720,345			0
Israel	678,257				678,257
Italy	8,221,645	5,455,623			2,766,022
Japan	26,910,144	26,763,244	469,646		(322,746)
Latvia	29,138	29,138			0
Liechtenstein	16,188	16,188			0
Lithuania	50,181				50,181
Luxembourg	137,594	137,594			0
Malta	27,519	27,519			0
Monaco	4,856	4,856			0
Netherlands	3,031,924	3,031,924			0
New Zealand	414,401	414,401			0
Norway	1,265,865	1,265,865			0
Poland	810,995				810,995
Portugal	853,083				853,083
Romania	113,313	113,313			0
Russian Federation	1,942,503				1,942,503
Slovak Republic	101,981	101,981			0
Slovenia	155,400	155,400			0
Spain	4,804,458				4,804,458
Sweden	1,733,684	1,733,684			0
Switzerland	1,968,403	1,968,403			0
Tajikistan	1,619				1,619
Ukraine	72,844				72,844
United Kingdom	10,751,755	10,751,755			0
United States of America	29,333,333	29,486,274		3,460,000	(3,612,941)
Uzbekistan	12,950				12,950
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>133,346,281</b>	<b>103,677,374</b>	<b>4,150,454</b>	<b>9,013,617</b>	<b>16,504,837</b>
		0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,346,281</b>	<b>103,677,374</b>	<b>4,150,454</b>	<b>9,013,617</b>	<b>16,504,837</b>

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 5 : Status of Contributions for 2010**

As at 11 November 2011

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	12,948	12,911			37
Australia	2,892,711	2,892,711			0
Austria	1,435,834	1,435,834			0
Azerbaijan	8,094				8,094
Belarus	32,375				32,375
Belgium	1,783,865	1,783,865			0
Bulgaria	32,375	32,375			0
Canada	4,819,027	4,489,632	329,395		0
Cyprus	71,225	71,225			0
Czech Republic	454,869	363,904	90,965		0
Denmark	1,196,258	1,196,258			0
Estonia	25,900	25,900			0
Finland	912,976	912,976			0
France	10,199,760	9,907,090	207,355		85,315
Germany	13,884,041	4,628,014	2,776,808	9,256,028	(2,776,808)
Greece	964,777	668,916			295,861
Hungary	394,976	185,024			209,952
Iceland	59,894	59,894			0
Ireland	720,345	720,345			0
Israel	678,257				678,257
Italy	8,221,645	6,577,316	655,400		988,929
Japan	26,910,144	25,702,795	1,207,349		0
Latvia	29,138	29,138			0
Liechtenstein	16,188	16,188			0
Lithuania	50,181				50,181
Luxembourg	137,594	137,594			0
Malta	27,519	27,519			0
Monaco	4,856	4,856			0
Netherlands	3,031,924	3,031,923			0
New Zealand	414,401	414,401			0
Norway	1,265,865	1,265,865			0
Poland	810,995				810,995
Portugal	853,083	13,137			839,946
Romania	113,313	113,313			0
Russian Federation	1,942,503				1,942,503
Slovak Republic	101,981	101,981			0
Slovenia	155,400	155,400			0
Spain	4,804,458	3,911,458	893,000		(0)
Sweden	1,733,684	1,733,684			0
Switzerland	1,968,403	1,968,403			0
Tajikistan	1,619				1,619
Ukraine	72,844				72,844
United Kingdom	10,751,755	10,751,755			0
United States of America	28,927,541	28,927,541			0
Uzbekistan	12,950				12,950
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>132,940,489</b>	<b>114,271,140</b>	<b>6,160,272</b>	<b>9,256,028</b>	<b>3,253,050</b>
Disputed Contributions(*)	405,792	0	0	0	405,792
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,346,281</b>	<b>114,271,140</b>	<b>6,160,272</b>	<b>9,256,028</b>	<b>3,658,842</b>

(\*) Additional amount on Disputed contribution relating to the USA.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 6 : Status of Contributions for 2009**

As at 11 November 2011

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	8,868	8,868			0
Australia	2,892,711	2,892,711			0
Austria	1,435,834	1,435,834			0
Azerbaijan	8,094				8,094
Belarus	32,375				32,375
Belgium	1,783,865	1,783,865			0
Bulgaria	32,375	32,375			0
Canada	4,819,027	4,719,586	99,440		0
Cyprus	71,225	71,225			0
Czech Republic	454,869	363,904	90,965		0
Denmark	1,196,258	1,196,258			0
Estonia	25,900	25,900			0
Finland	912,976	912,976			0
France	10,199,760	9,997,393	287,682		(85,315)
Germany	13,884,041	9,256,028	2,776,808	4,628,014	(2,776,808)
Greece	964,777	964,777			(0)
Hungary	394,976	394,976			(0)
Iceland	59,894	59,894			0
Ireland	720,345	720,345			0
Israel	678,257				678,257
Italy	8,221,645	6,687,842	152,550		1,381,252
Japan	26,910,144	26,749,966	160,178		0
Latvia	29,138	29,138			0
Liechtenstein	16,188	16,188			0
Lithuania	50,181				50,181
Luxembourg	137,594	137,594			0
Malta	27,519	27,519			0
Monaco	4,856	4,856			0
Netherlands	3,031,924	3,031,924			0
New Zealand	414,401	414,401			0
Norway	1,265,865	1,265,865			0
Poland	810,995	260,995			550,000
Portugal	853,083	853,082			0
Romania	113,313	113,313			0
Russian Federation	1,942,503				1,942,503
Slovak Republic	101,981	101,981			0
Slovenia	155,400	155,400			0
Spain	4,804,458	4,239,458			565,000
Sweden	1,733,684	1,733,684			0
Switzerland	1,968,403	1,968,403			0
Tajikistan	1,619				1,619
Ukraine	72,844				72,844
United Kingdom	10,751,755	10,751,755			0
United States of America	29,333,333	27,386,666		1,946,667	0
Uzbekistan	12,950				12,950
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,342,202</b>	<b>120,766,944</b>	<b>3,567,623</b>	<b>6,574,681</b>	<b>2,432,954</b>



## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 7 : Status of Contributions for 2006-2008**

As at 11 November 2011

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Australia	7,980,429	7,850,479	129,950	0	0
Austria	4,306,023	4,306,023	0	0	0
Azerbaijan	25,064	0	0	0	25,064
Belarus	90,231	0	0	0	90,231
Belgium	5,358,718	5,358,718	0	0	0
Bulgaria	85,218	85,218	0	0	0
Canada	14,101,098	12,469,209	1,631,889	0	0
Cyprus	195,500	195,500	0	0	0
Czech Republic	917,348	917,348	0	0	0
Denmark	3,599,214	3,599,214	0	0	0
Estonia	60,154	60,154	0	0	0
Finland	2,671,840	2,671,840	0	0	0
France	30,227,380	27,778,425	2,357,630	0	91,325
Germany*	43,421,156	34,736,924	8,743,355	1	(59,124)
Greece	2,656,801	1,527,311	0	0	1,129,490
Hungary	631,617	631,617	0	0	0
Iceland	170,436	170,436	0	0	0
Ireland	1,754,491	1,754,491	0	0	0
Israel	2,340,993	0	114,356	0	2,226,637
Italy	24,487,687	19,590,142	4,787,018	0	110,527
Japan	88,088,000	88,088,000	96,050	0	(96,050)
Latvia	75,192	75,192	0	0	0
Liechtenstein	25,064	25,064	0	0	0
Lithuania	120,308	0	0	0	120,308
Luxembourg	385,988	385,988	0	0	0
Malta	70,180	70,180	0	0	0
Monaco	15,038	15,038	0	0	0
Netherlands	8,471,687	8,471,687	0	0	0
New Zealand	1,107,836	1,107,836	0	0	0
Norway	3,403,713	3,403,713	0	0	0
Poland	2,310,916	2,310,916	0	0	0
Portugal	2,356,031	2,356,031	0	0	0
Romania	100,122	100,122	0	0	0
Russian Federation	5,514,116	0	0	0	5,514,116
Slovak Republic	255,654	255,654	0	0	0
Slovenia	411,052	411,052	0	0	0
Spain	12,632,338	12,470,176	731,562	0	(569,400)
Sweden	5,002,807	5,002,807	0	0	0
Switzerland	6,000,361	5,203,789	506,557	0	290,015
Tajikistan	5,013	0	0	0	5,013
Ukraine	195,500	0	0	0	195,500
United Kingdom	30,713,625	30,713,625	0	0	0
United States of America**	55,616,358	55,616,359	0	0	(1)
Uzbekistan	70,180	0	0	0	70,180
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>368,028,480</b>	<b>339,786,281</b>	<b>19,098,367</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9,143,831</b>

\* Bilateral assistance of US \$572,817 approved at the 51<sup>st</sup> Meeting of the Excom applied in 2008 and US \$353,814 approved at the 52<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Excom applied in 2008 for Germany.

\*\* The total contribution shown here for the USA for the replenishment period is after netting off the disputed amount of US \$32,471,642.

**Table 8: Status of Promissory Notes as at 11 November 2011**

**MULTILATERAL FUND'S PROMISSORY NOTES**

Country	HELD BY			IMPLEMENTING AGENCY FOR WHICH HELD OR ASSIGNED TO					
	A WORLD BANK	B TREASURER	C= A+B TOTAL	D UNDP	E UNEP	F UNIDO	G WORLD	H TREASURER	D+E+F+G+H=I I=C TOTAL
	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value
Canada			0					0	0
France			0					0	0
Germany		19,437,658	19,437,658					19,437,658	19,437,658
The Netherlands			0					0	0
United Kingdom			0					0	0
United States of America		5,406,667	5,406,667					5,406,667	5,406,667
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24,844,325</b>	<b>24,844,325</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24,844,325</b>	<b>24,844,325</b>

2004-2011 Ledger of Promissory Notes as at 11 November 2011

Table 9: SCHEDULE OF MULTILATERAL FUND PROMISSORY NOTES: 2004 - 2011

RECEIPTS												ENCASHMENTS		
Date of Submission a/	Year of contribution	Country of Origin	P/Note code	Denomination/ Type of currency	Amount (in Original denomination)	Note Value in USD per UNEP	Date of transfer	Agency	Transfer amount in Original denomination	Date of Encashment	Actual Encashment value (USD)	Gain /(Loss) to intended value (USD)		
25/10/2004	2004	Canada		Can\$	6,216,532.80	3,983,867.12	09/11/2004	IBRD	6,216,532.80	18/01/2005	5,140,136.76	1,176,289.64		
21/04/2005	2005	Canada		Can\$	3,983,867.12	3,983,867.12	Nov. 2005	TREASURER	6,216,532.78	Nov. 2005	5,307,931.95	1,343,964.83		
22/12/2006	2006	Canada		Can\$	4,794,373.31	3,760,292.79	19/01/2007	TREASURER	4,794,373.31	19/01/2007	4,088,320.38	328,027.59		
27/06/2008	2008	Canada		Can\$	4,794,373.31	3,760,292.79	19/09/2008	TREASURER	4,794,373.31	19/09/2008	4,492,899.74	732,606.95		
12/06/2009	2009	Canada		Can\$	3,834,018.00	3,855,221.72	10/12/2009	TREASURER	3,834,018.00	10/12/2009	3,608,827.18	(246,394.52)		
23/05/2010	2010	Canada		Can\$	3,834,018.00	3,855,221.72	06/10/2010	TREASURER	3,834,018.00	06/10/2010	3,769,578.45	(69,843.37)		
30/06/2011	2011	Canada		Can\$	3,834,018.00	3,855,221.72	15/09/2011	TREASURER	3,855,221.72	15/09/2011	3,870,009.08	14,787.36		
31/12/2004	2004	France		Euro	10,597,399.70	9,784,322.50	28/09/2006	TREASURER	10,597,399.70	28/09/2006	12,102,125.26	2,317,802.76		
18/01/2006	2005	France		Euro	11,217,315.23	10,356,675.50	28/09/2006	TREASURER	11,217,315.23	28/09/2006	12,810,082.84	2,453,387.14		
20/12/2006	2006	France		Euro	7,503,239.54	9,342,968.43	31/07/2007	TREASURER	7,503,239.54	31/07/2007	10,249,425.21	306,456.78		
Dec. 2007	2007	France		Euro	7,483,781.61	9,287,393.43	16/09/2008	TREASURER	7,483,781.61	16/09/2008	10,629,963.40	1,342,569.97		
Dec. 2008	2008	France		Euro	7,371,509.51	9,148,063.43	08/12/2009	TREASURER	7,371,509.51	08/12/2009	10,882,559.47	1,734,496.04		
Oct. 2009	2009	France		Euro	6,568,287.40	9,997,393.30	06/10/2010	TREASURER	6,568,287.40	06/10/2010	8,967,114.64	(1,036,278.66)		
Oct. 2010	2010	France		Euro	6,508,958.32	9,307,090.30	05/04/2011	TREASURER	6,508,958.32	05/04/2011	9,169,264.46	(741,825.84)		
Oct. 2011	2011	France		Euro	6,330,037.52	9,634,760.30	25/10/2011	TREASURER	6,330,037.52	25/10/2011	8,750,643.84	(884,116.46)		
09/08/2004	2004	Germany	BU 104 1006 01	US\$	18,914,439.57	18,914,439.57	03/08/2005	TREASURER	6,304,813.19	03/08/2005	6,304,813.19	-		
							11/08/2006	TREASURER	6,304,813.19	11/08/2006	6,304,813.19	-		
							16/02/2007	TREASURER	3,152,406.60	16/02/2007	3,152,406.60	-		
							10/08/2007	TREASURER	3,152,406.60	10/08/2007	3,152,406.60	-		
									18,914,439.57					
08/07/2005	2005	Germany	BU 105 1003 01	US\$	7,565,775.83	7,565,775.83	18/04/2006	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	18/04/2006	1,260,962.64	-		
							11/08/2006	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	11/08/2006	1,260,962.64	-		
							16/02/2007	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	16/02/2007	1,260,962.64	-		
							10/08/2007	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	10/08/2007	1,260,962.64	-		
							12/02/2008	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	12/02/2008	1,260,962.64	-		
							12/08/2008	TREASURER	1,260,962.63	12/08/2008	1,260,962.64	-		
									7,565,775.83					
10/05/2006	2006	Germany	BU 106 1004 01	Euro	11,662,922.38	14,473,718.52	28/02/2007	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	28/02/2007	2,558,067.65	145,781.24		
							10/08/2007	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	10/08/2007	2,681,305.85	269,019.44		
							12/02/2008	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	12/02/2008	2,921,066.54	408,780.12		
							12/08/2008	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	12/08/2008	2,930,114.87	517,828.45		
							17/02/2009	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	17/02/2009	2,492,560.89	80,274.47		
							12/08/2009	TREASURER	1,943,820.38	12/08/2009	2,760,613.72	348,327.30		
									11,662,922.38					
23/07/2007	2007	Germany	BU 107 1006 01	Euro	11,662,922.38	14,473,718.52	12/02/2008	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	12/02/2008	2,821,066.54	408,780.12		
							12/08/2008	TREASURER	1,943,820.39	12/08/2008	2,930,114.87	517,828.46		
							17/02/2009	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	17/02/2009	2,492,560.89	80,274.47		
							12/08/2009	TREASURER	1,943,820.38	12/08/2009	2,760,613.72	348,327.30		
							17/02/2010	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	17/02/2010	3,179,312.65	787,026.23		
							10/08/2010	TREASURER	1,943,820.41	10/08/2010	2,561,178.36	148,891.93		
									11,662,922.38					
15/08/2008	2008	Germany	BU 108 1004 01	Euro	4,665,168.96	5,789,487.42	964,914.57	11/02/2009	TREASURER	777,528.16	11/02/2009	997,024.36	32,109.79	
							964,914.57	12/08/2009	TREASURER	777,528.16	12/08/2009	1,104,235.49	139,330.92	
							964,914.57	11/02/2010	TREASURER	777,528.16	11/02/2010	529,107.91	(435,806.66)	
							964,914.57	10/08/2010	TREASURER	777,528.16	10/08/2010	59,555.93		
							964,914.60	10/02/2011	TREASURER	777,528.16	10/02/2011	1,060,159.65	95,245.05	
							964,914.54	20/06/2011	TREASURER	777,528.16	20/06/2011	1,095,381.67	130,467.13	
									4,665,168.96					
18/12/2009	2009	Germany	BU 109 1007 01	Euro	9,121,815.12	13,884,041.00	2,314,006.88	11/02/2010	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	11/02/2010			
							2,314,006.88	10/08/2010	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	10/08/2010	2,003,150.60	(310,856.28)	
							2,314,006.88	10/02/2011	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	10/02/2011	2,072,932.49	(241,074.39)	
							2,314,006.89	20/06/2011	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	20/06/2011	2,141,802.19	(172,204.69)	
							4,628,013.48	BALANCE	TREASURER	3,040,605.04				
										9,121,815.12				
14/04/2010	2010	Germany	BU 110 1002 01	Euro	9,121,815.12	13,884,041.00	2,314,006.88	10/02/2011	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	10/02/2011	2,072,932.48	(241,074.40)	
							2,314,006.88	20/06/2011	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	20/06/2011	2,141,802.19	(172,204.69)	
							9,256,027.24	BALANCE	TREASURER	6,081,210.08				
										9,121,815.12				
27/04/2011	2011	Germany	BU 111 1001 01	Euro	3,648,726.05	5,553,616.51	BALANCE	TREASURER						
08/12/2003	2004	Netherlands	D 11	US\$	3,364,061.32	3,364,061.32	17/11/2004	TREASURER	3,364,061.32	17/11/2004	3,364,061.32	-		
08/12/2003	2005	Netherlands	D 11	US\$	3,364,061.32	3,364,061.32	05/12/2005	TREASURER	3,364,061.32	05/12/2005	3,364,061.32	-		
18/05/2004	2004	UK		GBP	7,243,564.08	10,718,502.63	17,066,417.11	23/08/2005	TREASURER	1,207,260.88	23/08/2005	2,166,550.02	380,132.91	
							5,359,251.32	Feb. 2006	TREASURER	3,621,782.04	Feb. 2006	6,303,711.64	944,460.32	
							3,572,834.20	24/07/2006	TREASURER	3,621,782.04	24/07/2006	4,473,383.73	900,549.53	

Table 9: SCHEDULE OF MULTILATERAL FUND PROMISSORY NOTES: 2004 - 2011												
RECEIPTS							ENCASHMENTS					
Date of Submission a/	Year of contribution	Country of Origin	P/Note code	Denomination/ Type of currency	Amount (in Original denomination)	Note Value in USD per UNEP	Date of transfer	Agency	Transfer amount in Original denomination	Date of Encashment	Actual Encashment value (USD)	Gain/(Loss) to intended value (USD)
						10,718,502.63			7,243,564.08		12,943,645.39	2,225,142.76
01/06/2005	2005	UK		GBP	7,243,564.08	10,718,502.63						
						17,86,417.11	24/07/2006	TREASURER	1,207,260.88	24/07/2006	2,236,691.86	450,274.75
						4,681,386.55	09/08/2006	TREASURER	3,163,681.03	09/08/2006	6,036,303.40	1,354,916.85
						4,250,698.97	16/08/2006	TREASURER	2,872,622.37	16/08/2006	5,429,236.28	1,178,537.31
						10,718,502.63			7,243,564.08		13,702,231.54	2,983,728.91
13/05/2005	2004	USA		US\$	4,920,000.00	4,920,000.00	27/10/2005	TREASURER	2,000,000.00	27/10/2005	2,000,000.00	-
							02/11/2006	TREASURER	2,000,000.00	02/11/2006	2,000,000.00	-
							25/10/2007	TREASURER	920,000.00	25/10/2007	920,000.00	-
									4,920,000.00			
01/03/2006	2005	USA		US\$	3,159,700.00	3,159,700.00	02/11/2006	TREASURER	2,000,000.00	02/11/2006	2,000,000.00	-
							25/10/2007	TREASURER	1,159,700.00	25/10/2007	1,159,700.00	-
									3,159,700.00			
25/04/2007	2006	USA		US\$	7,315,000.00	7,315,000.00	25/10/2007	TREASURER	2,500,000.00	25/10/2007	2,500,000.00	-
							19/11/2008	TREASURER	2,500,000.00	19/11/2008	2,500,000.00	-
							11/05/2009	TREASURER	2,315,000.00	11/05/2009	2,315,000.00	-
									7,315,000.00			
21/02/2008	2008	USA		US\$	4,683,000.00	4,683,000.00	19/11/2008	TREASURER	2,341,500.00	19/11/2008	2,341,500.00	-
							11/05/2009	TREASURER	2,341,500.00	11/05/2009	2,341,500.00	-
									4,683,000.00			
21/04/2009	2009	USA		US\$	5,697,000.00	5,697,000.00	11/05/2009	TREASURER	1,900,000.00	11/05/2009	1,900,000.00	-
							04/11/2010	TREASURER	1,900,000.00	04/11/2010	1,900,000.00	-
							03/11/2011	TREASURER	1,897,000.00	03/11/2011	1,897,000.00	-

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Table 10: OUTSTANDING PROMISSORY NOTES SCHEDULE OF ENCASHMENT AS AT 11 NOVEMBER 2011  
(IN US\$)

	<b>Due in 2012</b>	<b>Due in 2013</b>	<b>Due in 2014</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b><u>GERMANY:</u></b>				
2009	4,628,015			4,628,015
2010	4,628,013	4,628,013		9,256,026
2011	1,851,205	1,851,206	1,851,206	5,553,617
<b><u>USA:</u></b>				
2010 Note: (US\$)	1,946,667			1,946,667
2011 Note: (US\$)	1,730,000	1,730,000		3,460,000
	<b>14,783,900</b>	<b>8,209,219</b>	<b>1,851,206</b>	<b>24,844,325</b>

**NOTE:**

Germany's promissory notes due are payable in February and August of the relevant years.  
USA's promissory notes due are payable in November of the relevant years.

**Annex II**

**PROJECTS FOR WHICH ADDITIONAL STATUS REPORTS WERE REQUESTED**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Reasons</b>
France	AFR/SEV/53/TAS/39	African customs enforcement networks for preventing illegal trade of ODS in the African sub-regional trade organizations (CEMAC, COMESA, SACU and UEMOA)	Additional status report on the agreement on a training institution.
World Bank	ARG/FUM/29/DEM/93	Demonstration project for testing methyl bromide alternatives in post-harvest disinfestations for cotton and citrus (phase I) in Argentina	Additional status report on the outcome of stakeholders consultations on the future of the project.
World Bank	ARG/PHA/47/INV/148	National CFC phase-out plan: 2006 work programme in Argentina	Additional status report on the signature of the agreement for the five companies.
World Bank	CPR/HAL/56/INV/481	Sector plan for halon phase-out in China	Additional status report on the status of the legal issue with respect to the transportation of halons from dismantled fire protection equipment and systems
World Bank	IDS/DES/57/PRP/187	Preparation for pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal in Indonesia	Additional status report on the status of completion of the report on ODS destruction expected for submission to the 67 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
World Bank	PHI/DES/57/PRP/85	Preparation for pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal in the Philippines	Additional status report on the status of completion of the report on ODS destruction expected for submission to the 67 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
World Bank	TUN/PHA/49/INV/47	National ODS phase-out plan (first tranche) in Tunisia	Additional status report in order to monitor the procurement of recovery and recycling equipment for the NPP in Tunisia.
World Bank	TUN/PHA/61/INV/51	National ODS phase-out plan (second tranche) in Tunisia	Additional status report in order to monitor the procurement of recovery and recycling equipment for the NPP in Tunisia.
World Bank	TUN/SEV/55/INS/49	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V) in Tunisia	Additional status report on the status of the project document/grant agreement signature/initiation of implementation.
World Bank	TUN/SEV/61/INS/52	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI) in Tunisia	Additional status report on the status of the project document/grant agreement signature/initiation of implementation.
Japan	AFR/REF/48/DEM/35	Strategic demonstration project for accelerated conversion of CFC chillers in 5 African Countries (Cameroon, Egypt, Namibia, Nigeria and Sudan)	Additional status report on the status of the negotiations with the Nigerian Bank for the African regional chiller project.
Japan	ASP/DES/54/PRP/53	Project preparation for a demonstration project on ODS disposal in Asia and the Pacific	Additional status report on project preparation if project is not submitted to the 66 <sup>th</sup> meeting.

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Reasons</b>
UNDP	BGD/FOA/62/INV/38	Conversion from HCFC-141b to cyclopentane technology in manufacturing refrigeration equipment insulation foam at Walton Hi-Tech Industries Limited in Bangladesh	Additional status report on the status of the project document/grant agreement signature/initiation of implementation.
UNDP	BGD/SEV/61/INS/37	Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI) in Bangladesh	Additional status report on the status of the project document/grant agreement signature/initiation of implementation.
UNDP	BRA/DES/57/PRP/288	Preparation for pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal in Brazil	Additional status report on project preparation if project is not submitted to the 66 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
UNDP	BRA/FOA/58/DEM/292	Pilot project to validate methylal as blowing agent in the manufacture of polyurethane foams (phase I) in Brazil	Additional status report owing to low rate of disbursement of approved funds.
UNDP	BRA/REF/47/DEM/275	Demonstration project for integrated management of the centrifugal chiller sub-sector, focusing on application of energy-efficient CFC-free technologies for replacement of CFC-based chillers in Brazil	Additional status report owing to low rate of disbursement of approved funds.
UNDP	BRU/REF/44/TAS/10	Implementation of the RMP: technical assistance for the refrigeration service and MAC sectors in Brunei	Additional status report on status of bidding to the 66 <sup>th</sup> meeting for the second consecutive meeting.
UNDP	CHI/HAL/51/TAS/164	Halon consumption phase-out: technical assistance programme and halon recycling and recovery equipment in Chile	Additional status report in order to monitor the status of disbursement of fund for this project since there has been no disbursement reported to the second consecutive meeting.
UNDP	COL/DES/59/PRP/74	Preparation for pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal in Colombia	Additional status report in order to monitor the project preparation as the project was not submitted as planned to the 65 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
UNDP	COL/REF/47/DEM/65	Demonstration project for integrated management of the centrifugal chiller sub-sector, focusing on application of energy-efficient CFC-free technologies for replacement of CFC-based chillers in Colombia	Additional status report owing to low rate of disbursement of approved funds.
UNDP	DOM/HAL/51/TAS/39	National halon bank management plan update in Dominican Republic	Additional status report in order to monitor the status of disbursement of fund for this project since there has been no disbursement reported to the second consecutive meeting.
UNDP	GUA/PHA/56/INV/35	Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche) in Guatemala	Additional status report in order to monitor the status of disbursement of fund for this project since there has been no disbursement reported to the second consecutive meeting.

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reasons
UNDP	HAI/PHA/58/INV/14	Terminal phase-out management plan for Annex A Group I substances (first tranche) in Haiti	Additional status report in order to monitor the status of disbursement of fund for this project since there has been no disbursement reported to the second consecutive meeting.
UNDP	IND/DES/61/PRP/437	Preparation of a project for demonstration of a sustainable technological, financial and management model for disposal of ODS in India	Additional status report in order to monitor the project preparation as the project was not submitted as planned to the 65 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
UNDP	KAM/PHA/61/INV/24	HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, first tranche) in Cambodia	Additional status report on the status of the project document/grant agreement signature/initiation of implementation.
UNEP	ALG/SEV/57/INS/69	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V) in Algeria	Additional status report on project document signature for institutional strengthening.
UNEP	ANT/SEV/44/INS/11	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase III) in Antigua and Barbuda	Additional status report in order to monitor this institutional strengthening project for which the financial and progress reports was outstanding for the second consecutive meeting.
UNEP	BAH/SEV/60/INS/24	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI) in Bahrain	Additional status report in order to monitor this institutional strengthening project for which the financial and progress reports was outstanding for the second consecutive meeting.
UNEP	BEN/SEV/62/INS/24	Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase VII) in Benin	Additional status report on project document signature for institutional strengthening.
UNEP	CBI/SEV/57/INS/14	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase III) in Cape Verde	Additional status report on project document signature for institutional strengthening.
UNEP	GAB/PHA/62/TAS/26	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) in Gabon	Additional status report on the status of the project document/grant agreement signature/initiation of implementation.
UNEP	GRN/SEV/60/INS/17	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase III) in Grenada	Additional status report in order to monitor this institutional strengthening project for which the financial and progress reports was outstanding for the second consecutive meeting.
UNEP	IRQ/SEV/57/INS/05	Institutional strengthening (phase I) in Iraq	Additional status report in order to monitor this institutional strengthening project for which the financial and progress reports was outstanding for the second consecutive meeting.
UNEP	MAU/SEV/49/INS/17	Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase IV) in Mauritania	Additional status report in order to monitor this institutional strengthening project for which the financial and progress reports was outstanding for the second consecutive meeting.
UNEP	MAU/SEV/57/INS/23	Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase V) in Mauritania	Additional status report on project document signature for institutional strengthening.



Agency	Code	Project Title	Reasons
UNEP	MOR/SEV/59/INS/63	Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV) in Morocco	Additional status report on project document signature for institutional strengthening.
UNEP	ZAM/SEV/57/INS/24	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV) in Zambia	Additional status report on project document signature for institutional strengthening.
UNIDO	AFR/REF/48/DEM/37	Strategic demonstration project for accelerated conversion of CFC chillers in 5 African Countries (Cameroon, Egypt, Namibia, Nigeria and Sudan)	Additional status report on the completion of the agreement with Nigeria and the workshops for the other countries in the study (Cameroon, Senegal and Sudan)
UNIDO	CPR/DES/59/PRP/494	Preparation for a pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal in China	Additional status report in order to monitor the project preparation as the project was not submitted as planned to the 65 <sup>th</sup> Meeting.
UNIDO	ETH/FUM/54/PRP/18	Project preparation in the fumigant sector (flowers) in Ethiopia	Additional status report in order to monitor the project preparation as the project was not submitted as planned to the 65 <sup>th</sup> Meeting.
UNIDO	EUR/REF/47/DEM/06	Demonstration project on the replacement of CFC centrifugal chillers in Croatia, Macedonia, Romania, and Serbia and Montenegro	Additional status report on the results of the visual and operational inspections of transfer of the chiller project to the new site at the hospital in Serbia.
UNIDO	GAB/PHA/62/INV/27	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) in Gabon	Additional status report on the status of the project document/grant agreement signature/initiation of implementation.
UNIDO	IND/PHA/45/INV/385	CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors: 2005 annual programme in India	Additional status report on the identification of a supplier of the equipment for the project.
UNIDO	IND/PHA/49/INV/402	CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors: 2006 annual programme in India	Additional status report on the identification of a supplier of the equipment for the project.
UNIDO	IVC/REF/57/INV/32	ODS phase out in 50 existing centrifugal chillers units in Cote d'Ivoire	Additional status report on the selection of a consultant to implement the project.
UNIDO	KEN/FUM/60/PRP/50	Project preparation for the elimination of controlled uses of methyl bromide in post-harvest sector in Kenya	Additional status report in order to monitor the project preparation as the project was not submitted as planned to the 65 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
UNIDO	LEB/DES/61/PRP/72	Preparation for pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal in Lebanon	Additional status report in order to monitor the project preparation as the project was not submitted as planned to the 65 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
UNIDO	NIR/DES/60/PRP/126	Preparation for a pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal in Nigeria	Additional status report in order to monitor the project preparation as the project was not submitted as planned to the 65 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
UNIDO	QAT/SEV/59/INS/15	Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase III) in Qatar	Additional status report on project document signature for institutional strengthening.

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Reasons</b>
UNIDO	SYR/REF/47/DEM/93	Demonstration project on the replacement of CFC centrifugal chillers in Syrian Arab Republic	Additional status report in order to monitor the status of the redesign of the project.
UNIDO	SYR/REF/62/INV/103	Phase-out of HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment and rigid polyurethane insulation panels at Al Hafez Group in Syrian Arab Republic	Additional status report on the status of the project document/grant agreement signature/initiation of implementation.
UNIDO	TKM/PHA/62/INV/08	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) in Turkmenistan	Additional status report on the status of the project document/grant agreement signature/initiation of implementation.
UNIDO	TUR/DES/57/PRP/92	Preparation for pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal in Turkey	Additional status report in order to monitor the project preparation as the project was not submitted as planned to the 65 <sup>th</sup> meeting.

----

**Annex III****PROJECTS FOR WHICH ADDITIONAL STATUS REPORTS WERE REQUESTED FOR  
HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN DEVELOPMENT**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Reasons</b>
World Bank	PHI/REF/59/PRP/88	Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (domestic air-conditioning sector) in the Philippines	Additional status report in order to monitor the submission of the HCFC refrigeration sector plan.
UNDP	PER/PHA/55/PRP/40	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan in Peru	Additional status report in order to monitor the submission of the HPMP if expected date of submission postponed.
UNEP	BAR/PHA/55/PRP/18	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan in Barbados	Additional status report in order to monitor the submission of the HPMP.
UNEP	BRU/PHA/55/PRP/11	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan in Brunei Darussalam	Additional status report in order to monitor the submission of the HPMP if expected date of submission postponed.
UNEP	HAI/PHA/57/PRP/13	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan in Haiti	Additional status report in order to monitor the submission of the HPMP.
UNEP	MAU/PHA/55/PRP/20	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan in Mauritania	Additional status report in order to monitor the submission of the HPMP.
UNIDO	SOA/PHA/55/PRP/01	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan in South Africa	Additional status report in order to monitor the submission of the HPMP if expected date of submission postponed.

-----

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>ANGOLA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP		\$86,222	\$7,760	\$93,982	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 15.95 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 19.25 ODP tonnes and 12.65 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 1.59 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
<b>Total for Angola</b>			<b>\$86,222</b>	<b>\$7,760</b>	<b>\$93,982</b>	
<b>ARGENTINA</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities in the foam sector (additional funding)	IBRD		\$120,000	\$9,000	\$129,000	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension for institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$311,567	\$23,368	\$334,935	
<b>Total for Argentina</b>			<b>\$431,567</b>	<b>\$32,368</b>	<b>\$463,935</b>	
<b>BAHAMAS</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$18,200	\$2,366	\$20,566	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 4.81 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 3.50 ODP tonnes and 6.13 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 1.68 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 4.81 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 3.50 ODP tonnes and 6.13 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 1.68 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>	UNIDO		\$105,128	\$9,462	\$114,590	
<b>Total for Bahamas</b>			<b>\$123,328</b>	<b>\$11,828</b>	<b>\$135,156</b>	

## BANGLADESH

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector) <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to meet the 30 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 72.65 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 67.75 ODP tonnes and 77.56 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. Noted that the project to phase out 20.20 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used for polyurethane foam insulation in the manufacture of domestic refrigerators at Walton Industries, had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. To further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Bangladesh from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 20.20 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 62nd meeting, and UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to further deduct 4.33 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.</i>	UNDP	0.6	\$55,000	\$4,125	\$59,125	
--	------	-----	----------	---------	----------	--

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP		\$230,000	\$29,900	\$259,900	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to meet the 30 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 72.65 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 67.75 ODP tonnes and 77.56 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. Noted that the project to phase out 20.20 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used for polyurethane foam insulation in the manufacture of domestic refrigerators at Walton Industries, had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. To further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Bangladesh from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 20.20 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 62nd meeting, and UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to further deduct 4.33 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Bangladesh</b>		<b>0.6</b>	<b>\$285,000</b>	<b>\$34,025</b>	<b>\$319,025</b>	
<b>BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase III: 3/2012-2/2014)	UNIDO		\$95,333	\$7,150	\$102,483	
<b>Total for Bosnia and Herzegovina</b>			<b>\$95,333</b>	<b>\$7,150</b>	<b>\$102,483</b>	
<b>BURUNDI</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$45,000	\$5,850	\$50,850	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 7.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 6.90 ODP tonnes and 7.40 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 2.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 7.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 6.90 ODP tonnes and 7.40 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 2.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>	UNIDO	0.4	\$80,000	\$7,200	\$87,200	
<b>Total for Burundi</b>		<b>0.4</b>	<b>\$125,000</b>	<b>\$13,050</b>	<b>\$138,050</b>	
<b>CAMEROON</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNEP		\$139,532	\$0	\$139,532	
<b>Total for Cameroon</b>			<b>\$139,532</b>		<b>\$139,532</b>	
<b>CHAD</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Chad</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>CHINA</b>						
<b>SOLVENT</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
Sector plan for phase-out of HCFCs in the solvent sector (stage I, first tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement, which replaced the previous one approved at the 64th meeting in decision 64/49, between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update the Agreement, including Appendix 2-A, with the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 65.9 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>	UNDP	33.0	\$2,500,000	\$187,500	\$2,687,500	8.35
<b>Total for China</b>		<b>33.0</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>	<b>\$187,500</b>	<b>\$2,687,500</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>COSTA RICA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$140,513	\$10,538	\$151,051	
	<b>Total for Costa Rica</b>		<b>\$140,513</b>	<b>\$10,538</b>	<b>\$151,051</b>	
<b>CUBA</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in formulated polyols in the foam sector)	UNDP	13.4	\$650,000	\$48,750	\$698,750	9.79
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US \$1,187,527 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 16.88 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.70 ODP tonnes and 22.07 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 30.23 ODP tonnes. Noted that during implementation of stage I of the HPMP the Government of Cuba could submit an investment project to phase-out 1.32 ODP tonnes of HCFC-22 used by the company Frioclima to manufacture refrigeration and air conditioning equipment. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 19.26 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						



## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP	1.7	\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US \$1,187,527 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 16.88 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.70 ODP tonnes and 22.07 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 13.35 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 30.23 ODP tonnes. Noted that during implementation of stage I of the HPMP the Government of Cuba could submit an investment project to phase-out 1.32 ODP tonnes of HCFC-22 used by the company Frioclima to manufacture refrigeration and air conditioning equipment. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 19.26 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$149,066	\$11,180	\$160,246	
	<b>Total for Cuba</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>\$899,066</b>	<b>\$67,430</b>	<b>\$966,496</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector and monitoring)	UNDP	0.6	\$336,532	\$25,240	\$361,772	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 51.20 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 48.49 ODP tonnes and 53.92 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 19.51 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 70.71 ODP tonnes. Noted that that the project to phase out 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used in the polyurethane rigid insulation foam for the manufacture of commercial refrigerators at FARCO, had already been approved at the 61st meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2016. Noted the deduction of 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 61st meeting, and UNDP and the Government were requested to further deduct 15.77 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP		\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 51.20 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 48.49 ODP tonnes and 53.92 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 19.51 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 70.71 ODP tonnes. Noted that stage I of the HPMP also covered US\$357,733 for an already approved HCFC phase-out project at the 61st Meeting (FARCO), and the deduction of 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2016. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to further deduct 7.63 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (sector phase-out for the use of HCFCs in the foam manufacturing sector)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 51.20 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 48.49 ODP tonnes and 53.92 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 19.51 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 70.71 ODP tonnes. Noted that that the project to phase out 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used in the polyurethane rigid insulation foam for the manufacture of commercial refrigerators at FARCO, had already been approved at the 61st meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2016. Noted the deduction of 3.74 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 61st meeting, and UNDP and the Government were requested to further deduct 15.77 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>	UNDP	8.2	\$343,468	\$25,760	\$369,228	4.64
<b>Total for Dominican Republic</b>		<b>8.8</b>	<b>\$705,000</b>	<b>\$54,250</b>	<b>\$759,250</b>	

## ECUADOR

### FOAM

#### Sectoral phase out plan

<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (conversion of the foam manufacturing by Indurama)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$630,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US\$1,331,440 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 14.96 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Funding would be disbursed only upon receipt by the Secretariat of confirmation that an adequate and operational licensing system was in place. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 17.49 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 20.65 ODP tonnes and 14.32 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 38.16 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 14.96 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNIDO	15.0	\$1,331,440	\$99,858	\$1,431,298	9.79
---	-------	------	-------------	----------	-------------	------

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
Technical assistance to eliminate the remaining consumption of methyl bromide to be in compliance with the total phase-out	UNIDO	40.8	\$317,500	\$23,813	\$341,313	
<i>Approved on the understanding that no additional funding will be provided for Ecuador for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide in the country.</i>						
Technical assistance to eliminate the remaining consumption of methyl bromide to be in compliance with the total phase-out	UNEP		\$12,500	\$1,625	\$14,125	
<i>Approved on the understanding that no additional funding will be provided for Ecuador for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide in the country.</i>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO		\$200,500	\$15,038	\$215,538	4.50
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$630,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US \$1,331,440 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 14.96 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Funding would be disbursed only upon receipt by the Secretariat of confirmation that an adequate and operational licensing system was in place. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 17.49 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 20.65 ODP tonnes and 14.32 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 38.16 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 6.12 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US \$630,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US\$1,331,440 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 14.96 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector. Funding would be disbursed only upon receipt by the Secretariat of confirmation that an adequate and operational licensing system was in place. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 17.49 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 20.65 ODP tonnes and 14.32 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 38.16 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 6.12 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	4.50
<b>Total for Ecuador</b>		<b>55.8</b>	<b>\$1,891,940</b>	<b>\$144,234</b>	<b>\$2,036,174</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>EGYPT</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Rigid (insulation refrigeration)</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (phase-out of HCFC-141b in the manufacture of insulation foam for refrigeration equipment)	UNIDO	9.0	\$374,395	\$28,080	\$402,475	4.55
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 25 per cent of the baseline. Noted that it was agreed to establish as the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 386.27 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 396.60 ODP tonnes and 375.93 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 98.34 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 484.61 ODP tonnes. The Fund Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. Noted that note that six foam projects to phase out 55.00 ODP tonnes of HCFC 141b had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 55.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 61st meeting, and UNDP and the Government were requested to further deduct 119.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Egypt from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (phase-out of HCFC-141b in the polyurethane foam sector)	UNDP	42.1	\$2,000,000	\$150,000	\$2,150,000	5.23
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 25 per cent of the baseline. Noted that it was agreed to establish as the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 386.27 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 396.60 ODP tonnes and 375.93 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 98.34 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 484.61 ODP tonnes. The Fund Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. Noted that note that six foam projects to phase out 55.00 ODP tonnes of HCFC 141b had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 55.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 61st meeting, and UNDP and the Government were requested to further deduct 119.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Egypt from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Technical assistance/support</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (enabling activities in the refrigeration and air-conditioning sector)	UNIDO		\$332,895	\$24,967	\$357,862	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 25 per cent of the baseline. Noted that it was agreed to establish as the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 386.27 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 396.60 ODP tonnes and 375.93 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 98.34 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 484.61 ODP tonnes. The Fund Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. Noted that note that six foam projects to phase out 55.00 ODP tonnes of HCFC 141b had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 55.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 61st meeting, and UNDP and the Government were requested to further deduct 119.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Egypt from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						



## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (coordination and management)	UNIDO		\$242,710	\$18,203	\$260,913	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 25 per cent of the baseline. Noted that it was agreed to establish as the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 386.27 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 396.60 ODP tonnes and 375.93 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 98.34 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 484.61 ODP tonnes. The Fund Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. Noted that note that six foam projects to phase out 55.00 ODP tonnes of HCFC 141b had already been approved at the 62nd meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 55.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 61st meeting, and UNDP and the Government were requested to further deduct 119.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Egypt from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Egypt</b>		<b>51.1</b>	<b>\$2,950,000</b>	<b>\$221,250</b>	<b>\$3,171,250</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>EL SALVADOR</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (phase out of HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector)	UNDP	4.9	\$439,277	\$32,946	\$472,223	9.79
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US\$350,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; US\$439,227 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector; and US\$285,000 were provided for the institutional strengthening from July 2011 to December 2020. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 11.68 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.86 ODP tonnes and 11.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 16.62 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2015. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP	0.7	\$166,500	\$5,850	\$172,350	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US\$350,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; US\$439,227 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector; and US\$285,000 were provided for the institutional strengthening from July 2011 to December 2020. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 11.68 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.86 ODP tonnes and 11.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 16.62 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. Noted the commitment by the Government to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, by no later than 1 January 2015. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.08 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and on the understanding that US\$350,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; US\$439,227 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols used in the foam manufacturing sector; and US\$285,000 were provided for the institutional strengthening from July 2011 to December 2020. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 11.68 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 11.86 ODP tonnes and 11.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 4.94 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 16.62 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.08 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNDP	0.9	\$91,072	\$6,830	\$97,902	
<b>Total for El Salvador</b>		<b>6.6</b>	<b>\$696,849</b>	<b>\$45,626</b>	<b>\$742,475</b>	

## EQUATORIAL GUINEA

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 6.29 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 6.22 ODP tonnes and 6.36 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under the HPMP. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 2.20 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNEP		\$40,000	\$5,200	\$45,200	
---	------	--	----------	---------	----------	--

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 6.29 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 6.22 ODP tonnes and 6.36 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under the HPMP. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 2.20 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNIDO	0.3	\$75,000	\$6,750	\$81,750	
<b>Total for Equatorial Guinea</b>		<b>0.3</b>	<b>\$115,000</b>	<b>\$11,950</b>	<b>\$126,950</b>	

## FIJI

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 8.44 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 7.63 ODP tonnes and 9.18 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 2.95 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNEP		\$47,900	\$6,227	\$54,127	
--	------	--	----------	---------	----------	--

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 8.44 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 7.63 ODP tonnes and 9.18 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 2.95 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNDP		\$71,800	\$6,462	\$78,262	
	<b>Total for Fiji</b>		<b>\$119,700</b>	<b>\$12,689</b>	<b>\$132,389</b>	

## GAMBIA

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 0.92 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 0.91 ODP tonnes and 0.94 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.32 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNEP		\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250	
---	------	--	----------	---------	----------	--

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 0.92 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 0.91 ODP tonnes and 0.94 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.32 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNIDO	0.1	\$50,000	\$4,500	\$54,500	
<b>Total for Gambia</b>		<b>0.1</b>	<b>\$75,000</b>	<b>\$7,750</b>	<b>\$82,750</b>	

## GUINEA-BISSAU

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.43 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of zero ODP tonne and 2.86 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
--	------	--	----------	---------	----------	--

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.43 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of zero ODP tonne and 2.86 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.50 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>	UNIDO	0.1	\$40,000	\$3,600	\$43,600	
	<b>Total for Guinea-Bissau</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>\$75,000</b>	<b>\$8,150</b>	<b>\$83,150</b>	
<b>INDIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (remaining balance for phase VIII)	UNDP		\$46,654	\$3,499	\$50,153	
	<b>Total for India</b>		<b>\$46,654</b>	<b>\$3,499</b>	<b>\$50,153</b>	
<b>INDONESIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$271,246	\$20,343	\$291,589	
	<b>Total for Indonesia</b>		<b>\$271,246</b>	<b>\$20,343</b>	<b>\$291,589</b>	



# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>IRAQ</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP		\$115,000	\$14,144	\$129,144	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 13.82 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the approved funding included US \$40,000 for security related cost, provided on an exceptional basis, to enable the implementation of the programme, and not taken into account when establishing the reduction of eligibility associated with the activities particularly in the servicing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 108.38 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 110.96 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 105.80 ODP tonnes for 2010. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 14.98 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Iraq from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNIDO		\$80,000	\$6,000	\$86,000	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 13.82 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the approved funding included US \$40,000 for security related cost, provided on an exceptional basis, to enable the implementation of the programme, and not taken into account when establishing the reduction of eligibility associated with the activities particularly in the servicing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 108.38 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 110.96 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 105.80 ODP tonnes for 2010. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 14.98 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Further noted that approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Iraq from submitting, prior to 2015, a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP</i></p>						
<b>Total for Iraq</b>			<b>\$195,000</b>	<b>\$20,144</b>	<b>\$215,144</b>	

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>JORDAN</b>						
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (air-conditioning sector plan)	IBRD	7.8	\$1,070,100	\$80,258	\$1,150,358	7.07
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2017 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 83 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 70.90 ODP tonnes and 95.04 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 11.31 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 94.30 ODP tonnes. Noted that the project to phase out HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment at Petra Engineering Industries Co., had already been approved at the 60th meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment of the Government to ban the manufacture and import of HCFC-based, residential air-conditioning equipment by 31 December 2016; the overall air-conditioning sector plan would incorporate policy and technical approaches to improve the energy efficiency of residential air-conditioning equipment to offset the climate impact of the alternative refrigerant, HFC-410A. The World Bank was requested to submit with the request for funding of the final tranche a verification for 2015 as specified in paragraph 5 (b) of the Agreement between the Government and the Committee; and to report on progress achieved when submitting the request for the second tranche. Noted the commitment of the Government to achieving energy consumption for residential air conditioners using HFC-410A at least equal to or lower than the HCFC-22 air conditioners they replaced. Noted the deduction of 8.07 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 60th meeting, and the World Bank and the Government were requested to further deduct 17.44 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Jordan from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (policy support, awareness promotion, monitoring)	UNIDO		\$70,000	\$6,300	\$76,300	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2017 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 83 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 70.90 ODP tonnes and 95.04 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 11.31 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 94.30 ODP tonnes. Noted that the project to phase out HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment at Petra Engineering Industries Co., had already been approved at the 60th meeting and had subsequently been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the commitment of the Government to ban the manufacture and import of HCFC-based, residential air-conditioning equipment by 31 December 2016; the overall air-conditioning sector plan would incorporate policy and technical approaches to improve the energy efficiency of residential air-conditioning equipment to offset the climate impact of the alternative refrigerant, HFC-410A. The World Bank was requested to submit with the request for funding of the final tranche a verification for 2015 as specified in paragraph 5 (b) of the Agreement between the Government and the Committee; and to report on progress achieved when submitting the request for the second tranche. Noted the commitment of the Government to achieving energy consumption for residential air conditioners using HFC-410A at least equal to or lower than the HCFC-22 air conditioners they replaced. Noted the deduction of 8.07 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 60th meeting, and the World Bank and the Government were requested to further deduct 17.44 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Jordan from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Jordan</b>		<b>7.8</b>	<b>\$1,140,100</b>	<b>\$86,558</b>	<b>\$1,226,658</b>	
<b>KENYA</b>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
Technical assistance for the final elimination of methyl bromide in post harvest sector	UNIDO	6.6	\$287,700	\$21,578	\$309,278	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the revised agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee, and on the understanding that no additional funding would be provided for Kenya for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide in the country.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Kenya</b>		<b>6.6</b>	<b>\$287,700</b>	<b>\$21,578</b>	<b>\$309,278</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	

## MALAYSIA

### FOAM

#### Sectoral phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (polyurethane foam sector plan)	UNDP	49.3	\$4,327,247	\$324,544	\$4,651,791	9.64
--	------	------	-------------	-----------	-------------	------

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2016 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 515.76 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 494.04 ODP tonnes and 537.47 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNDP was requested to report at the end of 2013 the status of the adoption of low GWP alternative technologies by the four systems houses assisted in the technical assistance component and to provide confirmation that the HCFC import quota has been established. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 94.60 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Malaysia from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.*

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (management and coordination)	UNDP		\$312,908	\$23,468	\$336,376	
--	------	--	-----------	----------	-----------	--

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2016 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 515.76 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 494.04 ODP tonnes and 537.47 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNDP was requested to report at the end of 2013 the status of the adoption of low GWP alternative technologies by the four systems houses assisted in the technical assistance component and to provide confirmation that the HCFC import quota has been established. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Malaysia from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.*

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (technical assistance for the servicing sector)  <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2016 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 515.76 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 494.04 ODP tonnes and 537.47 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNDP was requested to report at the end of 2013 the status of the adoption of low GWP alternative technologies by the four systems houses assisted in the technical assistance component and to provide confirmation that the HCFC import quota has been established. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 8.42 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Malaysia from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i>	UNDP		\$359,845	\$26,988	\$386,833	4.50
<b>Total for Malaysia</b>		<b>49.3</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>\$375,000</b>	<b>\$5,375,000</b>	
<b>MOROCCO</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)  <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2017 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the consumption of 68.00 ODP tonnes reported for 2009, which were the latest data available when the project to phase out 11.00 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b used in the manufacture of polyurethane rigid insulation foam for domestic refrigerators at Manar Company was approved at the 62nd meeting. This project had been included in stage I of the HPMP. Noted the deduction of 11.00 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption for the project approved at the 62nd meeting, and UNIDO and the Government were requested to further deduct 5.77 ODP tonnes of HCFCs for the implementation of stage I of the HPMP. Further noted that the approval of stage I of the HPMP did not preclude Morocco from submitting prior to 2015 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFC consumption beyond that addressed in stage I of the HPMP.</i>	UNIDO		\$80,000	\$6,000	\$86,000	
<b>Total for Morocco</b>			<b>\$80,000</b>	<b>\$6,000</b>	<b>\$86,000</b>	
<b>NIGER</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNEP		\$64,828	\$0	\$64,828	
<b>Total for Niger</b>			<b>\$64,828</b>		<b>\$64,828</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>OMAN</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Rigid</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (technical assistance for the foam sector)	UNIDO	1.1	\$104,120	\$7,809	\$111,929	7.91
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 31.46 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 30.7 ODP tonnes and 32.2 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 1.1 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 32.57 ODP tonnes. UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 1.1 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP	0.7	\$65,000	\$8,450	\$73,450	4.50
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 31.46 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 30.7 ODP tonnes and 32.2 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 1.1 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 32.57 ODP tonnes. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 3.54 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNIDO	2.3	\$210,000	\$15,750	\$225,750	4.50
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 31.46 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 30.7 ODP tonnes and 32.2 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 1.1 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 32.57 ODP tonnes. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 3.54 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of institutional strengthening support (phase IV: 12/2011-11/2013)	UNIDO		\$68,467	\$5,135	\$73,602	
	<b>Total for Oman</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>\$447,587</b>	<b>\$37,144</b>	<b>\$484,731</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PANAMA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNDP		\$132,773	\$9,958	\$142,731	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 24.77 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 24.96 ODP tonnes and 24.58 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 2.5 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 27.27 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.78 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	4.50
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 24.77 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 24.96 ODP tonnes and 24.58 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 2.50 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 27.27 ODP tonnes. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption. UNDP, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.78 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 11/2011-10/2013)	UNEP		\$149,500	\$0	\$149,500	
<b>Total for Panama</b>			<b>\$317,273</b>	<b>\$14,508</b>	<b>\$331,781</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	

## QATAR

### FOAM

#### Sectoral phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (sector conversion from HCFC-142b/22 in the production of extruded polystyrene insulation boards to low GWP isobutene at Qatar Insulation, Orient Insulation and Al Kawthar Insulation)	UNIDO	11.8	\$914,750	\$68,606	\$983,356	4.72
--	-------	------	-----------	----------	-----------	------

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline, and the reallocation of funding remaining from the TPMP of US \$110,000 plus agency support costs for UNIDO and US \$60,000 plus agency support costs for UNEP, as agreed under the TPMP, in line with the implementation plans provided. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 86.08 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 79.75 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 92.41 ODP tonnes for 2010. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 45.81 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.*

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNEP		\$105,000	\$13,650	\$118,650	4.50
---	------	--	-----------	----------	-----------	------

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline, and the reallocation of funding remaining from the TPMP of US \$110,000 plus agency support costs for UNIDO and US \$60,000 plus agency support costs for UNEP, as agreed under the TPMP, in line with the implementation plans provided. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 86.08 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 79.75 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 92.41 ODP tonnes for 2010. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 45.81 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.*



## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)  <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to reduce HCFC consumption by 20 per cent of the baseline, and the reallocation of funding remaining from the TPMP of US \$110,000 plus agency support costs for UNIDO and US \$60,000 plus agency support costs for UNEP, as agreed under the TPMP, in line with the implementation plans provided. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 86.08 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 79.75 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and estimated consumption of 92.41 ODP tonnes for 2010. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 45.81 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>	UNIDO		\$131,157	\$9,837	\$140,994	4.50
	<b>Total for Qatar</b>	<b>11.8</b>	<b>\$1,150,907</b>	<b>\$92,093</b>	<b>\$1,243,000</b>	
<b>SENEGAL</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)  <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 36.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 34.76 ODP tonnes and 37.54 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 12.65 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>	UNEP	1.2	\$100,000	\$12,887	\$112,887	4.50
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)  <i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 36.15 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 34.76 ODP tonnes and 37.54 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 12.65 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>	UNIDO	2.4	\$200,000	\$15,000	\$215,000	4.50
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNEP		\$152,101	\$0	\$152,101	
	<b>Total for Senegal</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>\$452,101</b>	<b>\$27,887</b>	<b>\$479,988</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SERBIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 12/2011-11/2013)	UNIDO		\$131,300	\$9,848	\$141,148	
	<b>Total for Serbia</b>		<b>\$131,300</b>	<b>\$9,848</b>	<b>\$141,148</b>	
<b>SIERRA LEONE</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.67 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 1.54 ODP tonnes and 1.80 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.58 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	0.1	\$50,000	\$4,500	\$54,500	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 1.67 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 1.54 ODP tonnes and 1.80 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Secretariat was requested, once the baseline data were known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.58 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i></p>						
	<b>Total for Sierra Leone</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>\$75,000</b>	<b>\$7,750</b>	<b>\$82,750</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SURINAME</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	0.1	\$68,000	\$6,120	\$74,120	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 1.98 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 2.68 ODP tonnes and 1.28 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.69 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP		\$27,000	\$3,510	\$30,510	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 1.98 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 2.68 ODP tonnes and 1.28 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNEP, UNIDO and the Government were requested to deduct 0.69 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						
<b>Total for Suriname</b>		<b>0.1</b>	<b>\$95,000</b>	<b>\$9,630</b>	<b>\$104,630</b>	
<b>TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO</b>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
Technical assistance to phase out the use of methyl bromide	UNEP	0.2	\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250	
<i>Approved on the understanding that no additional funding would be provided for methyl bromide activities in future for the country.</i>						
<b>Total for Trinidad and Tobago</b>		<b>0.2</b>	<b>\$25,000</b>	<b>\$3,250</b>	<b>\$28,250</b>	
<b>URUGUAY</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP		\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	4.50
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2015 to meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption an estimated baseline of 15.95 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 21.92 ODP tonnes and 24.71 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively. UNDP and the Government were requested to deduct 4.18 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption.</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2012-12/2013)	UNDP		\$150,800	\$11,310	\$162,110	
	<b>Total for Uruguay</b>		<b>\$250,800</b>	<b>\$18,810</b>	<b>\$269,610</b>	
<b>VIETNAM</b>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
National phase-out plan of methyl bromide (third tranche)	IBRD	10.0	\$120,000	\$9,000	\$129,000	
<i>The Government and the World Bank were requested to continue monitoring the phase-out of methyl bromide in Viet Nam and report back to the Executive Committee annually on the progress in meeting the reductions required by this project.</i>						
	<b>Total for Vietnam</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>\$120,000</b>	<b>\$9,000</b>	<b>\$129,000</b>	
<b>ZIMBABWE</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Sectoral phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (conversion of the foam manufacturing sector)	Germany	6.1	\$3,737	\$486	\$4,223	8.62
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, and on the understanding that US\$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US\$478,818 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFCs used in the foam manufacturing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 15.44 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 12.38 ODP tonnes and 18.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 21.55 ODP tonnes. Germany and the Government were requested to deduct 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that US\$7,474 plus agency support costs of US\$972 would be allocated at the 65th meeting and that Germany would submit a request for the remaining balance of US \$419,417 plus agency support costs of US \$50,057 to the 66th meeting.</i>						

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	Germany		\$3,737	\$486	\$4,223	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, and on the understanding that US\$560,000 were provided to address HCFC consumption in the refrigeration servicing sector to reach up to and include the 35 per cent reduction in 2020 in line with decision 60/44; and US\$478,818 were provided for the investment component for the phase-out of 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFCs used in the foam manufacturing sector. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 15.44 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 12.38 ODP tonnes and 18.50 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, plus 6.11 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyol systems, resulting in 21.55 ODP tonnes. Germany and the Government were requested to deduct 5.4 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. Noted that US\$7,474 plus agency support costs of US\$972 would be allocated at the 65th meeting and that Germany would submit a request for the remaining balance of US \$419,417 plus agency support costs of US \$50,057 to the 66th meeting.</i>						
	<b>Total for Zimbabwe</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>\$7,474</b>	<b>\$972</b>	<b>\$8,446</b>	
<b>REGION: EUR</b>						
<b>DESTRUCTION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Strategy for disposal and destruction of ODS for 4 LVC countries in the Europe and Central Asia region (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro and Turkmenistan)	UNIDO		\$35,000	\$2,625	\$37,625	
<i>Approved on the condition that, upon submission of the full demonstration project, the information required by decision 58/19 would be provided.</i>						
Strategy for disposal and destruction of ODS for 4 LVC countries in the Europe and Central Asia region (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro and Turkmenistan)	Czech Rep		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
<i>Approved on the condition that, upon submission of the full demonstration project, the information required by decision 58/19 would be provided.</i>						
	<b>Total for Region: EUR</b>		<b>\$70,000</b>	<b>\$7,175</b>	<b>\$77,175</b>	
<b>GLOBAL</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Agency programme</b>						
Core unit budget (2012)	UNIDO		\$0	\$1,984,561	\$1,984,561	
<i>UNIDO was requested to consider the options in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/20 and other possible options for an administrative cost regime for 2012-2014 and to report thereon to the Fund Secretariat.</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
Compliance Assistance Programme: 2012 budget <i>UNEP was requested in future submissions of the CAP budget to continue to provide detailed information on the activities for which the global funds would be used; continue to extend the prioritisation of funding between CAP budget lines so as to accommodate changing priorities and provide details on the reallocations made for its budget following decisions 47/24 and 50/26, on the condition that such reallocations did not result in savings from movement of personnel-related costs to other activity lines; continue to report on the current staff post levels and to inform the Committee of any changes thereto, particularly in respect of increased budget allocations; and provide a report in the context of UNEP's role in implementation of HPMPs, clearly delineating expenditures made from the CAP budget and those made from HPMP funds.</i>	UNEP		\$8,987,000	\$718,960	\$9,705,960	
Core unit budget (2012) <i>UNDP was requested to consider the options in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/20 and other possible options for an administrative cost regime for 2012-2014 and to report thereon to the Fund Secretariat.</i>	UNDP		\$0	\$1,984,561	\$1,984,561	
Core unit budget (2012) <i>The World Bank was requested to consider the options in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/20 and other possible options for an administrative cost regime for 2012-2014 and to report thereon to the Fund Secretariat.</i>	IBRD		\$0	\$1,724,644	\$1,724,644	
	<b>Total for Global</b>		<b>\$8,987,000</b>	<b>\$6,412,726</b>	<b>\$15,399,726</b>	
	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>271.3</b>	<b>\$30,729,020</b>	<b>\$8,061,463</b>	<b>\$38,790,483</b>	

## Summary

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/60  
Annex IV

Sector	Tonnes (ODP)	Funds approved (US\$)		
		Project	Support	Total
<b>BILATERAL COOPERATION</b>				
Foam	6.1	\$3,737	\$486	\$4,223
Phase-out plan		\$3,737	\$486	\$4,223
Destruction		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550
<b>TOTAL:</b>	6.1	\$42,474	\$5,522	\$47,996
<b>INVESTMENT PROJECT</b>				
Foam	146.6	\$10,141,229	\$760,593	\$10,901,822
Fumigant	57.4	\$737,700	\$56,016	\$793,716
Refrigeration	7.8	\$1,402,995	\$105,225	\$1,508,220
Solvent	33.0	\$2,500,000	\$187,500	\$2,687,500
Phase-out plan	20.3	\$4,806,715	\$416,635	\$5,223,350
<b>TOTAL:</b>	265.0	\$19,588,639	\$1,525,969	\$21,114,608
<b>WORK PROGRAMME AMENDMENT</b>				
Foam		\$120,000	\$9,000	\$129,000
Fumigant	0.2	\$25,000	\$3,250	\$28,250
Destruction		\$35,000	\$2,625	\$37,625
Several		\$10,917,907	\$6,515,097	\$17,433,004
<b>TOTAL:</b>	0.2	\$11,097,907	\$6,529,972	\$17,627,879
<b>Summary by Parties and Implementing Agencies</b>				
Czech Republic		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550
Germany	6.1	\$7,474	\$972	\$8,446
IBRD	17.8	\$1,310,100	\$1,822,902	\$3,133,002
UNDP	154.6	\$12,975,990	\$2,960,130	\$15,936,120
UNEP	2.8	\$10,725,061	\$854,619	\$11,579,680
UNIDO	90.0	\$5,675,395	\$2,418,290	\$8,093,685
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	271.3	\$30,729,020	\$8,061,463	\$38,790,483

**ADJUSTMENTS ARISING FROM THE 65<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR  
BALANCES ON PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES**

Agency	Project Costs (US\$)	Support Costs (US\$)	Total (US\$)
Germany (per decision 65/2(b))	7,474	972	8,446
UNDP (per decision 65/2(b))	253,695	19,121	272,816
UNIDO (per decision 65/2(b))	18,808	1,416	20,224
World Bank (per decision 65/2(b))	433,019	60,494	493,513
<b>Total</b>	<b>712,996</b>	<b>82,003</b>	<b>794,999</b>

**ADJUSTMENTS ARISING FROM THE 65<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR  
TRANSFERRED PROJECTS**

Agency	Project Costs (US\$)	Support Costs (US\$)	Total (US\$)
UNEP (per decisions 64/25 and 65/2(g))	60,495	0	60,495
World Bank (per decisions 64/25 and 65/2(b))	-60,495	-4,835	-65,330

**NET ALLOCATIONS TO IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES AND BILATERAL CONTRIBUTIONS BASED ON  
DECISIONS OF THE 65TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Agency	Project Costs (US\$)	Support Costs (US\$)	Total (US\$)
Czech Republic (1)	35,000	4,550	39,550
UNDP	12,722,295	2,941,009	15,663,304
UNEP	10,785,556	854,619	11,640,175
UNIDO	5,656,587	2,416,874	8,073,461
World Bank	816,586	1,757,573	2,574,159
<b>Total</b>	<b>30,016,024</b>	<b>7,974,625</b>	<b>37,990,649</b>

(1) Total amount to be assigned to the 2009-2011 bilateral contributions.



## **Annex V**

### **VIEWS EXPRESSED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ON RENEWALS OF INSTITUTIONAL STRENGTHENING PROJECTS SUBMITTED TO THE 65<sup>th</sup> MEETING**

#### **Argentina**

1. The Executive Committee reviewed the terminal report presented with the institutional strengthening renewal request for Argentina and noted with appreciation the achievements made by Argentina's Ozone Technical Unit (NOU) during the implementation of the sixth phase. The Executive Committee noted the work done by the Government of Argentina to maintain the CFC phase-out achieved, in particular for the CTC and TCA consumption follow-up; the activities to phase-out the methyl bromide use in soil fumigation and the CFC consumption for metered dose inhalers' production. The Executive Committee also noted that Argentina is in compliance with the reporting and consumption obligations under the Montreal Protocol and expressed the expectation that, in the next two years, Argentina will succeed in the implementation of ODS phase-out activities to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

#### **Bosnia and Herzegovina**

2. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening renewal request for Bosnia and Herzegovina and noted with appreciation that the country is in compliance with the phase-out targets of the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee also noted that, within the framework of the Country Programme, Bosnia and Herzegovina has taken significant steps towards development of its HCFCs Phase-out Management Plan, specifically, initiation of strategic prioritization in different sectors for HCFC consumption, ODS phase-out as well as continued regulatory efforts through the licensing and quota system.

3. The Executive Committee expressed the expectation that, in the next two years, Bosnia and Herzegovina will continue the implementation of its planned activities with outstanding progress, and will sustain and build upon its current levels of reductions in ODS to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

#### **Cameroon**

4. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening renewal request for Cameroon and noted with appreciation that the country has reported 2010 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved total phase-out of CFCs and halons before the 2010 phase-out schedule. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Cameroon will continue with the implementation of ODS phase-out activities with outstanding success, and will sustain and build upon its current levels of reductions in ODS to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

#### **Chad**

5. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening renewal request for Chad and noted with appreciation that the country has reported 2010 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat showing that it reached the CFC 100 per cent phase-out target of the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee expressed the expectation that, in the next two years, Chad will implement its HCFC phase-out management plan with outstanding process.

### **Costa Rica**

6. The Executive Committee reviewed the terminal report presented with the institutional strengthening renewal request for Costa Rica and noted with appreciation the achievements made by Costa Rica's Ozone Technical Unit during the implementation of the eighth phase. In particular the Executive Committee noted the progress made by Costa Rica towards the successful implementation of the CFC National Phase-out Plan, the initiation of stage I of the HCFC management phase-out plan and the implementation of the licensing system to regulate the import and export of HCFCs and other ODS. The Executive Committee expressed the expectation that, in the next two years, Costa Rica will sustain and build upon its current levels of reductions in ODS to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

### **Cuba**

7. The Executive Committee reviewed the terminal report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Cuba and noted with appreciation the achievements made by Cuba's Ozone Technical Unit during the implementation of the seventh phase. In particular the Executive Committee noted the progress made by Cuba towards the phase-out of CFCs and methyl bromide, and the implementation of a licensing and quota system for HCFCs. The Executive Committee expressed the expectation that, in the next two years, Cuba will continue the implementation of its planned activities with outstanding progress, and will sustain and build upon its current levels of reductions in ODS to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

### **Indonesia**

8. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening renewal request for Indonesia and noted with appreciation that Indonesia has successfully achieved and sustained complete phase-out of CFCs, CTC, halons and methyl bromide. The Executive Committee also noted the various policy and regulatory initiatives implemented by the Government of Indonesia for effective monitoring and control of ODS, in particular its effort on decentralization of the monitoring and enforcement through strengthening of local government entities, which was a major success for implementation of the ODS phase-out programme. The Committee also noted with appreciation the National Ozone Unit's close cooperation with industry representatives on adoption of low-global warming potential technologies for achieving HCFC phase-out in refrigeration and air-conditioning applications. The Committee expresses the expectation that Indonesia will successfully implement its HCFC phase-out management plan, through its strong partnership with stakeholders and industries, sustain and build upon its success in controlling ODSs in achieving 2013 and 2015 HCFC compliance targets under the Montreal Protocol.

### **Niger (the)**

9. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening renewal request for the Republic of Niger and noted with appreciation that the country has reported 2010 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved total phase-out of CFCs and halons before the 2010 phase-out schedule. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, the Republic of Niger will continue with the implementation of ODS phase-out activities with outstanding success including those to meet 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

### **Oman**

10. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening renewal request for the Sultanate of Oman and noted with appreciation that the country reported its country programme data for 2010 to the Multilateral Fund Secretariat indicating that it has met the

phase-out targets of the 2010 Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee expressed the expectation that, in the next two years, Oman will continue the implementation of its planned activities with outstanding progress to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

### **Panama**

11. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Panama and noted with appreciation that the country reported 2010 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat and 2010 country programme implementation data to the Multilateral Fund Secretariat. The Executive Committee acknowledges with appreciation that Panama has attained zero CFC consumption target in 2010 under the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee also noted that there is continued commitment at a high level of the Government to support all national Montreal Protocol activities. The Executive Committee is therefore confident that Panama will sustain the phase-out of CFCs and initiate activities both at the project and policy levels to enable the country meeting the next HCFCs phase-out targets.

### **Senegal**

12. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening renewal request for Senegal and noted with appreciation that the country has reported 2010 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved total phase-out of CFCs and halons before the 2010 phase-out target of the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Senegal will continue with the implementation of ODS phase out activities with outstanding success including the activities to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

### **Serbia**

13. The Executive Committee has reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening project renewal request for Serbia and noted with appreciation that country reported 2010 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that Serbia is in compliance with the phase-out targets of the Montreal Protocol.

14. The Executive Committee supports the efforts of Serbia to reduce the consumption of HCFCs and is hopeful that, in the next two years, Serbia will continue with the implementation of its ODS phase-out activities with outstanding success including the activities to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures for HCFCs.

### **Uruguay**

15. The Executive Committee reviewed the terminal report presented along with the institutional strengthening renewal request for Uruguay and noted with appreciation the achievements made by Uruguay's Ozone Technical Unit during the implementation of the eighth phase. The Executive Committee noted the work done by the Government of Uruguay for the preparation of the HCFC management phase-out plan and its efforts to maintain the CFC phase-out achieved. The Executive Committee also noted that Uruguay has a well established and reliable ODS licensing system, that the country is in compliance with the reporting and consumption obligations under the Montreal Protocol and that its ozone activities is complementing those of climate change. The Executive Committee expressed its expectations that, in the next two years, Uruguay will continue the implementation of its planned activities with outstanding progress in order to meet the initial targets to freeze and reduce the consumption of HCFCs in 2013 and 2015, respectively.

-----

## Annex VI

## 2012 COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAMME BUDGET

	Bud Ln	Component	Location			CAP 2011 Approved ExCom 62	CAP 2012 Approved ExCom 65
<b>10</b>	<b>PERSONNEL COMPONENT</b>						
	<i>1100 Personnel</i>						
		<b>Title</b>		<b>Grade</b>	<b>w/m</b>		
	1101	Head of Branch	Paris	D1	10	200,000	205,000
	1102	Network & Policy Manager	Paris	P5	12	218,000	223,000
	1103	Capacity Building Manager - Compliance	Paris	P4/P5	12	204,000	208,000
	1104	Information Manager	Paris	P4	12	190,000	195,000
	1105	Monitoring & Administration Officer	Paris	P4	0	30,000	0
	1106	Information Officer	Paris	P3	12	0	0
	1107	Programme Officer - HCFC	Paris	P3	12	160,000	164,000
	1108	Programme Officer - ECA / Paris	Paris / ECA	P3	12	160,000	164,000
	1109	Programme Officer - Information Technology	Paris	P3/P4	12	175,000	180,000
	1110	ROA Programme Officer - HPMP	Nairobi	P4	12	175,000	180,000
	1111	ROA Programme Officer - Policy and Enforcement	Nairobi	P4	12	175,000	180,000
	1112	ROA Programme Officer	Nairobi	P3	12	144,000	148,000
	1113	ROA Programme Officer - Methyl Bromide	Nairobi	P3	12	144,000	148,000
	1114	ROLAC Regional Network Coordinator	Panama	P4	12	161,000	166,000
	1115	ROLAC Caribbean Network Coordinator - PEO	Panama	P4	12	161,000	166,000
	1116	ROLAC Programme Officer - HPMP	Panama	P3	12	138,000	142,000
	1117	ROLAC Programme Officer - HPMP / Methyl Bromide	Panama	P3	12	138,000	142,000
	1118	ROAP Senior Regional Network Coordinator	Bangkok	P5	12	183,000	188,000
	1119	ROAP Programme Officer - Policy and Enforcement	Bangkok	P4	12	160,000	165,000
	1120	ROAP PIC Network Coordinator - HPMP	Bangkok	P4	12	160,000	165,000
	1121	ROAP Programme Officer - Technology Officer	Bangkok	P3	12	130,000	134,000
	1122	ROWA Regional Network Coordinator	Bahrain	P4	12	188,000	190,000
	1123	ROWA Programme Officer - HPMP	Bahrain	P4	12	188,000	190,000
	1124	ROWA Programme Officer - Policy and Enforcement	Bahrain	P3	12	155,000	160,000
	1125	ROA Senior Regional Network Coordinator	Nairobi	P5	12	203,000	208,000
	1126	ECA Regional Network Coordinator	ECA	P4	12	190,000	195,000
	1127	ROAP SEA Network Coordinator	Bangkok	P4	12	160,000	164,000
	1199	<i>Sub-total</i>				4,290,000	4,370,000
	1200	<i>Consultants (Description of activity/service)</i>					
	1201	Consultants				0	0
	1202	Consultants - Regional				0	0
	1299	<i>Sub-total</i>				0	0
	1300	<i>Programme Assistance</i>					
		<b>Title</b>		<b>Grade</b>	<b>w/m</b>		
	1301	Secretary Chief	Paris	G6	12	99,000	102,000
	1302	Assistant Network Manager	Paris	G6	12	99,000	102,000
	1303	Assistant Clearinghouse	Paris	G6	12	99,000	102,000
	1304	Assistant Monitoring & Administration	Paris	G6	0	0	0
	1305	Assistant ECA / Paris	Paris	G5	12	87,000	90,000
	1306	Assistant Programme	Paris	G5	12	87,000	90,000
	1307	Assistant Data & Documentation	Paris	G5	12	87,000	90,000
	1309	ROA RNC Assistant	Nairobi	G5	12	30,000	31,000
	1310	ROA Office Assistant	Nairobi	G6	12	37,000	38,000
	1311	ROLAC RNC Assistant	Panama	G6	12	38,000	39,000
	1312	ROLAC PEO Assistant	Panama	G5	12	31,000	32,000
	1313	ROAP-SA RNC Assistant	Bangkok	G5	12	46,000	47,000
	1314	ROAP Office Assistant	Bangkok	G6	12	58,000	59,000
	1315	ROWA RNC Assistant	Bahrain	G6	12	53,000	55,000
	1316	ROWA Office Assistant	Bahrain	G6	12	53,000	55,000
	1317	Temporary assistance CAP				50,000	70,000
	1318	RNC ECA Assistant	ECA	G5	12	87,000	90,000
	1319	ROAP SEA Project Assistant	Bangkok	G4	12	41,000	42,000
	1320	ROLAC Office Assistant	Panama	G3	12	19,000	20,000
							0
	1321	ROA Regional Assistant - HPMP	Nairobi	G6	12	33,000	34,000
	1322	ROLAC Regional Assistant - HPMP	Panama	G6	12	25,000	39,000
	1323	ROAP Regional Assistant - HPMP	Bangkok	G6	12	44,000	45,000
	1324	ROWA Regional Assistant - HPMP	Bahrain	G6	6	26,000	27,000
	1399	<i>Sub-total</i>				1,229,000	1,299,000
	1600	<i>Travel on official business (UNEP staff)</i>					
	1601	Paris staff travel	Paris			205,000	205,000
	1602	ROA staff travel	Nairobi			143,000	143,000
	1603	ROLAC staff travel	Panama			96,000	96,000
	1604	ROAP staff travel	Bangkok			80,000	80,000
	1605	ROWA staff travel	Bahrain			60,000	60,000
	1606	ECA staff travel	ECA			26,000	26,000
	1699	<i>Sub-total</i>				610,000	610,000
	<b>1999 COMPONENT TOTAL</b>					<b>6,129,000</b>	<b>6,279,000</b>
20	<b>SUB CONTRACT COMPONENT</b>						

	Bud Ln	Component	Location			CAP 2011 Approved ExCom 62	CAP 2012 Approved ExCom 65
	2200	<i>Sub-contracts (MOUs/LAs for supporting organizations)</i>					
	2202	ROA Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	Nairobi			28,000	28,000
	2203	ROLAC Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	Panama			15,000	15,000
	2204	ROAP Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	Bangkok			20,000	20,000
	2205	ROWA Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	Bahrain			50,000	50,000
	2206	ECA Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	ECA			50,000	50,000
	2212	ROA Regional awareness raising	Nairobi			39,000	39,000
	2213	ROLAC Regional awareness raising - South America	Panama			75,000	25,000
	2214	ROAP Regional awareness raising	Bangkok			44,000	44,000
	2215	ROWA Regional awareness raising	Bahrain			20,000	20,000
	2216	ECA Regional awareness raising	ECA			15,000	15,000
	2219	ROLAC Regional awareness raising - Caribbean	Panama				25,000
	2220	ROLAC Regional awareness raising - Mexico & Central America	Panama				25,000
	2299	<i>Sub-total</i>				356,000	356,000
	2300	<i>Sub-contracts (for commercial purposes)</i>					
	2301	Technical and policy information materials	Paris			90,000	70,000
	2302	OzonAction Newsletter / Thematic Special Issues	Paris			100,000	50,000
	2303	Illustration/graphics/layout design	Paris			20,000	20,000
	2304	Exhibition/outreach	Paris			22,000	22,000
	2305	Targetted information materials on HCFCs	Paris			150,000	100,000
	2306	Regional Capacity Building and Tech support on HCFC phaseout	Regional			100,000	80,000
	2399	<i>Sub-total</i>				482,000	342,000
	<b>2999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>				<b>838,000</b>	<b>698,000</b>
30	<b>TRAINING COMPONENT</b>						
	3300	<i>Meetings/conferences</i>					
	3301	Advisory and Consultative Meetings - Paris	Paris			32,000	32,000
	3302	ROA network meetings/thematic workshops	Nairobi			281,000	281,000
	3303	ROLAC Joint Main Network Meeting of LAC	Panama			192,000	92,000
	3304	ROAP-SA network meetings/thematic workshops	Bangkok			72,000	72,000
	3305	ROWA network meetings/thematic workshops	Bahrain			86,000	86,000
	3306	ECA network meetings/thematic workshops	ECA			150,000	150,000
	3307	PIC network meetings/thematic workshops	Bangkok			60,000	60,000
	3308	ROAP-SEA meetings/thematic workshops	Bangkok			50,000	50,000
	3309	ROLAC Thematic meeting of Caribbean Network	Panama				50,000
	3310	ROLAC Thematic meeting of Latin America Networks	Panama				50,000
	3312	ROA South-South cooperation	Nairobi			31,000	31,000
	3313	ROLAC South-South cooperation - South America - South	Panama			45,000	15,000
	3314	ROAP South-South cooperation	Bangkok			48,000	48,000
	3315	ROWA South-South cooperation	Bahrain			33,000	33,000
	3316	ECA South-South cooperation	ECA			10,000	10,000
	3319	ROLAC South-South cooperation - Caribbean	Panama				15,000
	3320	ROLAC South-South cooperation - Mexico & Central America	Panama				15,000
	3399	<i>Sub-total</i>				1,090,000	1,090,000
	<b>3999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>				<b>1,090,000</b>	<b>1,090,000</b>
40	<b>EQUIPMENT AND PREMISES COMPONENT</b>						
	4100	<i>Expendable equipment (items under \$1,500 each)</i>					
	4101	Office supplies - Paris and ECA	Paris / ECA			15,000	15,000
	4102	Office supplies - Regions	Regional			25,000	25,000
	4199	<i>Sub-total</i>				40,000	40,000
	4200	<i>Non-expendable equipment</i>					
	4201	Office equipment / computer - Paris and ECA	Paris / ECA			22,000	22,000
	4202	Office equipment / computer - Regions	Regional			33,000	33,000
	4299	<i>Sub-total</i>				55,000	55,000
	4300	<i>Rental of premises</i>					
	4301	Office rental - Paris and ECA	Paris / ECA			360,000	360,000
	4302	Office rental - Regions	Regional			151,000	151,000
	4399	<i>Sub-total</i>				511,000	511,000
	<b>4999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>				<b>606,000</b>	<b>606,000</b>
50	<b>MISCELLANEOUS COMPONENT</b>						
	5100	<i>Operation and maintenance of equipment</i>					
	5101	Rental and maintenance of office equipment - Paris and ECA	Paris			22,000	22,000
	5102	Rental and maintenance of office equipment - Regions	Regional			33,000	33,000
	5199	<i>Sub-total</i>				55,000	55,000
	5200	<i>Reporting cost</i>					
	5201	Reporting/reproduction costs	Paris			11,000	11,000
	5202	Translations - Regions	Regional			36,000	36,000
	5299	<i>Sub-total</i>				47,000	47,000
	5300	<i>Sundry</i>					
	5301	Communication & dissemination - Paris and ECA	Paris / ECA			153,000	123,000
	5302	Communication - Regions	Regional			89,000	89,000
	5399	<i>Sub-total</i>				242,000	212,000
	<b>5999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>				<b>344,000</b>	<b>314,000</b>
	<b>99</b>	<b>TOTAL DIRECT PROJECT COST</b>				<b>9,007,000</b>	<b>8,987,000</b>
		<i>Programme support costs (8%)</i>				720,560	718,960
<b>90</b>	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>					<b>9,727,560</b>	<b>9,705,960</b>

## Annex VII

### AGREED REVISED CONDITIONS FOR THE PHASE-OUT OF METHYL BROMIDE IN KENYA

1. The Executive Committee agrees:
  - (a) At its 38<sup>th</sup> meeting, to approve in principle US \$1,595,811 (of which US \$574,492 is to be allocated to the Government of Germany and US \$1,021,319 is to be allocated to UNDP) as the total funds that will be available to Kenya to achieve the complete phase out of 97.0 ODP tonnes of methyl bromide (MB) used for soil fumigation in the cut flower sector (63.0 ODP tonnes), vegetables, fruit, seedbeds and nurseries (34.0 ODP tonnes);
  - (b) At its 53<sup>rd</sup> meeting, to approve the request by the Government of Kenya to transfer responsibility for implementation of all remaining activities associated with the completion of the phase-out of methyl bromide in the cut-flower sector from UNDP to UNIDO; and
  - (c) At its 65<sup>th</sup> meeting, to approve an additional US \$287,700 to be allocated to UNIDO as the total funds that will be available to Kenya to achieve the complete phase-out of 6.6 ODP tonnes of MB used in post-harvest applications.
  
2. As reported to the Ozone Secretariat, and consistent with information in the project document presented to the Executive Committee, the MB baseline for compliance for Kenya is 217.5 ODP tonnes, and MB consumption in 2001 was 111.0 ODP tonnes, excluding 21 ODP tonnes which Kenya states that it uses for quarantine and pre-shipment applications.
  
3. Reductions resulting from the implementation of the projects will ensure that Kenya will meet the reduction schedule listed below. In this regard, Kenya commits, through the implementation of the project, to reduce total national consumption of controlled uses of MB to no more than the following levels of consumption in the years listed below:

Year	MB to be phased out per year (ODP tonnes)				Maximum levels of MB consumption excluding QPS (ODP tonnes)**
	Cut flowers (UNDP/UNIDO)	Horticulture (Germany)	Grain (UNIDO)	Total*	
2001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	111.0
2004	10.0	5.0		15.0(a)	96.0
2006	21.0	12.0		33.0	63.0
2008	22.0	12.0		34.0	29.0
2009	10.0	5.0		15.0	14.0
2010				-	14.0
2011				-	6.6
2012			6.6	6.6	6.6
2013				-	0.0

\* The project will aim to achieve faster reductions if feasible. The Government of Kenya may choose to speed up the MB reduction schedule if it wishes, without penalty to the project budget.

\*\* Excluding MB imports for quarantine and pre-shipment uses.

4. The project will phase out all soil uses of MB in Kenya. Kenya commits to permanently sustaining the consumption levels indicated above through the use of import restrictions and other policies it may deem necessary.

5. Funding for the project will be disbursed by the Government of Germany, UNDP and UNIDO in line with the following yearly budget breakdown:

<b>Year</b>	<b>Germany</b>	<b>UNDP</b>	<b>UNIDO</b>	<b>Total</b>
2002		510,660		510,660
2003	287,247			287,247
2004	172,347			172,347
2005				-
2006	114,898			114,898
2007*		(510,660)	510,660	-
2011			287,700	287,700
<b>Total</b>	<b>574,492</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>798,360</b>	<b>1,372,852</b>

\* Transferred from UNDP to UNIDO at the 53<sup>rd</sup> Meeting.

6. The Government of Kenya has reviewed the consumption data identified in these projects and is confident that it is correct. Accordingly, the Government is entering into this agreement with the Executive Committee on the understanding that, should additional MB consumption be identified in soil fumigation or in post-harvest applications at a later date, the responsibility to ensure its phase-out will lie solely with the Government.

7. The Government of Kenya, in agreement with the Government of Germany, UNDP and UNIDO, will have flexibility in organising and implementing the projects components it deems more important in order to meet the MB phase-out commitments noted above. The Government of Germany, UNDP and UNIDO agree to manage the funding for the projects in a manner designed to ensure the achievement of the specific MB reductions agreed upon. The Government of Germany, UNDP and UNIDO shall also report back to the Executive Committee annually on progress made in meeting the reductions required by the projects.

8. The present Agreed Conditions between the Government of Kenya and the Executive Committee have been updated as a result of the change in implementing agency and the project in post-harvest applications as indicated in paragraphs 1 (b) and 1 (c) above respectively, without impact on the project signed by the Permanent Secretaries of the Ministry of Agriculture and the Ministry of Environment, Natural Resources and Wildlife at project inception.

9. These agreed conditions between the Government of Kenya and the Executive Committee have taken into account the already approved methyl bromide phase-out project in soil fumigation in the cut flower sector, vegetables, fruit, seedbeds and nurseries. Subsequently, they supersede the conditions agreed at the 53<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

-----

## Annex VIII

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF ANGOLA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Angola (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 14.36 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data, with the funding to be adjusted accordingly, as per decision 60/44.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;



- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 2.2 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, and the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	15.95

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	15.95	15.95	14.36	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	15.95	15.95	14.36	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US \$)	86,222	39,111	31,111	0	19,556	176,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	7,760	3,520	2,800	0	1,760	15,840
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	86,222	39,111	31,111	0	19,556	176,000
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	7,760	3,520	2,800	0	1,760	15,840
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	93,982	42,631	33,911	0	21,316	191,840
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						1.59
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)						14.36

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of

funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;

- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. Overall supervision will be provided by the Ministry of Environment, through the National Ozone Office, with assistance from UNDP.
2. Consumption will be monitored and determined from official data of import and export of substances as registered by the relevant government departments.
3. The National Ozone Office will compile and report the following data and information each year on, or before the deadlines:
  - (a) Annual reports on the consumption of the substances to be submitted to the Ozone Secretariat, and
  - (b) Annual reports on progress in implementing the HPMP to be submitted to the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.

4. The National Ozone Office and UNDP will jointly hire a qualified independent entity to conduct a qualitative and quantitative performance assessment of the implementation of the HPMP.
5. The agency responsible for evaluation will have full access to relevant technical and financial information related to the implementation of the HPMP.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.
2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

----

## Annex IX

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE BAHAMAS AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Commonwealth of the Bahamas (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 3.13 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) The Country agrees, in cases where HFC technologies have been chosen as an alternative HCFC, and taking into account national circumstances related to health and safety: to monitor the availability of substitutes and alternatives that further minimize impacts on the climate; to consider, in the review of regulations standards and incentives adequate provisions that encourage introduction of such alternatives; and to consider the potential for adoption of cost-effective alternatives that minimize the climate impact in the implementation of the HPMP, as appropriate, and inform the Executive Committee on the progress accordingly; and



- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	4.81

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	4.81	4.81	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.13	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	4.81	4.81	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.13	n/a
2.1	Lead IA - UNEP agreed funding(US \$)	18,200	0	49,550	0	0	58,175	0	0	0	30,975	156,900
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA(US \$)	2,366	0	6,442	0	0	7,563	0	0	0	4,026	20,397
2.3	Cooperating IA - UNIDO agreed funding (US \$)	105,128	0	10,464	0	0	35,828	0	0	0	0	151,420
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	9,462	0	942	0	0	3,224	0	0	0	0	13,628
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	123,328	0	60,014	0	0	94,003	0	0	0	30,975	308,320
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	11,828	0	7,384	0	0	10,787	0	0	0	4,026	34,025
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	135,156	0	67,398	0	0	104,790	0	0	0	35,001	342,345
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											1.68
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											3.13

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The NOU will submit annual progress reports of status of implementation of the HPMP to the Lead IA. Monitoring of development of HPMP and verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the Plan, will be assigned to independent local company or to independent international/regional/local consultant(s) by the Lead IA.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

## Annex X

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF BURUNDI AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Burundi (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 4.65 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data, with the funding to be adjusted accordingly, as per decision 60/44.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
  - (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.
6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.
7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
    - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
    - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
    - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
    - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
  - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
  - (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.
8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:
- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
  - (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.



## APPENDICES

### APPENDICES

#### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	7.15

#### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	7.15	7.15	6.44	6.44	6.44	6.44	6.44	4.65	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	7.15	7.15	6.44	6.44	6.44	6.44	6.44	4.65	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	45,000	0	30,000	0	0	35,000	0	28,800	0	33,200	172,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	5,850	0	3,900	0	0	4,550	0	3,744	0	4,316	22,360
2.3	Cooperating IA(UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	80,000	0	0	0	0	80,000	0		0	0	160,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	7,200	0	0	0	0	7,200	0		0	0	14,400
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	125,000	0	30,000	0	0	115,000	0	28,800	0	33,200	332,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	13,050	0	3,900	0	0	11,750	0	3,744	0	4,316	36,760
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	138,050	0	33,900	0	0	126,750	0	32,544	0	37,516	368,760
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 and under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											2.50
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											4.65

#### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

#### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. All the monitoring activities will be coordinated and managed through the National Ozone Unit, which is included within this HPMP.
2. The Lead IA will have a particularly prominent role in the monitoring arrangements because of its mandate to monitor ODS imports, whose records will be used as a crosschecking reference in all the monitoring programmes for the different projects within the HPMP. The Lead IA, along with the Cooperating IA will also undertake the challenging task of monitoring illegal ODS imports and exports and advising the appropriate national agencies through the National Ozone Office.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

----

## Annex XI

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF CUBA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Cuba (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 10.97 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3 and 4.5.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
  - (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the

funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and

- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly; and
- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 2.2 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	14.25
HCFC-124	C	I	0.01
HCFC-141b	C	I	2.60
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.02
Subtotal	C	I	16.88
HCFC-141b in imported polyol			13.35
Total			30.23

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	TOTAL
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	16.88	16.88	15.19	15.19	15.19	15.19	15.19	10.97	
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	16.88	16.88	15.19	15.19	15.19	15.19	15.19	10.97	
2.1	Lead IA UNDP agreed funding (US \$)	750,000	0	700,000	0	0	141,527	0	100,000	0	56,000	1,747,527
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	56,250	0	52,500	0	0	10,615	0	7,500	0	4,200	131,065
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	750,000	0	700,000	0	0	141,527	0	100,000	0	56,000	1,747,527
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	56,250	0	52,500	0	0	10,615	0	7,500	0	4,200	131,065
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	806,250	0	752,500	0	0	152,142	0	107,500	0	60,200	1,878,592
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											3.31
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											10.94
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-124 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											0
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-124 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-124 (ODP tonnes)											0.01
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											2.60
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)											0
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											0
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)											0.02
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											13.35
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols (ODP tonnes)											0



### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. Supervision will be provided by the Ministry of Science Technology and Environment, through the Ozone Technical Office (OTOZ), with assistance from the Lead IA.
2. Consumption will be monitored and determined from official data import and export of substances registered by the relevant government departments.
3. OTOZ will compile and report the following data and information each year or before the deadlines:
  - (a) Annual reports on the consumption of the substances to be submitted to the Ozone Secretariat, and
  - (b) Annual reports on progress in implementing the management plan HCFC will be submitted to the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.
4. The OTOZ and the Lead IA will jointly hire a qualified independent entity to conduct a qualitative and quantitative performance assessment of the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP).
5. The agency responsible for evaluation will have full access to relevant technical and financial information related to the implementation of the HPMP.
6. The agency responsible for evaluation shall prepare and submit to the OTOZ and the Lead IA a draft consolidated report at the end of each annual implementation plan, which will include the findings of the assessment and, where appropriate, recommendations to make the improvements or adjustments. The draft report will include the country's situation with regard to compliance with the provisions of this Agreement.
7. After incorporating the comments and explanations, if any, from the OTOZ and the Lead IA, the agency responsible for evaluation will finalize the report submitted to the OTOZ and the Lead IA.
8. The OTOZ will endorse the final report and the Lead IA will transmit it to the relevant meeting of the Executive Committee with the implementation plan and annual reports.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;

- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

## Annex XII

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF ECUADOR AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Ecuador (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 11.37 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020, in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data, with the funding to be adjusted accordingly, as per decision 60/44.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3 and 4.5.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly; and
- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which

were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	16.51
HCFC-141b	C	I	0.62
HCFC-123	C	I	0.27
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.09
Subtotal	C	I	17.49
HCFC-141b in imported polyol			20.67
Total			38.16

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	17.49	17.49	15.74	15.74	15.74	15.74	15.74	11.37	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	17.49	17.49	15.74	15.74	15.74	15.74	15.74	11.37	n/a
2.1	Lead IA UNIDO agreed funding(US \$)	1,531,940	0	86,500	0	0	86,500	0	86,500	0	55,000	1,846,440
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA(US \$)	114,896	0	6,488	0	0	6,487	0	6,487	0	4,125	138,483
2.3	Cooperating IA UNEP agreed funding (US \$)	30,000	0	20,000	0	0	30,000	0	25,000	0	10,000	115,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	3,900	0	2,600	0	0	3,900	0	3,250	0	1,300	14,950
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	1,561,940	0	106,500	0	0	116,500	0	111,500	0	65,000	1,961,440
3.2	Total support cost	118,796	0	9,088	0	0	10,387	0	9,737	0	5,425	153,433
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	1,680,736	0	115,588	0	0	126,887	0	121,237	0	70,425	2,114,873
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											5.50
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											n/a
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											11.01
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.62
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											n/a
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											n/a
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)											0.27

4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)	0.00
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	n/a
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)	0.09
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)	14.96
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	n/a
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols (ODP tonnes)	5.71

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;



- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) of Ecuador, within the Ministry of Industries and Productivity, will coordinate the implementation of the project and will be responsible for the national coordination of the whole HPMP program with the assistance of the implementing agencies.
2. The NOU will be responsible for the monitoring of the phase-out implementation plan, making the follow up of the promulgation, and the enforcement of the policies and legislation.
3. The NOU will support the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA in the preparation the annual implementation plans and progress reports for the Executive Committee.
4. The implementation of the phase-out plan will need to be aligned and closely coordinate with the different general instructions, regulatory, fiscal actions, of capacity creation and awareness that the Government of Ecuador executes, to ensure the consistency of the governmental priorities.
5. The phase-out plan will be managed by a team dedicated to this job that consists of a coordinator that will be designated by the NOU and have the support of the representatives and experts of the implementation agencies and the necessary support infrastructure. In addition, the local distribution of service equipment that will be purchased through the Lead IA acquisition procedure will also be implemented by the coordinator.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1I and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual

implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;

- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

**APPENDIX 8-A: SECTOR SPECIFIC ARRANGEMENTS**

1. In accordance with decisions 61/47 and 63/15, a project to phase out the use of the remaining 5.71 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended polyols will be submitted during stage I of the HPMP, when proven cost-effective and commercially available low global warming potential technology will make it possible to replace the HCFC-141b used by small enterprises.

-----

### Annex XIII

#### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF EL SALVADOR AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of El Salvador (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 7.59 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3, 4.5.3 and 4.6.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	8.15
HCFC-141b	C	I	3.34
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.03
HCFC-123	C	I	0.05
HCFC-124	C	I	0.11
Sub-total	C	I	11.68
HCFC-141b in imported polyols			4.94
Total			16.62

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017-2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	11.68	11.68	10.51	10.51	10.51	7.59	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	11.68	11.68	10.51	10.51	10.51	7.59	n/a
2.1	Lead IA UNDP agreed funding(US \$)	530,349	0	0	0	58,928	94,000	0	16,000	699,277
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA(US \$)	39,776	0	0	0	4,420	7,050	0	1,200	52,446
2.3	Cooperating IA UNEP agreed funding (US \$)	166,500	0	0	0	18,500	171,000	0	19,000	375,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	5,850	0	0	0	650	4,680	0	520	11,700
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	696,849	0	0	0	77,428	265,000	0	35,000	1,074,277
3.2	Total support cost	45,626	0	0	0	5,070	11,730	0	1,720	64,146
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	742,475	0	0	0	82,498	276,730	0	36,720	1,138,423
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)									2.85
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									n/a
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)									5.3
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)									1.05
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									n/a
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)									2.29
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)									0.03
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									n/a
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)									0
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)									0.05
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									n/a
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123(ODP tonnes)									0

4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-124 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)	0.11
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-124 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	n/a
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-124(ODP tonnes)	0
4.6.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyols agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)	4.94
4.6.2	Phase-out of polyols to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	n/a
4.6.3	Remaining eligible consumption for polyols (ODP tonnes)	0

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This



description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;

- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The monitoring activities will be carried out within the HPMP implementation, monitoring and control project, and will include the implementation of all the projects within the HPMP; the regular monitoring of the project implementation and results; the production of periodic reports on project results in order to facilitate corrective actions; the production of timely project progress reports to the Executive Committee, and regular monitoring of market developments and trends at the national and international levels.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;

- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

----

## Annex XIV

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF EQUATORIAL GUINEA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Equatorial Guinea (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 4.09 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data, with the funding to be adjusted accordingly, as per decision 60/44.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities

initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and

- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	6.29

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	6.29	6.29	5.66	5.66	5.66	5.66	5.66	4.09	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	6.29	6.29	5.66	5.66	5.66	5.66	5.66	4.09	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	40,000	0	30,000	0	0	35,000	0	30,000	0	30,000	165,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	5,200	0	3,900	0	0	4,550	0	3,900	0	3,900	21,450
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	75,000	0	0	0	0	75,000	0	0	0	0	150,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	6,750	0	0	0	0	6,750	0	0	0	0	13,500
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	115,000	0	30,000	0	0	110,000	0	30,000	0	30,000	315,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	11,950	0	3,900	0	0	11,300	0	3,900	0	3,900	34,950
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	126,950	0	33,900	0	0	121,300	0	33,900	0	33,900	349,950
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 and under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											2.20
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											4.09

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

## APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. All the monitoring activities will be coordinated and managed through the National Ozone Unit, which is included within this HPMP.
2. The Lead IA will have a particularly prominent role in the monitoring arrangements because of its mandate to monitor ODS imports, whose records will be used as a crosschecking reference in all the monitoring programmes for the different projects within the HPMP. The Lead IA, along with the cooperating IA will also undertake the challenging task of monitoring illegal ODS imports and exports and advising the appropriate national agencies through the National Ozone Office.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.



2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

----

**Annex XV**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF FIJI AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Fiji (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 5.49 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3 (remaining eligible consumption).

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).

4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

- (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
- (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
- (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	8.37
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.04
Total			8.41

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	N/A	N/A	8.44	8.44	7.60	7.60	7.60	7.60	7.60	5.49	N/A
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	N/A	N/A	8.44	8.44	7.60	7.60	7.60	7.60	7.60	5.49	N/A
2.1	Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US\$)	71,800	0	0	47,900	0	59,850	0	0	0	19,950	199,500
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US\$)	6,462	0	0	4,311	0	5,387	0	0	0	1,795	17,955
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US\$)	47,900	0	0	31,900	0	39,900	0	0	0	13,300	133,000
2.4	Support costs for cooperating IA (US\$)	6,227	0	0	4,147	0	5,187	0	0	0	1,729	17,290
3.1	Total agreed funding (US\$)	119,700	0	0	79,800	0	99,750	0	0	0	33,250	332,500
3.2	Total support costs (US\$)	12,689	0	0	8,458	0	10,574	0	0	0	3,524	35,245
3.3	Total agreed costs (US\$)	132,389	0	0	88,258	0	110,324	0	0	0	36,774	367,745
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											2.94
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											5.43
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.04
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)											0

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The overall monitoring will be the responsibility of the National Ozone Unit (NOU).
2. The consumption will be monitored based on cross-checking the data collected from relevant government departments with data collected, as required, from the relevant importers, distributors and consumers.

3. The NOU will also be responsible for reporting and shall submit the following reports in a timely manner:

- (a) Annual reports on consumption of substances to be submitted to the Ozone Secretariat;
- (b) Annual reports on progress of implementation of this Agreement to be submitted to the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund; and
- (c) Project-related reports to the Lead IA.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:

- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
- (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
- (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

----



## Annex XVI

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF GAMBIA (THE) AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Gambia (the) (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 0.60 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data, with the funding to be adjusted accordingly, as per decision 60/44.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	0.92

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	0.92	0.92	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.60	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	0.92	0.92	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.60	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	25,000	0	23,000	0	0	20,000	0	21,000	0	21,000	110,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	3,250	0	2,990	0	0	2,600	0	2,730	0	2,730	14,300
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	50,000	0	0	0	0	50,000	0	0	0	0	100,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	4,500	0	0	0	0	4,500	0	0	0	0	9,000
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	75,000	0	23,000	0	0	70,000	0	21,000	0	21,000	210,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	7,750	0	2,990	0	0	7,100	0	2,730	0	2,730	23,300
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	82,750	0	25,990	0	0	77,100	0	23,730	0	23,730	233,300
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 and under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.32
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											0.60

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

#### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. All the monitoring activities will be coordinated and managed through the National Ozone Unit, which is included within this HPMP.
2. The Lead IA will have a particularly prominent role in the monitoring arrangements because of its mandate to monitor ODS imports, whose records will be used as a crosschecking reference in all the monitoring programmes for the different projects within the HPMP. The Lead IA, along with the cooperating IA will also undertake the challenging task of monitoring illegal ODS imports and exports and advising the appropriate national agencies through the National Ozone Office.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

----

**Annex XVII**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF GUINEA-BISSAU AND THE  
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN  
CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Guinea-Bissau (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 0.93 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;



- (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been

specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	1.43

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	1.43	1.43	1.29	1.29	1.29	1.29	1.29	0.93	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	1.43	1.43	1.29	1.29	1.29	1.29	1.29	0.93	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	35,000	0	30,000	0	0	45,000	0	0	0	20,000	130,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	4,550	0	3,900	0	0	5,850	0	0	0	2,600	16,900
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	40,000	0	0	0	0	40,000	0	0	0	0	80,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	3,600	0	0	0	0	3,600	0	0	0	0	7,200
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	75,000	0	30,000	0	0	85,000	0	0	0	20,000	210,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	8,150	0	3,900	0	0	9,450	0	0	0	2,600	24,100
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	83,150	0	33,900	0	0	94,450	0	0	0	22,600	234,100
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.50
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22											0.93

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(e).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) will submit annual progress reports of status of implementation of the HPMP to UNEP and UNIDO.
2. Monitoring of development of HPMP and verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the Plan, will be assigned to independent local company or to independent local consultants by UNEP and UNIDO.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and

- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.
2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:
  - (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
  - (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

### Annex XVIII

#### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF SIERRA LEONE AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Sierra Leone (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 1.09 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data , with the funding to be adjusted accordingly, as per decision 60/44.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochlorofluorocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved. Exempt are years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee Meeting at which the funding request is being presented;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, except if the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year, that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches, and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted and received approval from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> Meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.



9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, and the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time activities be still outstanding which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A continue until the time of the completion if not specified by the Executive Committee otherwise.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	1.67

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	1.67	1.67	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.09	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	1.67	1.67	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.09	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	25,000	0	23,000	0	0	20,000	0	21,000	0	21,000	110,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	3,250	0	2,990	0	0	2,600	0	2,730	0	2,730	14,300
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	50,000	0	0	0	0	50,000	0	0	0	0	100,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	4,500	0	0	0	0	4,500	0	0	0	0	9,000
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	75,000	0	23,000	0	0	70,000	0	21,000	0	21,000	210,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	7,750	0	2,990	0	0	7,100	0	2,730	0	2,730	23,300
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	82,750	0	25,990	0	0	77,100	0	23,730	0	23,730	233,300
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 and under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.58
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											1.09

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval not earlier than the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report regarding the progress since the approval of the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting on changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information about and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted tranche plan, such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information about activities in the current year;

- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting their interdependence, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain any revisions to the overall plan which were found to be necessary;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for the report and plan, submitted into a database. As per the relevant decisions of the Executive Committee in respect to the format required, the data should be submitted online. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), and will cover the same time periods and activities; it will also capture the quantitative information regarding any necessary revisions of the overall plan as per sub-paragraph 1(c) above. While the quantitative information is required only for previous and future years, the format will include the option to submit in addition information regarding the current year if desired by the Country and the Lead IA; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. All the monitoring activities will be coordinated and managed through the National Ozone Unit, which is included within this HPMP.
2. The Lead IA will have a particularly prominent role in the monitoring arrangements because of its mandate to monitor ODS imports, whose records will be used as a crosschecking reference in all the monitoring programmes for the different projects within the HPMP. The Lead IA, along with the cooperating IA will also undertake the challenging task of monitoring illegal ODS imports and exports and advising the appropriate national agencies through the National Ozone Office.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These can be specified in the project document further, but include at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and

associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;

- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent organization to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

## Annex XIX

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF SURINAME AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Suriname (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 1.29 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) The Country agrees, in cases where HFC technologies have been chosen as an alternative HCFC, and taking into account national circumstances related to health and safety: to monitor the availability of substitutes and alternatives that further minimize impacts on the climate; to consider, in the review of regulations standards and incentives adequate provisions that encourage introduction of such alternatives; and to consider the potential for adoption of cost-effective alternatives that minimize the climate impact in the implementation of the HPMP, as appropriate, and inform the Executive Committee on the progress accordingly; and

- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.



14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	1.94
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.04
Total			1.98

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	1.98	1.98	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.29	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	1.98	1.98	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.29	n/a
2.1	Lead IA - UNEP agreed funding(US \$)	27,000	0	28,500	0	0	35,000	0	0	0	13,500	104,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA(US \$)	3,510	0	3,705	0	0	4,550	0	0	0	1,755	13,520
2.3	Cooperating IA - UNIDO agreed funding (US \$)	68,000	0	0	0	0	29,000	0	0	0	9,000	106,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	6,120	0	0	0	0	2,610	0	0	0	810	9,540
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	95,000	0	28,500	0	0	64,000	0	0	0	22,500	210,000
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	9,630	0	3,705	0	0	7,160	0	0	0	2,565	23,060
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	104,630	0	32,205	0	0	71,160	0	0	0	25,065	233,060
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.65
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											1.29
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.04
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)											0.00

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The monitoring, evaluation and reporting mechanism will be established by the National Ozone Unit (NOU) and managed by a consultant independent of the NOU. The NOU in consultation with the Lead IA will identify the resources and technical support needed to establish this mechanism and ensure its smooth operation.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XX**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF ZIMBABWE AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Zimbabwe (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 10.04 ODP tonnes prior by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3 and 4.3.3.

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (Funding Approval Schedule”).

4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A. of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

- (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
- (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
- (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;

- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.
8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:
- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.
9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. Germany has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.
10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 3.2 of Appendix 2-A.
11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.
13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.
14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	14.89
HCFC-141b	C	I	0.55
Subtotal	C	I	15.44
HCFC-141b in imported polyol			6.11
Total			21.55

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	15.44	15.44	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	10.04	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	15.44	15.44	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	10.04	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (Germany) agreed funding (US\$)	7,474	419,417	275,927	0	112,000	0	168,000	0	0	56,000	1,038,818
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US\$)	972	50,096	33,008	0	13,398	0	20,097	0	0	6,699	124,270
3.1	Total agreed funding (US\$)	7,474	419,417	275,927	0	112,000	0	168,000	0	0	56,000	1,038,818
3.2	Total support cost (US\$)	972	50,096	33,008	0	13,398	0	20,097	0	0	6,699	124,270
3.3	Total agreed cost (US\$)	8,446	469,513	308,935	0	125,398	0	188,097	0	0	62,699	1,163,088
4.1.1	Total phase out HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement											4.85
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											10.04
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.55
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											6.11
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols (ODP tonnes)											0.00

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.



## APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. All the monitoring activities will be coordinated and managed by the Project Management Unit through the National Ozone Unit (NOU) which is included within this HPMP. The NOU will submit annual progress reports of status of implementation of the HPMP to the Lead IA.
2. Verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the Plan, will be undertaken, upon specific request of the Executive Committee, by an independent local consultant contracted by the Lead IA.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.
2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

## Annex XXI

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF BANGLADESH AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Bangladesh (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 48.12 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2018 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3 and 4.5.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly; and

- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	45.42
HCFC-141b	C	I	21.23
HCFC-142b	C	I	5.72
HCFC-123	C	I	0.21
HCFC-124	C	I	0.07
Total			72.65

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016-2017	2018	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	72.65	72.65	65.39	65.39	65.39	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	72.65	72.65	65.39	65.39	48.12	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US\$)	1,146,074	55,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,201,074
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US\$)	85,956	4,125	0	0	0	0	0	0	90,081
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US\$)	0	230,000	0	90,000	0	18,000	0	17,000	355,000
2.4	Support costs for cooperating IA (US\$)	0	29,900	0	11,700	0	2,340	0	2,210	46,150
3.1	Total agreed funding (US\$)	1,146,074	285,000	0	90,000	0	18,000	0	17,000	1,556,074
3.2	Total support costs (US\$)	85,956	34,025	0	11,700	0	2,340	0	2,210	136,231
3.3	Total agreed costs (US\$)	1,232,030*	319,025	0	101,700	0	20,340	0	19,210	1,692,305
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)									3.48
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									n/a
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)									41.94
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)									n/a
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									20.20*
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)									1.03

4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	0.57
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	n/a
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)	5.16
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	0.21
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	n/a
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)	n/a
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-124 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	0.07
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-124 to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	n/a
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-124 (ODP tonnes)	0

\*Approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting for Walton Hi-Tech Industries and herewith subsumed into this Agreement.

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description



should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;

- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The overall monitoring will be the responsibility of the National Ozone Unit (NOU). The consumption will be monitored based on cross-checking the data collected from relevant government departments with data collected, as required, from the relevant importers, distributors and consumers. The NOU will also be responsible for reporting and shall submit the following reports in a timely manner:

- (a) Annual reports on consumption of substances to be submitted to the Ozone Secretariat;
- (b) Annual reports on progress of implementation of this Agreement to be submitted to the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund; and
- (c) Project-related reports to the Lead IA.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:

- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
- (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
- (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;

- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$127.02 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XXII**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF CHINA AND THE EXECUTIVE  
COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN  
CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of China (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 17,468.0 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 (“Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances”) of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3, 4.5.3, and 4.6.3 (remaining eligible consumption).

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).

4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted and the commitments specified in Appendix 8-A. In accordance with sub-paragraphs 5(a)(ii) and 5(b)(i) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of completion of the conversion of manufacturing capacity as well as achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks<sup>1</sup> in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

- (a) For the release of any tranche:
  - (i) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (ii) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required; and

---

<sup>1</sup> Tranches with requested level of funding of more than US \$5 million should be submitted in full 12 weeks in advance to the applicable Executive Committee meeting in line with decision 20/7.

- (iii) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> Meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement;
- (b) Conditions to be met as a precondition for the release of tranches for a sector plan:
  - (i) For sector plans with activities that include the conversion of manufacturing capacity, the Country has submitted a verification report of a random sample of at least 5 per cent of the manufacturing lines which had completed their conversion in the year to be verified, on the understanding that the total aggregated HCFC consumption of the random sample of the manufacturing lines represents at least 10 per cent of the sector consumption phased out in that year;
  - (ii) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and
  - (iii) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan for the respective sector in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement, and will also establish and maintain a system to monitor the consumption in the different sectors, to ensure compliance with the sector consumption limits set out in rows 1.3.1, 1.3.2, 1.3.3 and 1.3.4 of Appendix 2-A. The details of the monitoring arrangements will be prepared by the Country separately and submitted for approval by the Executive Committee, for subsequent inclusion in Appendix 5-A.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, within the funding foreseen for each sector according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Should the Country decide during implementation of this Agreement to introduce alternative technologies other than those proposed in the sector plans submitted, or implement differently as proposed in those sector plans, this would require approval of those changes as part of an annual implementation plan. The documentation can also be provided as part of a revision to an existing annual implementation plan, to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee. Such a request would include a description of the changes in activities to implement the new alternative technology, the calculation of the associated incremental costs and the impact on the climate. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;

- (b) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in an Annual Implementation Plan and approved by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(b)(iii) above. The documentation can also be provided as part of a revision to an existing annual implementation plan, to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Modifications to any clause in this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches on a sector level;
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan with a cost greater than 20 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche or US \$2.5 million, whichever is lower; and
  - (v) Removal of activities in the annual implementation plan with a cost greater than 20 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche or US \$2.5 million, whichever is lower;
- (c) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche of the Agreement.

8. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”), and the Government of Germany, the Government of Japan, UNIDO, UNEP and the World Bank have agreed to be cooperating agencies (“Cooperating IAs) in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

9. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement across all relevant sectors, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b)(i), and implementing the activities related to the role as the Lead IA described in Appendix 6-A and the activities as a sector Lead IA described in Appendix 6-B. The World Bank will be responsible for carrying out the independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(a)(ii), and implementing additional activities regarding its role as a sector Lead IA described in Appendix 6-D. The Government of Germany, UNIDO and UNEP will be responsible for carrying out the activities in the respective Sector Plans described in Appendices 6-C, 6-E and 6-F, respectively, and their subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(b)(iii) and paragraph 7. The Government of Japan as the “Cooperating IA” will be responsible for carrying out the activities described in Appendix 6-G. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IAs with the fees set out in rows 2.1.2, 2.2.2, 2.2.4, 2.3.2, 2.4.2, 2.5.2, 2.5.4, 2.6.2 and 2.7.2 of Appendix 2-A.

10. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

11. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

12. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA, the sector Lead IAs and the Cooperating IAs to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA, the sector Lead IAs and the Cooperating IAs with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

13. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Sector Plan, and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(b)(iii) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), (b), (d), (e) and (g) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

14. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	11,962.3
HCFC-123	C	I	6.6
HCFC-124	C	I	6.6
HCFC-141b	C	I	5,923.0
HCFC-142b	C	I	1,508.9
HCFC-225	C	I	1.0
Total			19,408.9

**APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING**

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
<b>Consumption targets</b>							
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	19,408.8	19,408.8	17,468.0	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	19,408.8	19,408.8	17,468.0	n/a
1.3.1	Maximum allowable consumption of Annex C, Group I substances in the ICR sector (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	2,360.0	2,360.0	2,124.0	n/a
1.3.2	Maximum allowable consumption of Annex C, Group I substances in the XPS foam sector (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	2,540.0	2,540.0	2,286.0	n/a
1.3.3	Maximum allowable consumption of Annex C, Group I substances in the PU foam sector (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	5,310.0	5,310.0	4,340.0	n/a
1.3.4	Maximum allowable consumption of Annex C, Group I substances in the RAC sector (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	4,109.0	4,109.0	3,698.0	n/a
1.3.5	Maximum allowable consumption of Annex C, Group I substances in the solvent sector	n/a	n/a	494.2	494.2	455.2	n/a
<b>Funding industrial and commercial refrigeration and air conditioning (ICR) sector plan</b>							
2.1.1	Sector Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US \$)	25,380,000	6,900,000	8,495,000	11,075,000	9,150,000	61,000,000
2.1.2	Support costs for UNDP (US \$)	1,903,500	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Funding extruded polystyrene (XPS) foam sector plan</b>							
2.2.1	Sector Lead IA (Germany) agreed funding (US \$)	459,023	3,707,977	600,000	600,000	633,000	6,000,000
2.2.2	Support costs for Germany (US \$)	51,260	*	*	*	*	*
2.2.3	Sector co-operating agency UNIDO agreed funding (US \$)	21,372,000	6,900,000	3,398,000	5,730,000	6,600,000	44,000,000
2.2.4	Support costs for UNIDO (US \$)	1,602,900	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Funding polyurethane rigid (PU) foam sector plan</b>							
2.3.1	Sector Lead IA (World Bank) agreed funding (US \$)	38,859,000	5,520,000	13,592,000	4,079,000	10,950,000	73,000,000
2.3.2	Support costs for World Bank (US \$)	2,914,000	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Funding room air conditioning (RAC) sector plan</b>							
2.4.1	Sector Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	36,430,000	9,200,000	8,495,000	9,625,000	11,250,000	75,000,000
2.4.2	Support costs for UNIDO (US \$)	2,732,250	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Funding service sector plan, including enabling programme</b>							
2.5.1	Sector Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	1,579,000	598,000	1,104,000	1,173,000	786,000	5,240,000
2.5.2	Support costs for UNEP (US \$)	176,703	*	*	*	*	*
2.5.3	Sector cooperating agency (Japan) agreed funding (US \$)	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	400,000
2.5.4	Support costs for Japan (US \$)	10,400	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Funding national co-ordination</b>							
2.6.1	Overall Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US \$)	360,000	-	-	-	-	360,000
2.6.2	Support costs for UNDP (US \$)	27,000	-	-	-	-	27,000
<b>Funding solvent sector plan</b>							
2.7.1	Overall Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US \$)	2,500,000	0	2,000,000	0	500,000	5,000,000
2.7.2	Support costs for UNDP (US \$)	187,500	0	*	0	*	*
<b>Overall funding</b>							
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	127,019,023	32,905,977	37,764,000	32,362,000	39,949,000	270,000,000
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	9,605,513	*	*	*	*	*
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	136,624,536	*	*	*	*	*

## APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING - continuation

Phase-out and remaining eligible consumption		
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	1,444.1
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	36.0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)	10,482.2
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	0.0
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	0.0
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)	6.6
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-124 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	0.0
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-124 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	0.0
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-124 (ODP tonnes)	6.6
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	1,681.34
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	16.66
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)	4,225.6
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	260.8
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	6.7
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)	1,241.4
4.6.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-225 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)	0.0
4.6.2	Phase-out of HCFC-225 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)	0.0
4.6.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-225 (ODP tonnes)	1.0

\* to be determined subsequently

## APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. The Funding Approval Schedule consists of several tranches. Under this Agreement, a tranche is defined as the funding set out in each year for each sector plan or the national co-ordination, respectively, as specified in Appendix 2-A.
2. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.



#### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The Lead IA, on behalf of the Country, will submit at least eight weeks<sup>2</sup> prior to the third meeting of the Executive Committee in any given year, for consideration at that meeting, the following reports to the Multilateral Fund Secretariat:

- (a) A verification report of the consumption of each of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(a)(ii) of the Agreement. If not otherwise decided by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will include verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a)(i) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (b) For each sector plan a narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting, for each sector, the situation of the Country in regard to phase-out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a)(i) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (c) For each sector plan, a written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request as per sub-paragraph 5(b)(iii). The description should highlight the interdependence of the activities, and take into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should further specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall sector plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) For each sector plan with activities that include the conversion of manufacturing capacity, a verification report related to completed conversion as per sub-paragraph 5(b)(i) of the Agreement;
- (e) For each sector, quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(b) and (c)

---

<sup>2</sup> Tranches with requested level of funding of more than US \$5 million should be submitted in full 12 weeks in advance to the applicable Executive Committee meeting in line with decision 20/7.

above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (f) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(e).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The details of the monitoring arrangements will be prepared by the Government of China separately and submitted for agreement by the Executive Committee, for subsequent inclusion in this Appendix 5-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA for stage I of the HPMP is UNDP. It will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:

- (a) Activities related to national co-ordination;
- (b) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
- (c) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met (except for overall consumption targets specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A) and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A. This independent verification can consist of a compilation of sector-specific independent verification carried out by the respective sector Lead IAs;
- (e) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall sector plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with Appendix 4-A;
- (f) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
- (g) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (h) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (i) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results as per sub-paragraph 5(b)(i) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(d) of Appendix 4-A. The Lead IA can delegate the task described in this paragraph to the respective sector Lead IA on the understanding that such delegation will not interfere with the Lead IA's responsibility to carry out the verification of the HPMP results.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF UNDP**

1. UNDP, as the sector Lead IA for the industrial and commercial refrigeration (ICR) sector and the solvent sector, will be responsible for a range of activities described in those sector plans, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development, planning and management of sector programming as set out in these sectors, when required;
- (b) Ensuring verification of performance and progress of disbursement in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in these sectors and assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities;
- (c) Assisting the Country in the preparation of the ICR sector annual Implementation Plans as per Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Preparing reports to the Lead IA on these activities as per Appendix 4-A; and
- (e) Ensuring financial verification of the activities implemented.

2. UNDP will also act as sector Lead IA for any sector related obligations arising from any HCFC consumption sectors not specifically mentioned in this Agreement, with responsibilities closely resembling those under paragraph 1 above.

#### **APPENDIX 6-C: ROLE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GERMANY**

1. The Government of Germany, as the sector Lead IA for the extruded polystyrene foam (XPS) sector, will be responsible for a range of activities described in that sector plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development, planning and management of sector programming as set out in the XPS foam sector plan, when required;
- (b) Ensuring verification of performance and progress of disbursement in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's XPS foam sector plan and assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities;
- (c) Assisting the Country in the preparation of XPS foam sector annual implementation plans as per Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities as per Appendix 4-A; and

- (e) Ensuring financial verification of the activities implemented.

#### **APPENDIX 6-D: ROLE OF THE WORLD BANK**

1. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the World Bank will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the consumption of the Country as specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(a)(ii) of this Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(a)(i) of Appendix 4-A.

2. The World Bank, as the sector Lead IA for the polyurethane foam (PU) sector, will be responsible for a range of activities described in that sector plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development, planning and management of sector programming as set out in the PU sector plan, when required;
- (b) Ensuring verification of performance and progress of disbursement in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's PU sector plan and assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities;
- (c) Assisting the Country in the preparation of PU sector annual implementation plans as per Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities as per Appendix 4-A; and
- (e) Ensuring financial verification of the activities implemented.

#### **APPENDIX 6-E: ROLE OF UNIDO**

1. UNIDO, as the Lead IA for the refrigeration and air conditioning (RAC) sector, and as Cooperating IA of the XPS sector, will be responsible for a range of activities described in those sector plans, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development, planning and management of sector programming as set out in the RAC and XPS sector plans, when required;
- (b) Ensuring verification of performance in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's RAC sector plan and assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities;
- (c) Ensuring progress of disbursement in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's RAC and XPS sector plans;
- (d) Assisting the Country in the preparation of RAC sector annual implementation plans as per Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities as per Appendix 4-A; and
- (f) Ensuring financial verification of the activities implemented.

#### **APPENDIX 6-F: ROLE OF UNEP**

1. UNEP, as the sector Lead IA for the refrigeration servicing sector, will be responsible for a range of activities described in that sector plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities under its responsibility and refer to the Lead IA of the HPMP to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities;
- (c) Assisting the Country in the preparation of service sector annual implementation plans as per Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities as per Appendix 4-A; and
- (e) Ensuring financial verification of the activities implemented.

#### **APPENDIX 6-G: ROLE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN**

1. The Government of Japan, as a Cooperating IA for the refrigeration servicing sector, will be responsible for a range of activities described in that sector plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the sector Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities;
- (c) Providing reports to the sector Lead IA on these activities as per Appendix 4-A; and
- (d) Ensuring financial verification of the activities implemented.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 10 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$160 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

#### **APPENDIX 8-A: COMMITMENTS UNDERTAKEN BY THE COUNTRY WITH RESPECT TO CONVERSION IN THE RAC SECTOR**

1. During stage I of the HPMP, the Country agrees to convert at least 18 manufacturing lines for the production of RAC equipment to hydrocarbon technology as part of the RAC sector plan.

-----

**Annex XXIII**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF DOMINICAN REPUBLIC AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Dominican Republic (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 46.08 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3 and 4.4.3.
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
  - (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the

funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and

- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;
- (d) Any enterprise to be converted to non HCFC technology included in the approved HPMP and that would be found to be ineligible under the guidelines of the Multilateral Fund (i.e., due to foreign ownership or establishment post the 21 September 2007 cut-off date),

will not receive assistance. This information would be reported to the Executive Committee as part of the Annual Implementation Plan; and

- (e) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2, and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the



Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	50.41
HCFC-141b	C	I	0.60
HCFC-123	C	I	0.19
Subtotal	C	I	51.20
HCFC-141b in imported polyol			19.51
Total			70.71

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
1.1 Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	51.20	51.20	46.08	n/a
1.2 Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	51.20	51.20	46.08	n/a
2.1 Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US \$)	332,775	680,000	0	463,450	0	170,000	1,646,225
2.2 Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	24,958	51,000	0	34,759	0	12,750	123,467
2.3 Cooperating IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	0	25,000	0	25,000	0	0	50,000
2.4 Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	0	3,250	0	3,250	0	0	6,500
3.1 Total agreed funding (US \$)	332,775	705,000	0	488,450	0	170,000	1,696,225
3.2 Total support cost (US \$)	24,958	54,250	0	38,009	0	12,750	129,967
3.3 Total agreed costs (US \$)	357,733*	759,250	0	526,459	0	182,750	1,826,192
4.1.1 Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							7.03
4.1.2 Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0.00
4.1.3 Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)							43.38
4.2.1 Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							0.60
4.2.2 Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0.00
4.2.3 Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)							0.00
4.3.1 Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							0.00
4.3.2 Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0.00
4.3.3 Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)							0.19
4.4.1 Total phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							15.77
4.4.2 Phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							3.74*
4.4.3 Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols (ODP tonnes)							0.00

(\* Approved at the 61<sup>st</sup> meeting for FARCO and herewith subsumed into this Agreement.

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources is responsible for the overall coordination of activities to be undertaken in the HCFC Phase-Out Management Plan. The National Ozone Program (PRONAOZ) under this Ministry acts as National Ozone Unit and represents the enforcement institution. PRONAOZ is responsible for carrying out national policies and legislations regarding the control of ozone depleting substances and monitors at managerial level the consumption of all ozone depleting substances (ODS). PRONAOZ controls, through the licensing system, the ODS consumption (import and export) and end-user level. The lead and cooperating agencies will be responsible for implementing and monitoring the activities under their responsibility. The Government has offered continuity of activities and endorsement for the projects through the institutional support over the next years.

2. Close monitoring of all activities and coordination between stakeholders is an essential element of the HPMP and key to reach compliance. There will be regular coordination meetings with industry stakeholders, HCFC importers, relevant Government stakeholders, various industrial associations, and all sectors involved, in order to enact the necessary agreements and measures to carry out the investment and non-investment activities in time and in a coordinated manner. In the manufacturing sector the implementation process and the achievement of the phase-out will be monitored through site visits at enterprise level. Yearly monitoring will be carried out by PRONAOZ through the ODS licensing and quota system. Verification site visits will be undertaken by independent international experts.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;

- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XXIV**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF EGYPT AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Egypt (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 289.70 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2018 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3 and 4.5.3.
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
  - (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the

funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and

- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;
- (d) Any enterprise to be converted to non HCFC technology included in the approved HPMP and that would be found to be ineligible under the guidelines of the Multilateral Fund (i.e., due to foreign ownership or establishment post the 21 September 2007 cut-off date),

will not receive assistance. This information would be reported to the Executive Committee as part of the Annual Implementation Plan; and

- (e) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNDP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2, and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the

Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	240.19
HCFC-123	C	I	0.11
HCFC-141b	C	I	129.61
HCFC-142b	C	I	16.36
Sub-total	C	I	386.27
HCFC-141b in imported polyol			98.34
Total			484.61

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2018	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	386.27	386.27	347.64	347.64	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	386.27	386.27	347.64	289.70	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	892,840	950,000	250,000	0	0	0	232,575	2,325,415
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	66,963	71,250	18,750	0	0	0	17,443	174,406
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US \$)	1,479,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	0	0	0	716,400	6,195,400
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	115,463	150,000	150,000	0	0	0	53,730	469,193
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	2,371,840	2,950,000	2,250,000	0	0	0	948,975	8,520,815
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	182,426	221,250	168,750	0	0	0	71,173	643,599
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	2,554,266*	3,171,250	2,418,750	0	0	0	1,020,148	9,164,414
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)								6.13
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)								0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)								234.06
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)								0
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)								0
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)								0.11
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)								64.34
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)								31.35*
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)								33.92
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)								0
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)								0
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)								16.36
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)								48.53
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)								23.65*
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols (ODP tonnes)								26.16

\* Approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting and herewith subsumed into this Agreement.



### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) is an integral part of the Ministry of State for Environmental Affairs under the direct responsibility of the Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA). The NOU will continue to have general responsibility for the implementation of the ODS programmes, including the HPMP. However, in view of the complex nature of the HPMP, the lengthy plan implementation period, requiring interdepartmental support, close tracking and continuous monitoring of activities as well as broad and sustained awareness and support of civil society, coordination and management will be supported by a multidisciplinary, interdepartmental committee. The coordination and management scheme also draws on the experiences and lessons learned from implementation of past projects and special plans.
2. Under the direct supervision of NOU an HPMP Coordinating Unit (HPCU) will be established within the NOU. The Programme Coordinator will be responsible for direct management of one of the two main technical areas (foam and RAC), will the Assistant Programme Coordinator will follow all programmes and projects in the assigned sectors from inception to completion and post-completion operations and make regular reports that will be reviewed with recommendations by a coordinating committee.
3. In support of the HPCU the national HPMP Task Force will be reconstituted by the EEAA into the national HPMP Coordinating Committee (HPCC) with a Chairperson not later than 3 months following approval of the HPMP. The terms of reference of the Committee will be prepared by the EEAA with the support of the Lead IA within the same period.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;

- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$87 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XXV**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF IRAQ  
AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Republic of Iraq (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 93.40 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	108.38

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	108.38	108.38	97.54	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	102.20	97.70	93.40	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	115,000	0	505,000	0	150,000	770,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	14,144	0	62,108	0	18,448	94,700
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	80,000	0	70,000	0	260,000	410,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	6,000	0	5,250	0	19,500	30,750
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	195,000	0	575,000	0	410,000	1,180,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	20,144	0	67,358	0	37,948	125,450
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	215,144	0	642,358	0	447,948	1,305,450
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 and under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						14.98
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)						93.40

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the

different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;

- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The Project Management Unit (PMU) will be responsible for implementation of the HPMP, tracking the promulgation, and enforcement of policy and legislation. It will assist the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the preparation of annual implementation plans and progress reports to the Executive Committee.

2. The Government of Iraq in consultation with the Lead IA will select and contract an independent local institution to support the PMU in the implementation of the HPMP and report annually on the outcomes and deliverables of the HPMP.

3. The selected institution will have full access to all financial and technical data and information concerning the implementation of the Plan to phase out the Substances for reliable data collection and cross checking. It will prepare and submit to the National Ozone Unit (NOU) and the Lead IA reports of



activities on a quarterly basis and the reports on the status of implementation of the Plan to phase out the Substances and consumption figures annually for consideration and follow up.

4. The responsibility of the selected organization will be:
  - (a) To develop and present to the Lead IA and NOU the approach to independent monitoring of the HPMP implementation;
  - (b) To undertake independent monitoring of all the activities implemented in the HPMP;
  - (c) To present reports on HPMP implementation status and HCFC consumption in the country on half-yearly basis;
  - (d) To prepare periodic (annual) assessment of the consumption of ODS in the refrigeration sector; and
  - (e) To take into consideration comments and recommendations of the Lead IA and NOU on activities and react accordingly.
  
5. The NOU will be responsible for:
  - (a) Providing the selected organization with all relevant information in possession;
  - (b) Providing the selected organization with full information on NOU activities and partners;
  - (c) Providing the selected organization with the necessary support/documentation to ensure its access to relevant official institutions and other organizations; and
  - (d) Providing the reasonable support in independent data collection.

#### Verification and reporting

6. Based on discussions with the Country, the Lead IA should mandate an independent organization to carry out the annual verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A and this independent monitoring programme.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;

- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

## **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:
- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
  - (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$152 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XXVI**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HASHEMITE  
KINGDOM OF JORDAN AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE  
MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF  
HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 66.4 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2017 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules, with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time, once the baseline consumption for compliance has been established based on Article 7 data.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 (“Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances”) of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I Substances”) as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out plans submitted. In accordance with sub paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits for each of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochlorofluorocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted; ;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;

- (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year, that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches, and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
- (d) That the Country has submitted and received approval from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A.

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in an annual implementation plan and approved by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d) above. The documentation can also be provided as part of a revision to an existing annual implementation plan, to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Modifications to any clause in this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches;
- (b) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (c) Removal of activities in the annual implementation plan with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (d) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and

- (e) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and the World Bank has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities of the overall plan with the changes approved as part of the subsequent submissions, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	54.19
HCFC-141b	C	I	40.11
Total			94.30

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2009	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	83.0	83.0	74.7	74.7	74.7	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	83.0	83.0	74.7	70.5	66.4	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	2,167,033	70,000	0	22,184	0	0	0	0	2,259,217
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	162,527	6,300	0	1,997	0	0	0	0	170,824
2.3	Cooperating IA (World Bank) agreed funding (US \$)	0	1,070,100	0	842,750	311,240	0	117,060	0	2,341,150
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	0	80,258	0	63,206	23,343	0	8,780	0	175,586
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	2,167,033	1,140,100	0	864,934	311,240	0	117,060	0	4,600,367
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	162,527	86,558	0	65,203	23,343	0	8,780	0	346,410
3.3	Total agreed costs (US\$)	2,329,560*	1,226,658	0	930,137	334,583	0	125,840	0	4,946,777
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)									17.44
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									6.88*
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)									29.87
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)									0.00
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									1.19*
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)									27.60
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)									0.00
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									0.00
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended polyols (ODP tonnes)									11.31

\*Approved at the 60<sup>th</sup> Meeting for Petra Engineering Co. and herewith subsumed into this Agreement.

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the third meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report regarding the progress since the approval of the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and



- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The implementation and monitoring of this HPMP will be co-ordinated by the National Ozone Unit in cooperation with respective governmental bodies and also national experts recruited for particular tasks which would arise in the course of the project implementation. An independent chartered national auditing organization will be recruited by the Lead IA to verify consumption.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews undertaken by the Lead IA;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$279 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XXVII**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF MALAYSIA AND THE EXECUTIVE  
COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION  
IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Malaysia (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 438.40 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2016 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3, 4.5.3, 4.6.3 and 4.7.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly; and

- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 2.2 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-123	C	I	1.13
HCFC-141	C	I	0.94
HCFC-141b	C	I	162.54
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.79
HCFC-21	C	I	0.74
HCFC-22	C	I	349.54
HCFC-225	C	I	0.08
<b>Total</b>			<b>515.76</b>

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	N/A	N/A	515.76	515.76	464.18	464.18	N/A
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	N/A	N/A	515.18	515.76	464.18	438.40	N/A
2.1	Lead IA UNDP agreed funding (US \$)	5,000,000	0	3,628,723	0	479,374	479,374	9,587,470
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	375,000	0	272,154	0	35,953	35,953	719,060
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	5,000,000	0	3,628,723	0	479,374	479,374	9,587,470
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	375,000	0	272,154	0	35,953	35,953	719,060
3.3	Total agreed costs (US\$)	5,375,000	0	3,900,877	0	515,327	515,327	10,306,530
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							0
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)							1.13
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							0
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141 (ODP tonnes)							0.94
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							94.60
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)							67.94
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							0
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)							0.79
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-21 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							0
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-21 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-21 (ODP tonnes)							0.74
4.6.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							8.42
4.6.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0
4.6.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)							341.12
4.7.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-225 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							0
4.7.2	Phase-out of HCFC-225 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							0
4.7.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-225 (ODP tonnes)							0.08

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The monitoring process will be managed by the Department of Environment (DOE) through the Ozone Protection Section with the assistance of the Lead IA.
2. The consumption will be monitored and determined based on official import and export data for the Substances recorded by relevant government departments.
3. DOE shall compile and report the following data and information on an annual basis on or before the relevant due dates:
  - (a) Annual reports on consumption of the Substances to be submitted to the Ozone Secretariat; and
  - (b) Annual reports on progress of implementation of HPMP to be submitted to the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund.
4. DOE and Lead IA will engage an independent and qualified entity to carry out a qualitative and quantitative performance evaluation of the HPMP implementation.
5. The evaluating entity shall have full access to relevant technical and financial information related to implementation of the HPMP.
6. The evaluating entity shall prepare and submit to DOE and the Lead IA, a consolidated draft report at the end of each annual implementation plan, comprising of the findings of the evaluation and recommendations for improvements or adjustments, if any. The draft report shall include the status of the Country's compliance with the provisions of this Agreement.
7. Upon incorporating the comments and explanations as may be applicable, from DOE and Lead IA, the evaluating entity shall finalize the report and submit to DOE and Lead IA.
8. DOE shall endorse the final report and the Lead IA shall submit the same to the relevant meeting of the Executive Committee along with the annual implementation plan and reports.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;



- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items;
- (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US\$ 186 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

## Annex XXVIII

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE THE KINGDOM OF MOROCCO AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 47.74 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2017 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3.
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly; and

- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.
8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:
- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.
9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.
10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 2.2 of Appendix 2-A.
11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.
13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.
14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	45.90
HCFC-141b	C	I	22.10
Total			68.00

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2017	Total	
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	59.67	59.67	53.70	53.70	n/a	
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	59.67	57.67	53.70	47.74	n/a	
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	951,740	80,000	220,000	0	0	0	35,000	1,286,740	
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	71,381	6,000	16,500	0	0	0	2,625	96,506	
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	951,740	80,000	220,000	0	0	0	35,000	1,286,740	
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	71,381	6,000	16,500	0	0	0	2,625	96,506	
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	1,023,121*	86,000	236,500	0	0	0	37,625	1,383,246	
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)									2.57
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)									43.33
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)									3.20
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									11.00*
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)									7.90

(\*). Approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting for Manar Company and herewith subsumed into this Agreement.

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to

each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;

- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) will appoint a national institution to monitor all activities of the HPMP. This institute will submit annual progress reports of status of implementation of the HPMP through the NOU to the Lead IA.

2. Verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the Plan, will be undertaken, upon specific request of the Executive Committee, by an independent local company or independent local consultants contracted by the Lead IA.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

## **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$200 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XXIX**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE SULTANATE OF OMAN AND THE EXECUTIVE  
COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN  
CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Sultanate of Oman (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 28.31 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3 and 4.4.3 (remaining eligible consumption).

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).

4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

- (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
- (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
- (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;



- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	29.57
HCFC-141b	C	I	1.11
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.79
Subtotal	C	I	31.47
HCFC-141b in imported polyol			1.10
Total			32.57

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	31.47	31.47	28.32	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	31.47	31.47	28.32	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	314,120	0	0	0	35,000	349,120
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	23,559	0	0	0	2,625	26,184
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	65,000	0	0	0	20,000	85,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	8,450	0	0	0	2,600	11,050
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	379,120	0	0	0	55,000	434,120
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	32,009	0	0	0	5,225	37,234
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	411,129	0	0	0	60,225	471,354
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						3.54
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)						26.03
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						0
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)						1.11
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						0
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)						0.78
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b in imported polyol agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						1.10
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b in imported polyol to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b in imported polyol (ODP tonnes)						0

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

#### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) is the central administrative unit established within the administrative structure of Ministry of Environment and Climate Affairs, responsible for the co-ordination of governmental activities with respect to the ozone layer protection and facilitation of ODS phase-out.
2. The NOU will be responsible for the overall co-ordination of national activities towards the HPMP implementation.
3. The management of the implementation of the planned project activities will be allocated to the NOU in cooperation with UNIDO as the Lead IA.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;

- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$187 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XXX**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF PANAMA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Panama (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 22.29 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3, 4.5.3 and 4.6.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.



9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	22.24
HCFC-141b	C	I	2.30
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.18
HCFC-123	C	I	0.05
HCFC-124	C	I	0.01
Subtotal	C	I	24.77
HCFC-141b in imported polyol			2.50
Total			27.27

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	24.77	24.77	22.29	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	24.77	24.77	22.29	n/a
2.1	Lead IA UNDP agreed funding (US \$)	132,773	0	100,907	0	31,865	265,545
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	9,958	0	7,568	0	2,390	19,916
2.3	Cooperating IA UNEP agreed funding (US \$)	35,000	0	26,600	0	8,400	70,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	4,550	0	3,458	0	1,092	9,100
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	167,773	0	127,507	0	40,265	335,545
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	14,508	0	11,026	0	3,482	29,016
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	182,281	0	138,533	0	43,747	364,561
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						2.48
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)						19.76
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						2.30
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)						0.00
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)						0.18
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)						0.05
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-124 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-124 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-124 (ODP tonnes)						0.01
4.6.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.6.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.6.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b in pre-blended polyols (ODP tonnes)						2.50

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The higher monitoring function will be discharged by the Ministry of Health through its General Direction of Health and the Sub-direction of Environmental Health, which supervise the National Ozone Unit.

2. Additionally, the operational monitoring activities will be carried out within the HPMP implementation, monitoring and control project, and will include the implementation of all the projects within the HPMP; the regular monitoring of the project implementation and results; the production of periodic reports on project results in order to facilitate corrective actions; the production of timely project progress reports to the Executive Committee; and the regular monitoring of market developments and trends at the national and international levels.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each

implementing or bilateral agency involved;

- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$140 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

#### **APPENDIX 8-A: SECTOR SPECIFIC ARRANGEMENTS**

1. In accordance with decisions 61/47 and 63/15, a project to phase out the use of 22.76 mt (2.50 ODP tonnes) of HCFC-141b contained in pre-blended polyols will be submitted during stage I of the HPMP, when proven cost-effective and commercially available low global warming potential technology make it possible to replace the HCFC-141b used by small enterprises.

-----

**Annex XXXI**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF QATAR AND THE  
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION  
IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Qatar (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 68.86 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, and 4.3.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
  - (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the

funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and

- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly; and
- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which



were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for Aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	73.45
HCFC-141b	C	I	0.58
HCFC-142b	C	I	12.05
Total	C	I	86.08

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	86.08	86.08	77.50	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	86.08	86.08	68.86	n/a
2.1	Lead IA UNIDO agreed funding (US \$)	1,045,907	0	532,033	0	148,660	1,726,600
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	78,443	0	39,902	0	11,150	129,495
2.3	Cooperating IA UNEP agreed funding (US \$)	105,000	0	150,000	0	55,000	310,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	13,650	0	19,500	0	7,150	40,300
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	1,150,907	0	682,033	0	203,660	2,036,600
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	92,093	0	59,402	0	18,300	169,795
3.3	Total agreed costs (US\$)	1,243,000	0	741,435	0	221,960	2,206,395
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						45.81
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)						27.64
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						0
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)						0.58
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						12.05
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)						0

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the third meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) is the central administrative unit established within the administrative structure of Ministry of Environment, responsible for the co-ordination of governmental activities with respect to the ozone layer protection and facilitation of ODS phase-out.
2. The NOU will be responsible for the overall co-ordination of national activities towards the HPMP implementation.
3. The management of the implementation of the planned project activities will be allocated to the NOU in cooperation with UNIDO as the Lead IA.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;

- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$170 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XXXII**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SENEGAL AND  
THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION  
IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Republic of Senegal (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 23.5 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
  - (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the

funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and

- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	36.15

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	36.15	36.15	32.54	32.54	32.54	32.54	32.54	23.50	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	36.15	36.15	32.54	32.54	32.54	32.54	32.54	23.50	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	200,000	0	0	75,000	0	0	160,000	0	0	70,216	505,216
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	15,000	0	0	5,625	0	0	12,000	0	0	5,266	37,891
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	100,000	0	0	150,000	0	0	180,000	0	0	100,000	530,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	12,887	0	0	19,330	0	0	23,196	0	0	12,887	68,300
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	300,000	0	0	225,000	0	0	340,000	0	0	170,216	1,035,216
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	27,887	0	0	24,955	0	0	35,196	0	0	18,153	106,191
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	327,887	0	0	249,955	0	0	375,196	0	0	188,369	1,141,407
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											12.65
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											n/a
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											23.50

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.



## APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) is the central administrative unit established within the administrative structure of the Ministry of Environment, responsible for the co-ordination of governmental activities with respect to the ozone layer protection and facilitation of ODS phase-out.
2. The management of the implementation of the planned project activities will be allocated to the NOU in cooperation with UNIDO as the Lead IA and UNEP as Cooperating IA.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$163 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

**Annex XXXIII**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF URUGUAY AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Uruguay (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 21.00 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3, 4.5.3 and 4.6.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
  - (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A) covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the

funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and

- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this

Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in row 2.2 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	21.08
HCFC-141b	C	I	1.49
HCFC-123	C	I	0.04
HCFC-124	C	I	0.09
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.63
Subtotal	C	I	23.33
HCFC-141b in imported polyol			5.33
Total			28.66

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	23.33	23.33	21.00	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	23.33	23.33	21.00	n/a
2.1	Lead IA UNDP agreed funding(US \$)	100,000	100,000	115,000	20,000	45,004	380,004
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA(US \$)	7,500	7,500	8,625	1,500	3,375	28,500
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	100,000	100,000	115,000	20,000	45,004	380,004
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	7,500	7,500	8,625	1,500	3,375	28,500
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	107,500	107,500	123,625	21,500	48,379	408,504
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						1.08
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)						0.41
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						2.34
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)						18.74
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						0.04
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)						0
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-124 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						0.09
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-124 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-124 (ODP tonnes)						0
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						0.63
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)						0
4.6.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyols agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)						0
4.6.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyols to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						0
4.6.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyols (ODP tonnes)						5.33

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and



- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The monitoring activities will be carried out within the HPMP implementation, monitoring and control project, and will include the implementation of all the projects within the HPMP; the regular monitoring of the project implementation and results; the production of periodic reports on project results in order to facilitate corrective actions; the production of timely project progress reports to the Executive Committee; and regular monitoring of market developments and trends at the national and international levels.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

-----

## Annex XXXIV

## APPROVED 2012, 2013 AND 2014 BUDGETS OF THE FUND SECRETARIAT

		Approved	Approved	Approved	
		2012	2013	2014	
10	PERSONNEL COMPONENT				
1100	Project Personnel (Title & Grade)				
	01	Chief Officer (D2)	237,190	244,306	251,635
	02	Deputy Chief Officer (D1)	234,078	241,100	248,333
	03	Programme Management Officer (P3)	155,137	159,791	164,585
	04	Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	211,527	217,873	224,409
	05	Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	211,527	217,873	224,409
	06	Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	211,527	217,873	224,409
	07	Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	211,527	217,873	224,409
	08	Information Management Officer (P3)	187,036	192,647	198,426
	09	Senior Admin & Fund Management Officer (P5)*	189,785	195,478	201,342
	10	Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer (P5)	211,527	217,873	224,409
	11	Programme Management Officer (P3)	155,137	159,791	164,585
	12	IT Officer (P3)	130,000	133,900	137,917
	13	Associate HR Officer (P2)	-	0	-
	14	Programme Management Officer (P3)	155,137	159,791	164,585
<b>1199</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>2,501,136</b>	<b>2,576,170</b>	<b>2,653,455</b>	
1200	Consultants				
	01	Technical and project review	100,000	0	0
<b>1299</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>100,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	
1300	Administrative Support Personnel				
	01	Admin Assistant (G8)	89,161	91,836	94,591
	02	Meeting Services Assistant (G8)	84,366	86,897	89,504
	03	Programme Assistant (G8)	89,161	91,836	94,591
	04	Senior Secretary (G6)	66,045	68,027	70,067
	05	Senior Secretary (G6)	66,045	68,027	70,067
	06	Computer Operations Assistant (G7)	89,161	91,836	94,591
	07	Programme Assistant (G6)	69,803	71,897	74,054
	08	Secretary/Clerk, Administration (G7)	74,881	77,128	79,441
	09	Registry Clerk (G5)	57,052	58,764	60,527
	10	Database Assistant (G8)	89,161	91,836	94,591
	11	Secretary, Monitoring & Evaluation (G6)	66,045	68,027	70,067
	12	IMIS Assistant (G6)	-	0	-
	13	Secretary (G6)	66,045	68,027	70,067
	14	Programme Assistant (G6)	66,045	68,027	70,067
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>972,973</b>	<b>1,002,162</b>	<b>1,032,227</b>	
1330	Conference Servicing Cost				
1333	Meeting Services: ExCom Montreal	260,000	-	-	
1334	Meeting Services: ExCom Montreal	260,000	-	-	
1336	Meeting Services: ExCom Montreal	260,000	-	-	
1335	Temporary assistance	43,782	-	-	
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>823,782</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	
<b>1399</b>	<b>TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT</b>	<b>1,796,755</b>	<b>1,002,162</b>	<b>1,032,227</b>	

\* Difference in cost between P4 and P5 is to be charged to BL 2101

Note: Personnel costs under 1100 and 1300 will be offset by an estimated amount of US \$324,100 from the Government of Canada cost differentials contribution.

			Approved	Approved	Approved
			2012	2013	2014
1600	Travel on official business				
	01	Mission Costs	208,000	-	-
	02	Network Meetings (4)	20,000	-	-
<b>1699</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>228,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>1999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>		<b>4,625,891</b>	<b>3,578,332</b>	<b>3,685,682</b>
20	CONTRACTUAL COMPONENT				
2100	Sub-contracts				
	01	Treasury services (Decision 59/51 (b))	500,000	-	-
	02	Corporate contracts	-	-	-
<b>2999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>		<b>500,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
30	MEETING PARTICIPATION COMPONENT				
3300	Travel & DSA for Art 5 delegates to ExCom Meetings				
	01	Travel of Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson	15,000	-	-
	02	Executive Committee (3)	225,000	-	-
<b>3999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>		<b>240,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
40	EQUIPMENT COMPONENT				
4100	Expendables				
	01	Office Stationery	17,550	-	-
	02	Computer expendable (Software, accessories, hubs, switches, memory)	10,530	-	-
<b>4199</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>28,080</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
4200	Non-Expendable Equipment				
	01	Computers, printers	13,000	-	-
	02	Other expendable equipment (Shelves, Furnitures)	5,850	-	-
<b>4299</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>18,850</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
4300	Premises				
	01	Rental of office premises**	870,282	-	-
	<b>Sub-Total</b>		<b>870,282</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>4999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>		<b>917,212</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

\*\*The rental costs will be offset by an estimated amount of US \$834,366 from the Government of Canada cost differential leaving an amount of US \$35,916 to be charged to the Fund

		Approved	Approved	Approved
		2012	2013	2014
50	MISCELLANEOUS COMPONENT			
5100	Operation and Maintenance of Equipment			
	01 Computers and printers, etc.(toners, colour printer )	8,100	-	-
	02 Maintenance of office premises	8,000	-	-
	03 Rental of photocopiers (office)	15,000	-	-
	04 Telecommunication equipment rental	8,000	-	-
	05 Network maintenance	10,000	-	-
<b>5199</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>49,100</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
5200	Reproduction Costs			
	01 Executive Committee meetings and reports to MOP	15,300	-	-
<b>5299</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>15,300</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
5300	Sundries			
	01 Communications	58,500	-	-
	02 Freight Charges	13,500	-	-
	03 Bank Charges	4,500	-	-
	05 Staff Training	20,137	-	-
<b>5399</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>96,637</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
5400	Hospitality & Entertainment			
	01 Hospitality costs	24,000	-	-
<b>5499</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>24,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>5999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>	<b>185,037</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
GRAND TOTAL		6,468,140	3,578,332	3,685,682
	Programme Support Costs (13%)	451,634	465,183	479,139
<b>COST TO MULTILATERAL FUND</b>		<b>6,919,774</b>	<b>4,043,516</b>	<b>4,164,821</b>
	Previous budget schedule	3,884,905	4,001,453	
	Increase/decrease	3,034,869	42,063	4,164,821