UNITED NATIONS





# United Nations Environment Programme

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/65/49 17 October 2011

**ORIGINAL: ENGLISH** 



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Sixty-fifth Meeting
Bali, Indonesia, 13-17 November 2011

#### PROJECT PROPOSAL: SURINAME

This document consists of the comments and recommendation of the Fund Secretariat on the following project proposal:

#### Phase-out

• HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)

UNEP/UNIDO

# PROJECT EVALUATION SHEET – MULTI-YEAR PROJECTS

# Suriname

(I) PROJECT TITLE	AGENCY
HCFC phase out plan (Stage I)	UNEP (lead), UNIDO

(II) LATEST ARTICLE 7 DATA	Year: 2010	1.3 (ODP tonnes)
----------------------------	------------	------------------

(III) LATES	ST COUN	Year: 2010							
Chemical	Aerosol	Foam	Fire	Refrigera	tion	Solvent	Process	Lab	Total sector
			fighting				agent	Use	consumption
				Manufacturing	Servicing				
HCFC123									
HCFC124									
HCFC141b									
HCFC142b					0.07				0.07
HCFC22					1.21				1.21

(IV) CONSUMPTION DATA (ODP tonnes)									
2009 - 2010 baseline: 1.98 Starting point for sustained aggregate reductions: 1.98									
	CONSUMPTION ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING (ODP tonnes)								
Already approved:	0.0	Remaining:	1.29						

(V) BUSINESS PLAN		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
UNEP	ODS phase-out (ODP tonnes)	0.1		0.1								0.2
	Funding (US \$)			49,720								99,440

(VI) PROJECT	(VI) PROJECT DATA			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Montreal Protocol consumption limits			n/a	n/a	1.98	1.98	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.29	n/a
Maximum allow tonnes)	able consur	nption (ODP	n/a	n/a	1.98	1.98	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.29	n/a
Project Costs requested in	UNEP	Project costs	27,000		28,500			35,000				13,500	104,000
principle(US\$)		Support costs	3,510		3,705			4,550				1,755	13,520
	UNIDO	Project costs	68,000					29,000				9,000	106,000
		Support costs	6,120					2,610				810	9,540
Total project cos (US \$)	sts requested	d in principle	95,000	0	28,500	0	0	64,000	0	0	0	22,500	210,000
Total support costs requested in principle (US \$)		9,630	0	3,705	0	0	7,160	0	0	0	2,565	23,060	
Total funds requ	ested in pri	nciple (US \$)	104,630	0	32,205	0	0	71,160	0	0	0	25,065	233,060

(VII) Request for funding for the first tranche (2011)								
Agency	Funds requested (US \$)	Support costs (US \$)						
UNEP	27,000	3,510						
UNIDO	68,000	6,120						

Funding request:	Approval of funding for the first tranche (2011) as indicated above
Secretariat's recommendation:	Individual consideration

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- 1. On behalf of the Government of Suriname UNEP, as the lead implementing agency, has submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Executive Committee stage I of an HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) at a total cost, as originally submitted, of US \$210,000 plus support costs of US \$13,520 for UNEP and US \$9,540 for UNIDO. Implementation of the activities proposed in stage I of the HPMP will enable the country to achieve a 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2020.
- 2. The first tranche for stage I being requested at this meeting amounts to US \$27,000 plus agency support costs of US \$3,510 for UNEP, and US \$68,000 plus agency support costs of US \$ 6,120 for UNIDO, as originally submitted.

#### **Background**

#### **ODS** regulations

- 3. The Government of Suriname has ratified all the amendments of the Montreal Protocol. Suriname has a legislative, regulatory and licensing system controlling the importation and distribution of HCFCs in its territory. The current ODS regulations banned the import and export of ODS-based equipment and ODS mentioned in Annexes A and B of the Montreal Protocol. The importation of remaining ODS and ODS-based equipment requires a license or permit from the Ministry of Trade and Industry. Suriname plans to introduce import quotas for HCFCs from 2012.
- 4. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) under the authority of the National Institute for Environment and Development (NIMOS) in Suriname is responsible for implementing, monitoring and evaluating the activities under the Montreal Protocol including the HPMP. The National Ozone Officer (NOO) is the chairman of the National Program Team (NPT) which includes, among others, the Ministry of Trade and Industry, the Ministry of Agriculture, the Customs Department, and the NIMOS. The NPT members are involved in the control of imports and exports of ODS and ODS-based equipment. Other stakeholders include vocational training institutes, statistics department, importers, service sector and, the association for air conditioning, refrigeration and ventilation in Suriname.

# **HCFC** consumption

5. The survey results showed that the country uses mostly HCFC-22 in servicing refrigeration and air-conditioning units and that Suriname does not have any HCFC-based manufacturing activity. There are significant fluctuations in imports of HCFC-22 since 2005. The imports trend shows peaks every two years. Table 1 presents data on HCFC consumption extracted from the survey and reported under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.

Table 1: HCFC consumption from 2005 to 2010

Year		Data from the survey HPMP									
1 cai	HCFC-22	HCFC-141b	HCFC-142b	Total	Article 7 data						
Metric tonnes											
2005	18.79			18.79	18.79						
2006	24.51			24.51	24.51						
2007	46.85			46.85	46.85						
2008	21.97			21.97	12.74						
2009	54.74	0.07		54.81	48.64						
2010	22.08		1.11	23.19	23.19						
ODP tonnes											
2005	1.03			1.03	1.03						

Year		Article 7 data			
Tear	HCFC-22	HCFC-141b	HCFC-142b	Total	Article / uata
2006	1.35			1.35	1.35
2007	2.58			2.58	2.58
2008	1.21			1.21	0.70
2009	3.01	0.01		3.02	2.68
2010	1.21		0.07	1.28	1.28

6. The consumption forecast is based on HCFC-22 consumption only and the unconstrained average yearly growth rate of 5 per cent with the same consumption pattern of one year high and one year low consumption. Table 2 presents the forecast on HCFC consumption up to 2020.

Table 2: Forecast consumption of HCFCs

YEAR		2009*	2010*	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
With	MT	48.64	23.19	50.91	25.45	36.00	36.00	32.36	32.36	32.36	32.36	32.36	23.27
constraint	ODP	2.68	1.28	2.80	1.40	1.98	1.98	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.28
Without	MT	48.64	23.19	50.91	25.45	52.73	25.45	56.36	27.27	58.18	29.09	61.82	30.91
constraint	ODP	2.68	1.28	2.80	1.40	2.90	1.40	3.10	1.50	3.20	1.60	3.40	1.70

<sup>\*</sup>Article 7 data

#### Sectoral distribution of HCFCs

7. HCFCs in Suriname are used mainly in the domestic air-conditioning and the commercial refrigeration servicing sectors. Table 3 below presents the consumption of refrigerants in the country by sub-sector in 2010.

<u>Table 3: Consumption of refrigerants by sub-sector</u>

Refrigeration equipment	Total units	Charge	(tonnes)	Servicing Consumption /year) (tonnes)		
		Metric	ODP	Metric	ODP	
Domestic air conditioning (windows, splits, ducted splits)	49,695	117.14	6.44	28.40	1.56	
Commercial refrigeration	7,900	102.70	5.65	6.32	0.35	
Chillers	34	1.77	0.10	0.99	0.05	
Total	57,629	221.61	12.19	35.71	1.96	

- 8. Table 3 shows that 80 percent of the total consumption is used for servicing domestic and air-conditioning systems, and 18 per cent for servicing commercial refrigeration equipment. The remaining two per cent is used for servicing chillers.
- 9. The current prices of HCFCs and alternative refrigerants per kilogram in the country are: US \$12.15 for HCFC-22, US \$28.31 for R-134a, US \$28.44 for R-404A, US \$19.76 for R-406A, US \$24 for R-408A and US \$29.36 for R-410A. Given the low price of HCFC-22 in Suriname as compared to the alternatives, it is used extensively for servicing refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment.

10. Under previously approved activities in the servicing sector, 35 portable units with recovery cylinders, 61 refillable recovery cylinders, 15 refrigerant identifiers, and related tools and spares were distributed to selected recipients including technical schools. In the frame of the Terminal Phase-out Management Plan (TPMP), the training of 22 new customs officers was completed and 4-day training on good practices of refrigeration was provided to technicians and lecturers that received training under the programme implemented by the NOU. Since there was a delay in completing the activities in the first tranche of the TPMP, those planned for the second tranche have been rolled into the HPMP in line with decision 60/11(b) - requesting that funding tranches of national CFC phase-out plans (NPPs) or TPMPs not submitted to the 61<sup>st</sup> Meeting should be integrated into the relevant HPMPs - and decision 64/6(b)(i) requesting countries that planned to complete their Refrigerant Management Plans (RMP), TPMPs and NPPs by 2012 to make every effort to integrate remaining activities into their HPMPs.

# Starting point for aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption

11. The HCFC baseline for compliance was calculated by the country at 35.92 mt (1.98 ODP tonnes), based on the average reported consumption of 48.64 mt (2.68 ODP tonnes) for 2009 and 23.19 mt (1.28 ODP tonnes) for 2010 under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.

# **HCFC** phase-out strategy

- 12. The Government of Suriname plans to freeze HCFC consumption at the baseline level of 35.92 mt (1.98 ODP tonnes) by 1 January 2013, and to gradually reduce its consumption by 10 and 35 per cent by 2015 and 2020 respectively. Thereafter, HCFC consumption reductions will continue following the Montreal Protocol schedule until the 97.5 per cent reduction in 2030, leaving an allowance of 2.5 per cent of the baseline consumption for meeting servicing needs till 2040.
- 13. The overarching strategy is based on the assumption that new commercially viable refrigeration and, in particular, air-conditioning technologies that use zero ODP and low-global-warming-potential (GWP) refrigerants in energy efficient equipment will become available in the coming decade.
- 14. More specifically, the Government of Suriname will develop and implement the following activities to meet its compliance targets:
  - (a) Capacity building programme including training of 50 to 75 customs officers on illegal trade and identification of ODS and ODS-based equipment, and training of 120 to 175 technicians in handling, installation and servicing of equipment operating with R-410A, R-290 and other alternative refrigerants and retrofitting of existing HCFC-22 based systems to R-407c and R-290,
  - (b) Awareness programme for phasing-out the use of HCFCs and high-GWP refrigerants as commercially viable technologies become available;
  - (c) Strengthening of the recovery and recycling programme in operation through the distribution of recovery units, multifunction recovery, recycling, evacuation and charging units, multi-refrigerant analyzer, recovery cylinders, vacuum pumps, tools and spares; and
  - (d) Monitoring and evaluating the implementation of the HPMP to ensure effectiveness of all the activities proposed within the HPMP.

#### Cost of the HPMP

15. The total cost of stage I of the HPMP is estimated at US \$210,000 plus agencies' support costs that amount to US \$23,060 to phase out 12.57 mt (0.69 ODP tonnes) by 2020. Table 4 presents the breakdown of the budget for stage I of the HPMP.

TOTAL **Description** Agency 2011 2013 2016 2020 Capacity building (training of customs, trainers UNEP \$17,000 \$10,500 \$18,000 \$5,000 \$50,500 and technicians) Technical assistance (refrigerant identifiers, UNIDO \$68,000 \$29,000 \$9,000 \$106,000 equipment, tools and spares) Public awareness UNEP \$4,000 \$5.000 \$4,000 \$2,000 \$15,000 Project coordination and management UNEP \$6,000 \$13,000 \$13,000 \$6,500 \$38,500 \$95,000 \$28,500 \$64,000 \$22,500 \$210,000 Total

Table 4: Proposed activities and estimated budget

#### SECRETARIAT'S COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATION

#### **COMMENTS**

16. The Secretariat reviewed the HPMP for Suriname in the context of the guidelines for the preparation of HPMPs (decision 54/39), the criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector agreed at the 60<sup>th</sup> meeting (decision 60/44), subsequent decisions on HPMPs and the 2011-2014 business plan of the Multilateral Fund. The Secretariat discussed technical and cost-related issues with UNEP, which were satisfactorily addressed as summarized below.

# **HCFC** consumption

17. The review of HCFC consumption reported under Article 7 has shown major fluctuations since the year 2005. UNEP explained that this is due to the importers strategy to reduce the high shipment cost while importing HCFCs in Suriname. As a result, the import trend since 2006 is high in one year and low in a following year, where the HCFC imported for one year can cover part of the needs for the next year. Although the 2009 HCFC consumption of 54.81 mt (3.02 ODP tonnes) from the survey is slightly higher than the 48.64 mt (2.68 ODP tonnes) reported under Article 7 for the same year, the reported HCFC consumption for 2009 is used to calculate the baseline for compliance.

#### Starting point for aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption

18. The Government of Suriname agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 35.92 mt (1.98 ODP tonnes), calculated using actual consumption of 48.64 mt (2.68 ODP tonnes) and 23.19 mt (1.28 ODP tonnes) reported for 2009 and 2010 respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol. The business plan indicated a baseline of 2.78 ODP tonnes.

#### Technical and cost issues

19. The Secretariat noted that the Government of Suriname is encouraging the importation and use of R-410A based systems, while the current price of R-410A of US \$29.36 per kg is higher than HCFC-22 prices and above the calculated average price for R-410A in countries that have submitted their price data to the Multilateral Fund Secretariat. In this context, the Secretariat requested UNEP to assess the sustainability of this strategy. UNEP explained that the only commercial technologies available for

comfort cooling are HCFC-22 and R-410A and the country has no alternative than promoting the use of R-410A systems until low-GWP technologies become available.

- 20. With regard to the retrofits to R-290/R-407A, the Secretariat asked whether Suriname could, by encouraging good servicing practices, reduce leakage and help extend the life of HCFC-22 based equipment currently in operation for the first 2 or 3 years of the HPMP, instead of starting with retrofits that may not be sustainable today due to the high price of suitable alternatives compared to that of HCFC-22. During this period, the availability of new technologies is expected to increase and price difference may decrease making retrofit a more attractive option for HCFC reduction. UNEP advised that retrofits could take place once the training of trainers and technicians in retrofitting is completed, which will be no earlier than in the third year of implementation of the HPMP. By then it is expected that commercially viable new technologies using zero ODP and low-GWP refrigerants would be available in the market.
- 21. In line with decision 60/44, the funding for the implementation of the Suriname's HPMP has been agreed at US \$210,000 plus agencies support costs as shown in Table 4, and covers activities for stage I of the HPMP which requires a 35 per cent reduction by 2020. These resources will allow the country to phase out 12.57 mt (0.69 ODP tonnes) by 2020. The total support cost is US \$23,060 and includes US \$13,520 for UNEP and US \$9,540 for UNIDO, as cooperating agency.

#### Co-financing

22. In response to decision 54/39(h) on potential financial incentives and opportunities for additional resources to maximize the environmental benefits from HPMPs pursuant to paragraph 11(b) of decision XIX/6 of the Nineteenth Meeting of the Parties, Suriname indicated that the Government will provide the necessary office space and amenities, conference room and meeting facilities.

# Impact on the climate

23. The proposed technical assistance activities in the HPMP, which include the introduction of better servicing practices and enforcement of HCFC import controls, will reduce the amount of HCFC-22 used for refrigeration servicing. Each kilogram (kg) of HCFC-22 not emitted due to better refrigeration practices results in approximately 1.8 CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent tonnes saved. Although a calculation of the impact on the climate was not included in the HPMP, Suriname's short term strategy consisting of promoting the installation of R-410A based systems and/or retrofitting to R-290/R-407A where possible, might have a negligible impact on climate. However, at this time, the Secretariat is not in a position to quantitatively estimate the impact on the climate. The impact might be established through an assessment of implementation reports by, inter alia, comparing the levels of refrigerants used annually from the commencement of the implementation of the HPMP, the reported amounts of refrigerants being recovered and recycled, the number of technicians trained and the HCFC-22 based equipment being retrofitted. The potential climate impact of the HPMP indicated in the 2011-2014 business plan of 658 CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent tonnes.

# 2011-2014 business plan of the Multilateral Fund

24. UNEP and UNIDO are requesting US \$210,000 plus support costs for implementation of stage I of the HPMP. The total value requested for the period 2011-2014 of US \$136,835 including support costs is above that in the business plan. Based on the estimated HCFC baseline consumption in the servicing sector of 1.98 ODP tonnes, the Suriname's allocation up to the 2020 phase-out should be US \$210,000, excluding support costs, in line with decision 60/44.

#### Draft agreement

25. A draft Agreement between the Government of Suriname and the Executive Committee for HCFCs phase-out is contained in Annex I to the present document.

#### RECOMMENDATION

- 26. The Executive Committee may wish to consider:
  - (a) Approving, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Suriname for the period 2011 to 2020 to meet the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption, at the amount of US \$233,060, consisting of US \$104,000 plus agency support costs of US \$13,520 for UNEP, and US \$106,000 plus agency support costs of US \$9,540 for UNIDO;
  - (b) Noting that the Government of Suriname had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 1.98 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 2.68 ODP tonnes and 1.28 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
  - (c) Noting the deduction of 0.69 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
  - (d) Approving the draft Agreement between the Government of Suriname and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex I to the present document; and
  - (e) Approving the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Suriname, and the corresponding implementation plan, at the amount of US \$104,630, consisting of US \$27,000 plus agency support costs of US \$3,510 for UNEP, and US \$68,000 plus agency support costs of US \$6,120 for UNIDO.

----

#### Annex I

# DRAFT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF SURINAME AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS

- 1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Suriname (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 1.29 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
- 2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
- 3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
- 4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
- 5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;
  - (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the

- funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.
- 6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A ("Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.
- 7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:
  - (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
    - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
    - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
    - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
    - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
  - (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
  - (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.
- 8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:
  - (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
  - (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.
- 9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this

Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNIDO has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

- 10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.
- 11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A ("Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply") in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.
- 12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.
- 13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.
- 14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.
- 15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

# **APPENDICES**

# **APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES**

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption					
			(ODP tonnes)					
HCFC-22	C	I	1.94					
HCFC-141b	C	I	0.00					
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.04					
Total			1.98					

# APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	1.98	1.98	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.29	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	1.98	1.98	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.29	n/a
2.1	Lead IA - UNEP agreed funding(US \$)	27,000	0	28,500	0	0	35,000	0	0	0	13,500	104,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA(US \$)	3,510	0	3,705	0	0	4,550	0	0	0	1,755	13,520
2.3	Cooperating IA - UNIDO agreed funding (US \$)	68,000	0	0	0	0	29,000	0	0	0	9,000	106,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	6,120	0	0	0	0	2,610	0	0	0	810	9,540
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	95,000	0	28,500	0	0	64,000	0	0	0	22,500	210,000
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	9,630	0	3,705	0	0	7,160	0	0	0	2,565	23,060
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	104,630	0	32,205	0	0	71,160	0	0	0	25,065	233,060
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											
4.1.3	.3 Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											
4.2.1	1 Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.04
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											0.00
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)											0.00

# APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

16. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

# APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the (a) year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The monitoring, evaluation and reporting mechanism will be established by the National Ozone Unit (NOU) and managed by a consultant independent of the NOU. The NOU in consultation with the Lead IA will identify the resources and technical support needed to establish this mechanism and ensure its smooth operation.

#### APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
  - (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
  - (1) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.
- 2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

- 1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:
  - (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;

- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

# APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

\_ \_ \_ \_