



**Programa de las  
Naciones Unidas  
para el Medio Ambiente**

Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/63/42  
9 de marzo de 2011

ESPAÑOL  
ORIGINAL: INGLÉS

COMITÉ EJECUTIVO DEL FONDO MULTILATERAL  
PARA LA APLICACIÓN DEL  
PROTOCOLO DE MONTREAL  
Sexagésima tercera Reunión  
Montreal, 4 – 8 de abril de 2011

**PROPUESTAS DE PROYECTOS: MÉXICO**

Este documento consta de las observaciones y recomendaciones de la Secretaría del Fondo acerca de las siguientes propuestas de proyectos:

Aerosoles

- Eliminación de HCFC-22 y de HCFC-141b en la fabricación de aerosoles en Silimex

ONUDI

Destrucción

- Proyecto de demostración para desecho de SAO no deseadas en México

ONUDI/FRANCIA

**HOJA DE EVALUACIÓN DE PROYECTO - PROYECTOS NO PLURIANUALES**  
**MÉXICO**

| (I) TÍTULO DEL PROYECTO  | ORGANISMO BILATERAL/DE EJECUCIÓN |
|--|----------------------------------|
| a) Eliminación de HCFC-22 y de HCFC-141b en la fabricación de aerosoles en Silimex | ONUDI                            |

|   |                                      |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| <b>ORNANISMO NACIONAL DE COORDINACIÓN</b> | Oficina nacional del ozono, SEMARNAT |
|---|--------------------------------------|

**DATOS ÚLTIMOS DE CONSUMO NOTIFICADO DE SAO A LAS QUE SE ATIENDE EN EL PROYECTO**

**A: DATOS DEL ARTÍCULO 7 (TONELADAS PAO, 2009, AL MES DE MARZO DE 2011)**

|                  |         |
|------------------|---------|
| Anexo C, Grupo I | 1 125,9 |
|------------------|---------|

**B: DATOS SECTORIALES DEL PROGRAMA DE PAÍS (TONELADAS PAO, 2009, AL MES DE MARZO DE 2011)**

| Sustancia | Consumo desglosado por sectores (toneladas PAO) |         |             |            |           |       |        |
|-----------|---|---------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------|--------|
|           | Aerosoles                                       | Espumas | Fab de ref. | Serv. ref. | Solventes | Otras | Total  |
| HCFC-22   | 30,17   | 29,15   | 198,0       | 260,73     |           |       | 518,05 |
| HCFC-141b | 30,58   | 269,50  | 305,25      |            |           |       | 605,33 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>Consumo de HCFC remanente de financiación admisible (toneladas PAO)</b> | n/a |
|--|-----|

| ASIGNACIONES EN EL PLAN ADMINISTRATIVO PARA EL AÑO EN CURSO |    | Financiación \$ EUA | Eliminación (toneladas PAO) |
|---|----|---------------------|-----------------------------|
|   | a) | 1 000 000           | 10,0                        |

|  |         |
|--|---------|
| <b>Título del proyecto:</b>                                | (a)     |
| Uso de SAO en la empresa (toneladas PAO):                  | 11,06   |
| SAO por eliminar (toneladas PAO):                          | 11,06   |
| Duración del proyecto (meses):                             | 30      |
| Costos del proyecto (\$ EUA):                              |         |
| Costo adicional de capital:                                | 282 200 |
| Imprevistos (10 %):  | 28 220  |
| Costo adicional de explotación:                            | 210 496 |
| Costo total del proyecto:                                  | 520 916 |
| Propiedad local (%):                                       | 100     |
| Componente de exportación (%):                             | 0       |
| Donación solicitada (\$ EUA):                              | 520 916 |
| Relación de costo a eficiencia (\$ EUA/kg):                | 3,8     |
| Costos de apoyo del organismo de ejecución (\$ EUA):       | 39 069  |
| Costo total del proyecto para Fondo Multilateral (\$ EUA): | 559 985 |
| Situación de financiación de contraparte (S/N):            | S       |
| Hitos de supervisión del proyecto incluidos (S/N):         | S       |

|  |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| <b>Recomendación de la Secretaría:</b> | Consideración particular |
|--|--------------------------|

## DESCRIPCIÓN DEL PROYECTO

### Introducción

1. En nombre del gobierno de México, la ONUDI ha presentado a la 63<sup>a</sup> reunión un proyecto de eliminación titulado “Eliminación de HCFC-22 y de HCFC-141b en la fabricación de aerosoles en Silimex”. Los fondos de preparación de este proyecto fueron aprobados en la 58<sup>a</sup> reunión. La empresa es fabricante de productos técnicos de aerosoles con un consumo de 60,48 toneladas métricas (tm) (3,3 toneladas PAO) de HCFC-22 y 70,24 tm (7,73 toneladas PAO) de HCFC-141b. La financiación solicitada para la ejecución del proyecto se eleva a una suma de 520 916 \$ EUA más los costos de apoyo de 39 069 \$ EUA para la ONUDI.

2. El proyecto fue inicialmente presentado por el organismo en la 62 reunión con una solicitud correspondiente de financiación de 1 108 404 \$ EUA más los costos de apoyo del organismo entre otras cosas en base a una solicitud de costos adicionales de explotación (IOC) por una duración de cuatro años. Mediante su decisión 62/9 el Comité ejecutivo pidió a la ONUDI que presentara de nuevo la propuesta de proyecto a la 63<sup>a</sup> reunión en base a que los costos adicionales de explotación se determinaran para una duración de un año en relación con este proyecto.

### Antecedentes del país y del sector

3. Silimex es con mucho la mayor empresa de México en el sector de aerosoles en términos de consumo de toneladas PAO. En total son nueve las empresas activas en el sector, todas las cuales utilizan HCFC-22 y seis de ellas también utilizan HCFC-141b. El impacto del proyecto propuesto consiste en iluminar el uso de 60,48 tm de HCFC-22 y de 70,24 tm de HCFC-141b lo que representa en total el 52,3 por ciento de las toneladas PAO por eliminar en el sector. La propuesta de proyecto estaba acompañada por una detallada descripción del sector de aerosoles en México incluyendo los nombres de todas las empresas de consumo de HCFC en ese sector y sus cantidades de consumo desde el año 2007.

4. La ONUDI ha informado a la Secretaría que en México se consumen en el sector de aerosoles 426,03 tm (23,43 toneladas PAO) de HCFC-22 y 117,17 tm (12,89 toneladas PAO) de HCFC-141b en promedio correspondiente a los años de 2007 a 2009. Los propulsantes a base de HCFC se utilizan frecuentemente en casos en los que no puedan ser utilizados por motivos técnicos de los propulsantes de aerosoles a base de hidrocarburos (PAH). En consecuencia, a diferencia de la situación de tecnologías de sustitución para eliminación de los CFC el costo efectivo para el fabricante es frecuentemente inferior al de los actuales HCFC y se requieren esfuerzos extraordinarios para el logro de la sostenibilidad de la conversión. En la propuesta de proyecto se incluye información sobre el actual sistema de otorgamiento de licencias para controlar las importaciones de SAO incluidos los HCFC. En la actualidad los HCFC no están cubiertos por ninguna cuota. En la propuesta de proyecto se señala que sería dudoso que cualquier medida reglamentaria relativa a restricciones de los HCFC solamente para los aerosoles fuera eficaz o pudiera imponerse puesto que cualquier prohibición solamente para este sector pudiera sencillamente llevar al uso ilícito de los HCFC muy abundantes en la actualidad. En este contexto se indica en la propuesta de proyecto la intención de trabajar hacia controles voluntarios de los fabricantes e importadores de HCFC en México para prestar apoyo a una estrategia de reducir la cantidad de los HCFC utilizados en el sector de aerosoles.

5. En su 59<sup>a</sup> reunión el Comité ejecutivo había aprobado un proyecto para la conversión de HCFC-141b y de HCFC-22 en la fabricación de espumas rígidas de aislamiento de poliuretano (PU) para refrigeradores domésticos en Mabe. Con ese proyecto se eliminaban 55,87 toneladas PAO que representan el 4,6 por ciento del punto de partida de México lo cual constituía el consumo notificado en 2008 cuando el mencionado proyecto de espumas había sido presentado. El actual proyecto contribuirá a

un uno por ciento adicional de reducción del tonelaje seleccionado como punto de partida. En la propuesta de proyecto se pronosticaba la presentación del plan de gestión de eliminación de HCFC a la 64<sup>a</sup> reunión del Comité ejecutivo concentrándose principalmente en la eliminación de HCFC-141b en los subsectores de espumas de poliuretano y de aislamiento para refrigeración.

#### Perfiles de la empresa

6. Silimex fue fundada en 1969 inicialmente con dos productos principales, moldes de silicona y depuradores eléctricos. La empresa es al 100 por ciento de propiedad mexicana y su producción anual es de aproximadamente de 500 000 botes de varios productos. Cuando otras empresas mexicanas realizaron la conversión desde los CFC a propano/pentano, Silimex no se unió a la conversión por dos motivos: el llenado de los propulsantes de aerosoles a base de hidrocarburos no podía efectuarse con seguridad en su planta industrial sin un cambio significativo en la misma creándose la necesidad de una inversión importante y su cliente principal deseaba un producto que fuera completamente no inflamable. Cuando se eliminaron los usos de los CFC en el sector de aerosoles, Silimex realizó la conversión con financiación propia a los HCFC. En la actualidad la empresa cuenta con dos máquinas de llenado de aerosoles en las que no puede efectuarse el cambio de equipo para los propulsantes de aerosoles a base de hidrocarburos.

#### Selección de la tecnología

7. En la propuesta de proyecto se menciona la variación importante y la venta de productos de aerosoles así como el carácter impredecible de los mercados futuros. Por consiguiente, en la propuesta de proyecto solamente podían incluirse datos indicativos, es decir, de antecedentes respecto a los distintos productos hacia los que pudiera realizarse la conversión con las correspondientes tecnologías. Se indicaba que Silimex produce aerosoles técnicos que poseen requisitos específicos de utilización por lo que frecuentemente no puede confiarse en la utilización de propulsantes de aerosoles a base de hidrocarburos. En la Tabla 1 se presenta una reseña de los distintos productos, su utilización actual de los HCFC y las diferentes alternativas para esos productos.

Tabla 1: Productos fabricados en Silimex y su utilización de tecnologías actuales y de alternativa

| <b>Producto</b>       | <b>Consumo anual antes de la conversión (tm)</b> |           | <b>Consumo anual después de la conversión (tm)</b> |          |          |                        |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|--|----------|----------|------------------------|
|                       | HCFC-22  | HCFC-141b | PAH  | HFC-152a | HFC-134a | HFC-365mfc / HFC-227ea |
| Propulsantes          |  |           |  |          |          |                        |
| Silijet               | 3,2  | 9,6       | 5,6  | 0        | 0        | 0                      |
| Silimpo               | 3,2  | 0         | 3,2  | 0        | 0        | 0                      |
| Silijet no inflamable | 19,2   | 57,6      | 0  | 57,6     | 0        | 0                      |
| Aerojet               | 28,8   | 0         | 0  | 28,8     | 0        | 0                      |
| Compuklin             | 6,08   | 3,04      | 0  | 0        | 9,12     | 3,04                   |
| Sub-total             | 60,48  | 70,24     |  |          |          |                        |
| Total                 |  | 130,72    | 8,8  | 86,4     | 9,12     | 3,04                   |

#### Actividades de conversión previstas en la propuesta de proyecto

8. La ONUDI solicita financiación con la que se permitiría en particular el uso de alternativas inflamables, incluidos los tanques de almacenamiento, una nueva máquina de llenado de aerosoles,

bombas de propulsantes, acumuladores, mezcladores, sistemas de ventilación y de extracción, detectores de fugas así como otro equipo de seguridad y asistencia técnica. La financiación total solicitada para rubros de capital y asistencia técnica se eleva a una suma de 310 420 \$ EUA. Además, la ONUDI calculó el costo de explotación a un nivel de 210 496 \$ EUA por la duración de un año. La ejecución del proyecto se completará a finales de 2012 o en el primer trimestre de 2013 y por consiguiente prestará apoyo a México en el logro de la congelación de consumo de HCFC al año 2013.

## **OBSERVACIONES Y RECOMENDACIONES DE LA SECRETARÍA**

### **OBSERVACIONES**

#### Punto de partida

9. Cuando el proyecto para la conversión desde HCFC-141b y HCFC-22 en la fabricación de espumas de aislamiento rígidas de poliuretano (PU) para refrigeradores domésticos en Mabe fue presentado a la 59<sup>a</sup> reunión del Comité Ejecutivo, el Comité todavía no tenía claro si era necesario establecer un punto de partida con la presentación del proyecto. Por consiguiente, en la decisión del Comité Ejecutivo sobre ese proyecto no se prevé la selección de un punto de partida; sin embargo, el gobierno de México ha seleccionado el año 2008 como punto de partida del país por ser el del consumo más reciente notificado que se aprobó en Mabe. La Secretaría incluía por consiguiente una redacción en la recomendación para establecer el punto de partida para México al nivel de su consumo de 2008 de 1 214,8 toneladas PAO constituidas por 7 459,7 tm de HCFC-141b y 7 142 tm de HCFC-22, 16 tm de HCFC-142b, 13,9 tm de HCFC-123 y 2,7 tm de HCFC-124.

#### Cuestiones de medio ambiente

10. La ONUDI propone introducir cuatro distintas tecnologías de alternativa para los cinco diferentes productos fabricados en Silimex. Entre las tecnologías de alternativa se incluyen los productos inflamables pero de bajo o relativamente bajo potencial de calentamiento mundial (GWP) hidrocarburos (PAH) y HFC-152a; HFC-134a con potencial de calentamiento GWP de 1 430 que es sólo marginalmente inferior al GWP del propulsor combinado HCFC-22/HCFC-141b con una ponderación GWP de 1 448; y una mezcla de HFC-365mfc/HFC-227ea. La composición de esta última mezcla en la que HFC-227 tiene un GWP muy elevado no ha sido proporcionada en la propuesta de proyecto; sin embargo, el único fabricante de ambos productos HFC-365mfc y HFC-227ea propone para aplicaciones de aerosoles un contenido del siete por ciento de HFC-227ea frente al 93 por ciento de HFC-365mfc; el HFC-227ea se mezcla con el HFC-355mfc para garantizar características no inflamables de la mezcla. En base a lo indicado el GWP de la mezcla sería de 964.

11. La Secretaría usando estas cifras ha calculado el impacto climático de la conversión con equipo que ahorra 133 531 toneladas de CO<sub>2</sub> según se muestra en la Tabla 2. Los cálculos realizados por la Secretaría dependen de la mezcla de productos de Silimex que según lo indicaba la ONUDI cambian significativamente dependiendo de las condiciones del mercado. Los ahorros basados en la mezcla de productos antecedentes muestran una reducción del impacto en el calentamiento mundial de un factor de 5,97.

Tabla 2: Impacto climático de la conversión en Silimex

| <b>Producto</b>            | <b>Impacto de calentamiento anual antes de la conversión (t CO<sub>2</sub>E)</b> | <b>Impacto de calentamiento anual después de la conversión mediante tecnología de propulsantes (t CO<sub>2</sub>E)</b> |          |          |             |                    | <b>Impacto climático de la conversión (t CO<sub>2</sub>E)</b> |
|----------------------------|--|--|----------|----------|-------------|--------------------|---|
|                            |  | PAH  | HFC-152a | HFC-134a | HFC-365/227 | Total por producto |   |
| Tecnología de Propulsantes | HCFC-22 / HCFC-141b  |  |          |          |             |                    |   |
| GWP (100a)                 | 1 810 / 725  | 20   | 124      | 1 430    | 964         | -                  |   |
| Silijet                    | 12 752   | 112  | 0        | 0        | 0           | 112                | -12 640   |
| Silimpo                    | 5 792  | 64   | 0        | 0        | 0           | 64                 | -5 728  |
| Silijet no inflamable      | 76 512   | 0  | 7 142    | 0        | 0           | 7 142              | -69 370   |
| Aerojet                    | 52 128   | 0  | 3 571    | 0        | 0           | 3 571              | -48 557   |
| Compuklin                  | 13 209   | 0  | 0        | 13 042   | 2 931       | 15 973             | 2 764   |
| Total                      | 160 393  | 176  | 10 713   | 13 042   | 2 931       | 26 862             | 133 531   |

\*Suponiéndose una mezcla de 93/7 de HFC-365mfc/HFC-227ea

#### Cuestión de costo

12. En la presentación original a la 62<sup>a</sup> reunión del Comité Eecutivo se incluía una solicitud de costos adicionales de explotación por cuatro años. El Comité aclaró en su decisión 62/9 que los costos adicionales de explotación serían financiados solamente por un año. La Secretaría suscitó también varias cuestiones tales como las de costos de los transportadores de baños hidráulicos y el costo de una máquina de llenado de aerosoles. Todas las cuestiones del costo excepto para el costo adicional de explotación han sido resueltas antes de la 62<sup>a</sup> reunión. En el proyecto presentado por la ONUDI a la 63<sup>a</sup> reunión se tuvieron en cuenta estas cuestiones así como la decisión 62/9 y se presentó con el nivel anteriormente convenido de costo adicional. Los distintos rubros y el costo convenido se muestran en la Tabla 3:

Tabla 3: Costo adicional de capital para la conversión en Silimex

| <b>Rubro</b>  | <b>Cantidad</b> | <b>Costo por unidad (\$ EUA)</b> | <b>Costo total (\$ EUA)</b> |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Tanque de almacenamiento de HAP de 5000 litros  | 2               | 4 000                            | 8 000                       |
| Máquina manual de llenado de aerosoles de una sola estación con propelador inflamable | 1               | 50 000                           | 50 000                      |
| Bomba de propulsantes y acumulador  | 1               | 10 000                           | 10 000                      |
| Construcción de sala de gasificación al aire libre                                    | 1               | 12 000                           | 12 000                      |
| Baño hidráulico manual  | 1               | 19 000                           | 19 000                      |
| Sistema de ventilación / extracción   | 1               | 14 000                           | 14 000                      |
| Sensor de gases montado en la pared / suelo   | 2               | 4 000                            | 8 000                       |
| Sensor portátil de gases  | 1               | 1 000                            | 1 000                       |
| Medidores de ondulación   | 1               | 1 200                            | 1 200                       |
| Tuberías  | 1               | 4 000                            | 4 000                       |
| Transportadores (hacia dentro y hacia fuera de sala adicional)                        | 2               | 6 000                            | 12 000                      |
| Equipo mezclador del tanque de baños mixtos   | 1               | 12 000                           | 12 000                      |
| Sistema de alarma/protección contra incendios   | 1               | 24 000                           | 24 000                      |

| Rubro   | Cantidad | Costo por unidad (\$ EUA) | Costo total (\$ EUA) |
|---|----------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Investigación para adaptar las propiedades mecánicas y de los fluidos en el desarrollo de productos y distribución de las especificaciones de nuevos productos e información de 5 productos | 5        | 20 000                    | 100 000              |
| Auditoría para examen de la seguridad   | 1        | 7 000                     | 7 000                |
| Sub-total   |          |                           | 282 200              |
| Imprevistos   | 10%      | 282 200                   | 28 220               |
| Total   |          |                           | 310 420              |

## RECOMENDACIÓN

13. El Comité Ejecutivo pudiera:

- a) Aprobar el proyecto de inversión para la eliminación de HCFC-22 y de HCFC-141b en la fabricación de aerosoles en Silimex al nivel de 520 916 \$ EUA más los costos de apoyo del organismo de 39 069 \$ EUA para la ONUDI y reducir el consumo remanente admisible para México en 60,48 toneladas métricas (3,3 toneladas PAO) de HCFC-22 y 70,24 toneladas métricas (7,73 toneladas PAO) de HCFC-141b; y
- b) Tomar nota de que el gobierno de México ha convenido en establecer como su punto de partida para la reducción acumulativa sostenida en el consumo de HCFC el consumo notificado para el año 2008 de 1 214,8 toneladas PAO que eran los datos disponibles más recientes cuando se aprobó el proyecto de HCFC para Mabe en la 59 reunión; y
- c) Reducir el consumo remanente admisible para México en 507,9 tm (55,87 toneladas PAO) de HCFC-141b en relación con la conversión de la fabricación de espumas de aislamiento para refrigeradores domésticos en Mabe en virtud de la decisión 59/34(b).

**HOJA DE EVALUACIÓN DE PROYECTO - PROYECTOS NO PLURIANUALES**  
**MÉXICO**

**TÍTULO DEL PROYECTO****ORGANISMO BILATERAL/DE EJECUCIÓN**

|  |                  |
|--|------------------|
| Proyecto de demostración para desecho de SAO no deseadas en México | ONUDI<br>FRANCIA |
|--|------------------|

**ORGANISMO NACIONAL DE COORDINACIÓN:** Ministerio de Medio Ambiente - SEMARNAT

**DATOS ÚLTIMOS DE CONSUMO NOTIFICADO DE SAO A LAS QUE SE ATIENDE EN EL PROYECTO**

**A: DATOS DEL ARTÍCULO 7 (TONELADAS PAO, 2009)**

|             |        |  |  |
|-------------|--------|--|--|
| Anexo I CFC | -101,7 |  |  |
|             |        |  |  |

**B: DATOS SECTORIALES DEL PROGRAMA DE PAÍS (toneladas PAO, 2009)**

| SAO             | Subsector/Cantidad | Subsector/Cantidad | Totales |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| CFC-11 / CFC-12 |                    |                    | 125     |
|                 |                    |                    |         |

**PLAN ADMINISTRATIVO PARA EL AÑO EN CURSO:** Financiación total: 1 064 000 \$ EUA  
 Eliminación total: 11,9 toneladas PAO

**TÍTULO DEL PROYECTO**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Uso de SAO en la empresa                         | n/a                                      |
| SAO por eliminar                                 | n/a                                      |
| SAO eliminadas                                   | n/a                                      |
| Proyecto en el actual plan administrativo        | Sí                                       |
| Sector   | Destrucción de SAO                       |
| Sub-sector                                       | Refrigeración y aire acondicionado (CFC) |
| Impacto del proyecto                             | 166,7 toneladas métricas de CFC-12       |
| Duración del proyecto                            | 2 años                                   |
| Propiedad local                                  | 100%                                     |
| Componente de exportación                        | 0%                                       |
| Donación solicitada al Fondo Multilateral        | \$ EUA                                   |
| Costos de apoyo del organismo de ejecución (9%)  | \$ EUA                                   |
| Costo total del proyecto para Fondo Multilateral | \$ EUA                                   |
| Relación de costo a eficiencia                   | \$ EUA/kg                                |
| Hitos de supervisión del proyecto                | 9,14 SAO(métricas)<br>Incluidos          |

|  |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| <b>RECOMENDACIÓN DE LA SECRETARÍA:</b> | Consideración particular |
|--|--------------------------|

## DESCRIPCIÓN DEL PROYECTO

### Introducción

14. En nombre del gobierno de México, la ONUDI en calidad de organismo de ejecución principal ha presentado a la 63<sup>a</sup> reunión una propuesta de proyecto de demostración para la destrucción de sustancias que agotan la capa de ozono (SAO) no deseadas por un valor de 1 522 915 \$ EUA en la forma originalmente presentada. El proyecto será también ejecutado con la asistencia del gobierno de Francia. Este proyecto se presenta en consonancia con la decisión 58/19 y atenderá a la destrucción de 166,7 toneladas métricas (tm) de desechos de SAO en el país. El gobierno de México solicita la aprobación de este proyecto en la 63<sup>a</sup> reunión.

15. En la 57<sup>a</sup> reunión el Comité ejecutivo proporcionó fondos a la ONUDI para preparar un proyecto piloto de demostración de SAO para México. En esa reunión se adoptó la decisión de examinar los proyectos piloto de desecho de SAO que respondieran a la decisión XX/7 de la vigésima reunión de las Partes en la que se declaraba que los proyectos piloto pudieran cubrir el acopio, transporte, almacenamiento y destrucción de las SAO concentrándose en reservas ensambladas con un alto potencial neto de calentamiento mundial (GWP) y en una muestra representativa de países del Artículo 5 regionalmente diversos. Las Partes hicieron también hincapié en que los proyectos de demostración de desecho de SAO deberían ser viables y deberían incluir métodos para procurar la cofinanciación. México fue uno de los países seleccionados en base a estos criterios.

### Antecedentes

16. En la 58<sup>a</sup> reunión del Comité Ejecutivo se debatió acerca de criterios y directrices para la selección de los proyectos de desecho de SAO y esto llevó a la decisión 58/19. Con esta decisión se estableció la base para el examen y aprobación de proyectos de demostración de desecho de SAO y el examen realizado por la Secretaría se basó en los principios establecidos al respecto. La Secretaría desearía hacer hincapié en que aplicó lo indicado en el inciso (a)(ii)a de la decisión en el que se especificaba que no se dispondrá de financiación para el acopio de las SAO. La definición para el acopio de las SAO se incluía en el Anexo VIII del informe de la 58<sup>th</sup> reunión denominado “Definiciones de actividades incluidas en las directrices provisionales para la financiación de proyectos de demostración de desecho de SAO”. El proyecto de demostración de México cubrirá las SAO ya acopiadas así como cantidades adicionales por acopiar en virtud del programa de sustitución acelerada para antiguos refrigeradores con nuevos modelos de energía eficiente dirigidos por el gobierno de México por conducto del Fondo para ahorros de energía (FIDE).

### Descripción del proyecto

17. Con este proyecto piloto se atenderá inicialmente al desecho de las 166,7 toneladas de CFC-12 que ya han sido recogidas y están preparadas para su destrucción. Al mismo tiempo se establecerán medidas que presten apoyo a la sostenibilidad del proyecto ampliando el actual sistema de acopio de desechos de SAO y estableciendo tecnologías modernas de recuperación y acopio con las que aumentaría el acopio de los desechos de SAO. El objetivo del proyecto de demostración que se ejecutará en un plazo de dos años es el de establecer un modelo administrativo sostenible para un sistema eficiente de desecho de SAO con el que se explorará la exportación de las SAO destinadas a su destrucción y se examinará la posibilidad de venta de emisiones de las SAO que serán destruidas para cosechar beneficios financieros

que mantengan las actividades más amplias de destrucción en el país después de la fase de demostración. Se prestará también apoyo al proyecto mediante políticas gubernamentales que promuevan políticas avanzadas de medio ambiente (tales como una prohibición de expulsar a la atmósfera las SAO definiendo la responsabilidad para financiar programas de reciclaje) que prestarán apoyo a la sostenibilidad del modelo administrativo para la gestión de los desechos de SAO.

18. Según se mencionó en lo que precede el proyecto propuesto esta estrechamente relacionado con un programa nacional de incentivos para el retiro de equipo de refrigeración doméstica y de aire acondicionado. Este programa de incentivos fue organizado en 2005 cuando se sustituyeron y subsecuentemente fueron destruidos 604 000 refrigeradores domésticos. En 2007 y 2008 98 centros de escuelas recibieron equipo para la recuperación de refrigerantes a partir de antiguos electrodomésticos que fueron desmantelados en esos centros. En 2009 el gobierno estableció otro programa ambicioso en el marco del proyecto de iluminación y electrodomésticos eficientes (ELAP) para sustituir refrigeradores domésticos con la meta de recopilar y sustituir 1,6 millones de electrodomésticos hasta finales del año 2012. Se prevé que este programa sea una importante fuente para desechos de SAO que alimentarán este proyecto en su fase de demostración.

19. Los desechos de SAO serán destruidos en una instalación para destrucción en la que se cumplen tanto a las normas internacionales especificadas en el informe del Grupo de Evaluación Tecnológica y Económica (GETE) del Grupo especial sobre tecnologías de destrucción y código de buenas prácticas. Este proyecto se concentrará exclusivamente en la destrucción de desechos de CFC y no estarán implicados en este proyecto piloto los CTC ni los halones.

#### Estimación de las SAO por destruir

20. El Ministerio del medio ambiente y recursos naturales de México (SEMARNAT) confirmó la cantidad de CFC-12 de 119,7 tm ya recogida al año 2009 y una estimación adicional de CFC-12 por acopiar en 2010 (es decir 40 tm de antiguos refrigeradores y equipos de aire acondicionado y 7,0 tm de enfriadores) por un total de 166,7 tm. Las cantidades estimadas se muestran en la Tabla 1.

Tabla 1: Cantidad estimada de desechos de SAO que serán utilizadas en el proyecto

| Lote | Descripción                              | Acopio de CFC-12 (tm) |
|------|--|-----------------------|
| 1    | Exceso de SAO recogidas entre 2007-2009  | 119,7                 |
| 2    | Exceso de SAO recogidas en 2010          | 40,0                  |
| 3    | Exceso de SAO por recoger de enfriadores | 7,0                   |
|      | Total                                    | 166,7                 |

#### Gestión financiera del proyecto

21. En la propuesta se preveía que la financiación del Fondo Multilateral cubrirá los costos de destrucción de los desechos de SAO actualmente disponibles exportándolos para ser destruidos en una instalación acreditada de destrucción en Estados Unidos. En el proyecto de demostración se prevé además el diseño de un sistema que calculará el dinero de créditos al carbono proveniente de las SAO por destruir que será utilizado para escalar el proyecto dependiendo de los resultados de la actividad piloto. En el proyecto de demostración se supone un precio conservativo de 3,00 \$ EUA por tonelada de CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalente ya sea en toneladas de reserva para el clima (CRT) o unidades voluntarias de carbono (VCU) y se suponen reducciones de emisiones aproximadamente de 1,8 millones de toneladas de

CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalente para las actividades durante los dos primeros años. Se prevé que este tonelaje genere un valor financiero aproximado de 5 millones de \$ EUA mediante la utilización del mercado del carbono.

#### Supervisión y verificación de la destrucción

22. Para asegurarse de que todas las SAO están siendo adecuadamente supervisadas y contabilizadas se establecerá un plan de supervisión de todas las operaciones y actividades de notificación asociadas a este proyecto de destrucción de SAO. Se estipularán la frecuencia de recopilación de datos; un plan para dejar constancia; la función de cada persona implicada en la supervisión; disposiciones para control de calidad con miras a asegurar que la adquisición de datos de las operaciones y los análisis de las SAO se realizan de forma uniforme y con precisión; y se elaborarán sistemas de gestión de datos y coordinación de datos entre los gestores del proyecto de agregados de SAO y las instalaciones de destrucción. Los mecanismos de supervisión tanto de la Reserva de acción climática (CAR) como de las Normas voluntarias para carbono (VCS) serán utilizados como base para el desarrollo de este sistema de supervisión. Esto garantizaría que el procedimiento de supervisión permitirá la verificación externa independiente de las SAO destruidas para certificar los créditos al carbono. En el ámbito del gobierno de México SEMARNAT será responsable de la vigilancia del sistema de supervisión.

#### Costo del proyecto

23. El costo total del proyecto ha sido estimado por un valor de 1 522 915 \$ EUA en la forma originalmente presentada según se muestra en la tabla siguiente.

Tabla 2: Costo propuesto del proyecto

| Actividad  | Número de unidades | \$ EUA/Unidad | Costo (\$ EUA) |
|--|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Transporte de las SAO dispersas en México hacia la instalación centralizada para agregarlas en contenedores ISO para su transporte a Estados Unidos de América | 1                  | 20 000        | 20 000         |
| Contenedor ISO (10 000 litros)   | 3                  | 20 000        | 60 000         |
| Transporte de CFC-12 agregados a la instalación de destrucción de EUA (p.ej. puertos limpios El Dorado Arkansas)   | 27                 | 6 023         | 162 618        |
| Destrucción de CFC-12 ya recogidos mediante programas actuales de sustitución de electrodomésticos de energía eficiente en México                              | 166 700            | 5.5           | 916 850        |
| Supervisión y verificación de la destrucción de SAO  | 2                  | 40 000        | 80 000         |
| Gestión del proyecto de mercado de carbono (expertos internacionales)  | 7 w/m              | 115 000       | 115 000        |
| Capacitación para medio ambiente saludable y seguro  | 1                  | 30 000        | 30 000         |
| Imprevistos 10% (del total de costos)  |                    |               | 138 447        |
| Total  |                    |               | 1 522 915      |

24. Aunque la ONUDI indicó claramente que la financiación que deseaba obtener en esta reunión era solamente para la parte de demostración del proyecto, ha proporcionado información y documentación relacionadas con la fase subsiguiente propuesta del proyecto con la que se aseguraría el funcionamiento continuado y sostenido de la instalación de destrucción.

## **OBSERVACIONES Y RECOMENDACIÓN DE LA SECRETARÍA**

### **OBSERVACIONES**

25. La Secretaría ofreció a la ONUDI varios comentarios y observaciones sobre la propuesta que fueron examinados siguiendo los criterios establecidos en las decisiones 58/19 y 62/69. Señaló que en la forma presentada la propuesta incluiría información detallada acerca de la Fase II para la que no se solicitaban fondos. Aclaró también a la ONUDI que las opiniones y comentarios de la Secretaría se limitarán al proyecto de demostración según lo previsto en las directrices provisionales convenidas mediante las decisiones 58/19 y 62/69 y la consideración de la segunda fase será únicamente para determinar la viabilidad y sostenibilidad del proyecto en el futuro. Esto permitiría por consiguiente a la Secretaría seguir un enfoque uniforme al examinar proyectos similares de demostración de SAO. Aconsejó a la ONUDI que revisara la propuesta y se concentrara solamente en los resultados del periodo de demostración de dos años que llevarían pronto o tarde al siguiente proyecto de diez años y que describa la forma por la que los resultados proporcionan soluciones a las barreras y a los riesgos de índole técnica, financiera, normativa e institucional para que en la segunda fase por ejecutar por la. ONUDI se incorporen las revisiones necesarias de la propuesta en la forma solicitada.

26. La Secretaría pidió también a la ONUDI que incluyera la información en la propuesta según se requería mediante la decisión 58/19 para hacer posible una revisión a fondo de la presentación. Se proporcionó información adicional que se describe en los párrafos precedentes. Respondiendo a la pregunta de si el país había considerado otras opciones para el uso de los desechos de SAO distintos a su destrucción, la ONUDI respondió que al igual que otros muchos países del Artículo 5 México había intentado explorar oportunidades de reciclaje y reutilización para estos desechos de SAO pero los incentivos económicos y el precio de las sustancias recicladas por comparación con las nuevas hacían que no hubiera forma de competir. Además, la proximidad de México a Estados Unidos de América que era un socio comercial importante y en el que está ahora prohibido el uso de los CFC obligaba a explorar otros medios para estos desechos distintos a su reutilización por lo que estaban siendo recogidos para su destrucción.

27. Al examinar el objetivo general del proyecto de demostración, la Secretaría pidió a la ONUDI que confirmara que su intención era la de demostrar que el transporte para destrucción era una opción viable para México en el futuro así como para generar ingresos posibles mediante el comercio de carbono que será seguidamente utilizado para sostener en el futuro las actividades de destrucción. La ONUDI confirmó esta interpretación y subrayó que las reservas actuales de desechos de SAO serán enviadas a una instalación de destrucción en Estados Unidos de América y su correspondiente tonelaje de CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalente será comerciado y utilizado para la futura viabilidad financiera del proyecto.

28. La Secretaría suscitó varias preguntas adicionales a la ONUDI, entre ellas la situación del acopio de refrigeradores en México, la circulación de desechos desde los centros de acopio de escorias a una instalación central y su eventual envío para su destrucción. La ONUDI proporcionó información a la Secretaría con la que se aclararon estas cuestiones.

29. Respecto a la cuestión de rentabilidad y sostenibilidad del proyecto se señaló a la atención de la ONUDI el hecho de que el precio futuro de los VER/VCU es altamente incierto puesto que los ingresos de los mismos están destinados a proporcionar financiación sostenida, pudiera ser útil dar información sobre otras opciones en caso de que los ingresos previstos para estas emisiones no se logren plenamente. Aunque la ONUDI estaba de acuerdo con la observación de la Secretaría sobre el precio de VER/VCU confiaba en que un componente del proyecto de demostración con el que se examinaba la gestión de proyectos para el mercado de carbono realizará los análisis necesarios y emprenderá la verificación de documentos y ventas que garantizarán ingresos a partir de las SAO destruidas. Según la

ONUDI el gobierno de México está altamente comprometido con este proyecto y presta su apoyo a políticas nacionales y será por consiguiente la fuerza impulsora del proyecto.

30. La Secretaría y la ONUDI deliberaron además acerca de los fondos solicitados para el proyecto y señalaron que la propuesta resultaba por un costo de 9,13\$ EUA /kg de SAO destruidas que es inferior al costo indicado en la decisión 58/19 de 13,2\$ EUA /kg de SAO destruidas. La Secretaría pidió una aclaración de algunos elementos del presupuesto propuesto a lo que la ONUDI respondió consintientemente.

31. Después de estas aclaraciones se convino en el costo final del proyecto en la forma presentada por un valor de 1 522 915 \$ EUA más los costos de apoyo según se resume en la Tabla 2 precedente.

## **RECOMENDACIÓN**

32. El Comité Ejecutivo pudiera:

- a) Tomar nota con beneplácito de la presentación del gobierno de México de un proyecto de demostración para destrucción de SAO con miras a destruir un total de 166,7 toneladas métricas de desechos de SAO;
- b) Aprobar en principio la ejecución de un proyecto de demostración para destrucción de SAO en México por una suma de 1 022 915 \$ EUA más los costos de apoyo de 76 719 \$ EUA para la ONUDI y de 500 000 \$ EUA más los costos de apoyo de 65 000 \$ EUA para el gobierno de Francia; y
- c) Aprobar la suma de 1 522 915 \$ EUA en la 63<sup>a</sup> reunión señalando que esta aprobación se concede en la inteligencia de que ningunos otros fondos estarán disponibles para México para cualquier proyecto de desechos de SAO en el futuro.

| MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL<br>ON SUBSTANCES THAT DEPLETE THE OZONE LAYER |  |  |   |
|---|--|--|---|
| PROJECT COVER SHEET   |  |  |   |
| COUNTRY   | Mexico   | IMPLEMENTING AGENCY  | UNIDO<br>FRANCE   |
| PROJECT TITLE   | Demonstration project for disposal of unwanted ODS in Mexico   |  |   |
| PROJECT IN CURRENT BUSINESS PROJECT   | Yes  |  |   |
| SECTOR  | ODS destruction  |  |   |
| SUB-SECTORS   | Refrigeration and Air-conditioners (CFCs) sub-sector   |  |   |
| ODS DESTROYED   | Demonstration Project 2 year (CFC-12) and<br><br>10 year (CFC-12 &CFC-11)<br><br>Total   | 166.7<br><br>900<br><br>1,066.7  | ODP MT<br><br>ODP MT<br><br>ODP MT  |
| PROJECT IMPACT  | Reflecting the net ODP value per annum<br>(Demonstration project ) & (10 year project)<br><br>Reflecting annual emissions in CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent<br>in refrigerating sector<br><br>10 Year Carbon Trading Offset Phase B<br>Programme (estimate) - 900 MT | 83.35<br><br>90<br><br>469,192<br><br>CFC-12 - 260<br>CFC-11 - 640<br>CFC-12 – 2,731,360<br>CFC-11 – 1,173,392 | ODP MT<br><br>t CO <sub>2</sub> e<br><br>ODP MT<br>tCO <sub>2</sub> e<br>tCO <sub>2</sub> e |
|   | Additional 10 Year Carbon Trading Offset<br>Programme (estimate, only CFC-12)  | Total CFCs - 3,905,280   | tCO <sub>2</sub> e  |
| PROJECT DURATION – Demonstration Project  | 2 years  |  |   |
| CARBON TRADING OFFSET PROGRAMME DURATION -  | 10 Years   |  |   |
| TOTAL PROJECT DURATION  |  |  |   |
| PROJECT COSTS -   |  |  |   |
|   | Capital Costs  | US\$   | 1,384,469   |
|   | Policy & Management Support  |  | 145,000   |
|   | Contingencies  | US\$   | 138,447   |
|   | Total Project Costs  | US\$   | 1,522,915   |
| LOCAL OWNERSHIP   | 100%   |  |   |
| EXPORT COMPONENT  | 0%   |  |   |
| REQUESTED GRANT   |  |  |   |
|   | MP FUND  | US\$   | 1,022,915   |
|   | FRANCE   | US\$   | 500,000   |
|   | TOTAL  | US\$   | 1,522,915   |
| IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES SUPPORT COSTS<br>7.5% - UNIDO, 13% - FRANCE   | 141,719  |  |   |
| TOTAL COST OF PROJECT TO MULTILATERAL<br>FUND   | 1,664,094  |  |   |
| COST EFFECTIVENESS (1,066.7 ODP MT)   | US\$/kg 9.14   |  |   |
| STATUS OF COUNTERPART FUNDING   | The counterpart contributes funds in kind (premises, labor, lab equipment, CFC collection expenses, etc.)  |  |   |
| NAME OF COUNTERPART   | Joint Venture established by Mexican Corporation and Diagnostico y Administracion de Logistica Inversa SA de CV (DALI), Ecofrigo and other companies advised by the Government of Mexico   |  |   |
| PROJECT MONITORING MILESTONES   | Included   |  |   |
| NATIONAL COORDINATING BODY  | Ministry for Environment SEMARNAT  |  |   |

Project summary:

Project summary:

UNIDO will submit a Demonstration ODS Destruction Project for Mexico to the 63<sup>rd</sup> ExCom meeting. This Project will cover the disposal of 1066.7 ODP tons of CFC-12 and CFC-11 in the domestic refrigerator sector in Mexico over 12 years.

**In the first 2 years** the project will destroy 166.7 ODP tons of used CFC-12 **which have already been collected** from various sources in Mexico. The collected CFC 12 will be incinerated in an eligible destruction facility in the United States as required by the CAR Protocol Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol Destruction of Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1.0 (see <http://www.climateactionreserve.org/>) Additionally during this start up phase an effective legislative system for ODS-containing appliances will be established. (The MLF shall fund the transportation/storage and destruction activities of the first 2 years, costs of changing legislation will be covered by income from sale of carbon credits in the 1<sup>st</sup> year.)

Assuming a price of USD 3 per ton of CO2e and emission reductions of approximately 1,800,000 CO2e, the activities of the first two years will generate a financial value of around 5.4 Mio USD through utilization of the carbon market. This financial benefit will be used to incentivize further ODS waste management and destruction activities **for additional 10 years which will lead to another estimated destruction of 900 ODP tons of CFC-11 and CFC-12.** (Please NOTE: there is no funding requested from the MLF for this 2<sup>nd</sup> part of the project). This part of the project consists of the recovery of ODS from end of life refrigerators to be collected in Mexico by using state of the art de-manufacturing facilities (step I machinery – CFC12 recovery unit 1 Mio USD) and step II machinery (shredder and ODS extraction 4 Mio USD).

This project is **unique and innovative** in its approach because it demonstrates for the first time:

- That funds from MLF may not only be used for a one time destruction of ODS but will provide a type of “seed money” for implementing a sustainable, comprehensive ODS waste management and destruction system in Mexico for the next 12 years,
- the sourcing of co-funding from the carbon market as proposed by the *Report by the Secretariat on funding opportunities for the management and destruction of banks of ozone-depleting substances (UNEP 2009)* and
- the implementation and operation of state of the art recovery and collection technologies in an article 5 country enabling a dramatic increase of ODS collection and destruction efficiency and thereby significantly reducing the impact on the ozone layer as well as on the global climate.

**PREPARED BY**

UNIDO (V. Shatrauka)

**DATE** 22 September 2010

**UPDATED AND**

UNIDO (C. Plöchl and G. Castellá)

**DATE** 2 February 2011

**REVISED**

C. Norris and UNDIO (S. Mohammed)

**DATE** 4 February 2010

**REVIEWED BY**

## Abbreviations

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| CAR               | Climate Action Reserve   |
| CCX               | Chicago Climate Exchange   |
| CDM               | Clean Development Mechanism  |
| CER               | Certified Emission Reductions  |
| CFC               | Chlorofluorocarbone  |
| CFI               | Carbon Financial Instrument  |
| CO <sub>2</sub> e | CO <sub>2</sub> -equivalent  |
| CRT               | Climate Reserve Ton  |
| DNA               | Designated National Authority  |
| DOE               | Designated Operational Entity  |
| EB                | Executive Board  |
| ExCom             | Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for Implementation of the Montreal Protocol |
| GHG               | Greenhouse Gas   |
| GWP               | Global Warming Potential   |
| IET               | International Emissions Trading  |
| KP                | Kyoto Protocol   |
| LoA               | Letter of Approval   |
| MLF               | Multilateral Fund for Implementation of the Montreal Protocol                            |
| MLS               | Secretariat of the Multilateral Fund for Implementation of the Montreal Protocol         |
| MP                | Montreal Protocol  |
| ODS               | Ozone Depleting Substance  |
| PIN               | Project Idea Note  |
| PP                | Project Participant  |
| UNFCCC            | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change                                    |
| VCS               | Voluntary Carbons Standard   |
| VCU               | Voluntary Carbon Unit  |

## **Table of Content**

|     |  |    |
|-----|--|----|
| 1   | Project Objective and Project Strategy .....   | 5  |
| 1.1 | Utilization of the Carbon Market: .....  | 6  |
| 2   | Justification for the ODS Disposal Pilot Project .....   | 7  |
| 2.1 | Updated and more detailed information on all issues that were required for obtaining project preparation funding | 7  |
| 3   | Detailed information on issues required for project submission.....  | 10 |
| 3.1 | Sustainability of the Business Model .....   | 10 |
| 3.2 | Time Critical Elements of the Implementation.....  | 11 |
| 3.3 | Project Schedule: .....  | 13 |
| 4   | Project Costs.....   | 16 |
| 5   | Implementation Schedule First 2 Years.....   | 19 |
| 6   | Annex I Linkage between the Montreal Protocol and the Carbon Market .....  | 19 |
| 6.1 | Overview of GHG Markets .....  | 19 |
| 6.2 | Relation of GHG Markets to the Montreal Protocol (MP) .....  | 21 |
| 7   | Annex II Background on ODS related Legislation and Policies in Mexico .....                                      | 22 |
| 8   | Annex III Background on Technology Selection .....   | 24 |

# PROJECT OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MEXICO

## DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOR UNWANTED ODS DESTRUCTION IN MEXICO

### 1 Project Objective and Project Strategy

*UNIDO will submit a Demonstration Project for Disposal of Unwanted ODS in Mexico to the 63<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of ExCom of the Multilateral Fund for the implementation of the Montreal Protocol.*

*The project aims to develop a business model for ODS waste management from collection to disposal, in a way that shows how "seed money" from the MLF can be used within a country to take the banked ODS and destroy it so that it can generate carbon credits. This will create income to those who participate. Thus, the demonstration project will show how this financial mechanism can be used as an incentive to enterprises in A5 countries, such as India, China, Brazil, and Mexico, to participate in carbon trading programs for profit or for lower cost destruction*

Since 2005 the Government of Mexico (GoM) through the Fund for Energy Savings (FIDE) has successfully accelerated the replacement of old refrigeration appliances with new energy efficient ones. In 2007/2008 98 scrapping centers received equipment for recovery of refrigerants from old appliances that were dismantled in these centers. In 2009 the GoM established another ambitious program for substituting domestic refrigerators with the goal to collect and replace 1,600,000 appliances until the end of 2012. Through these and other activities 166.7 tons of ODP CFC-12 were collected by the end of 2010 which are dispersedly stored throughout Mexico.

Even though a large quantity of ODS in absolute terms has already been collected in the replacement programs, , the ODS recovery process is rather inefficient in Mexico. The scrapping centers recovered on average 36g of CFC-12 per fridge, when name plates of fridges indicated 155g content, which is a recovery-efficiency below 25%. Additionally the CFC-11 contained in insulation foams has not been recovered at all so far.

Therefore the objective of the project is to implement a sustainable business model for an efficient ODS waste management system (including the increase of recovery efficiency of CFC-12 from 36g per fridge to 130g and 90% recovery of all CFC-11 contained in insulation foams) and to destroy 1066.7 ODP tons of CFC-12 and CFC-11 in the refrigerator sector in Mexico over 12 years by

1. Destroying already collected 166.7 ODP tons of CFC-12 (in the first two years of the project is considered as the Demonstration phase) (to be funded by the MLF)
2. Investing the financial benefits created through the use of the carbon market (*by selling the emission reductions generated through destruction activities in the first 2 years*) in modifying /improving local legislation with regard to a producer/distributor responsibility program for ODS containing equipment and in a new state of the art facility for de-manufacturing end-of-life refrigerators and recovering ODS. This will result in annual destruction of additional 26 ODP tons of CFC-12 and 64 ODP tons of CFC-11 adding up to 900 ODP tons over a 10 years period. (to be sustainable funded through the annual sale of carbon credits)

The proposed project facility (not to be funded by the MLF) will recover CFC-12 and CFC-11 from domestic refrigerators using a two-step approach:

1. In Step I, the CFC-12 refrigerant together with the refrigeration oil are removed from the refrigeration cycle; oil is treated to remove the CFC-12 dissolved in it in order to increase the efficiency of the CFC-12 recovery; and
2. In Step II, the refrigerator without CFC12 and oil is placed into a shredder, where, after shredding operation the shredded materials are sorted and the polyurethane foam insulation panels of the refrigerator finely grounded. This destroys the cell structure of the foam and releases a significant portion of the CFC-11 within the cells. The insulating gas/blowing agent (CFC) released is collected, adsorbed in activated carbon and, following desorption from the activated carbon, liquefied under pressure by means of compressors.

The facility will be operated by a joint venture consisting of the Mexican corporations Diagnostico y Administracion de Logistica Inversa SA de CV (DALI), Ecofrigo and other companies advised by the Government of Mexico.

The two most important elements of the proposed project to ensure the sustainability of ODS waste management activities are:

### **1.1 Utilization of the Carbon Market:**

According to decision XX/7 adopted by the Parties to the Montreal Protocol the project is using the carbon market to leverage seed funding from the MLF. The analysis in Annex I of the proposal explains in detail which carbon markets resp. regulations/standards accept the creation of carbon credits through the destruction of ODS. It also shows that the Climate Action Reserve (*Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol<sup>1</sup> Destruction of Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1.0*) currently provides the most suitable methodology to calculate, monitor and verify emission reductions achieved through the destruction of refrigerant ODS (CFC-12 in the project case) from Article 5 countries<sup>2</sup>. The methodology being developed under VCS (see Annex I) will be used for creating credits from destruction of CFC-11 contained in foams. The two main differences between CAR and VCS are that VCS allows destruction in the project host country (Mexico) (while CAR requires destruction in the United States) and VCS also covers the destruction of CFC-11 contained in foams in Mexico (while CAR covers only refrigerant gases in Article 5 countries)

Assuming a rather conservative price of USD 3 per ton of CO2e (either CRT or VCU) and emission reductions of approximately 1,800,000 CO2e, the activities of the first two years will generate a financial value of around 5.4 Mio USD through utilization of the carbon market. This financial benefit will be used as incentives for further ODS waste management and destruction activities for additional 10 years which will lead to another estimated destruction of 900 ODP tons of CFC-11 and CFC-12. (Please NOTE: there is no funding requested from the MLF for this 2<sup>nd</sup> part of the project.)

The (2) modification/improvement of Mexican legislation is considered as another important element of the proposed demonstration project to ensure the creation of a sustainable and transparent ODS waste management system. The proposed changes shall include:

1. Ban on venting of ODS and on deposition of ODS containing products (wastes) in landfills
2. Defining and assigning the responsibility for financing recycling programs (e.g. either local authorities, power utilities [as current status of voluntary schemes in Mexico] or producer responsibility programs)
3. Requirement that the applied recycling technology achieves an acceptable amount of ODS recovery

The project complies with the criteria established by Decision 58/19 including focus on specific aspects not addressed by other pilot projects.

This project is unique and innovative in its approach because it demonstrates for the first time

- a. Funds from MLF may not only be used for a one time destruction of ODS but will provide a type of “seed money” for implementing a sustainable, comprehensive ODS waste management and destruction system in Mexico for the next 12 years. If the business model is proven to be viable any lessons learned regarding the application of carbon markets and the introduction of producer responsibility programs could likely be modified and transferred to other countries where collection activities have already been carried out,
- b. The sourcing of co-funding from the carbon market as proposed by the Report by the Secretariat on funding opportunities for the management and destruction of banks of ozone-depleting substances (UNEP 2009),
- c. The implementation of advanced environmental policies (such as ban on venting of ODS, defining responsibility for financing recycling programs) which support the establishment of the business model for ODS waste management,
- d. The implementation and operation of state of the art recovery and collection technologies in an article 5 country enabling a dramatic increase of ODS collection and destruction efficiency and thereby significantly

<sup>1</sup> Under CAR the term “Protocol” means the “methodology” to calculate and monitor emission reductions as well as to assess additionality of projects.

<sup>2</sup> The Climate Action Reserve Protocol (*Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol Destruction of Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1*) does not yet cover destruction of ODS contained in foams for Article 5 Countries

reducing the impact on the ozone layer as well as on the global climate. Assuming an annual throughput of 200,000 fridges UNIDO expects the yearly collection and destruction of approximately 26 tons of CFC-12 and 64 tons of CFC-11,

- e. The extraction and destruction of CFC-11 from insulation foams. Until now almost only ODS refrigerants have been recovered in the Mexican refrigerator replacement programs. The recovery of CFC-11 from insulation foams would be the next step for setting up an advanced waste management system for ODS. The project could provide extremely valuable “real life” data about the amount of CFC-11 contained in insulation foams of refrigerators,
- f. The continuous tracking of ODS movements as well as detailed monitoring of ODS point of origin, collection, aggregation, storage, transport and destruction. The project will explore destruction facilities in the US (CFC-12 according to CAR) as well as within in Mexico (CFC-11 according to VCS). Carbon standards require an exact monitoring of quantity and detailed composition of ODS destroyed. Destruction facilities must meet requirements of TEAP *Report of the Task Force on Destruction Technologies* and the *Code of Good Housekeeping*.
- g. The project can build on an well established energy efficiency strategy in Mexico through which around 2.2 million domestic refrigerators and air conditioners will be collected by the end of 2012 (started in 2005) and from which over 100 tons of ODS have been collected for destruction. By providing a clear strategy for the beneficial destruction of ODS further energy efficient programs are will be incentives.

UNIDO strongly believes that this project proposal is a real opportunity to demonstrate to Article 5 countries, that ODS destruction could be self-sustained and moreover self-financed through the commercialization of carbon credits. The results of this demonstration project can be replicated in other Article 5 countries.

## 2 Justification for the ODS Disposal Pilot Project

### 2.1 Updated and more detailed information on all issues that were required for obtaining project preparation funding

The Executive Committee, at its 58<sup>th</sup> Meeting approved a set of interim guidelines for the funding of demonstration projects for the disposal of ODS in accordance with paragraph 2 of decision XX/7 of the Meeting of the Parties. The following information is provided to comply with all the requirements as set out by the above mentioned Decision 58/19

i. An indication of the category or categories of activities for the disposal of ODS (collection, transport, storage, destruction), which will be included in the project proposal

The project includes all categories of activities for the disposal of ODS namely collection, transport, storage and destruction, however it only seeks funding from the MLF for the later three activities in relation to the existing stock of 166.7 tons of ODS (CFC-12 and CFC-11) as described in (iv) in line with the interim guidelines for the funding of demonstration projects for the disposal of ODS.

All collection activities will be financed through the innovative use of carbon markets.

ii. An indication of whether disposal programmes for chemicals related to other multilateral environmental agreements are presently ongoing in the country or planned for the near future, and whether synergies would be possible

ELAP in cooperation with the Secretariat of Energy, SENER and this project is expected to result in early retirement of 1.5 million domestic refrigerators over the next 4 years. However, this demonstration project is be able to recycle such a quantity of the early retired refrigerators.

A World Bank project, is aimed to study the market conditions for the disposal of ODS recovered from residential refrigerators and air conditioners in Mexico,. The program provides rebates to consumers for their older, inefficient appliances. The rebates are used to offset the cost of newer, more efficient models. The appliance take-back program is being coordinated by the Secretaria de Energia (SENER) and currently operated by the Fideicomiso

para el Ahorro de Energía Electrica (FIDE), the Trust for Electric Energy Saving<sup>3</sup>, with technical support from the World Bank. Financing for the program is being provided in part by the Kyoto Protocol Clean Development Mechanism.

*iii. An estimate of the amount of each ODS that is meant to be handled within the project*

The Mexican Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources (SEMARNAT) confirmed the already collected amount of CFC-12 of 119.7 MT before 2010 and additional estimate of CFC-12 to be collected in 2010 as 40 MT of old refrigerators and air-conditioners and 7.0 MT from chillers. Table 1: Overview of ODS Already Collected

| Batch | Description                              | CFC-12 collection |
|-------|--|-------------------|
| 1     | ODS Surplus collected between 2007-2009  | 119.7*            |
| 2     | ODS Surplus to be collected in 2010 year | 40.0 **           |
| 3     | ODS surplus to be collect from Chillers  | 7.0***            |
|       | Total                                    | 166.7             |

\*/Considering other stocks from DuPont (15.0 tons more), 6.0 tons from Polimyd company (stocked in Quimobásicos facilities); more tons recovered and reported through the SISSAO system from the R/R centers and scrapping centers (FIDE programme), the quantity of ODS collected from 2007- 2009 could be of the value of 119.7 MT.

\*\*/ SEMARNAT is considering 40.0 MT of ODS that could be recovered in the scrapping and R/R centers in 2010 and 7.0 MT from chillers.

\*\*\*/ Some of the chillers would provide not more than 7.0 MT of CFC-12 including 3.0 MT from Mexichem Derivados S.A. de C.V., Coatzacoalcos Plant, Veracruz and 4.0 MT from social security hospitals

The expected amount of CFC-12 for incineration for the first two years of the project is estimated to be 166.7 MT.

The expected amount of CFC-12 and CFC-11 for the following 10 years is calculated as follows:

As mentioned above a state of the art technology for de-manufacturing refrigerators and/or air conditioners will be set up (For a detailed description of the technology, see Annex III). The plant will consist of three so called step 1 units, inter alia extracting the ODS refrigerant from the cooling system and one so called step 2 unit which is designed to shred cooling appliances, separate the different materials of the appliance casings while recovering around 90% of the ODS blowing agent contained in the insulating foam.

Assumptions:

|   |                        |
|---|------------------------|
| Plant Input                             | 200,000 fridges/annum  |
| Recovery of CFC-12 from cooling system  | 130g/fridge on average |
| Recovery of CFC-11 from insulation foam | 320g/fridge on average |

These assumptions would result in annual ODP reduction potential of 90 ODP MT out of which CFC-12 will be approximately 26 ODP MT and CFC-11 will be 64 ODP MT.

*iv. The basis for the estimate of the amount of ODS; this estimate should be based on known existing stocks already collected, or collection efforts already at a very advanced and well-documented stage of being set up*

As mentioned in iii above, around 166.7 tons of ODS have already been recovered and are currently stored in Mexico. SEMARNAT has confirmed this number. Additional 900 tons are expected to be recovered through the advanced ODS waste management system (as described under iii as well),

*v. For collection activities, information regarding existing or near-future, credible collection efforts and programmes that are at an advanced stage of being set up and to which activities under this project would relate.*

<sup>3</sup> FIDE is a private non-profit organization, founded with the goal to promote rational electric energy use and energy saving. Its technical Committee includes electric utilities, industry associations and CONUEE, which is Mexico's National Commission on Energy Saving, a technical arm of SENER.

Energy costs are high in Mexico, and the cost of electricity is a major factor in the purchase of a new domestic refrigerator and AC unit. The Mexican government, through the National Electric Commission (CFE, the official energy provider), has been promoting the sale of new AC and refrigeration units equipped with energy saving devices for many years.

A very successful incentive program for retirement of old domestic refrigeration and air conditioning equipment was organized in 2005 by the Fund for Energy Savings (FIDE). This program has accelerated the replacement of old appliances, resulting in reductions of the use of CFCs, since the new equipment is free of CFC and thus the release of CFCs in the service sector has continuously been reduced. 604,000 domestic refrigerators and 126,000 air conditioners have been replaced and destroyed. 22 tons CFC-12 from old refrigerators (36 g per one refrigerator as an average) and 88 tons of HCFC-22 from old air conditioners have been recovered.

In 2007-8 the national recovery and recycling network was enhanced using FIDE's current infrastructure, made available under the NPP, as well as through some new recovery centers. For this purpose, 14 regions covering the country and managing the program using a regional approach were selected. 98 centers were equipped in 2007/2008 with recovery equipment for refrigerants recovery from old appliances that were dismantled in these centers

Mexico's Department of Energy has established a Mexican standard (NOM) that indicates that all new AC and refrigeration units produced in or imported into Mexico must contain energy saving devices.



**End-of-life fridges collected for de-manufacturing in Mexico**

In 2009 the Mexican Government through the Secretariat of Energy established another very ambitious program of further substituting domestic refrigerators and with a goal of 1,600,000 pieces to be collected until 2012. Under this scheme, the Government offers to Mexican residents low-interest financing and a cash rebate of up to 50% of the cost of a new, energy-efficient refrigerator, when an old fridge is turned in for recycling. The re-payment is made together with the electric energy bill as a low interest rate loan. Major retailers of new appliances - including Wal-Mart and Sears - deliver the new appliance to the customer and collect the old unit for recycling.

While this programs have been a big success in terms of energy efficiency and collection of ODS (166.7 tons) recovery efficiency of ODS continues to be very low (36g per fridge on average) and insulation foam containing CFC-11 is still sent to landfills where harmful ODP and GHG are released into the atmosphere.

Therefore, the UNIDO demonstration project on ODS destruction will concentrate on extraction of CFC-12 from the compressor circuit, separation of oil from the gas, cleaning of the gas to remove all the residues to prepare the gas for incineration and its further liquefaction for transportation to any incineration plant. Further PUR foam panels from refrigerators and air conditioners will be shredded in a shredding plant and CFC-11 as a blowing agent will be extracted under vacuum and collected through the activated carbon filter, then liquefied for its further incineration. The project provides the best-available-technology for CFC-12 and CFC-11 extraction

*vi. For activities that focus at least partially on CTC or halon, an explanation of how this project might have an important demonstration value*

This project will focus exclusively on the destruction of contaminated CFCs, no CTC or halon will be involved in this pilot project.

### 3 Detailed information on issues required for project submission

#### Beneficiaries of the Project

The largest scrapping company in Mexico is *Diagnostico y Administracion de Logistica Inversa SA de CV* (DALI) which was created in 2007. It is based in San Luis Potosi, S.L.P. Mexico. Currently it employs over 110 people throughout the country. DALI has the required personnel, established procedures, and equipment to carry out energy efficiency and environmentally-oriented management programs. Among DALI's shareholders are Appliance Recycling Centers of America Inc. (ARCA), Servicios de Administración en Programas Productivos S.A. de C.V. and Three Flags Trading and Management Inc. DALI operates 44 centres in Mexico under its brand, specialized in collection of used household appliances using five processes and materials recovery centres and two material deposit sites. DALI has partnerships and services contracts with several specialized service providers in the industry like MABE Mexico, S.R.L. de C.V. and L.G. Electronics Mexico. DALI has recycled over 150,000 appliances.

*Ecofrigo S.A.* is another group of companies dedicated to promoting sustainable environmental technologies in Mexico. Ecofrigo has two centres for collection and destruction of refrigerators and air conditioners (Mexico City and Michoacan) and other tow collection centres (San Luis Potosi and Michoacan). The company is planning under the frame work of the UNIDO project to open five new collection centres in 2010. Ecofrigo is also operational under the Refrigerator Replacement Program SENER-FIDE and cooperates with Walmart, Comercial Mexicana, Elektra, Famsa, Copel, Chedraguy, Soriana, Dose, Angel Furniture and Coca Cola Bottling, Mexico as well as with local air-conditioners producers.



**Ecofrigo's premises to accommodate the project equipment**

These two companies, i.e., *Diagnostico y Administracion de Logistica Inversa SA de CV* (DALI) and *Ecofrigo S.A.* have established an infrastructure and collection network for handling of CFC-12 gas extraction, its confinement and final destruction. They fulfilled the reception activities, recovery of the refrigerant gas (only CFC-12), its storage and the shipment of gas to their final disposal place. They issued official documents for all recyclable materials such as copper, aluminum or other materials for their further processing. The CFC-12 gas recovered by these two companies was used only for refrigerators servicing. No CFC-12 incineration practices were introduced in Mexico.

It was agreed with SEMARNAT that DALI and Ecofrigo and other Mexican recycling companies could establish a joint venture – which will be the project counterparty. The joint venture will be responsible for the extraction and subsequent destruction in of, CFC-12 and CFC-11 of old fridges and ACs. UNIDO strongly believes that this project contributes indeed considerably to the improvement of performance of the network of 98 scrapping companies by providing to them assistance in proper extracting refrigerants and blowing agents.

#### 3.1 Sustainability of the Business Model

The business model of the proposed project is based on leveraging the injected seed money through the use of the carbon market. As described in detail in Annex I various national/regional and international markets for carbon credits have developed over the past few years and have created demand for emission reductions from ODS destruction projects. Just recently by the end of 2010 US State of California announced the introduction of a state wide cap and trade system which also allows the use of offsets from ODS destruction projects (in the first step only from US sourced projects, however it is very widely assumed that also international offsets especially from Mexico will be accepted in the near future).

Even when assuming a rather conservative price per carbon credit of USD 3/tCO<sub>2</sub>e the simplified cash flow analysis (see section 4) shows that this project is financially viable over the proposed period of time. Funds from MLF will not only be used for a one time destruction of ODS but will provide a kind of “seed money” for implementing a sustainable, comprehensive ODS waste management and destruction system in Mexico for the next 12 years

### **3.2 Time Critical Elements of the Implementation**

Year 1 (time critical output is the destruction of ODS and commercialization of first carbon credits in order to receive the funding for activities not funded by MLF)

- Aggregation of already collected ODS at central facility/ storage
- Transportation of ODS to US
- Destruction of ODS
- Monitoring of ODS destruction according to CAR
- Documentation according to CAR
- Verification
- Sale of carbon credits

Year 2 (time critical output is the procurement specification of equipment, implementation of supportive policies/legislation)

- Activities as mentioned under year 1
- Issuing of policies/norms
- Equipment specification for step 1 and step 2 plant
- Equipment procurement

Activities in year 3-12 are not expected to be as time critical as activities in year 1 and year 2.

As indicated above the other source of funding will be the carbon market (see Annex I for detailed elaboration of the carbon markets to be applied to the project). The following table provides an overview of prices for GHG emission reductions for 2009.

## US offset prices 2009

(Carbon Market Analyst-North America, Point Carbon Research, March, 2010)

**Table 2: Overview Market Prices per VER**

|   | 2009              | CAR   | VCS   |
|---|-------------------|-------|-------|
| Average mid-market price, US\$/tCO <sup>2</sup> e | 1 <sup>st</sup> Q | \$7.3 | \$5.0 |
|   | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Q | \$6.0 | \$4.6 |
|   | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Q | \$5.1 | \$3.9 |
|   | 4 <sup>th</sup> Q | \$5.2 | \$2.9 |

UNIDO assumes a conservative price of USD 3.0/tCO<sub>2</sub>e for calculations of income from the carbon markets.

Both carbon standards mentioned under (iii) imply a rigorous monitoring and independent verification of actual amount of ODS destroyed and associated emission reductions.

The Monitoring Plan will be established for all monitoring, operations and reporting activities associated with this ODS destruction project. It will stipulate the

- frequency of data collection
- a record keeping plan
- the role of individuals performing each specific monitoring and operational activity
- QA/QC provisions to ensure that operations, data acquisition and ODS analyses are carried out consistently and with precision.
- data management systems and coordination of data between ODS aggregators, project developers, and destruction facilities.

**In relation to the origin of recovered ODS the Beneficiary is responsible for collecting data on the point of origin for each quantity of ODS, as defined in the next table:**

**Table 6.1. Identification of Point of Origin**

| ODS  | Point of Origin   |
|--|---|
| 1. Virgin stockpiles   | Location of stockpile   |
| 2. Used ODS stockpiled greater than 12 months                                    | Location of stockpile   |
| 3. Used ODS quantities less than 500 lbs, and collected in the last 12 months    | Location where ODS is first aggregated to greater than 500 lbs <sup>a</sup> |
| 4. Used ODS quantities greater than 500 lbs, and collected in the last 12 months | Site of installation from which ODS is removed                              |

<sup>a</sup> The point of origin for ODS collected by service technicians in individual quantities less than 500 pounds is defined as the holding facility at which several small quantities were combined and exceeded 500 pounds in aggregate. That is, those handling quantities less than 500 pounds need not provide documentation. However, once smaller quantities are aggregated and exceed 500 pounds collectively, tracking will be required from that location and point in time forward.

All data must be generated *at the time of collection* from the point of origin. Documentation of the point of origin of ODS shall include the following:

- Address of point of origin
- Identification of the system by serial number, if available, or description, location, and function, if serial number is unavailable (for quantities greater than 500 pounds)
- Serial or ID number of containers used for storage and transport

In conjunction with establishing the point of origin and importation process for each quantity of ODS, the Beneficiary must also document the custody and ownership of ODS. These records shall include names, addresses, and contact information of persons buying/selling the material for destruction and the quantity of the material (the combined mass of refrigerant and contaminants) bought/sold.

The transfer of custody may be established using the following documentation, as appropriate:

- Tax ID, or other applicable identifier, of transferor and transferee
- Bill of lading (where appropriate)

- Date of transfer of custody
- Serial or ID numbers of all containers containing ODS (received and delivered)
- Weight of all containers containing ODS (received and delivered)
- Distance and mode of transportation used to move ODS (truck, rail or air)

The verification body will review these records and will perform other tests necessary to authenticate the previous owners of the material and the physical transfer of the product and the title transfer of ownership to the Beneficiary. No GHG credits may be issued under this protocol for ODS where ownership cannot be established.

Prior to destruction the precise mass and composition of ODS to be destroyed must be determined according to a very detailed procedure as outlined in the CAR Protocol *Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol Destruction of Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1.0*.

#### Destruction Facility Requirements

VCS as well as CAR require that all ODS be destroyed at a destruction facility that is compliant with both the international standards specified in the TEAP *Report of the Task Force on Destruction Technologies* and Code of Good Housekeeping, (and in the case that destruction will take place in the US the requirements of domestic U.S. law).

Data shall be provided to the independent verification body (independent certification body such as DNV, SGS TUEV etc. accredited under CAR and/or VCS) to demonstrate that during the destruction process, the destruction unit was operating similarly to the period in which the DRE was calculated.

To monitor that the destruction facility operates in accordance with applicable regulations and within the parameters recorded during DRE testing, the following parameters must be tracked continuously during the entire ODS destruction process:

- The ODS feed rate
- The amount and type of consumables used in the process (not required if default project emission factor for transportation and destruction is used)
- The amount of electricity and amount and type of fuel consumed by the destruction unit (not required if default project emission factor for transportation and destruction is used)
- Operating temperature and pressure of the destruction unit during ODS destruction
- Effluent discharges measured in terms of water and pH levels
- Continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) data on the emissions of carbon monoxide during ODS destruction

The beneficiary must maintain records of all these parameters for review during the verification process. Destruction facilities shall provide a valid Certificate of Destruction for all ODS destroyed. The Certificate of Destruction shall include:

- Project developer
- Destruction facility
- Generator name
- Certificate of Destruction ID number
- Serial, tracking, or ID Number of all containers for which ODS destruction occurred
- Owner of destroyed ODS
- Weight of material destroyed from each container
- Start destruction date
- Ending destruction date

### **3.3 Project Schedule:**

#### **Investment Component**

The investment component of the project will focus on the fact that the project is only for demonstration, but to keep open the possibility to continue the activities, which have proved to be effective and economical. It consists of the following elements:

- Assessment of the technical requirements of conversion of the equipment available
- Determining the scope of international and local procurement
- Development of technical specifications and terms of reference for procurement
- Pre-qualification and short-listing of vendors
- International/local competitive bidding
- Procurement contracts
- Site preparation
- Customs clearance and delivery
- Installation and start-up
- Product and process trials
- Operator training
- Commissioning and start up

This approach draws on previous implementation experience and has been designed based on the size, level of organization, location and customer base of enterprises concerned and also based on ease and convenience for execution and management. Given the small and medium to large size of the enterprises involved, the need for adequate investments for Plant and process changes, supported by investments on adequate technical assistance, trials and training, is critical and will involve significant inputs.

### **Project and Process Investments**

UNIDO will ensure the installation of modern and appropriate technologies that are best adapted to the needs of the given destruction problems. The specification of the de-manufacturing as well as the mobile plasma arc destruction facility shall ensure protection of workers and the environment. In case of leasing the de-manufacturing facility for the separation of CFCs from the collected end-of-life domestic refrigerators, the collection efficiency for the CFCs and the efficient separation of the construction materials are the two main aims that determine the technical specification.

### **Product and Process Trials**

Trials will be required to validate the new equipment as well as the production process using the new technology, specifically to establish their performance and suitability for the separation and destruction efficiency according to the specifications and project objectives. Trial costs will cover the cost of chemicals, components, consumables and utilities required during site preparation and commissioning, as well as the cost of the operators.

### **Technical Support Component**

The project will address not only the destruction as an only subject, because the environmental and economic benefit mainly depends on successful marketing of the recovered construction materials (steel, plastics, rubber, aluminium, glass, etc.). These activities will need to be supported through provision of a technical support component for ensuring that the collection of the high volume many thousand tonnes of recovered material could be sold. This should be consistent with the recycle priorities of the Government.

### **Technical Support Component Actions**

The Technical Support Component will:

- a) Establish quality standards for the recovered construction materials using data and information from the de-manufacturing equipment supplier.
- b) Conduct one workshop to ensure a high level of professional technical assistance in the fields of health and safety and for protection of the environment for technicians who are working in the collection of end-of-life refrigerator. The workshop goal is to ensure a high level of assistance in the fields of health and safety and for protection of the environment.

## **Policy and Management Support Component**

The implementation of the demonstration project will need to be closely aligned and coordinated with the various policy, regulatory, fiscal, awareness and capacity-building actions that the Government of Mexico is taking to ensure that the implementation of the project is consistent with the Government priorities.

The demonstration project will be managed by a dedicated management committee, consisting of a coordinator to be designated by the Government and supported by representatives and experts from the implementing/executing agency and the necessary support infrastructure. The management support component of the project will include the following activities for the duration of the project:

- a) Management and co-ordination of the project implementation with the various Government policy actions
- b) Establishment of a policy development and enforcement program, covering various legislative, regulatory, incentive, disincentive and punitive actions to enable the Government to acquire and exercise the required mandates in order to ensure compliance by the industry
- c) Development and implementation of training, awareness and capacity-building activities for key government departments, legislators, decision-makers and other institutional stakeholders, to ensure a high-level commitment to the Project objectives and obligations.
- d) Creation of awareness of the Project and the Government initiatives in the sector among consumers and public, through workshops, media publicity and other information dissemination measures
- e) Preparation of an implementation plan including determining the sequence of enterprise participation in planned sub-projects
- f) Verification and certification of results of the demonstration project completed through visiting and performance auditing
- g) Establishment and operation of a reporting system for collected refrigerators, end-of-life separated CFCs.

## **Co Financing will be arranged with the Beneficiary of the project**

The counterpart contributes funds in kind (premises, labour, lab equipment, CFC collection expenses, etc.)

## 4 Project Costs

The following table gives an overview of total annual costs related to the implementation of the proposed project:

**Table 3: Overview: Total Annual Project Costs**

|  | USD              | Units   | Years     |           |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|--|------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|  |                  |         | 1         | 2         | 3         | 4       | 5       | 6       | 7       | 8       | 9       | 10      | 11      | 12      |
| <b>Project Output</b>  |                  |         |           |           |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Collected ODS CFC-12   | tCFC-12          |         | 83        | 83        |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| ODS Collection CFC-12  | tCFC-12          |         |           |           | 26        | 26      | 26      | 26      | 26      | 26      | 26      | 26      | 26      | 26      |
| ODS Collection CFC-11  | tCFC-11          |         |           |           | 64        | 64      | 64      | 64      | 64      | 64      | 64      | 64      | 64      | 64      |
| <b>Associated Emission Reductions (according to CAR and VCS)</b>   |                  |         |           |           |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Collected ODS (CFC-12)   | tCO2e            | 907,890 | 907,890   |           |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| ODS Collection CFC-12  | tCO2e            |         |           | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205 | 283,205 | 283,205 | 283,205 | 283,205 | 283,205 | 283,205 | 283,205 | 283,205 |
| ODS Collection CFC-11  | tCO2e            |         |           | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248  | 98,248  | 98,248  | 98,248  | 98,248  | 98,248  | 98,248  | 98,248  | 98,248  |
| <b>Costs</b>   |                  |         |           |           |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Investment Stage I CFC-12 Three Recovery Units with Separation of Oil and Gas  |                  |         |           | 900,000   |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Investment Stage II CFC-11 one Extraction and Liquefaction Plant   |                  |         |           |           | 4,000,000 |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Investment three ISO Containers (10000 Litres)   |                  |         | 60,000    |           |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| (ODS Sourcing) Buying Collected ODS CFC-12   | 4.0 USD/kgCFC-12 | 333,400 | 333,400   |           |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Operating Costs De-Manufacturing (Energy, Wages)   |                  |         |           | 110,400   | 110,400   | 110,400 | 110,400 | 110,400 | 110,400 | 110,400 | 110,400 | 110,400 | 110,400 | 110,400 |
| Transportation of Dispersed ODS within Mexico to Centralized Facility to Aggregate in ISO Containers for Transport to the US |                  |         | 10,000    | 10,000    |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Transportation of Aggregated CFC-12 to US Destruction Facility (e.g. Clean Harbors El Dorado, Arkansas) 17 times             |                  |         | 51,000    | 51,000    | 12,000    | 12,000  | 12,000  | 12,000  | 12,000  | 12,000  | 12,000  | 12,000  | 12,000  | 12,000  |
| Transportation of Collected Fridges Mex.   |                  |         |           |           | 2,000     | 2,000   | 2,000   | 2,000   | 2,000   | 2,000   | 2,000   | 2,000   | 2,000   | 2,000   |
| Transportation of CFC-11 to Mexican Destruction Facility   |                  |         |           |           | 800       | 800     | 800     | 800     | 800     | 800     | 800     | 800     | 800     | 800     |
| CFC-12 Destruction (Incineration) Costs US   | 5.5 USD/kgCFC-12 | 458,425 | 458,425   | 143,000   | 143,000   | 143,000 | 143,000 | 143,000 | 143,000 | 143,000 | 143,000 | 143,000 | 143,000 | 143,000 |
| CFC-11 Destruction (Incineration) Costs Mex.   | 3.0 USD/kgCFC-11 |         |           | 192,000   | 192,000   | 192,000 | 192,000 | 192,000 | 192,000 | 192,000 | 192,000 | 192,000 | 192,000 | 192,000 |
| Monitoring & Verification (ODS Destruction)  |                  |         | 40,000    | 40,000    | 40,000    | 40,000  | 40,000  | 40,000  | 40,000  | 40,000  | 40,000  | 40,000  | 40,000  | 40,000  |
| Transaction Fee Carbon Registries  | 0.2 USD/tCO2e    | 181,578 | 181,578   | 56,641    | 56,641    | 56,641  | 56,641  | 56,641  | 56,641  | 56,641  | 56,641  | 56,641  | 56,641  | 56,641  |
| Carbon Market Project Management (Documentation, Sale etc.)  |                  |         | 115,000   |           | 115,000   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Health, Safety, Environment Training   |                  |         | 30,000    |           |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Policy Support (Management USD 50,000, Dissemination 45,000)   |                  |         | 95,000    |           |           |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| <b>Total Costs</b>   |                  |         | 1,279,403 | 2,069,403 | 4,671,841 | 556,841 | 556,841 | 556,841 | 556,841 | 556,841 | 556,841 | 556,841 | 556,841 | 556,841 |

The following information sources were used to make assumptions:

|   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Investment Stage I CFC-12 (3 units)               | information by manufacturer           |
| Investment Stage II CFC-11                        | information by manufacturer           |
| Investment 3 ISO Containers                       | information by logistics experts      |
| Buying Collected CFC-12                           | information by local experts          |
| Operating Costs (Energy, Wages)                   | information by manufacturer           |
| Transportation of dispersed ODS within Mexico     | own assumptions                       |
| Transportation of Aggregated ODS to US            | information by logistics experts      |
| Transportation of Collected Fridges within Mexico | own assumptions                       |
| CFC-12 Destruction US                             | information by destruction facility   |
| CFC-11 Destruction Mexico                         | own assumption                        |
| Monitoring and Verification ODS Destruction       | information by carbon market expert   |
| Transaction Fee Carbon Registries                 | information by Climate Action Reserve |
| Carbon Market Project Management                  | information by carbon market expert   |
| Health, Safety, Environment Training              | own assumption                        |
| Policy Support                                    | own assumption                        |

The following table provides an overview of the total Incremental (Capital) Costs/Investment Component of the full size project

**Table 4: Incremental Costs Full Size Project**

| Activity  | Full Scale<br>Project Cost<br>USD |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Investment Cost</b>  |                                   |
| <b>Centralized Facility for ODS Collection at the Beneficiary Site</b>  |                                   |
| Stage I CFC-12 Three Recovery Units with Separation of Oil and Gas  | 900,000                           |
| Stage II CFC-11 One Extraction and Liquefaction Plant   | 4,000,000                         |
| Three ISO Containers (10000 Litres)   | 60,000                            |
| Contingency 10%   | 496,000                           |
| <i>Sub-total</i>  | <b>5,456,000</b>                  |
| <b>Policy Management Support</b>  |                                   |
| Eligible part of Management, Coordination and Monitoring, Communication and Transportation including the Improvement/Upgrade of Local Legislation including the First Stakeholder Meeting | 50,000                            |
| One Workshop in the First Year for Public Awareness and One Workshop in 2011 for Information Dissemination  | 45,000                            |
| Contingency 10%   | 9,500                             |
| <i>Sub-total</i>  | <b>104,500</b>                    |
| <b>Technical Component</b>  |                                   |
| Carbon Market Project Management (Documentation, Sale etc.)   | 230,000                           |
| Health, Safety, Environment Training (Technical Workshop with International Expert)   | 30,000                            |
| Contingency 10%   | 26,000                            |
| <i>Sub-total</i>  | <b>286,000</b>                    |
| <b>Incremental Operating Costs</b>  |                                   |
| Transaction Fee Carbon Registries   | 929,566                           |
| Monitoring and Verification of ODS Destruction  | 480,000                           |
| Collection ODS CFC-12   | 666,800                           |
| Operating Costs De-Manufacturing (Energy, Wages)  | 1,104,000                         |
| Transportation of Dispersed ODS within Mexico to Centralized Facility to Aggregate in ISO Containers for Transport to the US  | 20,000                            |
| Transportation of Aggregated CFC-12 to US Destruction Facility (e.g. Clean Harbors El Dorado, Arkansas)   | 222,000                           |
| Transportation of Collected Fridges Mex.  | 20,000                            |
| Transportation of CFC-11 to Mexican Destruction Facility  | 8,000                             |
| CFC-12 Destruction (Incineration) Costs US  | 2,346,850                         |
| CFC-11 Destruction (Incineration) Costs Mex.  | 1,920,000                         |
| 10% Contingency   | 771,722                           |
| <i>Sub-total</i>  | <b>8,488,938</b>                  |
| <b>Total Incremental Costs Full Size Project</b>  | <b>14,335,438</b>                 |

## Activities to be funded by the MLF

The following table gives an overview of the activities or costs to be funded by the MLF

**Table 5: Activities to be funded by the MLF**

| Activity   | Number of Units | USD/Unit | Cost      |
|--|-----------------|----------|-----------|
| Transportation of Dispersed ODS within Mexico to Centralized Facility to Aggregate in ISO Containers for Transport to US | 1               | 20,000   | 20,000    |
| ISO Container (10,000 Litres)  | 3               | 20,000   | 60,000    |
| Transport of Aggregated CFC-12 to US Destruction Facility (e.g. Clean Harbors El Dorado, Arkansas)                       | 27              | 6023     | 162,618   |
| Destruction of CFC-12 Already Collected by Existing Energy Efficient Appliances Replacement Programs in Mexico           | 166700          | 5.5      | 916,850   |
| Monitoring and Verification of ODS Destruction   | 2               | 40,000   | 80,000    |
| Carbon Market Project Management (International Experts)   | 7 w/m           | 115,000  | 115,000   |
| Health Safety Environment Training   | 1               | 30,000   | 30,000    |
| Contingencies 10% (of total costs)   |                 |          | 138,447   |
| Total  |                 |          | 1,522,915 |

Total costs to be requested funding for from MLF are 1,522,915 USD.

The following table gives an overview of the total annual income generated by the project

**Table 6: Total Annual Income Generated by the Project**

|  |               |           | Years     |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
|--|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|  | USD           | Units     | 1         | 2         | 3         | 4         | 5         | 6         | 7         | 8         | 9         | 10        | 11        | 12        |
| <b>Project Output</b>  |               |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Collected ODS CFC-12   | tCFC-12       |           | 83        | 83        |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| ODS Collection CFC-12  | tCFC-12       |           |           |           | 26        | 26        | 26        | 26        | 26        | 26        | 26        | 26        | 26        | 26        |
| ODS Collection CFC-11  | tCFC-11       |           |           |           | 64        | 64        | 64        | 64        | 64        | 64        | 64        | 64        | 64        | 64        |
| <b>Associated Emission Reductions (according to CAR and VCS)</b> |               |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Collected ODS (CFC-12)   | tCO2e         | 907,890   | 907,890   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| ODS Collection CFC-12  | tCO2e         |           |           | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205   | 283,205   |
| ODS Collection CFC-11  | tCO2e         |           |           | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248    | 98,248    |
| <b>Income</b>  |               |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Sales Collected CFC-12   | 3.0 USD/tCO2e | 2,723,670 | 2,723,670 |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Sales ODS Collection CFC-12                                      | 3.0 USD/tCO2e |           |           | 849,615   | 849,615   | 849,615   | 849,615   | 849,615   | 849,615   | 849,615   | 849,615   | 849,615   | 849,615   | 849,615   |
| Sales ODS Collection CFC-11                                      | 3.0 USD/tCO2e |           |           | 294,744   | 294,744   | 294,744   | 294,744   | 294,744   | 294,744   | 294,744   | 294,744   | 294,744   | 294,744   | 294,744   |
| <b>Total Income Carbon Market</b>                                |               |           | 2,723,670 | 2,723,670 | 1,144,359 | 1,144,359 | 1,144,359 | 1,144,359 | 1,144,359 | 1,144,359 | 1,144,359 | 1,144,359 | 1,144,359 | 1,144,359 |

The following information sources were used to make assumptions:

Already Collected ODS CFC-12

please refer to section 2.1

ODS Collection CFC-12 (Additional 10 years)

please refer to section 2.1

ODS Collection CFC-11 (Additional 10 years)

please refer to section 2.1

Associated Emission Reductions

estimation based on CAR and VCS meth.

Price per Emission Reduction (CO2e)

please refer to section 3

The funds provided by the MLF can therefore be paid back by the income generated through the utilization of the carbon market.

## 5 Implementation Schedule First 2 Years

**Table 7: Implementation Schedule First 2 Years**

| Tasks   | Months |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Months |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|   | 1      | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13     | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| MLF Approval and Funding                            |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Financial Appraisal                                 |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sub-grant agreement                                 |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Aggregation of Already Collected ODS in Centralized |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Transportation of Already Collected ODS to the USA  |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Destruction of ODS                                  |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| CAR/VCS Project Development                         |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Monitoring of ODS Destruction According to the CAR  |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Verification and Issuance of Carbon Credits         |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Change of Regulation                                |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Commercialization of Carbon Credits                 |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Issuing Norms                                       |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Workshops   |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Equipment specification - Stage 1                   |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Equipment procurement - Stage 1                     |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Equipment specification - Stage 2                   |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Equipment procurement - Stage 2                     |        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

## 6 Annex I Linkage between the Montreal Protocol and the Carbon Market

### 6.1 Overview of GHG Markets

By implementing the **Kyoto Protocol** and its flexible mechanisms (article 6 Joint Implementation = **JI**, article 12 Clean Development Mechanism = **CDM** and article 17 International Emissions Trading = **IET**) for the first time a market for greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions was established<sup>4</sup>. While JI/CDM are project based mechanisms (**crediting system**, meaning that credits are only issued after emissions have been reduced) the IET is classified as a **cap and trade system** (where a central authority issues “allowances” which can be sold and bought immediately after issuance; emission reductions are occurring if the central authority issues less allowances than required by market participants under business as usual scenarios)

Additionally to the carbon market created by the Kyoto Protocol several countries or **regional initiatives have established (compliance) emission trading systems** (e.g. EU ETS, New Zealand etc.) including energy intensive corporations (primarily power companies and heavy industry). Units traded in those systems are usually similar in their nature (presenting 1 ton of CO<sub>2</sub>e) and structure (allowances allocated through an authority versus carbon credits/offsets from specific projects). However they often differ in their requirements for quality and project categories<sup>5</sup>.

<sup>4</sup> Often also referred to as carbon market since the general unit traded is 1 ton of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalents (other types of GHG emissions such as CH<sub>4</sub> or HFC-23 are converted into 1 ton of CO<sub>2</sub>e; E.G. 1 ton HFC equals 11700 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e)

<sup>5</sup> E.G. The EU recently stopped the inflow of carbon credits from HFC-23 and N<sub>2</sub>O (from adipic acid production) CDM projects by May 2013, while New Zealand may still allow them (but is also discussing a restriction)

Info box 1 provides an overview of the most common carbon markets and units traded:

**Units defined by the Kyoto Protocol:**

- Assigned Amount Units (AAUs)
- Certified Emission Reductions (CERs)
- Emission Reduction Units (ERUs)
- Removal Units (RMUs)

**• Units defined by EU and national legislation:**

- EU Allowances
- UK Allowances and Credits
- Australian Abatement Certificates and Sequestration Rights
- US SOx and NOx Allowances, Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiatives
- Other

**• Units defined by contracts and non governmental regulated standards:**

- Verified Emission Reductions (VERs)

Besides the so called “**compliance markets**” a market for verified or voluntary emission reductions units<sup>6</sup> has developed over the past few years. The “**voluntary market**” defines its units through contracts and non-governmental regulated standards (see footnote below for examples). VERs are mainly bought by private persons (to offset their carbon footprint) or companies not covered by any compliance regime in their Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) programs. This said it is natural that VERs usually achieve lower prices than units traded in compliance carbon markets<sup>7</sup>.

Table 8 shows the dominant role of the EU ETS in the global arena with a market value in 2009 of 118,474 Mio USD, but even the market for voluntary emission reductions has a volume of 419 resp. 338 Mio USD in 2008 and 2009.

**Table 8: Overview of Carbon Markets (Source: World Bank)**

|   | 2008                         |                      | 2009                         |                      |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
|   | Volume (MtCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Value (US\$ million) | Volume (MtCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Value (US\$ million) |
| <b>Allowances Markets</b>                 |                              |                      |                              |                      |
| <b>EU ETS</b>                             | 3,093                        | 100,526              | 6,326                        | 118,474              |
| <b>NSW</b>                                | 31                           | 183                  | 34                           | 117                  |
| <b>CCX</b>                                | 69                           | 309                  | 41                           | 50                   |
| <b>RGGI</b>                               | 62                           | 198                  | 805                          | 2,179                |
| <b>AAUs</b>                               | 23                           | 276                  | 155                          | 2,003                |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                           | 3,278                        | 101,492              | 7,362                        | 122,822              |
| <b>Spot &amp; Secondary Kyoto offsets</b> |                              |                      |                              |                      |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                           | 1,072                        | 26,277               | 1,055                        | 17,543               |
| <b>Project-based Transactions</b>         |                              |                      |                              |                      |
| <b>Primary CDM</b>                        | 404                          | 6,511                | 211                          | 2,678                |
| <b>JI</b>                                 | 25                           | 367                  | 26                           | 354                  |
| <b>Voluntary market</b>                   | 57                           | 419                  | 46                           | 338                  |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                           | 486                          | 7,297                | 283                          | 3,370                |
| <b>Total</b>                              | <b>4,836</b>                 | <b>135,066</b>       | <b>8,700</b>                 | <b>143,735</b>       |

Subtotals and totals may not exactly add up because of rounding.

<sup>6</sup> In general Verified Emission Reductions (VERs) but specifically in the Voluntary Carbon Standard (VCS) Voluntary Carbon Units (VCUs) are traded under Climate Action Reserve (CAR) Climate Reserve Tons (CRT).

<sup>7</sup> This is not always true, in certain cases prices of units traded in compliance markets have gone virtually to zero if there is high oversupply and if such units cannot be traded on other markets (e.g. EU ETS in 2007)

The following table shows prices of different kind of VERs. While **CRTs** achieved prices of USD 8.8 and 7.1 in 2008 and 2009, **VCUs** could only be sold at prices of USD 5.5 and 4.6 respectively. This difference in prices can mostly be explained by perceptions of market participants that the inclusion of CAR into any compliance emissions trading in the United States would be more probable in the future than the inclusion of VCS projects.

**Table 9: Overview of the Nort American Carbon Market (Source: World Bank)**

| TABLE 2 North American carbon market – traded volumes and values, 2008–09 |   |       |                              |              |                      |                |
|---|---|-------|------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|
|   | Average Price (US\$/tCO <sub>2</sub> e) |       | Volume (MtCO <sub>2</sub> e) |              | Value (million US\$) |                |
|   | 2008                                    | 2009  | 2008                         | 2009         | 2008                 | 2009           |
| RGGI (Allowances) <sup>†</sup>  | 3.9                                     | 3.3   | 61.9                         | 805.2        | 198.2                | 2,178.6        |
| Alberta (Offsets/EPCs)  | 10.0                                    | 13.5* | 3.4                          | 4.5          | 33.5                 | 60.8           |
| CCX (CFIs)  | 4.4                                     | 1.2   | 69.2                         | 41.4         | 306.7                | 49.8           |
| Voluntary Offset Market   | 6.8                                     | 4.9   | 15.4                         | 29.0         | 104.1                | 143.4          |
| of which CAR  | 8.8                                     | 7.1   | 5.3                          | 14.9         | 46.6                 | 104.5          |
| of which CCX  | 4.8                                     | 0.8   | 1.0                          | 7.4          | 4.8                  | 5.9            |
| of which VCS  | 5.5                                     | 4.6   | 1.5                          | 3.3          | 8.3                  | 15.2           |
| of which ACR  | 3.8                                     | 3.4   | 4.3                          | 1.8          | 16.3                 | 6.1            |
| of which Other  | 8.5                                     | 7.3   | 3.3                          | 1.6          | 28.1                 | 11.7           |
| <b>Total market</b>   |   |       | <b>149.9</b>                 | <b>880.1</b> | <b>642.5</b>         | <b>2,432.5</b> |

Source Bloomberg New Energy Finance, Ecosystem Marketplace. Notes: <sup>†</sup> RGGI includes quarterly auction figures; \* Alberta price is an estimate.

## 6.2 Relation of GHG Markets to the Montreal Protocol (MP)

Many chemicals used as refrigerants and blowing agents not only are depleting the ozone layer (Ozone Depleting Substances = ODS) but are also having a significantly adverse effect on the global climate (Greenhouse Gases =GHGs). While the MP regulates consumption and production of ODS (not disposal) the KP regulates emissions not covered by the MP (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, HFCs, PFCs, SF<sub>6</sub>).

Since most ODS are not included in the “Kyoto basket” of gases **reductions of such ODS cannot (yet) be structured as CDM or JI projects** (Please see info box 2 below for details)

*Paragraph 44 of the Modalities and Procedures for the CDM requires that a baseline shall cover emissions from all gases, sectors and source categories listed in Annex A of the Kyoto Protocol within the project boundary.*

*Paragraph 17 of EB 34 provides guidance on project/leakage emissions of GHGs as defined in paragraph 1 of the Convention but not included in Annex A of the Kyoto Protocol such as GHG gases (e.g., CFCs, HCFCs) covered under the Montreal Protocol.*

**Hence, claiming emission reductions associated with the replacement of CFC or HCFC refrigerants and blowing agents with no-ODP and low-GWP gases is not eligible in accordance to CDM modalities and procedures.**

*Paragraph 17 of EB 34:*

*17. With reference to a proposed methodology, the Board considered the analysis of implication of different options proposed by the Meth Panel with regard to accounting emissions of GHGs and also implications on gases covered under the Montreal Protocol.*

*The Board agreed that:*

*(a) The project boundary shall encompass all anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases, as defined in paragraph 1 of the Convention but not included in Annex A of the Kyoto Protocol, under the control of the project participants that are significant and reasonably attributable to the CDM project activity.*

(b) The leakage emissions from greenhouse gases, as defined in paragraph 1 of the Convention but not included in Annex A of the Kyoto Protocol, should be accounted, if the CDM project activity results in an increase of such emissions.

(c) The global warming potentials used to calculate the carbon dioxide equivalence of anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases not listed in Annex A, shall be those accepted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change in its third assessment report

While the international Kyoto based market cannot uptake any emission reductions generated through the destruction of ODS some voluntary standards as well as regional compliance markets have begun to recognize ODS destruction as a highly verifiable source of GHG reduction credits.

**In 2007** the Chicago CCX Offset Project Protocol: *Ozone Depleting Substances Destruction*

**On January 25<sup>th</sup> 2010** the Voluntary Carbon Standard [www.v-c-s.org](http://www.v-c-s.org) *Extension of Scope to Include Ozone-Depleting Substances* and on May 3<sup>rd</sup> 2010 the first methodology proposal “*Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions By Recovering and Destroying Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS) from Products*” was submitted which is currently assessed

**On February 3<sup>rd</sup> 2010 Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol Destruction of Article 5 Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1.0** as well as *U.S. Ozone Depleting Substances Project Protocol Destruction of U.S. Ozone Depleting Substances Banks Version 1.0* was accepted by the board.

**On December 16<sup>th</sup> 2010** the California Air Resources Board endorsed the cap-and-trade regulation, marking a significant milestone toward reducing California’s greenhouse gas emissions under its AB 32 law. Included in the regulation are four protocols, or systems of rules, covering carbon accounting rules for offset credits in forestry management, urban forestry, dairy methane digesters, *and the destruction of existing banks of ozone-depleting substances in the U.S.* (mostly in the form of refrigerants in older refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment).

As of January 2011, 9 ODS destruction projects are registered under the Climate Action Reserve and around 2.5 mio CRTs have been generated. These developments impressively show the increasing importance of ODS destruction projects as GHG mitigation measure and the relevance of the carbon market to incentives destruction activities.

## 7 Annex II Background on ODS related Legislation and Policies in Mexico

Mexico used to be the largest CFC and HCFC producing country in Latin America, with a diversified industrial infrastructure and has been consuming a multitude of ODS. Mexico’s obligations under the Montreal Protocol are administered by the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources SEMARNAT. The main achievement in reducing ODS consumption in Mexico have been so far:

**1990:** More than 90% reduction in the consumption of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), due to the implementation of more than 100 projects for substituting the use of these substances in domestic and commercial refrigerators, air conditioners, aerosol sprays, solvents and polyurethane foams.

**1997:** All the domestic and commercial refrigerators produced in the country are CFC-free.

**2002:** Start of the “Program for the Financing for electric energy saving” (PFAEE) in which the objective was to back up the Federal Government’s Program for electric energy saving and efficient use. In the said program, the creation of Centers for gathering destruction of the out-of-use refrigerators was performed. These centers had the infrastructure for the control of the handling, gas extraction, confinement and destruction of the refrigerators. The aforementioned program was operational until 2006 and involved over 100 Centers, of which it is uncertain if any of them are still active or whether they have the infrastructure to be operational. However, the Mexican authorities informed that after CFC-12 recovery, it was not destroyed. Neither PUR foam panels containing CFC-11 gas.

**2005:** The Mexican Government made a commitment with the Montreal Protocol to go ahead and close the CFC production plant operated by the Quimobásicos company in Monterrey, Nuevo Leon. The country took this action four years earlier than the date stipulated in the Protocol. This meant that CFC production in North America was

completely phased out, thereby promoting an end to this production not only in Mexico, but in all of Latin America and other regions of the world, thereby reducing total CFC production in the world by 12% and in the hemisphere by 60%. The product was used in refrigerators, air conditioners, aerosol sprays and in the production of polyurethane foams.

**2005:** 100% elimination of CFC use in the production of polyurethane foams, thereby eliminating the consumption of more than 600 metric tons of these compounds in more than 200 companies in the country.

Mexico has in place very effective ODS legislation and has been complying with all MP control measures. The ODS awareness program reached out to the general public all over the country. The table below summarizes the most important legislation related to ODS.

**Table 10: Overview of ODS Related Legislation in Mexico**

| No. | Regulation   | Brief description   | Promulgating Agency  | came into force |
|-----|--|---|--|-----------------|
| 1   | General Law of Environmental Protection and Ecologic Equilibrium | General environmental policy framework  |  | 1987            |
| 2   | Law for Prevention and Control of Climate Change                 | General strategy for prevention, control and policy evaluation of ODS.  |  |                 |
| 3   | ODS Import/Export Licensing System                               | Enabling the country to implement an import license system and control procedures for CFCs and CTC, particularly at customs entry points.   | Promulgated by Secretariats of Environment, Agriculture and Health |                 |
| 4   | NOM-125-ECOL-2001  | Regulatory framework to control the use of ODS in all sectors restrictions over national production and imports of freezers and domestic or commercial air conditioning containing or having been produced with ODSSs.  |  | 2001            |
| 5   | Voluntary reporting of trade on CFCs                             | The national CFC producing enterprises to voluntarily report domestic and international commercial activities such as production, imports and exports volumes   | SEMARNAT   | 1992            |
| 6   | Justice Codes  | Any illegal traffic and mishandling of CFCs is a federal crime  |  |                 |
| 7   | NOM-085-SEMARNAT-1994  | Atmospheric contamination – fixed sources. for fixed sources that utilize solid, liquid, or gas combustible fossil fuels, or any of their combinations, that establishes the maximum permissible levels of emission to the atmosphere of smoke, total suspended particulates, sulfur dioxides and nitrogen oxides | SEMARNAT   | 1994            |
| 8   | NOM-015-ENER-2002  | Energy efficacy of refrigerators sold   | Ministry of Energy   | 2003            |

### Destruction Facility

VCS as well as CAR require that all ODS be destroyed at a destruction facility that is compliant with both the international standards specified in the TEAP *Report of the Task Force on Destruction Technologies* and *Code of Good Housekeeping*, (and in the case that destruction will take place in the US the requirements of domestic U.S. law).

CFC-12 will probably be destroyed in a facility in the United States.

CFC-11 will either be destroyed in a plasma arc facility or cement kiln or any other appropriate technology financially feasible and in compliance with above mentioned guidelines being confirmed by an independent third party (verification)

### De-manufacturing End-of-Life Refrigerators

De-manufacturing aims at recovering CFCs, VOCs, other refrigerants and blowing agents, harmful substances and any components containing harmful substances, and to retrieve and separate recyclable materials, and involves the – breaking-up (i. e. shredding, crushing, milling), sorting and classification of the materials obtained in Step I and Step II and the preparative steps needed before recycling or disposing of these materials. As a general requirement, the RAL-GZ 728 quality standard of Deutsches Institut für Gütesicherung und Kennzeichnung E. V. may be considered:

#### Overview of the Technology for De-commissioning end-of-life Domestic Refrigerators and Air-conditioning units

The technology has two well separated steps. The first step is essential to take part as soon as possible after the collection of the refrigerators because this step recovers the CFC in the cooling circuits which may escape into the atmosphere during a long outdoor storage period. After this step the fridges can be stored for months because the CFC in the insulation foam is more contained and can escape only through a slow degradation and diffusion process.

#### Step 1 - Pre-treatment

Within the scope of Stage 1 pre-treatment, the cooling devices are “dried up”, i.e. the cooling system is completely evacuated by means of an extraction system. The extraction process sequence is as follows:

By means of a hoisting and turning gear, the individual appliances are positioned such that the cooling system can be opened at its lowest point in order to enable full extraction of refrigerating agent and refrigerating oil.

Refrigerating agent and refrigerating oil are sucked into a closed system, which is kept at a negative pressure of approx. 300 mbar. Within this closed system, the refrigerating agent is separated from the refrigerating oil and, upon liquefaction, is exported into compressed gas cylinders. Upon complete removal of the refrigerating agent, the refrigerating oil is collected in two tanks integrated into the plant and transferred into suitable drums as soon as a defined tank level is reached.



## **De-manufacturing compressor circuit unit (CFC-12 extraction)- CFC-12 extraction from the compressor circuit**

The extraction process and the separation of refrigerating agent and refrigerating oil are electronically controlled and monitored to ensure operational safety of the plant at any time. All Stage 1 plant parts are installed on collecting pans, so that liquids escaping during the work process are safely retained. In proper operation of the plant, the treatment process does not give rise to any emissions. Via roller conveyors and an automatic, photocell-controlled charging system, the CFC -containing PUR devices are, on a just-in-time basis, forwarded to the Stage 2 section and processed there. The de-manufacturing capacity of the Step 1 unit is 60-70 fridges per hour, approximately 200,000 fridges per one shift per year. Its cost as advised by SEG De-manufacturing, Germany is US\$ 315,000 for two arms manipulator with a capacity of only 20-24 fridges. The cost of three 2 arms manipulators and three CFC-12 extraction units with oil/gas separation with capacity of 60-70 fridges per hour will be about US\$ 1.0 million in order to accommodate the primary quantity of 200,000 old fridges per year- project target.

### ***Step 2 – Treatment***

After “drying up” of the appliances, the compressors, all wooden and glass parts and all components containing harmful substances, such as capacitors and mercury switches, are removed and collected separately. The Step II treatment plant is designed to shred cooling appliances and separate the different materials of the appliance casings while recovering to a large extent the CFC blowing agent contained in the insulating foams. For this purpose, the appliance casings are input into a closed system and shredded there in a 2-stage shredding system; the resulting mixture of materials is then divided up by means of an air separating system. The fraction consisting of scrap steel and iron, plastics and non-ferrous metals is discharged from the system and further divided up into its constituents by means of a magnetic separator and an eddy-current separator system, while the separated PUR foam is ground within the plant and heated up in order to drive out the CFC adhering to the PUR foam. Upon completion of this treatment step, the PUR powder is also discharged from the plant. The insulating gas/blowing agent (CFC) released during the process of shredding, grinding and heating is collected, adsorbed in activated carbon and, following desorption from the activated carbon, liquefied under pressure by means of compressors.



### **Shredding unit (CFC-11 extraction)**

### **Equipment Specifications to be Advised by the Beneficiary**

- Annual capacity: 200 000 pcs of domestic refrigerators in one shift;
- Recycling efficiency: min. 90% meaning that min 90% in weight of the original end-of-life refrigerator is separated in the form of materials, which can be sold;
- Efficiency of recovery of CFCs from cooling circuits and from the insulation foam should be higher than 90% by weight;
- The components of end-of-life refrigerators, which are considered as hazardous waste (e.g. mercury switch, capacitors, etc.) can be collected separately. These hazardous components of end-of-life refrigerators must not make any recyclable construction materials hazardous.