

United Nations Environment Programme

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/63/6 11 March 2011

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL Sixty-third Meeting Montreal, 4-8 April 2011

STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF DELAYED PROJECTS AND PROSPECTS OF ARTICLE 5 COUNTRIES IN ACHIEVING COMPLIANCE WITH THE NEXT CONTROL MEASURES OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- All countries that are at risk of not meeting the interim reductions or have remaining consumption prior to the 2010 CFC/CTC phase-out, and consumption of methyl chloroform (TCA) or methyl bromide (MB) prior to the 2015 phase-out, have either projects approved to meet those targets, activities in the 2011-2014 business plans that would contribute to achieving them, or activities that had been conducted under the Global Environment Facility (GEF), except:
 - Ecuador for carbon tetrachloride (CTC) where it is in compliance but has remaining CTC consumption of 0.1 ODP tonnes for laboratory uses;
- Latest consumption data indicate that 63 countries with 2009 data continued to have CFC consumption, but 80 reported zero level and four reported negative consumption;
- Latest consumption data, however, indicated that most countries have zero consumption of the remaining substances (excluding HCFCs) with only six countries reporting halon consumption; 32 countries reporting methyl bromide (MB) consumption; 12 reporting CTC consumption; and eight reporting TCA consumption;
- Of the 42 issues before the Implementation Committee in 2010, 8 have been resolved as per information from the Ozone Secretariat, country programme (CP) reports or implementing agencies. The remaining countries' achievements will be assessed when 2010 data is available;
- Data on the implementation of CPs indicate that:
 - All countries except one provided data according to the new format approved over five years ago, but only eleven countries submitted data using the web-based system for 2009;
 - From an estimated total remaining ODS consumption of 1,331.8 ODP tonnes in 2010 in A5 countries, excluding HCFCs, about 1,057.8 ODP tonnes (including A7 data for the two countries that have not reported CP data) remain to be considered for action by the Executive Committee;
 - Most of the 1,057.8 ODP tonnes of remaining consumption is for MB (1,057.7 ODP tonnes) followed by CTC (0.1 ODP tonnes);
 - A total of 471,180 metric tonnes (31,547 ODP tonnes) of HCFC consumption was reported in CP data. For the 146 countries reporting 2008 and 2009 data, HCFC consumption increased by 13.9 per cent;
 - Of the countries reporting information on licensing systems, 135 out of the 144 countries with reporting requirements reported them as being operational (125 of the 130 countries that reported 2009 data had operational licensing systems with 93.6 per cent of them functioning 'satisfactorily' or 'very well');
 - o HCFC-22, HCFC-141b and HCFC-142b still remain much less expensive than the relevant CFCs.
- CP reports should be submitted only through the web-based portal for 2011 and beyond to improve the efficiency of the reporting system. UNEP Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) may be asked to be more proactive using its existing help-desk facility to improve support to end-users;
- The Secretariat is seeking approval of the revised CP report format starting with the report on 2012 data due on 1 May 2013. The new CP report format will exclude CFCs, CTC and halons for which total phase-out was scheduled for 2010 and include relevant methyl bromide, TCA and HCFC phase-out control measures;

- Of the 26 projects with implementation delays, there are eleven that have been completed, one project for which no progress is being reported for the first time, one project for which there is an existing decision and which is recommended for continued monitoring with same milestone, and ten that are recommended for continued monitoring. Delay reports have not been received from France (1), Israel (1) and Portugal (1);
- UNIDO reported that it will modify its project completion report for the halon project in Kyrgyzstan to accommodate the National Ozone Unit's (NOU) concerns expressed in the qualitative evaluation of UNIDO's performance in 2009 by Kyrgyzstan;
- An additional report was recommended for eight projects for which status reports were to be presented to the current meeting as well as additional reports on seven HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) preparation activities;
- Of the 126 countries that have received HPMP project preparation, 88 countries have taken the 2007 control measure adjustments for HCFCs into consideration and the remaining countries will do so in 2011 when the baselines are known;
- In the context of co-financing, of the 286 project preparation activities, the agencies have advised NOUs on this issue in 81.5 per cent of the cases, and have discussed both enterprise and government co-financing in 38.5 per cent and 27.3 per cent respectively, of the cases.

Introduction

- 1. This document consists of nine parts:
 - (a) Part I has been prepared in response to decisions 32/76(b) and 46/4, which requested the Secretariat to prepare an update for each Meeting of the Executive Committee on the status of compliance of A5 countries that are subject to the Montreal Protocol's control measures.
 - (b) Part II contains information on those A5 countries that are subject to decisions of the Parties and recommendations of the Implementation Committee on compliance.
 - (c) Part III presents data on the implementation of CPs, including an analysis of the ozone depleting substances (ODS) consumption data, by sector. It also contains a section that addresses the characteristics of national ODS phase-out programmes.
 - (d) Part IV presents information on revision of CPs.
 - (e) Part V presents the results of the progress made to achieve compliance, including information on projects with implementation delays.
 - (f) Part VI presents the status of HPMP development.
 - (g) Part VII presents the status of addressing HCFC control measures adopted in 2007 in licensing systems.
 - (h) Part VIII presents actions undertaken by lead agencies to obtain co-financing.
 - (i) Part IX presents the reports on dialogues between agencies and countries on the 2009 business plans qualitative performance evaluation.

2. The analysis performed and the conclusions reached in this document are without prejudice to the status of compliance determined by the Meeting of the Parties, which is the only body empowered to assess such status. Data reported pursuant to A7 of the Protocol are used exclusively to determine a country's status of compliance on an annual basis. The analysis in this document uses a mix of data reported to the Fund Secretariat on CP implementation for various compliance periods and A7 data. Therefore, this document does not determine compliance *per se*. Rather, it assesses the prospects of A5 countries in their efforts to comply with one or more of the control measures in the Montreal Protocol. Its main purpose is to identify ODS yet to be addressed by actions supported by the Multilateral Fund.

PART I: STATUS OF, AND PROSPECTS FOR, COMPLIANCE (BASED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA)

3. This section presents the results of the analysis of the status of compliance with control measures for the final phase-out of CFCs, halon, and CTC by 2010, and 2015 for MB and TCA.¹ The analysis assumes that the latest consumption reported under A7 or in CP data has taken into account the phase-out from completed projects approved by the Executive Committee. Since the inception of the Multilateral Fund, up to December 2009, 229,821 ODP tonnes of consumption and 195,848 ODP tonnes of production had been phased out from completed projects.

¹ No projects have been identified that address Annex B-I substances; the Executive Committee has neither considered nor funded projects that address these substances that are subject to the 85 per cent baseline reduction starting in 2007.

4. The completed projects were valued at US \$1.92 billion out of an approved total of approximately US \$2.31 billion. A detailed description of the methodology used in the analysis is provided in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/37/18, which was presented at the 37th Meeting of the Executive Committee.

5. The analysis used the latest available data. As of 4 March 2011, one A5 country had reported 2010 data pursuant to A7, and 145 countries had reported 2009 data (compared to 143 in February 2010). As of 27 February 2011, one additional country reported to the Fund Secretariat 2010 data on progress in the implementation of the country programmes (decision 17/34). The analysis assumes that the latest reported levels of ODS consumption excluding HCFCs have not increased.

6. The bilateral and multilateral implementing agencies submitted annual progress reports to the 61st Meeting of the Executive Committee, which contained data on the status of implementation of all activities and projects approved by the Committee up to the end of 2009. Data on potential approvals in 2011 have been taken from the *Consolidated Business Plan for the Years 2011-2014* (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/63/7), which will be addressed at the 63rd Meeting.

7. The Fund Secretariat will continue to synthesize all of the data that were included in previous versions of the reports on the status of, and prospects for, compliance, which have been used by the Fund Secretariat for analytical purposes. These data are available upon request.

PRODUCTION SECTOR

8. Of the seven² A5 countries with CFC production facilities, the Governments of Argentina, China, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, India, Mexico and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela have agreements in place for scheduled reductions. The Government of Brazil indicated that it has phased out its production of CFCs on its own. For halon production, the Government of China has an agreement in place and the Government of India received a one-time grant for the closure of its halon production facilities.

9. Four countries (Brazil, China, India and the Democratic People's Republic of Korea) have a CTC production baseline. Projects for the complete phase-out of CTC in the production and consumption sectors in three countries (China, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and India) have been approved by the Executive Committee. A CTC process agent sector project was approved for Brazil at the 54th Meeting. TCA production closure projects were only approved for China.

10. MB production closure projects were approved for China, which was the only one of two A5 countries with MB production facilities. The second is the Republic of Korea, which has not sought Fund assistance.

11. At its 55^{th} Meeting in July 2008, the Executive Committee approved project preparation for the HCFC production sector in China (CPR/PHA/55/PRP/467). At its 59^{th} Meeting in November 2009, the Committee invited eligible countries ready to proceed with the phase-out of HCFC production to submit a request for auditing of their HCFC plants (decision 59/44(c)(ii)). The Executive Committee authorized the Fund Secretariat to initiate the contracting process for the technical audit of the HCFC production sector in China, bearing in mind that detailed technical audits might not be required for all plants (decision 62/63(d)).

² Although Romania received funding for production and consumption phase-out, it is not included since it has been reclassified as a non-Article 5 country as of 1 January 2008.

CONSUMPTION SECTOR

12. This section presents a summary of the results of a detailed analysis of the extent to which countries appear to be in non-compliance or are at risk of non-compliance. The summary is based on data included in Annex I, which contains detailed information for each country, presented by substance. Annex I also indicates whether countries have received all of the assistance expected to be provided by the Multilateral Fund.

13. Information has been included in the assessment of compliance in Annex I on when the activity enabling compliance was approved and whether the country has reported the establishment of a licensing system. This information should further enable the Executive Committee to assess the prospects of compliance for countries because the approval date indicates how long the project or agreement has been under implementation. Further, the information on the establishment of a licensing system indicates that controls exist to enable future compliance, regardless of the level of current consumption. The information on project approval is taken from the Inventory on Approved Projects. The data on the establishment of licensing systems has been provided by the Ozone Secretariat as at 7 March 2011.

CFCs

14. A summary of the status of countries with respect to compliance with controls on CFCs is presented in Table 1. Table 1 indicates the type of data reported and includes countries grouped into two categories: (i) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 85 per cent reduction target of 2007 that applies until December 2009; and (ii) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 100 per cent phase-out target.

Table1

Data	Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 85 per cent reduction target of 2007	Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 100% phase-out target
Latest consumption	2 countries (Bangladesh and Iraq; Bangladesh was in compliance with its Action Plan for 2009).	63 countries
2010 Data (A7 or CP)	None	None

CFC CONTROL MEASURES: SUMMARY OF NON-COMPLIANCE OR AT RISK OF NON-COMPLIANCE

15. All of the countries whose latest consumption exceeds their control measures or that are considered to be at risk of non-compliance have either received support from the Multilateral Fund or have activities planned in the 2011-2014 business plans of the agencies, except for Angola, Ethiopia, Somalia and Timor-Leste. The CFC terminal phase-out management plan (TPMP) for these countries will be integrated into HPMPs according to decision 60/5(d). Timor-Leste has submitted its HPMP to the 63^{rd} Meeting.

Halons

16. A summary of the status of countries with respect to compliance with controls on halons is presented in Table 2. Seventy-five countries have reported no consumption of halons between 1995 and 2009. Sixty-one countries have received support for halon banking activities or phase-out agreements, which includes countries participating in regional halon banks. Table 2 presents the type of data reported and includes countries, which have been grouped into the following two categories: (i) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 50 per cent reduction target of 2005 that applies until December 2009; and (ii) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 100 per cent phase-out target.

Table 2

HALON CONTROL MEASURES: SUMMARY OF NON-COMPLIANCE OR AT RISK OF NON-COMPLIANCE

Data	Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 50 per cent reduction target for halons of 2005	Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 100% phase-out target
Latest consumption	None	6 countries (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the) was in non-compliance with the 2008 Action Plan for maintaining zero consumption level)
2010 Data (A7 or CP)	None	None

Methyl bromide

17. Table 3 presents a summary of countries' compliance with MB control measures (excluding quarantine and pre-shipment). All of the 144 A5 countries that have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment have reported complete baseline data. The three A5 countries that have not ratified the Copenhagen Amendment are: Angola, Guinea and Nepal. Of the 144 countries that have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment, 57 reported zero for both the baseline consumption and the latest consumption. Ninety-nine A5 countries have received support from the Multilateral Fund for MB phase-out activities and/or projects. Table 3 presents the type of data reported and includes countries, which have been grouped into the following two categories: (i) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 20 per cent reduction target of 2005 that applies until December 2014; and (ii) those whose latest consumption is above the 2015 100 per cent phase-out target.

Table 3

METHYL BROMIDE CONTROL MEASURES: SUMMARY OF NON-COMPLIANCE OR AT RISK OF NON-COMPLIANCE*

Data	Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 20 per cent MB reduction target of 2005	Countries whose latest consumption is above the 2015 100% phase-out target
Latest consumption	Turkmenistan	32 countries
2010 data (A7 or CP)	None	None

* This table refers to 145 A5 countries with baseline and latest consumption data reported.

18. Turkmenistan is the only country whose latest consumption exceeds its 2005 control measures. Turkmenistan has approved projects that will lead to complete phase-out of methyl bromide.

Carbon tetrachloride

19. Table 4 presents a summary of countries' compliance with the CTC control measures. The data summarized exclude feedstock and do not differentiate by specific end use (such as solvents and process agents). Of the 146 countries with reported baseline data, 90 reported zero both for the baseline and the latest consumption. Table 4 presents the type of data reported and identifies countries that have been grouped into the following two categories: (i) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 85 per cent reduction target of 2005 that applies until December 2009; and (ii) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 100 per cent phase-out target.

Table 4

CARBON TETRACHLORIDE CONTROL MEASURES: SUMMARY OF NON-COMPLIANCE OR AT RISK OF NON-COMPLIANCE

Data	Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 85 per cent reduction target of 2005	Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 100% phase-out target
Latest consumption	Ecuador	12 countries
2010 data (A7 or CP)	None	None

20. All of the countries whose latest consumption exceeds their control measures or that are considered to be at risk of non-compliance have received support from the Multilateral Fund, except Ecuador and the Republic of Korea. Ecuador is the only country that may not need additional assistance to meet 100 per cent reduction target for CTC. The World Bank looked into the possibility of a project but found that all CTC imports were due to laboratory uses. The Republic of Korea has agreed not to receive CTC funding from the Multilateral Fund.

Methyl chloroform

21. Table 5 presents a summary of countries' compliance with the TCA control measures. Of the 146 countries that have reported baseline data, 103 reported zero both for the baseline and the latest consumption. Table 5 presents the type of data reported and indicates countries, which have been grouped into the following three categories: (i) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 30 per cent reduction target of 2005 that applies until December 2009; (ii) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 70 per cent reduction target of 2010; and (iii) those whose latest consumption is above the 2015 100 per cent phase-out target.

Table 5

METHYL CHLOROFORM CONTROL MEASURES: SUMMARY OF NON-COMPLIANCE OR AT RISK OF NON-COMPLIANCE

Data	Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 30 per cent TCA reduction target of 2005	Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 70 per cent TCA reduction target of 2010	Countries whose latest consumption is above the 100 per cent TCA reduction target of 2015
Latest consumption	None	2 countries (Bangladesh and Republic of Korea (the)). (Bangladesh was in compliance with its Action Plan for 2009).	8 countries
2010 data (A7 or CP)	None	None	None

22. All of the countries whose latest consumption exceeds their control measures or that are considered to be at risk of non-compliance have received support from the Multilateral Fund, except for the Republic of Korea. The Republic of Korea has agreed not to receive funding from the Multilateral Fund.

HCFCs

23. Appendix VI of Annex I includes an analysis of the latest consumption data on HCFCs and indicates whether the country had received HPMP preparation funding, the number of investment projects approved, the number of demonstration projects approved, total phase-out approved in ODP tonnes and activities planned in the 2011 business plans.

24. Additional information has been provided to indicate HPMPs approved to-date, those submitted to the 63rd Meeting, and the duration covered by the HPMP (such as, HPMP approved to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in 2015 or to achieve the 35 per cent reduction in 2020). The Executive Committee has approved 21 HPMPs to-date, with four addressing control measures up to 2015, fourteen up to 2020, and three for total HCFC phase-out. As of 4 March 2011, 41 HPMPs have been submitted to the 63rd Meeting. If approved, the total number of HPMPs being implemented following the 63rd Meeting would be for 62 countries, including 15 countries addressing the control measures for 2015, 39 countries addressing control measures for 2020 and eight total phase-out.

25. The baseline data for HCFCs will not be available until 2011 when data for both of the baseline years (2009 and 2010) will have been reported. 144 Article 5 countries have reported 2009 data as at 4 March 2011, two have reported 2010 data and there is one country for which HCFC data for 2009 is not available.

26. All countries have received HPMP preparation projects except the Republic of Korea, Singapore and the United Arab Emirates. These countries had agreed not to receive funding from the Multilateral Fund. Three countries that have received HPMP preparation (Angola, Guinea and Nepal) have not ratified the Copenhagen Amendment. Ratification of the Copenhagen Amendment is a pre-requisite for funding HPMPs as per decision 53/37. The Executive Committee may wish to encourage the Governments of Angola, Guinea and Nepal to ratify the Copenhagen Amendment to enable them to access funding for HCFC phase-out as required under decision 53/37.

PART II: STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION IN COUNTRIES SUBJECT TO DECISIONS OF THE PARTIES ON COMPLIANCE AND THOSE AT RISK OF NON-COMPLIANCE

27. This section addresses A5 countries that are subject to decisions on compliance.

28. There are 42 compliance-related decisions of the Parties applying to 34 countries that had been considered by the Implementation Committee in 2010. Eight compliance-related issues addressed in 2010 have already been resolved. These issues covered in the decisions include regulatory matters (licensing/quotas systems and bans on importation of ODS-containing equipment), data reporting, and compliance with the control measures/action plans, by substance. Table 6 indicates the extent to which progress has been made with regard to the decisions of the Implementation Committee based on information provided to the Ozone Secretariat, agencies, and through CP data.

<u>Table 6</u>

REPORTED COMPLIANCE WITH COMPLIANCE-RELATED DECISIONS OF THE PARTIES

Compliance issues	Achieved (based on A7/CP and/or agency data)	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available	Compliance to be verified by 31 May 2011. Establishment of the licensing system not reported as at February 25th, 2011	Not in compliance in 2009. 2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available	Total
CFC phase-out	6	15			21
CTC phase-out		2			2
Halon phase-out		4		1	5
Licensing system	1		3		4
MB phase-out		8			8
TCA phase-out	1	1			2
Total	8	30	3	1	42

Information in Annex II

29. Annex II presents information on countries subject to decisions of the Parties on compliance that have not met the actions required in 2010. It is arranged by compliance issue and by country. There is insufficient 2010 data to assess compliance with the control measures and action plans at this time.

30. Annex II also includes a column entitled "Multilateral Fund and Implementing Agency Comments" that provides information from CP data reports submitted by National Ozone Units, input from the Ozone Secretariat and implementing agencies, and data from the latest status and progress reports on the issue.

PART III: DATA ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF COUNTRY PROGRAMMES

31. Part III contains data on the implementation of CPs, which are submitted to the Fund Secretariat by 1 May of each year and include ODS consumption, by sector. This section also presents additional information on the characteristics of a country's ODS phase-out programme. The format for country programme data reporting was approved at the 35^{th} Meeting of the Executive Committee in decision 35/58 (e).

ODS CONSUMPTION DATA BY SECTOR EXCEPT HCFCs

32. As of 27 February 2011, the latest report on the implementation of CPs were received by the Fund Secretariat for one of the 144 countries required to submit such reports for 2010, 130 countries for 2009 and for 2008 from 11 countries. The following countries have never reported CP data: South Africa and Timor-Leste. Timor-Leste has not reported CP data as it has only recently ratified the Protocol. South Africa is only eligible to receive assistance from the Multilateral Fund for HCFCs. It should be noted that renewal of institutional strengthening (IS) projects is contingent upon receiving such data. Moreover CP implementation data must be submitted in advance of the last meeting of the year and subsequent meetings as a pre-condition for the approval and release of funding for projects. All of the countries requesting projects for consideration at the 63rd Meeting submitted 2009 CP data, pursuant to decision 52/5, except for Timor-Leste which has submitted a CP to the 63rd Meeting.

33. Although the consumption levels recorded are from different years and may not necessarily correspond to the A7 data reported, the CP data provides the most recent sectoral assessment by country, and on a global basis. These data should assist the A5 countries concerned and the Executive Committee to assess what remains to be phased out on a sectoral basis.

34. Table 7 presents the total remaining ODS consumption to be phased out by sector, taking into account projects that have been approved but are not yet implemented. It also includes total consumption phase-out from approved projects that have not been completed, and the percentage of the balance to be phased out (by sector).

Table 7

Sector	Total latest consumption	Percentage of total latest consumption	Total phase-out approved but not completed	Balance to be phased out	Percentage of balance to total latest consumption
Aerosol	43.3	0.57%	485.1	*	N/A
Foam	152.1	1.99%	20.0	132.1	86.85%
Fumigant	2,607.6	34.20%	1,485.2	1,122.4	43.04%

TOTAL REMAINING ODS CONSUMPTION BY SECTOR

Sector	Total latest consumption	Percentage of total latest consumption	Total phase-out approved but not completed	Balance to be phased out	Percentage of balance to total latest consumption
Halon	1,037.7	13.61%	482.7	555.1	53.49%
Lab Use	93.5	1.23%	0.0	93.5	100.00%
MDI	1,288.5	16.90%	921.8	366.7	28.46%
Process agent	1.2	0.02%	13,483.0	*	N/A
Refrigeration	2,255.1	29.58%	3,237.4	*	N/A
Solvent	142.2	1.87%	145.7	*	N/A
Sterilant	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0	N/A
Tobacco	3.2	0.04%	0.0	3.2	100.00%
Total	7,624.4	100.00%	20,260.8	2,273.02	29.81%

* More phase-out approved than latest consumption.

35. The total ODS phase-out approved but not implemented (Table 7) does not include that approved in principle for multi-year agreements (MYAs) or the phase-out level that is expected to result from the implementation of refrigerant management plans (RMPs) and halon banking. In addition to the phase-out already being funded, the Executive Committee has approved, in principle, sectoral and national phase-out projects for which annual tranches are released on the basis of achieved scheduled reductions.

36. The phase-out from future annual tranches will address a significant amount of the remaining consumption identified in Table 7. Moreover, RMPs for low-volume-consuming (LVC) countries account for 85 per cent of the baseline consumption of these countries and TPMPs account for the remaining baseline. However, the data in the projects that have been approved but are not yet implemented do not account for all of this tonnage. In addition, the approved but unimplemented ODS phase-out in Table 7 does not include some halon consumption for countries that have already received a halon banking project.

37. Table 8 presents the remaining consumption, by substance, which has not yet been addressed by the Executive Committee after taking into account MYAs, TPMPs, RMPs for LVC countries, and halon banking.

Table 8

TOTAL REMAINING ODS CONSUMPTION BY SUBSTANCE BASED ON CP DATA (AFTER TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE PHASE-OUT REPRESENTED BY RMPs FOR LVC COUNTRIES, HALON BANKING, TOTAL PHASE-OUT PROJECTS, AND MYAS THAT ARE APPROVED IN PRINCIPLE)

Chemical	Remaining ODS consumption (ODP tonnes)
CFC	0.0*
CTC	0.1
Halons	0.0
MB	1,057.8
TCA	0.0
Total	1,057.9

* According to decision 60/5(d).

38. The document submitted to the 60^{th} Meeting of the Executive Committee indicated that there were 1,256.5 ODP tonnes remaining to be addressed based on the latest CP data, taking into account

projects that had been approved but not implemented. The reduction of 198.6 ODP tonnes in consumption is due to the funding approvals at the 60th, 61st and 62nd Meetings of the Executive Committee and the updated consumption data received since the document was issued for consideration at the 62nd Meeting. The remaining ODS consumption is based on the 142 A5 countries that reported CP data. The two countries that have not reported CP data, Timor-Leste and South Africa, may also seek the Fund's assistance. Using A7 data for those two countries, the total amount of remaining ODS consumption still to be addressed (taking into account the phase-out represented by TPMPs and RMPs for LVC countries, halon banking, total phase-out projects, and MYAs that are approved in principle) appears to be 1,057.8 ODP tonnes (Table 9). This is a reduction from the figure of 1,331.8 ODP tonnes that had been reported to the 60th Meeting.

Table 9

TOTAL REMAINING ODS CONSUMPTION BY SUBSTANCE BASED ON CP AND A7 DATA (AFTER TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE PHASE-OUT REPRESENTED BY RMPs FOR LVC COUNTRIES, HALON BANKING, TOTAL PHASE-OUT PROJECTS, AND MYAS THAT ARE APPROVED IN PRINCIPLE)

Chemical	Remaining ODS consumption (ODP tonnes)
CFC	0.0*
CTC	0.1
Halons	0.0
MB	1,057.7
TCA	0.0
Total	1,057.8

* According to decision 60/5(d).

HCFC DATA

39. Table 10 presents the levels of HCFC consumption based on the latest data available. It shows that there are 471,180 metric tonnes (31,547 ODP tonnes) of HCFC consumption consisting primarily of HCFC-22 (59 per cent of the total) and HCFC-141b (31.8 per cent of the total). The 471,180 metric tonnes of HCFCs represents an increase of 48,950 metric tonnes over the amount reported (422,230 metric tonnes) to the 60th Meeting. By comparison, the Fund has phased out 232,317 metric tonnes (250,904 ODP tonnes) of ODS consumption as at 31 December 2009. The level in this report is based on data from 2010 for one country, from 2009 for 130 countries and from 2008 for 11 countries. For those countries reporting both 2008 and 2009 data, HCFC consumption has increased by 13.9 per cent.

<u>Table 10</u>

LEVELS OF LATEST HCFC CONSUMPTION DATA BY CHEMICAL

Chemical	Metric tonnes	ODP tonnes	Percent of total
HCFC-123	1,409.3	28.2	0.09%
HCFC-124	1,379.7	30.4	0.10%
HCFC-141b	91,055.5	10,016.1	31.75%
HCFC-141b in Imported Pre-blended Polyol	7,496.1	824.6	2.61%
HCFC-142b	30,962.9	2,012.6	6.38%
HCFC-21	37.2	1.5	0.00%
HCFC-22	338,761.8	18,631.9	59.06%
HCFC-225	1.3	0.1	0.0003%

Chemical	Metric tonnes	ODP tonnes	Percent of total
HCFC-225ca	57.2	1.4	0.005%
HCFC-225cb	19.0	0.6	0.002%
Total	471,180.0	31,547.3	100.00%

CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTRY PROGRAMMES

40. The new CP reporting format adopted in decision 46/39 and revised at the 60^{th} Meeting (decision 60/4(b)(iv)) provides an opportunity for NOUs to assess the prospects for compliance from both a quantitative and qualitative perspective.

Completeness of the data

41. This is the fifth year of use of the new format for reporting CP data. Although it was revised at the 60th Meeting for HCFCs, 130 of the 131countries provided 2009 data using the earlier approved new format including 96 countries used the revised format approved at the 60th Meeting. Nevertheless, most of the data provided in the new format were incomplete for the three main sections: qualitative, quantitative and regulatory. Only six countries, the Bahamas, Cameroon, Egypt, Malaysia, Swaziland and Zimbabwe provided all the information for all three sections.

42. In line with decision 59/4(b)(iv), the Secretariat reviewed the web-based country programme implementation data. The Secretariat noted that only eleven countries submitted 2009 data using the web-based system, which was initiated on 25 April 2007. Considering the number of countries using the web-based portal the Executive Committee may wish to decide whether to make CP reports submitted through the web-based portal for 2011 and beyond mandatory. It is envisaged to request UNEP CAP to be more proactive using existing help desk facility to improve support to end-users.

43. Only 130 of the required 144 countries provided 2009 CP data and one provided 2010 data in time for analysis in this document. All countries that submitted requests for funding to the 63rd Meeting also submitted 2009 CP data, except for Timor-Leste which has submitted a CP to the 63rd Meeting.

Summary of data

All ODS except HCFCs

44. One hundred and six of the 124 reporting countries with RMPs/national phase-out plans (NPPs)/TPMPs either indicated progress or had completed the implementation of their RMPs/NPPs/TPMPs. Including those countries that reported data prior to 2009, 111 out of 134 countries showed progress or completed the implementation of their RMPs/NPPs/TPMPs.

45. Including in those countries that reported data prior to 2009, a total of 14,265 recovery machines and 6,047 recycling machines are operational. Of those countries employing recovery and recycling (R&R) machines, 69 per cent reported that the machines had been functioning 'satisfactorily' or 'very well'. A total of 251.6 ODP tonnes of CFC-11 has been recovered of which 245.7 ODP tonnes were reused, and a total of 4,256 ODP tonnes of CFC-12 have been recovered of which 2,459 ODP tonnes were reused. Data are not collected for the other ODS. Latest data reported for 2006-2010, taken together with that from previous years' reports, indicate that a total of 90,667 refrigeration servicing technicians have been trained, 67,179 have been certified, and 3,702 refrigeration technician trainers have been trained.

46. One-hundred and nine of the 142 countries that reported data (including data from previous years' reports) indicated that they had quota systems in place. In addition, 124 countries advised that importer

registration was a requirement. A total of 17,275 customs officers have been reported as having been trained. It is not clear whether this is annual or cumulative data.

47. One-hundred and thirty-five of the 144 countries with reporting requirements have reported operational licensing systems (125 of the 130 countries that reported 2009 data had operational licensing systems with 93.6 per cent of them functioning 'satisfactorily' or 'very well'). The following countries did not report that their licensing systems was operational: Cook Islands (the), Ethiopia, Haiti, Kiribati, Lesotho, Mauritania, Micronesia (Federated States of) and Senegal. The Executive Committee may wish to consider requesting UNEP CAP to ascertain the reasons why these systems are not operating well and the countries' plans to improve the operation of their licensing systems.

Price data for CFCs and HCFCs and their alternatives

48. The costs of some ODS and substitutes have been summarized in Table 11.

<u>Table 11</u>

ODS	Average price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2005 per Report to 50 th Meeting)	Average Price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2006 per Report to 54 th Meeting)	Average Price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2007 per Report to 57 th Meeting)	Average Price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2008 per Report to 60 th Meeting)	Average Price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2009 Report)	Number of countries where prices increased	Number of countries where prices decreased	Range (US\$/kg) (2009 Report)	Number of countries reporting non-zero data for 2009	Data excluded* from the calculation of the average (US\$/kg.) (2009 Report)
CFC-11	\$7.09	\$9.67	\$10.65	\$11.42	\$12.30	9	6	\$2.48 (China)	29	\$1.58 (Algeria),
	+	+	+	+	+		-	to \$36.80 (Eritrea)		\$40.70 (Brazil)
CFC-12	\$8.98	\$10.95	\$12.81	\$11.52	\$10.84	26	16	\$1.30 (Mozambique) to \$29.75 (Belize)	69	\$0.08 (Zambia), \$39.20 (Eritrea)
CFC-113	\$9.02	\$19.41	\$19.00	\$16.52	\$9.91	N/A	2	\$3.06 (China)	6	\$219.07 (Serbia),
								to \$20.38 (Algeria)		\$266.00 (Argentina)
CFC-114	\$9.98	\$17.37	\$18.92	\$16.31	\$6.35	N/A	N/A	\$1.69 (Algeria) to \$11.00 (Malaysia)	2	None
CFC-115	\$10.94	\$12.41	\$11.97	\$8.82	\$11.62	N/A	1	\$10.37 (China) to \$13.50 (Chad)	3	None
Cyclopentane	N/A	N/A	\$4.03	\$1.91	\$3.74	N/A	N/A	\$3.30 (Armenia) to \$4.05 (Argentina)	3	None
HCFC-123	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$9.09	N/A	N/A	\$4.40 (China) to \$18.00 (Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of))	11	\$1.50 (Bolivia (Plurinational State of)), \$25.00 (India and Samoa)
HCFC-124	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$12.73	N/A	N/A	\$6.10 (China) to \$22.57 (Samoa)	6	\$23.00 (Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of))
HCFC-133	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$19.25	N/A	N/A	\$19.25 (Samoa)	1	None
HCFC-141b	N/A	N/A	\$3.87	\$6.66	\$5.00	5	15	\$1.35 (Algeria) to \$11.66 (Peru)	35	\$1.27 (China), \$11.75 (Belize)
HCFC-141b in Imported Pre- blended Polyol	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3.99	N/A	N/A	\$2.50 (Lebanon) to \$5.84 (Philippines (the))	6	\$1.50 (Viet Nam) \$6.40 (Kyrgyzstan)
HCFC-142b	N/A	N/A	\$5.46	\$6.59	\$7.75	N/A	3	\$4.00 (Argentina) to \$15.90 (Samoa)	9	\$1.71 (China) \$20.00 (Georgia)
HCFC-22	\$5.41	\$6.52	\$7.21	\$7.75	\$7.35	44	37	\$1.00 (Palau) to \$48.67 (Tonga)	121	\$0.04 (Zambia), \$78.60 (Mozambique)
HCFC-225	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$9.00	N/A	N/A	\$9.00 (Malaysia)	1	None
HCFC-225ca	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$32.22	N/A	N/A	\$32.22 (Philippines (the))	1	None
HCFC-225cb	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$19.11	N/A	N/A	\$6.00 (Albania) to \$32.22 (Philippines (the))	2	None
HFC-134a	\$12.21	\$13.16	\$12.44	\$11.37	\$12.52	42	33	\$2.00 (Guyana) to \$39.20 (Eritrea)	108	\$0.12 (Zambia), \$40.00 (Lesotho)

AVERAGE PRICE OF CFCs AND HCFCs AND THEIR ALTERNATIVES

ODS	Average price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2005 per Report to 50 th Meeting)	Average Price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2006 per Report to 54 th Meeting)	Average Price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2007 per Report to 57 th Meeting)	Average Price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2008 per Report to 60 th Meeting)	Average Price/ kilogram (US\$/kg) (2009 Report)	Number of countries where prices increased	Number of countries where prices decreased	Range (US\$/kg) (2009 Report)	Number of countries reporting non-zero data for 2009	Data excluded* from the calculation of the average (US\$/kg.) (2009 Report)
HCFC-227ea	N/A	N/A	\$9.32	\$12.97	\$18.03	1	1	\$8.00 (Burkina Faso) to \$30.30 (Croatia)	7	\$73.33 (Philippines (the))
HCFC-245fa	N/A	N/A	\$7.44	\$10.38	\$10.11	N/A	1	\$9.00 (Malaysia) to \$11.73 (China)	4	\$25.00 (Indonesia)
HFC-356mfc	N/A	N/A	\$15.52	\$10.38	\$9.63	N/A	N/A	\$9.00 (Malaysia) to \$10.26 (China)	2	None
Isobutane (HC-600a)	N/A	N/A	\$14.24	\$22.53	\$24.36	3	6	\$3.00 (Chile) to \$55.89 (Croatia)	30	\$95.53 (Saint Kitts and Nevis), \$160.00 (Lesotho)
MDI (foam production)	N/A	N/A	\$3.83	\$3.34	\$2.91	N/A	2	\$2.00 (Indonesia) to \$4.20 (Bolivia (Plurinational State of))	6	\$6.00 (Cuba)
Methyl formate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$5.02	N/A	N/A	\$5.02 (Brazil)	1	None
Pentane	N/A	N/A	\$1.40	\$6.00	\$2.20	N/A	N/A	\$2.20 (Armenia)	1	None
Propane (HC-290)	N/A	N/A	\$6.49	\$7.88	\$20.53	N/A	2	\$3.11 (Thailand) to \$42.00 (Chad)	13	\$3.00 (Chile), \$97.61 (Saint Kitts and Nevis)
R-404A	N/A	N/A	\$12.44	\$16.46	\$16.13	21	18	\$5.32 (Syrian Arab Republic) to \$57.52 (Tonga)	78	\$2.35 (Guyana), \$360.00 (Bhutan)
R-406A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$7.50	\$5.50	N/A	N/A	\$5.50 (Gambia)	1	None
R-407C	N/A	N/A	\$14.21	\$17.42	\$16.95	10	18	\$7.50 (Lebanon) to \$55.00 (Comoros (the))	59	\$5.75 (The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia), \$78.19 (Tonga)
R-410A	N/A	N/A	\$14.21	\$15.43	\$16.44	14	15	\$5.62 (Guyana) to \$45.00 (Burkina Faso)	66	\$5.00 (Palau), \$50.00 (Lesotho)
R-502	\$14.20	\$16.74	\$21.44	\$16.97	\$16.20	7	2	\$6.50 (Yemen) to \$34.00 (Mali)	28	\$0.60 (Mozambique), \$39.20 (Eritrea)
R-507A	N/A	N/A	\$12.47	\$17.69	\$17.48	8	4	\$8.00 (Malaysia) to \$50.00 (Lesotho)	34	\$5.66 (The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia), \$77.37 (Croatia)

* All zero \$ entries were excluded.

49. No such data have been provided for halons, MB or CTC.

50. Table 11 indicates that in 2009 HCFC-22, HCFC-141b and HCFC-142b still remain much less expensive than the comparable CFCs, based on this sample of data.

HCFCs

51. This is the first year of use of the revised format to include relevant information on HCFC phase-out approved at the 60^{th} Meeting (decision 60/4(b)(iv)). Ninety-six of the 131 countries provided 2009 or 2010 data using the revised format with information on HCFCs.

52. Forty-six of the 86 countries that reported data indicated that they had quota systems in place and 70 countries advised that importer registration was a requirement. A total of 554.1 ODP tonnes of HCFC-22 has been recovered of which 512.1 ODP tonnes were reused. A total of 4,141 customs officers have been reported as having been trained on HCFC.

53. A total of 1,183 recovery machines and 472 recycling machines are operational. 15,182 technicians have been certified, 24,246 technicians have been trained and 1,302 trainers for technicians have been trained to recover and recycle HCFCs.

PART IV: REVISION OF COUNTRY PROGRAMME FORMATS

54. The Secretariat reviewed the CP Report format adopted in decision 46/39 and revised at the 60th Meeting (decisions 60/4(b)(iv)) to assess the need for further modifications that will improve the reporting system in place. Based on the outcomes of the review of the CP reports and exchanges with different countries including Algeria, Armenia, Brazil, China, Colombia, Ghana, Lebanon, Lesotho, Malaysia, Mexico, Senegal, Thailand and the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, the Secretariat is of the opinion that some modifications should be considered, in particular the removal of ODS data after phase-out, the change of a few titles to be more specific, e.g. to specify if the requested data is annual or cumulative, and the introduction of a new section for comments on specific issues. The total phase-out of CFCs, CTC and halons was scheduled for 2010. In case some countries did not comply with the 2010 target, they may have to report their consumption the following year and, as such, as far as CFCs, CTC and halons are concerned, the CP report should not be modified at this stage. The Executive Committee may wish to consider the removal of CFCs, CTC and halons from the CP report format starting with the report on 2012 data due on 1 May 2013 (Annex III).

PART V: PROJECTS WITH IMPLEMENTATION DELAYS AND SPECIAL STATUS REPORTS

55. There are 26 ongoing projects that have been classified as projects with implementation delays and are subject to the Committee's procedures for project cancellation. Projects with implementation delays are those: (i) that are expected to be completed more than 12 months late, and/or, (ii) where no disbursement has been made within 18 months of the project's approval. The breakdown of projects with implementation delays, by implementing and bilateral agency, is presented in Table 12 as follows: UNDP (six); UNEP (four); UNIDO (four); the World Bank (three); Japan (three), Australia (one), Canada (one) and Spain (one). Reports have not been received from France (one), Israel (one) and Portugal (one). Delays are most commonly caused by external factors (9).

Table 12

	Australia	Canada	IBRD	Japan	Spain	UNDP	UNEP	UNIDO	Total
Number of projects reported	1	1	3	3	1	6	4	4	23
Number of projects completed	1		2	2	1	1	2	2	11
Number of projects with some progress		1	1	1		4	1	2	10
Number of projects with no progress						1			1
Number of projects with existing decisions							1		1

SUMMARY OF PROGRESS FOR PROJECTS WITH IMPLEMENTATION DELAYS

Progress in resolving causes of delays

56. In their reports, the implementing and bilateral agencies advised that there have been varying degrees of progress in overcoming delays. Eleven of the projects listed with delays at the 62^{nd} Meeting have now been completed (Annex IV).

Projects with some progress

57. Ten projects have been classified as showing "some progress", and the implementing and bilateral agencies indicated that these would continue to be monitored (Annex V). Notwithstanding progress, it should be noted that projects approved over three years ago must continue to be monitored pursuant to decision 32/4. These projects cannot, therefore, be removed from the list for monitoring prior to final completion irrespective of the progress that may have been made. They are thus recommended for continued monitoring.

Projects with no progress - letter of possible cancellation

58. There is one project that has experienced no progress since the 62^{nd} Meeting (Annex VI). Under existing procedures, the Fund Secretariat will send notices of possible cancellation in respect of this project on the basis that it has achieved no progress.

Projects with existing decisions

59. There is one project in Somalia (SOM/SEV/35/TAS/01) that is subjected to an existing decision for funding obligation six months following the UNEP visit, which would occur as soon as security conditions allowed (decision 54/4(c)). This project is therefore recommended for continued monitoring with existing decision (Annex VII).

Projects for which additional status reports were requested

60. IS, halon banking, customs training, recovery and recycling, and demonstration projects are not subject to procedures for project cancellation. Nevertheless, the Executive Committee has decided to continue to monitor them as appropriate (decision 36/14 (b)). At its 62^{nd} Meeting, the Executive Committee requested 35 additional status reports, excluding the additional reports requested for HPMP development and on licensing systems that are addressed in Parts VI and VII respectively of the current document. Such status reports are needed when there has been no indication of any progress since the last report and/or where additional impediments to implementation have been reported. Additional status reports are requested for submission to the 64^{th} Meeting for eight projects (Annex VIII).

PART VI: STATUS OF HPMP DEVELOPMENT

61. At its 62nd Meeting, the Executive Committee requested 62 additional reports on the status of HPMP development. The Secretariat requested implementing agencies to update information on HPMP preparation for HPMPs and sector projects concerning the status of surveys, stakeholder workshops, the identification of local institutions, recruitment of experts, completion of technical inputs and draft documents and the meeting to which the HPMP would be submitted. Table 13 presents information on the progress of the HPMP preparations that are submitted to the 63rd Meeting.

Table 13

Agency	Initial stage	Survey underway	Survey completed	Survey completed and HPMP being finalized	Survey completed and HPMP draft available	Total
IBRD		3				3
UNDP	1	7	2	1	2	13
UNEP	4	9	14			27
UNIDO	2	10	7			19
Total	7	29	23	1	2	62

HPMP ACTIVITIES DEVELOPMENT

62. Delays in implementing the HCFC survey are significant for the projects approved at the 55th and 56th Meetings of the Executive Committee. The Secretariat also noted that the project for Ecuador is at an early stage of implementation. However, this preparatory project was approved at the 59th Meeting of the Executive Committee and at the time no status report was requested. The Executive Committee may wish to consider requesting additional reports on seven preparatory projects including Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas (the), Bangladesh, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brunei Darussalam, Peru and South Africa that are at an early stage of implementation and reasons specified in Annex IX.

PART VII: STATUS OF ADDRESSING HCFC CONTROL MEASURES ADOPTED IN 2007 IN LICENSING SYSTEMS

63. The status of licensing systems addressing the HCFC control measures is difficult to assess because each Party may regard the Montreal Amendment's requirement for a licensing system in different ways. Although a Party may have indicated that it had met the requirement of the Amendment, that requirement may have been achieved by a quota system, a regulation, legislation or a decree. Moreover, meeting the requirement of the Montreal Amendment does not necessarily mean that the mechanism being used to meet it has been or could easily be modified to accommodate the adjusted HCFC control measures approved in 2007 to accelerate HCFC phase-out.

64. The Secretariat requested lead agencies to provide information about the licensing systems and other Government means of controlling the import and export of HCFCs. Such information was provided for 143 countries that have received funding for HPMP development (no information was provided by UNEP for Mauritania).

65. 126 countries have licensing systems for imports but 39 of them do not have licensing systems for exports. Of these 126 countries, 118 also have import permit systems, and 75 have quota systems. There are 17 countries that do not have import licensing systems, but three of these countries have permit systems in place for bulk imports (two of which cover also bulk exports), fifteen had established licensing systems according to their reports to the Ozone Secretariat, whereas two had not. The data provided by the lead agencies indicated that these two countries needed a quota system and that such systems would be established by or during 2011.

66. Of the 126 countries with licensing systems, lead agencies indicated that 88 of these countries' licensing systems have taken the 2007 control measures adjustments into consideration. An additional eight countries have taken the 2007 control measures adjustments into consideration through other Government measures. For those countries that have not taken the 2007 adjustments into account, the lead agencies have indicated that these countries would do so in 2011. It is not clear the extent to which licensing systems address the importation of HCFC-using equipment.

67. At the 62^{nd} Meeting, the Executive Committee has requested additional information from the lead agency for the HPMP on the application of licensing systems to the importation of HCFC-containing equipment and to continue requesting reports on those countries that had not taken the 2007 adjustments to the HCFC control measures into account (decision 62/4(d)). The data provided by the lead agencies indicated that six countries have licensing systems applied to HCFC-containing equipment. Since information is provided in HPMPs on licensing systems, no further status report is requested.

PART VIII: ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN BY LEAD AGENCIES TO OBTAIN CO-FINANCING

68. At its 62^{nd} meeting, the Executive Committee has requested UNEP and Germany to provide to the 63^{rd} meeting information on the status of actions undertaken to obtain co-financing for the countries for which such information had not been provided (decision 62/4(f)) and also requested UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank to update the information they had provided to the 62^{nd} meeting on the status of actions undertaken to obtain co-financing for submission to the 63^{rd} meeting (decision 62/4(g)).

69. The Secretariat listed the status of actions undertaken by lead agencies according to the categories listed in Annex X. The category "Enterprise co-financing to move sites" represents the co-financing sought to relocate plants. The category "GEF for non-eligible components" represents the GEF's funding for components that are not eligible for funding under the Multilateral Fund. Similarly, the category "Bilateral for non-eligible components" represents the bilateral contribution to fund activities not eligible for funding under the Multilateral Fund. The category "Carbon markets" represents efforts made to secure co-financing to maximize climate benefits not required under the Montreal Protocol through carbon

markets. The category "MEA funding opportunities" refers to funding sought from multilateral environmental agreements. Table 14 summarizes co-financing information provided by the bilateral and implementing agencies.

<u>Table 14</u>

CO-FINANCING ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN BY IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES AND NUMBER OF PROJECT PREPARATION ACTIVITIES APPROVED

	Germany	IBRD	Japan	UNDP	UNEP	UNIDO	Total	Percentage
Number of HCFC preparation project activities	19	18	2	88	76	83	286	
Informed NOU	19	13		82	36	83	233	81.5
Enterprise co-financing for the non- eligible components	4	16		51		39	110	38.5
Government co-financing	4	6		17	36	15	78	27.3
Enterprise co-financing to cover technology	4	10		31		4	49	17.1
GEF energy efficiency and market transformation				26	4		30	10.5
Carbon markets						27	27	9.4
Bilateral for non-eligible components		2		11	4	8	25	8.7
Enterprise co-financing to move sites				5			5	1.7
GEF for non-eligible components					3		3	1.0
UNDP thematic Trust Fund Project on EE in public buildings	1						1	0.3

70. In general, the agencies indicated a large participation of the enterprises in the co-financing of both non-eligible components and technologies of 38.5 per cent and 17.1 percent respectively of HCFC preparation project activities. Government co-financing is considered to be the second largest contribution representing 27.3 per cent of HCFC preparation project activities. Since information on co-financing is provided in HPMPs, no further status report is requested.

PART IX: REPORTS ON DIALOGUES WITH COUNTRIES ON THE 2009 BUSINESS PLANS QUALITATIVE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

71. Decision 61/17(d) requested UNIDO to have an open and constructive discussion with the NOUs about the areas where UNIDO services were perceived to be "less satisfactory" and to report to the 62nd Meeting on the results of its consultations with Benin, Kenya and Kyrgyzstan on the implementation matters raised in the qualitative performance assessment". At its 62nd Meeting, the Executive Committee requested UNIDO to implement decision 61/17 with respect to Kyrgyzstan and to report to the 63rd Meeting on the results of its consultations (decision 62/3(b)). The Secretariat reviewed UNIDO's exchanges with the Kyrgyzstan NOU. It appears that the assessment made by the NOU on UNIDO performances was mostly based on the length of the procurement process for goods and the procedure in selecting national experts. The delay in liquidating financial obligations as regard to expert services was also raised by the NOU. UNIDO agreed to resubmit the project completion report and provide the NOU with the opportunity to comment on it. The Executive Committee may wish to note the report provided by UNIDO.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 72. The Executive Committee may wish to consider:
 - (a) Noting:
 - With appreciation, the status reports on projects with implementation delays submitted to the Secretariat by the Governments of Australia, Canada, Italy, Japan, Spain and the four implementing agencies, addressed in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/63/6;
 - (ii) That only 11 country programme (CP) reports for the year 2009 were submitted through the web-based system, which was initiated on 25 April 2007;
 - (iii) The completion of eleven of the 26 projects listed with implementation delays;
 - (iv) That the Secretariat and the implementing agencies would take established actions according to the Secretariat's assessments (progress or some progress) and report to and notify governments and implementing agencies as required;
 - (b) Requesting:
 - (i) That all CP reports must be submitted through the web-based portal starting with the 2011 CP report that is due on 1 May 2012;
 - (ii) That CFC, CTC and halon should be removed from the CP report format as shown in Annex III to the present document starting with the report on 2012 data due on 1 May 2013;
 - (iii) UNEP Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) to ascertain the reasons that licensing systems in Cook Islands (the), Ethiopia, Haiti, Kiribati, Lesotho, Mauritania, Micronesia (Federated States of) and Senegal are not operating well and the countries' plans to improve the operation of their licensing systems;
 - (iv) Additional status reports on the projects listed in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to the present document;
 - (v) That letters of possible cancellation should be sent in respect of the following project:

Agency	Code	Project Title
UNDP	COL/PAG/48/INV/66	Phase-out of CTC as process agent in the elimination of nitrogen
		trichloride during chlorine production at Prodesal S.A.

- (vi) The Governments of France, Israel and Portugal to provide their implementation delays reports to the 64th Meeting of the Executive Committee;
- (c) Encouraging the Governments of Angola, Guinea and Nepal to ratify the Copenhagen Amendment to enable them to access funding for HCFC phase-out as required under decision 53/37; and

(d) Noting the report provided by UNIDO on the results of its consultations with Kyrgyzstan on the 2009 business plans' qualitative performance evaluations in line with decision 61/17(d).

21

Annex I

DETAILED ANALYSIS OF THE STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION IN COUNTRIES SUBJECT TO DECISIONS OF THE PARTIES ON COMPLIANCE AND THOSE AT RISK OF NON-COMPLIANCE

1. Annex I presents the detailed analysis of the status of implementation in countries subject to decisions of the Parties on compliance and those at risk of non-compliance. The data tables in Appendixes I-VI indicate whether a country has received a total phase-out agreement for a specific controlled substance. The analysis of halons (Appendix II) indicates whether a halon banking activity has been approved. Halon banking guidelines require that regulations facilitating production and import bans are established within six months of the establishment of a reclamation centre (decision 18/22). The methyl bromide analysis (Appendix III) indicates further whether a country has received funding for a phase-out to meet the 2005 control measures. Appendices IV and V present information on the carbon tetrachloride (CTC) and methyl chloroform (TCA) phase-out, respectively. Appendix VI provides information on latest HCFC consumption only, as the baseline will not be established until after 2010.

ANALYSIS OF COMPLIANCE FOR CFCs (Appendix I)

2. Countries have been grouped into two categories: (a) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 85 per cent baseline reduction target of 2007 that applies until December 2009; and (b) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 100 per cent phase-out target.

(a) Countries at risk of not achieving the 85 per cent CFC baseline reduction

3. This category consists of two countries, which may need to achieve additional CFC phase-out amounting to 294.9 ODP tonnes by 2007 in order to comply with the 85 per cent reduction target. Of these two countries, one was to receive projects with phase-out in the 2011 business plans.

4. The two countries that may require additional actions to achieve the 85 per cent reduction are Bangladesh and Iraq. All countries currently eligible for Fund assistance have national CFC phase-out agreements with the Executive Committee, which contain a phase-out schedule that is consistent with the targets in the Montreal Protocol. All of the countries that are at risk have received support from the Executive Committee to address their compliance needs.

(b) <u>Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 phase-out target</u>

5. This category consists of 63 countries, including 33 LVC countries, which may need to achieve additional CFC phase-out amounting to 4,105 ODP tonnes by 2010 in order to comply with the 100 per cent reduction target. Of these 63 countries, three have phase-out projects included in the 2011 business plans.

6. Of the 63 countries, the Executive Committee has approved national CFC phase-out agreements for 57 countries including 29 LVC countries. Two countries (the Republic of Korea and the United Arab Emirates) have agreed not to receive funding from the Multilateral Fund. The remaining four countries do not have projects for complete phase-out of CFC or included in the 2011-2014 business plans including Angola, Ethiopia, Somalia and Timor-Leste. The CFC TPMP for these countries will be integrated into HPMPs according to decision 60/5(d).

ANALYSIS OF COMPLIANCE FOR HALONS (Appendix II)

7. Seventy-five countries have reported no consumption of halons between 1995 and 2009.

8. Sixty-one countries have received support for halon banking activities or phase-out agreements. This includes those countries participating in regional halon banks. Halon banking is presumed to be the last funded activity in the halon consumption sector for most countries but there are some halon phase-out activities that are part of multi-sectoral phase-out agreements.

9. Countries have been grouped into the following two categories: (a) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 50 per cent reduction target of 2005 that applies until December 2009; and (b) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 100 per cent phase-out target.

(a) <u>Countries at risk of not achieving the 50 per cent baseline reduction for halons</u>

10. All countries are in compliance with the 50 per cent halon baseline reduction target.

(b) <u>Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 phase-out target</u>

11. This category consists of six countries that may need to phase-out additional halons amounting to 2,163 ODP tonnes by 2010 in order to comply with the 100 per cent reduction targets. These countries have received support from the Multilateral Fund, except the Republic of Korea and the United Arab Emirates. The Republic of Korea and the United Arab Emirates have agreed not to receive funding from the Multilateral Fund.

ANALYSIS OF COMPLIANCE FOR METHYL BROMIDE (Appendix III)

12. This section presents the analysis for compliance with methyl bromide control measures. It should be noted that all data reported and used in this analysis relate to controlled use only, which means that the data exclude quarantine and pre-shipment (QPS). All of the 144 Article 5 countries that have ratified the Copenhagen Amendment have reported complete baseline data. Of these 144 countries, 57 reported zero for both the baseline consumption and the latest consumption.

13. Ninety-nine Article 5 countries have received support from the Multilateral Fund for methyl bromide activities and/or projects. This includes projects that will lead to a complete phase-out of methyl bromide in 60 of these countries, partial phase-out in an additional 10, and other forms of assistance received by 29.

14. Countries have been grouped into the following two categories: (a) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 20 per cent reduction target of 2005 that applies until December 2014; and (b) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 2015 100 per cent phase-out target. Appendix III identifies those countries that have not ratified the Copenhagen Amendment.

(a) <u>Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 20 per cent MB baseline reduction target</u>

15. This category consists of only one country, Turkmenistan. This country may need to meet additional combined reduction amounting to 0.02 ODP tonnes in order to comply with the 20 per cent reduction targets. Turkmenistan has approved projects that will lead to complete phase-out of methyl bromide.

(b) <u>Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 2015 phase-out target</u>

16. This category consists of 32 countries that may need to meet additional combined reduction amounting to 2,646 ODP tonnes by 2015 in order to comply with the 100 per cent reduction targets. Of the 32 countries, 23 countries have approved projects that will lead to complete phase-out of methyl bromide. Seven countries may need additional assistance from the Multilateral Fund to achieve the phase-out of methyl bromide by 2015. The remaining two countries (South Africa and Singapore) are

currently not eligible to receive funding from the Multilateral Fund. It should be noted that South Africa could receive funding for HCFC phase-out.

CARBON TETRACHLORIDE (CTC) (Appendix IV)

17. This section presents the analysis of compliance with CTC control measures. All data reported and used in this analysis are those related to controlled use only, which excludes feedstock. Reported CTC consumption was not differentiated by specific end use, such as solvents, process agents and laboratory use.

18. Of the 146 countries with reported baseline data, 90 reported zero both for the baseline and the latest consumption.

19. Countries have been grouped into the following two categories: (a) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 85 per cent reduction target that applies until December 2009; and (b) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 100 per cent phase-out target. Appendix IV notes those countries that have not ratified the London Amendment.

(a) <u>Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 85 per cent CTC baseline reduction</u> <u>target</u>

20. One country might not achieve compliance with the 85 per cent reduction: Ecuador. This country would need to phase out a total of 0.03 ODP tonnes to meet the 85 per cent reduction by 2005.

21. Ecuador is the only country that may not need additional assistance to meet the 100 per cent reduction target for CTC since its consumption is for laboratory uses.

(b) <u>Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 2010 phase-out target</u>

22. This category consists of 12 countries that may need to phase out additional CTC amounting to 149.3 ODP tonnes to meet the 100 per cent reduction by 2010. Ten of the 12 countries have received funding for CTC phase-out agreements or projects from the Multilateral Fund. The Republic of Korea has agreed not to receive CTC funding from the Multilateral Fund. Ecuador may not need additional assistance to meet the 100 per cent reduction target for CTC since its consumption is for laboratory uses.

METHYL CHLOROFORM (TCA) (Appendix V)

23. This section presents the analysis for compliance with TCA control measures. Of the 146 countries that have reported baseline data, 103 reported zero both for the baseline and the latest consumption.

24. Countries have been grouped into three categories: (a) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 30 per cent reduction target that applies until December 2009; (b) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 70 per cent reduction target of 2010; and (c) those whose latest consumption exceeds the 2015 100 per cent phase-out target. Appendix V specifies those countries that have not ratified the London Amendment.

- (a) <u>Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 30 per cent TCA baseline reduction</u> target
- 25. All countries are in compliance with the 30 per cent TCA baseline reduction target.

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/63/6 Annex I

(b) <u>Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 70 per cent TCA baseline reduction</u> <u>target</u>

26. This category consists of two countries: Bangladesh and the Republic of Korea. These countries may need to phase out additional TCA amounting to 27.6 ODP tonnes by 2010 in order to comply with the 70 per cent reduction targets. Bangladesh has received funding for agreement or projects for complete phase-out of TCA. The Republic of Korea is not eligible for funding from the Multilateral Fund.

(c) <u>Countries whose latest consumption exceeds the 100 per cent TCA baseline reduction</u> <u>target</u>

27. This category consists of eight countries that may need to meet additional combined reduction amounting to 280.8 ODP tonnes by 2015 in order to comply with the 100 per cent reduction target. Seven of those eight countries have received funding for TCA phase-out agreements or projects from the Multilateral Fund. The remaining country (the Republic of Korea) is not eligible to receive TCA funding from the Multilateral Fund.

HCFCs (Appendix VI)

28. Appendix VI includes an analysis of the latest consumption data on HCFCs and indicates whether the country had received HPMP preparation funding, the number of investment projects approved, the number of demonstration projects approved, total phase-out approved in ODP tonnes and activities planned in the 2011 business plans. The baseline data will not be available until 2011 when both of the baseline years (2009 and 2010) will have been reported. All countries have received HPMP preparation funds. Information was provided for HPMPs approved to-date and those submitted to the 63rd Meeting and the duration covered by the HPMP (such as, HPMP approved to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in 2015 or to achieve the 35 per cent reduction in 2020, for example).

Appendix I

CFC ANALYSIS

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 85%	Percentage Over 100% Reduction		Phase- Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Licensing System
Afghanistan	A7	2009	380.0	27.0				0%	Over	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Nov-05	Yes
Albania	A7	2009	40.8	0.0	Decision XV/26	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-03	Yes
Algeria	A7	2009	2,119.5	110.9				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Angola	A7	2009	114.8	16.0				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with RMP/RMP update approved in accordance to Decision 31/48	Apr-03	No
Antigua and Barbuda	A7	2009	10.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes
Argentina	A7	2009	4,697.2	126.6				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-04	Yes
Armenia	A7	2009	196.5	18.1				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan. (Phase-Out Plan funded through the GEF when country was a non-Article 5 Party)	Not Available	Yes
Bahamas (the)	A7	2009	64.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-01	Yes
Bahrain	A7	2009	135.4	10.5				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-06	Yes
Bangladesh	A7	2009	581.6	127.6	Decision XXI/17	140.00	0.00	46%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-04	Yes
Barbados	A7	2009	21.5	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with RMP/RMP update approved in accordance to Decision 31/48	Jul-04	Yes
Belize	A7	2009	24.4	0.0	Decision XIV/33	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Benin	A7	2009	59.9	3.5				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-08	Yes
Bhutan	A7	2009	0.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	A7	2009	75.7	0.0	Decision XV/29		0.00	0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-07	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 85% Reduction		Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase- Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Licensing System
Bosnia and Herzegovina	A7	2009	24.2	0.0	Decision XV/30 and Decision XXI/18	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-03	Yes
Botswana	A7	2009	6.9	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-09	No
Brazil	A7	2009	10,525.8	46.9				0%	Over	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-02	Yes
Brunei Darussalam	A7	2009	78.2	0.5				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	No
Burkina Faso	A7	2009	36.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-06	Yes
Burundi	A7	2009	59.0	0.4				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-08	Yes
Cambodia	A7	2009	94.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Cameroon	A7	2009	256.9	-1.5				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-06	Yes
Cape Verde	A7	2009	2.3	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-08	Yes
Central African Republic (the)	A7	2009	11.2	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-08	Yes
Chad	A7	2009	34.6	0.9				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Chile	A7	2009	828.7	21.7				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-08	Yes
China	A7	2009	57,818.7	370.3				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-2005 (Last agreement approved by the ExCom for CFC)	Yes
Colombia	A7	2009	2,208.2	110.6				0%	Over	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-03	Yes
Comoros (the)	A7	2009	2.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Congo (the)	A7	2009	11.9	0.2				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-08	Yes
Cook Islands (the)	A7	2009	1.7	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 85%	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase- Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Licensing System
Costa Rica	A7	2009	250.2	6.7				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Cote d'Ivoire	A7	2009	294.2	12.0				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-08	Yes
Croatia	A7	2009	219.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-03	Yes
Cuba	A7	2009	625.1	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-04	Yes
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	441.7	27.2				0%	Over	Yes	Yes	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Nov-05	Yes
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	A7	2009	665.7	4.0				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-06	Yes
Djibouti	A7	2009	21.0	0.6				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Dominica	A7	2009	1.5	0.0	Decision XVIII/22	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-06	Yes
Dominican Republic (the)	A7	2009	539.8	28.3				0%	Over	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-05	Yes
Ecuador	A7	2009	301.4	10.4				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-03	Yes
Egypt	A7	2009	1,668.0	202.7				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-05	Yes
El Salvador	A7	2009	306.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Equatorial Guinea	A7	2009	31.5	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-09	Yes
Eritrea	A7	2009	41.1	2.1				0%	Over	No	Yes	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-08	Yes
Ethiopia	A7	2009	33.8	2.1	Decision XIV/34		0.00	0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with RMP/RMP update approved in accordance to Decision 31/48	Dec-04	No
Fiji	A7	2009	33.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-05	Yes
Gabon	A7	2009	10.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Gambia (the)	A7	2009	23.8	0.1				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Georgia	A7	2009	22.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-06	Yes
Ghana	A7	2009	35.8	3.4				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-06	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 85% Reduction	Over 100%	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase- Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Licensing System
Grenada	A7	2009	6.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-06	Yes
Guatemala	A7	2009	224.6	0.0	Decision XV/34		0.00	0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-08	Yes
Guinea	A7	2009	42.4	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-08	Yes
Guinea-Bissau	A7	2009	26.3	3.9	Decision XVI/24	3.94	0.00	0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-08	Yes
Guyana	A7	2009	53.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Haiti	A7	2009	169.0	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-09	Yes
Honduras	A7	2009	331.6	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-08	Yes
India	A7	2009	6,681.0	-659.9				0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-04	Yes
Indonesia	A7	2009	8,332.7	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A7	2009	4,571.7	100.3				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-03	Yes
Iraq	A7	2009	1,517.0	482.1				112%	Over	Yes	Yes	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-09	Yes
Jamaica	A7	2009	93.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-02	Yes
Jordan	A7	2009	673.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Nov-02	Yes
Kenya	A7	2009	239.5	0.0	Decision XVIII/28	0.00		0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes
Kiribati	A7	2009	0.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-02	Yes
Kuwait	A7	2009	480.4	28.0				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Kyrgyzstan	A7	2009	72.8	2.7				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-06	Yes
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	A7	2009	43.3	0.9				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-08	Yes
Lebanon	A7	2009	725.5	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes
Lesotho	A7	2009	5.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-03	No

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 85%	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase- Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Licensing System
Liberia	A7	2009	56.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	A7	2009	716.7	0.0	Decision XV/36		0.00	0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-03	Yes
Madagascar	A7	2009	47.9	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Malawi	A7	2009	57.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Malaysia	A7	2009	3,271.1	105.2				0%	Over	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-01	Yes
Maldives	A7	2009	4.6	0.0	Decision XV/37	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Mali	A7	2009	108.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Marshall Islands (the)	A7	2009	1.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-02	Yes
Mauritania	A7	2009	15.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Mauritius	A7	2009	29.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-03	Yes
Mexico	A7	2009	4,624.9	-101.7				0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-04	Yes
Micronesia (Federated States of)	A7	2009	1.2	0.0	Decision XVII/32	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-02	Yes
Mongolia	A7	2009	10.6	0.7				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-05	Yes
Montenegro	A7	2009	104.9	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Morocco	A7	2009	802.3	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-04	Yes
Mozambique	A7	2009	18.2	1.2				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-08	Yes
Myanmar	A7	2009	54.3	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Not Available	Yes
Namibia	A7	2009	21.9	0.0	Decision XV/38	1.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-03	Yes
Nauru	A7	2009	0.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes
Nepal	A7	2009	27.0	0.0	Decision XVI/27	4.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 85%	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase- Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Licensing System
Nicaragua	A7	2009	82.8	2.6				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-08	Yes
Niger (the)	A7	2009	32.0	1.7				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-08	Yes
Nigeria	A7	2009	3,650.0	15.1	Decision XIV/30	100.00	0.00	0%	Over	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Nov-02	Yes
Niue	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes
Oman	A7	2009	248.4	1.4				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Pakistan	A7	2009	1,679.4	5.5				0%	Over	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-04	Yes
Palau	A7	2009	1.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-02	Yes
Panama	A7	2009	384.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes
Papua New Guinea	A7	2009	36.3	0.0	Decision XV/40		0.00	0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-03	Yes
Paraguay	A7	2009	210.6	10.8	Decision XIX/22	31.60	0.00	0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-07	Yes
Peru	A7	2009	289.5	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-08	Yes
Philippines (the)	A7	2009	3,055.8	208.7				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Nov-02	Yes
Qatar	A7	2009	101.4	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	9,159.8	1,181.3				0%	Over	No	No	· •		Yes
Republic of Moldova (the)	A7	2009	73.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Rwanda	A7	2009	30.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Saint Kitts and Nevis	A7	2009	3.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-06	Yes
Saint Lucia	A7	2009	8.3	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	СР	2010	1.8	0.0	Decision XVI/30	0.10	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-05	Yes
Samoa	A7	2009	4.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 85% Reduction		Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase- Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Licensing System
Sao Tome and Principe	A7	2010	4.7	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-08	Yes
Saudi Arabia	A7	2009	1,798.5	190.0	Decision XXII/15		0.00	0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Senegal	A7	2009	155.8	5.0				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-07	Yes
Serbia	A7	2009	849.2	19.2				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-04	Yes
Seychelles	A7	2009	2.9	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-07	Yes
Sierra Leone	A7	2009	78.6	6.1				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-09	Yes
Singapore	A7	2009	210.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Solomon Islands	A7	2009	2.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-02	Yes
Somalia	A7	2009	241.4	5.6	Decision XXI/23		0.00	0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with no RMP		Yes
South Africa	A7	2009	592.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Sri Lanka	A7	2009	445.6	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-04	Yes
Sudan (the)	A7	2009	456.8	21.0				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes
Suriname	A7	2009	41.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-08	Yes
Swaziland	A7	2009	24.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-08	Yes
Syrian Arab Republic	A7	2009	2,224.6	67.3				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-06	Yes
Thailand	A7	2009	6,082.1	141.1				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-01	Yes
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	A7	2009	519.7	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-05	Yes
Timor-Leste	A7	2009	36.0	0.4				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with no RMP		Yes
Togo	A7	2009	39.8	1.0				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-08	Yes
Tonga	A7	2009	1.3	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-02	Yes
Trinidad and Tobago	A7	2009	120.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-03	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 85%	Percentage Over 100% Reduction		Phase- Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Licensing System
Tunisia	A7	2009	870.1	16.6				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-06	Yes
Turkey	A7	2009	3,805.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-01	Yes
Turkmenistan	A7	2009	37.3	3.3				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan. (Phase-Out Plan funded through the GEF when country was a non-Article 5 Party)	Not Available	Yes
Tuvalu	A7	2009	0.3	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-02	Yes
Uganda	A7	2009	12.8	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Jul-08	Yes
United Arab Emirates (the)	A7	2009	529.3	26.5				0%	Over	No	No	<u>^</u>		Yes
United Republic of Tanzania (the)	A7	2009	253.9	10.3				0%	Over	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Apr-08	Yes
Uruguay	A7	2009	199.1	6.4				0%	Over	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-06	Yes
Vanuatu	A7	2009	0.0	0.0	Decision XXII/18		0.00	0%	0%	No	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Mar-02	Yes
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	A7	2009	3,322.4	-50.9				0%	0%	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-04	Yes
Viet Nam	A7	2009	500.0	8.0				0%	Over	No	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Apr-05	Yes
Yemen	A7	2009	1,796.1	130.6				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Jul-08	Yes
Zambia	A7	2009	27.4	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	LVC country with total CFC phase-out plan	Nov-07	Yes
Zimbabwe	A7	2009	451.4	2.7				0%	Over	Yes	No	Non-LVC country with an approved terminal CFC phase-out plan	Dec-04	Yes

Appendix II

HALON ANALYSIS

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 50% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved
Afghanistan	A7	2009	1.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Albania	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Algeria	A7	2009	237.3	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01 and Nov-07
Angola	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Antigua and Barbuda	A7	2009	0.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Argentina	A7	2009	167.8	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-98
Armenia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Bahamas (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-98
Bahrain	A7	2009	38.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Mar-00
Bangladesh	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Barbados	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-98
Belize	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Benin	A7	2009	3.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-02
Bhutan	A7	2009	0.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Bosnia and Herzegovina	A7	2009	4.1	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Apr-04
Botswana	A7	2009	5.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 50% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved
Brazil	A7	2009	21.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	May-96
Brunei Darussalam	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Burkina Faso	A7	2009	5.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-02
Burundi	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Cambodia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Cameroon	A7	2009	2.4	0.0	Decision XV/32		0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-02
Cape Verde	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	A A Y	
Central African Republic (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Chad	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Chile	A7	2009	8.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Mar-07
China	A7	2009	34,186.7	985.9				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-97
Colombia	A7	2009	187.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-03
Comoros (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Congo (the)	A7	2009	5.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-02 and Apr-08
Cook Islands (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Costa Rica	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Cote d'Ivoire	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Croatia	A7	2009	30.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-04
Cuba	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	A7	2009	218.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-02
Djibouti	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Dominica	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 50% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved
Dominican Republic (the)	A7	2009	4.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Mar-07
Ecuador	A7	2009	5.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Egypt	A7	2009	705.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-00
El Salvador	A7	2009	0.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Equatorial Guinea	A7	2009	28.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Apr-09
Eritrea	A7	2009	2.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Apr-08
Ethiopia	A7	2009	1.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01
Fiji	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Gabon	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Gambia (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Georgia	A7	2009	42.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-05
Ghana	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Grenada	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-98
Guatemala	A7	2009	0.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Guinea	A7	2009	8.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-02
Guinea-Bissau	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Guyana	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-98
Haiti	A7	2009	1.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-06
Honduras	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
India	A7	2009	1,249.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-00
Indonesia	A7	2009	354.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Mar-99
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A7	2009	1,420.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-99

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 50% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved
Iraq	A7	2009	70.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	Yes	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-09
Jamaica	A7	2009	1.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-98
Jordan	A7	2009	210.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-99
Kenya	A7	2009	5.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01
Kiribati	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Kuwait	A7	2009	3.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Kyrgyzstan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0	Decision XVII/36	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Apr-06
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Lebanon	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Mar-00
Lesotho	A7	2009	0.2	0.0	Decision XVI/25			0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01
Liberia	A7	2009	19.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	A7	2009	633.1	1.8	Decision XVII/37	0.00	0.00	0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-05
Madagascar	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Malawi	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Malaysia	A7	2009	8.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Mar-93
Maldives	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Mali	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Marshall Islands (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Mauritania	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Mauritius	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Mexico	A7	2009	124.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01
Micronesia (Federated States of)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Mongolia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 50% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved
Montenegro	A7	2009	2.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-07
Morocco	A7	2009	7.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Mozambique	A7	2009	0.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Myanmar	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Namibia	A7	2009	8.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01
Nauru	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Nepal	A7	2009	2.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Nicaragua	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Niger (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Nigeria	A7	2009	285.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-02
Niue	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Oman	A7	2009	13.7	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-05
Pakistan	A7	2009	14.2	0.0	Decision XVI/29		0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-03
Palau	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Panama	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Papua New Guinea	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Paraguay	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Peru	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Philippines (the)	A7	2009	103.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-95
Qatar	A7	2009	10.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Mar-00
Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	3,678.0	1,121.6				0%	Over	No	No		
Republic of Moldova (the)	A7	2009	0.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Rwanda	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Saint Kitts and Nevis	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Saint Lucia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 50% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	СР	2010	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Samoa	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Sao Tome and Principe	A7	2010	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Saudi Arabia	A7	2009	1,064.0	50.0				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-07
Senegal	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Serbia	A7	2009	3.8	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01
Seychelles	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Sierra Leone	A7	2009	16.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Mar-07
Singapore	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Solomon Islands	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Somalia	A7	2009	17.7	0.0	Decision XX/19	9.40	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
South Africa	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Sri Lanka	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-04
Sudan (the)	A7	2009	2.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Suriname	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Swaziland	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Syrian Arab Republic	A7	2009	416.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-01
Thailand	A7	2009	271.7	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-99
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	A7	2009	32.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-02
Timor-Leste	A7	2009	1.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with a halon baseline and no current consumption	
Togo	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Tonga	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Trinidad and Tobago	A7	2009	46.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-98

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 50% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved
Tunisia	A7	2009	104.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Jul-06
Turkey	A7	2009	141.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Nov-02
Turkmenistan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Tuvalu	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Uganda	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
United Arab Emirates (the)	A7	2009	75.4	2.5				0%	Over	No	No		
United Republic of Tanzania (the)	A7	2009	0.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01 and Apr-08
Uruguay	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Vanuatu	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Viet Nam	A7	2009	37.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Apr-05
Yemen	A7	2009	140.0	1.2				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Mar-00
Zambia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No		
Zimbabwe	A7	2009	1.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved halon banking and/or halon phase-out project	Dec-01

Appendix III

METHYL BROMIDE ANALYSIS

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 20% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Phase-Out	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
Afghanistan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Albania	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Algeria	A7	2009	4.7	1.8				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Nov-06	Yes
Angola	A7	2009	NDR	0.0						No	No	Country that is not yet Party to the Copenhagen Amendment		No
Antigua and Barbuda	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Argentina	A7	2009	411.3	262.9				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Mar-02	Yes
Armenia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0	Decision XVIII/20	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption (At its 47th Meeting, the Committee decided that support for achieving compliance should be provided under UNEP CAP)		Yes
Bahamas (the)	A7	2009	0.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 MB phase out target		Yes
Bahrain	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Bangladesh	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Barbados	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 MB phase out target		Yes
Belize	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 20% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Phase-Out	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
Benin	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Bhutan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	A7	2009	0.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-01	Yes
Bosnia and Herzegovina	A7	2009	3.5	0.0	Decision XV/30	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-03	Yes
Botswana	A7	2009	0.2	0.0	Decision XV/31	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-98	Yes
Brazil	A7	2009	711.6	-3.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-05	Yes
Brunei Darussalam	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Burkina Faso	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Burundi	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Cambodia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-05	Yes
Cameroon	A7	2009	18.1	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-07	Yes
Cape Verde	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Central African Republic (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Chad	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Chile	A7	2009	212.5	149.6	Decision XVII/29			0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-10	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 20% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
China	A7	2009	1,102.1	241.9				0%	Over	No	Yes	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB (Possible additional funding for 100 ODP tonnes of MB used as a soil fumigant in ginsen crop).	Dec-03	Yes
Colombia	A7	2009	110.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-08	Yes
Comoros (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Congo (the)	A7	2009	0.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Nov-02	Yes
Cook Islands (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Costa Rica	A7	2009	342.5	190.6				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-01	Yes
Cote d'Ivoire	A7	2009	8.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-04	Yes
Croatia	A7	2009	15.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-01	Yes
Cuba	A7	2009	50.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-04	Yes
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	30.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-98	Yes
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	A7	2009	1.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Nov-02	Yes
Djibouti	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Dominica	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Dominican Republic (the)	A7	2009	104.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-02	Yes
Ecuador	A7	2009	66.2	51.0	Decision XX/16	52.80	52.80	0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Nov-02	Yes
Egypt	A7	2009	238.1	190.2				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-08	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 20% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Phase-Out	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
El Salvador	A7	2009	1.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-05	Yes
Equatorial Guinea	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Eritrea	A7	2009	0.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Ethiopia	A7	2009	15.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 MB phase out target		Yes
Fiji	A7	2009	0.7	0.0	Decision XVII/33			0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-05	Yes
Gabon	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Gambia (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Georgia	A7	2009	13.7	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-05	Yes
Ghana	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Grenada	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Guatemala	A7	2009	400.7	239.9	Decision XVIII/26			0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-09	Yes
Guinea	A7	2009	NDR	0.0						No	No	Country that is not yet Party to the Copenhagen Amendment		No
Guinea-Bissau	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Guyana	A7	2009	1.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 MB phase out target		Yes
Haiti	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 20% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
Honduras	A7	2009	259.4	136.1	Decision XVII/34			0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-06	Yes
India	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Indonesia	A7	2009	40.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-04	Yes
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A7	2009	26.7	2.4				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-05	Yes
Iraq	A7	2009	4.6	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-10	Yes
Jamaica	A7	2009	4.9	1.3				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-05	Yes
Jordan	A7	2009	176.3	26.4				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-99	Yes
Kenya	A7	2009	217.5	3.6				0%	Over	Yes	Yes	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Nov-02	Yes
Kiribati	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Kuwait	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Kyrgyzstan	A7	2009	14.2	0.6				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-03	Yes
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Lebanon	A7	2009	236.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-01	Yes
Lesotho	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Liberia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	A7	2009	94.1	30.0	Decision XVII/37		0.00	0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-05	Yes
Madagascar	A7	2009	2.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-05	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 20% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction		Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
Malawi	A7	2009	112.8	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-00	Yes
Malaysia	A7	2009	14.6	3.4				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-04	Yes
Maldives	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Mali	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Marshall Islands (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Mauritania	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Mauritius	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-03	Yes
Mexico	A7	2009	1,130.8	745.4				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-08	Yes
Micronesia (Federated States of)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Mongolia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Montenegro	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Morocco	A7	2009	697.2	108.4				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-08	Yes
Mozambique	A7	2009	3.4	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-10	Yes
Myanmar	A7	2009	3.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Namibia	A7	2009	0.8	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Nauru	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 20% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
Nepal	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that is not yet Party to the Copenhagen Amendment		No
Nicaragua	A7	2009	0.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-05	Yes
Niger (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Nigeria	A7	2009	2.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Nov-02	Yes
Niue	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Oman	A7	2009	1.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-04	Yes
Pakistan	A7	2009	14.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-05	Yes
Palau	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Panama	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Papua New Guinea	A7	2009	0.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-03	Yes
Paraguay	A7	2009	0.9	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-05	Yes
Peru	A7	2009	1.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-00	Yes
Philippines (the)	A7	2009	10.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-04	Yes
Qatar	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Republic of Moldova (the)	A7	2009	7.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-05	Yes
Rwanda	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Saint Kitts and Nevis	A7	2009	0.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-06	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 20% Reduction	Over 100%		Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
Saint Lucia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	СР	2010	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Samoa	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Sao Tome and Principe	A7	2010	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Saudi Arabia	A7	2009	204.1	45.0				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-07	Yes
Senegal	A7	2009	53.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-01	Yes
Serbia	A7	2009	8.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Seychelles	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Sierra Leone	A7	2009	2.6	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-05	Yes
Singapore	A7	2009	5.0	0.9				0%	Over	No	No			Yes
Solomon Islands	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Somalia	A7	2009	0.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
South Africa	A7	2009	602.7	10.3				0%	Over	No	No	•		Yes
Sri Lanka	A7	2009	4.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-02	Yes
Sudan (the)	A7	2009	3.0	1.8				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Nov-02	Yes
Suriname	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 20% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
Swaziland	A7	2009	0.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Nov-02	Yes
Syrian Arab Republic	A7	2009	188.6	16.2				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-01	Yes
Thailand	A7	2009	183.0	44.3				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-04	Yes
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	A7	2009	12.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Dec-00	Yes
Timor-Leste	A7	2009	0.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Togo	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Tonga	A7	2009	0.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Trinidad and Tobago	A7	2009	1.7	0.5				0%	Over	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 MB phase out target		Yes
Tunisia	A7	2009	8.3	6.6				0%	Over	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 MB phase out target (Decision XV/12)		Yes
Turkey	A7	2009	479.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects that would as a minimum enable compliance with the 2005 MB limit	Dec-01	Yes
Turkmenistan	A7	2009	3.6	2.9				1%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-09	Yes
Tuvalu	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes
Uganda	A7	2009	6.3	0.0	Decision XV/43	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-01	Yes
United Arab Emirates (the)	A7	2009	7.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
United Republic of Tanzania (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with MB baseline equal to zero, or no calculated baseline or with no current consumption		Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	0	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Phase-Out	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified Copenhagen Amendment
Uruguay	A7	2009	11.2	8.4	Decision XVII/39	8.90	6.00	0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Jul-01	Yes
Vanuatu	A7	2009	0.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 MB phase out target		Yes
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	A7	2009	10.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Apr-05	Yes
Viet Nam	A7	2009	136.5	73.8				0%	Over	Yes	Yes	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-06	Yes
Yemen	A7	2009	54.5	25.0				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-08	Yes
Zambia	A7	2009	29.4	3.2				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-08	Yes
Zimbabwe	A7	2009	557.0	21.6				0%	Over	No	No	Country with approved projects for complete phase-out of MB	Nov-06	Yes

Appendix IV

CTC ANALYSIS

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption		Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target		Over 100% Reduction	Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Amendment
Afghanistan	A7	2009	0.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-05	Yes
Albania	A7	2009	3.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-03	Yes
Algeria	A7	2009	20.9	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-07	Yes
Angola	A7	2009	NDR	0.0						No	No			No
Antigua and Barbuda	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Argentina	A7	2009	187.2	-330.7				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
Armenia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Bahamas (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 CTC phase out target		Yes
Bahrain	A7	2009	0.8	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Bangladesh	A7	2009	5.7	0.1				0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-04	Yes
Barbados	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Belize	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Benin	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Bhutan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	A7	2009	0.3	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Mar-07	Yes
Bosnia and Herzegovina	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Botswana	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Brazil	A7	2009	411.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-08	Yes
Brunei Darussalam	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Burkina Faso	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Burundi	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Cambodia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-09	Yes
Cameroon	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	· ·		Yes
Cape Verde	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Reduction	Over 100% Reduction	Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Central African Republic (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Chad	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Chile	A7	2009	0.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
China	A7	2009	49,142.1	86.8				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-02	Yes
Colombia	A7	2009	6.1	0.6				0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-06	Yes
Comoros (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Congo (the)	A7	2009	0.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-08	Yes
Cook Islands (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Costa Rica	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Cote d'Ivoire	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Croatia	A7	2009	3.9	0.4				0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Cuba	A7	2009	2.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Jul-04	Yes
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	1,285.2	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	A7	2009	15.3	0.0	Decision XVIII/21			0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Mar-07	Yes
Djibouti	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Dominica	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Dominican Republic (the)	A7	2009	29.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 CTC phase out target		Yes
Ecuador	A7	2009	0.5	0.1				33%	Over	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 CTC phase out target		Yes
Egypt	A7	2009	38.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-04	Yes
El Salvador	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	- * * *		Yes
Equatorial Guinea	A7	2009	1.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Eritrea	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Ethiopia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Fiji	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 85% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Phase-Out	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Gabon	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Gambia (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Georgia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Ghana	A7	2009	0.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-04	Yes
Grenada	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Guatemala	A7	2009	10.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 CTC phase out target		Yes
Guinea	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Guinea-Bissau	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Guyana	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Haiti	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Honduras	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
India	A7	2009	11,505.3	38.2				0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Jul-03	Yes
Indonesia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-04	Yes
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A7	2009	77.0	0.0	Decision XIX/27			0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-06	Yes
Iraq	A7	2009	21.4	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	Yes	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Jul-09	Yes
Jamaica	A7	2009	2.8	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-04	Yes
Jordan	A7	2009	40.3	0.4				0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-02	Yes
Kenya	A7	2009	65.9	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-09	Yes
Kiribati	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Kuwait	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Jul-07	Yes
Kyrgyzstan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Lebanon	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Lesotho	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Liberia	A7	2009	0.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 CTC phase out target		Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 85% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction		Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Madagascar	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Jul-07	Yes
Malawi	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Malaysia	A7	2009	4.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-01	Yes
Maldives	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Mali	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Marshall Islands (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Mauritania	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Mauritius	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Mexico	A7	2009	62.5	0.0	Decision XVIII/30 and Decision XXI/20	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Jul-07	Yes
Micronesia (Federated States of)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Mongolia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Montenegro	A7	2009	1.1	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-07	Yes
Morocco	A7	2009	1.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Mozambique	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Myanmar	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Namibia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Nauru	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Nepal	A7	2009	0.9	0.1				0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-05	Yes
Nicaragua	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Niger (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Nigeria	A7	2009	152.8	0.0				0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Jul-04	Yes
Niue	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Oman	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Percentage Over 85% Reduction	Over 100%		Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Amendment
Pakistan	A7	2009	412.9	0.0	Decision XVIII/31			0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
Palau	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Panama	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Papua New Guinea	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Paraguay	A7	2009	0.6	0.0	Decision XIX/22	0.10	0.00	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Peru	A7	2009	1.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 CTC phase out target		Yes
Philippines (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Qatar	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	638.0	19.1				0%	Over	No	No			Yes
Republic of Moldova (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Rwanda	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Saint Kitts and Nevis	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Saint Lucia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	СР	2010	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-05	Yes
Samoa	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Sao Tome and Principe	A7	2010	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Saudi Arabia	A7	2009	259.2	1.9				0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-07	Yes
Senegal	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Serbia	A7	2009	18.8	1.5				0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-08	Yes
Seychelles	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Sierra Leone	A7	2009	2.6	0.1				0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-09	Yes
Singapore	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Solomon Islands	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Somalia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
South Africa	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Sri Lanka	A7	2009	35.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Jul-04	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target		Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Phase-Out	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Sudan (the)	A7	2009	2.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-04	Yes
Suriname	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Swaziland	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Syrian Arab Republic	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Thailand	A7	2009	7.5	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-01	Yes
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 CTC phase out target		Yes
Timor-Leste	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Togo	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Tonga	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Trinidad and Tobago	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Tunisia	A7	2009	2.9	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Turkey	A7	2009	105.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
Turkmenistan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Tuvalu	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Uganda	A7	2009	0.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Jul-08	Yes
United Arab Emirates (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
United Republic of Tanzania (the)	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-08	Yes
Uruguay	A7	2009	0.4	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Vanuatu	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	A7	2009	1,107.2	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Viet Nam	A7	2009	1.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Yemen	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Zambia	A7	2009	0.7	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Zimbabwe	A7	2009	11.6	0.0				0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved CTC phase-out plan/project	Nov-06	Yes

Appendix V

TCA ANALYSIS

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 30%		Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Afghanistan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Albania	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-03	Yes
Algeria	A7	2009	5.8	1.3				0%	0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Nov-07	Yes
Angola	A7	2009	NDR	0.0							No	No			No
Antigua and Barbuda	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Argentina	A7	2009	65.7	10.5				0%	0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
Armenia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Bahamas (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Bahrain	A7	2009	22.7	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Bangladesh	A7	2009	0.9	0.5	Decision XVII/27	0.55	0.26	0%	85%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-04	Yes
Barbados	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Belize	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Benin	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Bhutan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Bosnia and Herzegovina	A7	2009	1.6	0.0	Decision XVII/28			0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
Botswana	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Brazil	A7	2009	32.4	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase out target		Yes
Brunei Darussalam	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No		1	Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 30%	Percentage Over 70% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Burkina Faso	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Burundi	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Cambodia	A7	2009	0.5	0.0				0%	0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-09	Yes
Cameroon	A7	2009	8.2	0.0				0%	0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Nov-06	Yes
Cape Verde	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Central African Republic (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Chad	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Chile	A7	2009	6.4	0.0	Decision XVII/29	4.51	1.93	0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
China	A7	2009	721.2	83.6				0%	0%	Over	Yes	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Mar-00	Yes
Colombia	A7	2009	0.6	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase out target		Yes
Comoros (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Congo (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Cook Islands (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Costa Rica	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Cote d'Ivoire	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Croatia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Cuba	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	7.7	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase out target		Yes
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	A7	2009	4.7	0.0	Decision XVIII/21			0%	0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Mar-07	Yes
Djibouti	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes

Country	Source	Year of	Baseline	Latest	Compliance	2009	2010	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Ongoing	Phase-Out	Remarks	Date	Ratified
		Latest Consumption		Consumption	Decision	Action Plan Target	Action Plan Target			Over 100% Reduction	Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	in 2011 Business Plans		Approved	London Amendment
Dominica	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Dominican Republic (the)	A7	2009	3.6	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase out target		Yes
Ecuador	A7	2009	2.0	0.0	Decision XVII/31			0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase out target		Yes
Egypt	A7	2009	26.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-04	Yes
El Salvador	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Equatorial Guinea	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Eritrea	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Ethiopia	A7	2009	0.5	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Fiji	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Gabon	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Gambia (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Georgia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Ghana	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Grenada	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Guatemala	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Guinea	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Guinea-Bissau	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Guyana	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Haiti	A7	2009	0.2	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase out target		Yes
Honduras	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
India	A7	2009	122.2	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase out target		Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 30% Reduction	Reduction	Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Indonesia	A7	2009	13.3	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-04	Yes
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A7	2009	8.7	1.5				0%	0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Nov-06	Yes
Iraq	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Jul-09	Yes
Jamaica	A7	2009	1.4	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-04	Yes
Jordan	A7	2009	18.2	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Nov-02	Yes
Kenya	A7	2009	1.1	0.0				0%	0%	0%	Yes	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-09	Yes
Kiribati	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Kuwait	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Jul-07	Yes
Kyrgyzstan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Lebanon	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Lesotho	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Liberia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Madagascar	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Malawi	A7	2009	0.0	0.0			1	0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Malaysia	A7	2009	49.5	1.9				0%	0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-01	Yes
Maldives	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Mali	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Marshall Islands (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Mauritania	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 30%	Percentage Over 70% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Mauritius	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
Mexico	A7	2009	56.4	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase out target		Yes
Micronesia (Federated States of)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Mongolia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Montenegro	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Morocco	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Mozambique	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Myanmar	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Namibia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Nauru	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Nepal	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Nicaragua	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Niger (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Nigeria	A7	2009	32.9	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Jul-04	Yes
Niue	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Oman	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Pakistan	A7	2009	2.3	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Jul-03	Yes
Palau	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Panama	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Papua New Guinea	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Paraguay	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Peru	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Philippines (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Qatar	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	513.3	181.4				0%	18%	Over	No	No			Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 30%	Percentage Over 70% Reduction	Percentage Over 100% Reduction	Ongoing Phase-Out (As of March 2011)	Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Republic of Moldova (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Rwanda	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Saint Kitts and Nevis	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Saint Lucia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	СР	2010	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Nov-05	Yes
Samoa	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Sao Tome and Principe	A7	2010	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Saudi Arabia	A7	2009	29.8	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Nov-07	Yes
Senegal	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Serbia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Seychelles	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Sierra Leone	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Singapore	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Solomon Islands	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Somalia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
South Africa	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Sri Lanka	A7	2009	3.0	0.1				0%	0%	Over	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Jul-04	Yes
Sudan (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-04	Yes
Suriname	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Swaziland	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Syrian Arab Republic	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Thailand	A7	2009	54.6	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-01	Yes
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Timor-Leste	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No		1	Yes
Togo	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	Compliance Decision	2009 Action Plan Target	2010 Action Plan Target	Over 30%	Over 70%	Percentage Over 100% Reduction		Phase-Out in 2011 Business Plans	Remarks	Date Approved	Ratified London Amendment
Tonga	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Trinidad and Tobago	A7	2009	0.7	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country that has not received assistance to achieve the 2005 TCA phase out target		Yes
Tunisia	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Turkey	A7	2009	37.4	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Dec-03	Yes
Turkmenistan	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Tuvalu	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Uganda	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
United Arab Emirates (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
United Republic of Tanzania (the)	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Uruguay	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Vanuatu	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No			Yes
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	A7	2009	4.7	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Viet Nam	A7	2009	0.2	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Yemen	A7	2009	0.9	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-2005 and Jul-2008	Yes
Zambia	A7	2009	0.1	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Apr-05	Yes
Zimbabwe	A7	2009	0.0	0.0				0%	0%	0%	No	No	Country with an approved TCA phase-out plan/project	Nov-06	Yes

Appendix VI

HCFC ANALYSIS

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	HPMP Project Preparation Approved	Number of Individual Investment Projects Approved	Number of Demonstration Projects Approved	Activities in 2011 Business Plan	HPMP/ Individual Projects Approved	Date of Approval	HPMPs or Individual Projects Submitted to the 63rd Meeting for Consideration	Control Measures Addressed by HPMPs (Approval/ Submission)	Additional Percent of Stating Point/BP Baseline Addressed by Individual Projects (Approval/ Submission)	Phase-out approved (as of March 2011)
Afghanistan	A7	2009	NDR	22.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Albania	A7	2009	NDR	5.4	Yes			Yes						0.0
Algeria	A7	2009	NDR	30.2	Yes	1		Yes	Individual	Dec-10			8%	2.4
Angola	A7	2009	NDR	19.3	Yes			Yes						0.0
Antigua and Barbuda	A7	2009	NDR	0.5	Yes			Yes						0.0
Argentina	A7	2009	NDR	326.1	Yes	2		Yes	Individual	Jul-10			15%	53.5
Armenia	A7	2009	NDR	6.8	Yes			Yes	HPMP	Dec-10		2015 by 2015		2.2
Bahamas (the)	A7	2009	NDR	3.5	Yes			Yes						0.0
Bahrain	A7	2009	NDR	45.1	Yes			Yes						0.0
Bangladesh	A7	2009	NDR	67.7	Yes	1		Yes	Individual	Dec-10			28%	20.2
Barbados	A7	2009	NDR	4.5	Yes			Yes						0.0
Belize	A7	2009	NDR	2.5	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Benin	A7	2009	NDR	23.6	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Bhutan	A7	2009	NDR	0.3	Yes			Yes			HPMP	Total by 2020		0.0
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	A7	2009	NDR	4.4	Yes			Yes						0.0
Bosnia and Herzegovina	A7	2009	NDR	6.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Botswana	A7	2009	NDR	11.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Brazil	A7	2009	NDR	1,415.5	Yes		2	Yes						0.0
Brunei Darussalam	A7	2009	NDR	4.5	Yes			Yes						0.0
Burkina Faso	A7	2009	NDR	26.7	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Burundi	A7	2009	NDR	6.9	Yes			Yes						0.0
Cambodia	A7	2009	NDR	17.1	Yes			Yes	HPMP	Jul-10		Total by 2035		0.0
Cameroon	A7	2009	NDR	104.2	Yes			Yes						0.0
Cape Verde	A7	2009	NDR	1.8	Yes			Yes	T					0.0

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	HPMP Project Preparation Approved	Number of Individual Investment Projects Approved	Number of Demonstration Projects Approved	Activities in 2011 Business Plan	HPMP/ Individual Projects Approved	Date of Approval	HPMPs or Individual Projects Submitted to the 63rd Meeting for Consideration	Control Measures Addressed by HPMPs (Approval/ Submission)	Additional Percent of Stating Point/BP Baseline Addressed by Individual Projects (Approval/ Submission)	Phase-out approved (as of March 2011)
Central African Republic (the)	A7	2009	NDR	11.9	Yes			Yes						0.0
Chad	A7	2009	NDR	26.0	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Chile	A7	2009	NDR	75.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
China	A7	2009	NDR	18,602.7	Yes	1	6	Yes	Individual	Nov- 09/Apr- 10/Jul-10	HPMP (Sectorals)	2015 by 2015	0.2%	44.0
Colombia	A7	2009	NDR	209.7	Yes		1	No	HPMP	Dec-10		2015 by 2015		63.7
Comoros (the)	A7	2009	NDR	0.1	Yes			Yes						0.0
Congo (the)	A7	2009	NDR	7.1	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Cook Islands (the)	A7	2009	NDR	0.0	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Costa Rica	A7	2009	NDR	14.2	Yes			Yes						0.0
Cote d'Ivoire	A7	2009	NDR	61.7	Yes			No						0.0
Croatia	A7	2009	NDR	4.6	Yes			No	HPMP	Jul-10		Total by 2016		3.8
Cuba	A7	2009	NDR	11.7	Yes			Yes						0.0
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	NDR	61.8	Yes			Yes						0.0
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)	A7	2009	NDR	85.7	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
Djibouti	A7	2009	NDR	0.6	Yes			Yes						0.0
Dominica	A7	2009	NDR	0.4	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Dominican Republic (the)	A7	2009	NDR	48.5	Yes	1		Yes						3.7
Ecuador	A7	2009	NDR	20.7	Yes			Yes						0.0
Egypt	A7	2009	NDR	396.6	Yes	7	1	Yes	Individual	Dec-10			15%	63.9
El Salvador	A7	2009	NDR	11.9	Yes			Yes						0.0
Equatorial Guinea	A7	2009	NDR	13.9	Yes			Yes						0.0
Eritrea	A7	2009	NDR	0.1	Yes			Yes						0.0
Ethiopia	A7	2009	NDR	0.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Fiji	A7	2009	NDR	7.6	Yes			Yes						0.0

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption		Latest Consumption	HPMP Project Preparation Approved	Number of Individual Investment Projects Approved	Number of Demonstration Projects Approved	Activities in 2011 Business Plan	HPMP/ Individual Projects Approved	Date of Approval	HPMPs or Individual Projects Submitted to the 63rd Meeting for Consideration	Control Measures Addressed by HPMPs (Approval/ Submission)	Additional Percent of Stating Point/BP Baseline Addressed by Individual Projects (Approval/ Submission)	Phase-out approved (as of March 2011)
Gabon	A7	2009	NDR	29.7	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Gambia (the)	A7	2009	NDR	1.5	Yes			Yes						0.0
Georgia	A7	2009	NDR	4.6	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Ghana	A7	2009	NDR	77.3	Yes			No	HPMP	Jul-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Grenada	A7	2009	NDR	0.8	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Guatemala	A7	2009	NDR	9.4	Yes			Yes						0.0
Guinea	A7	2009	NDR	21.8	Yes			Yes						0.0
Guinea-Bissau	A7	2009	NDR	0.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Guyana	A7	2009	NDR	0.9	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
Haiti	A7	2009	NDR	1.9	Yes			Yes						0.0
Honduras	A7	2009	NDR	17.8	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
India	A7	2009	NDR	1,598.7	Yes			Yes						0.0
Indonesia	A7	2009	NDR	374.8	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A7	2009	NDR	312.4	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
Iraq	A7	2009	NDR	111.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Jamaica	A7	2009	NDR	18.2	Yes			Yes						0.0
Jordan	A7	2009	NDR	70.9	Yes	1		Yes	Individual	Apr-10			11%	8.1
Kenya	A7	2009	NDR	54.7	Yes			Yes						0.0
Kiribati	A7	2009	NDR	0.0	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Kuwait	A7	2009	NDR	398.1	Yes			Yes						0.0
Kyrgyzstan	A7	2009	NDR	4.4	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	A7	2009	NDR	1.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Lebanon	A7	2009	NDR	58.4	Yes			Yes						0.0
Lesotho	A7	2009	NDR	10.3	Yes			Yes	1					0.0
Liberia	A7	2009	NDR	5.0	Yes			Yes	1		HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	A7	2009	NDR	97.5	Yes			Yes						0.0
Madagascar	A7	2009	NDR	33.0	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	HPMP Project Preparation Approved	Number of Individual Investment Projects Approved	Number of Demonstration Projects Approved	Activities in 2011 Business Plan	HPMP/ Individual Projects Approved	Date of Approval	HPMPs or Individual Projects Submitted to the 63rd Meeting for Consideration	Control Measures Addressed by HPMPs (Approval/ Submission)	Additional Percent of Stating Point/BP Baseline Addressed by Individual Projects (Approval/ Submission)	Phase-out approved (as of March 2011)
Malawi	A7	2009	NDR	8.6	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Malaysia	A7	2009	NDR	494.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Maldives	A7	2009	NDR	5.1	Yes			No	HPMP	Apr-10		Total by 2020		0.0
Mali	A7	2009	NDR	14.4	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Marshall Islands (the)	A7	2009	NDR	0.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Mauritania	A7	2009	NDR	20.4	Yes			Yes						0.0
Mauritius	A7	2009	NDR	10.7	Yes			Yes			HPMP	Total by 2025		0.0
Mexico	A7	2009	NDR	1,125.9	Yes	1	1	Yes	Individual	Nov-09			5%	55.8
Micronesia (Federated States of)	A7	2009	NDR	0.1	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Mongolia	A7	2009	NDR	1.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Montenegro	A7	2009	NDR	0.9	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Morocco	A7	2009	NDR	68.0	Yes	1		Yes	Individual	Dec-10			16%	11.0
Mozambique	A7	2009	NDR	4.3	Yes			Yes						0.0
Myanmar	A7	2009	NDR	4.1	Yes			Yes						0.0
Namibia	A7	2009	NDR	6.0	Yes			Yes			HPMP	Total by 2020		0.0
Nauru	A7	2009	NDR	0.0	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Nepal	A7	2009	NDR	1.1	Yes			Yes						0.0
Nicaragua	A7	2009	NDR	6.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Niger (the)	A7	2009	NDR	36.3	Yes			Yes						0.0
Nigeria	A7	2009	NDR	370.0	Yes			Yes	HPMP	Dec-10		2015 by 2015		0.0
Niue	A7	2009	NDR	0.0	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Oman	A7	2009	NDR	30.7	Yes			Yes						0.0
Pakistan	A7	2009	NDR	239.8	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2015 by 2015		71.6
Palau	A7	2009	NDR	0.1	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Panama	A7	2009	NDR	25.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Papua New Guinea	A7	2009	NDR	3.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	Total by 2020		0.0
Paraguay	A7	2009	NDR	15.1	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Peru	A7	2009	NDR	27.3	Yes			Yes						0.0

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	HPMP Project Preparation Approved	Number of Individual Investment Projects Approved	Number of Demonstration Projects Approved	Activities in 2011 Business Plan	HPMP/ Individual Projects Approved	Date of Approval	HPMPs or Individual Projects Submitted to the 63rd Meeting for Consideration	Control Measures Addressed by HPMPs (Approval/ Submission)	Additional Percent of Stating Point/BP Baseline Addressed by Individual Projects (Approval/ Submission)	Phase-out approved (as of March 2011)
Philippines (the)	A7	2009	NDR	194.7	Yes	2		Yes	Individual	Dec-10			20%	40.0
Qatar	A7	2009	NDR	79.7	Yes			Yes						0.0
Republic of Korea (the)	A7	2009	NDR	1,768.9	No			No						0.0
Republic of Moldova (the)	A7	2009	NDR	1.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
Rwanda	A7	2009	NDR	3.8	Yes			Yes						0.0
Saint Kitts and Nevis	A7	2009	NDR	0.4	Yes			Yes						0.0
Saint Lucia	A7	2009	NDR	0.4	Yes			Yes						0.0
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	CP	2010	NDR	0.2	Yes			Yes						0.0
Samoa	A7	2009	NDR	0.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Sao Tome and Principe	A7	2010	NDR	0.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Saudi Arabia	A7	2009	NDR	1,362.0	Yes	4		Yes	Individual	Dec-10			12%	55.0
Senegal	A7	2009	NDR	34.8	Yes			Yes						0.0
Serbia	A7	2009	NDR	9.0	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		2.3
Seychelles	A7	2009	NDR	1.4	Yes			Yes			HPMP	Total by 2020		0.0
Sierra Leone	A7	2009	NDR	1.5	Yes			Yes						0.0
Singapore	A7	2009	NDR	226.0	No			No						0.0
Solomon Islands	A7	2009	NDR	1.6	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Somalia	A7	2009	NDR	44.9	Yes			No						0.0
South Africa	A7	2009	NDR	339.2	Yes			No						0.0
Sri Lanka	A7	2009	NDR	13.4	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.4
Sudan (the)	A7	2009	NDR	50.6	Yes	1		Yes	Individual	Dec-10			24%	11.9
Suriname	A7	2009	NDR	2.7	Yes			Yes						0.0
Swaziland	A7	2009	NDR	9.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Syrian Arab Republic	A7	2009	NDR	147.2	Yes	1		Yes	Individual	Dec-10			8%	12.9
Thailand	A7	2009	NDR	826.6	Yes			Yes						0.0

Country	Source	Year of Latest Consumption	Baseline	Latest Consumption	HPMP Project Preparation Approved	Number of Individual Investment Projects Approved	Number of Demonstration Projects Approved	Activities in 2011 Business Plan	HPMP/ Individual Projects Approved	Date of Approval	HPMPs or Individual Projects Submitted to the 63rd Meeting for Consideration	Control Measures Addressed by HPMPs (Approval/ Submission)	Additional Percent of Stating Point/BP Baseline Addressed by Individual Projects (Approval/ Submission)	Phase-out approved (as of March 2011)
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	A7	2009	NDR	4.0	Yes			Yes	HPMP	Apr-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Timor-Leste	A7	2009	NDR	0.5	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
Togo	A7	2009	NDR	20.5	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Tonga	A7	2009	NDR	0.0	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Trinidad and Tobago	A7	2009	NDR	38.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Tunisia	A7	2009	NDR	44.3	Yes			Yes						0.0
Turkey	A7	2009	NDR	609.9	Yes	1	1	Yes	Individual	Dec-10			46%	213.2
Turkmenistan	A7	2009	NDR	6.9	Yes			No	HPMP	Dec-10		2020 by 2020		0.0
Tuvalu	A7	2009	NDR	0.1	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Uganda	A7	2009	NDR	0.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
United Arab Emirates (the)	A7	2009	NDR	530.5	No			No						0.0
United Republic of Tanzania (the)	A7	2009	NDR	1.4	Yes			Yes						0.0
Uruguay	A7	2009	NDR	22.0	Yes			Yes						0.0
Vanuatu	A7	2009	NDR	0.1	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2020 by 2020		0.0
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	A7	2009	NDR	216.2	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
Viet Nam	A7	2009	NDR	207.5	Yes			Yes			HPMP	2015 by 2015		0.0
Yemen	A7	2009	NDR	NDR	Yes			Yes						0.0
Zambia	A7	2009	NDR	0.7	Yes			Yes						0.0
Zimbabwe	A7	2009	NDR	12.4	Yes			Yes						0.0

Annex II

INFORMATION ON COUNTRIES SUBJECT TO DECISIONS OF THE PARTIES ON COMPLIANCE

Party			Compliance issue	Actions	Fund Secretariat and Implementing Agency Comments	Achieved/Resolved/Regulatory Measures Operational (Secretariat assessment based on A7 data and agencies preliminary comments)
Albania	2.20 ODP tonnes in 2008 a		To reduce CFC consumption to 2.20 ODP tonnes in 2008 and to zero ODP tonnes by 1 January 2009.	2008 and 2009 CFC consumptions are zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data. The country is in compliance with 2008 and 2009 targets.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.	
Armenia	UNIDO	XVIII/20	MB phase-out	To maintain methyl bromide consumption to zero ODP tonnes from 2007.	2007, 2008 and 2009 consumptions are zero ODP tonnes.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Bangladesh	desh UNDP XXI/17 CFC phase-out		To reduce CFC consumption to no greater than 140 ODP tonnes in 2009 and zero ODP tonnes in 2010.		2010 target achieved as per agency.	
Bangladesh	UNDP	XVII/27	TCA phase-out	With a baseline of 0.9 ODP tonne and 30% reduction, the target is 0.63 ODP tonne. In 2010 methyl chloroform consumption should be reduced to 0.26 ODP tonne and zero ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015.	TCA consumption is 0.5 ODP tonnes in 2009 and is within target. UNDP input: There was no import of TCA in Bangladesh for the year 2010.	2010 target achieved as per agency.

Party	Agency Decision		Compliance issue	Actions	Fund Secretariat and Implementing Agency Comments	Achieved/Resolved/Regulatory Measures Operational (Secretariat assessment based on A7 data and agencies preliminary comments)
Belize	UNEP	XIV/33	CFC phase-out	To phase out CFC consumption by 1 January 2008.	2008 and 2009 consumptions are zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data. The country is in compliance with 2008 and 2009 targets.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	UNEP	XV/29	CFC phase-out	To phase out CFC consumption by 1 January 2010.	2008 consumption is 2.6 ODP tonnes for a target of 11.4 ODP tonnes. 2009 CFC consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Bosnia and Herzegovina	UNIDO	XXI/18 XXI/18 No greater than zero ODP tonnes in 2009 and 2010. Pending issues on custor clearance of equipmin have been solved.		data. UNIDO input: Pending issues on customs clearance of equipment have been solved. CFC consumption in 2010 is	2010 target achieved as per agency.	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	UNIDO	XV/30	MB phase-out	To phase out MB consumption by 1 January 2007.	2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Botswana	UNEP	XV/31	MB phase-out	To phase out MB consumption by 1 January 2005.	2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.

Party	Agency	Decisions	Compliance issue	Actions	Fund Secretariat and Implementing Agency Comments	Achieved/Resolved/Regulatory Measures Operational (Secretariat assessment based on A7 data and agencies preliminary comments)	
Brunei Darussalam			Licensing system	To establish a licensing system for ODS and report to the Secretariat by 31 May 2011.	ODS are controlled under the Customs Act. Annex A, B, C and E substances are included in the Customs (Prohibition and Restriction of Imports and Exports) (Amendment) Order 2005, promulgated on 20 April 2006. The country has already informed the Ozone Secretariat after the 22 nd MOP.	Compliance to be verified by 31 May 2011. Establishment of the licensing system not reported as at February 25th, 2011.	
Cameroon	UNEP	XV/32	Halon phase-out	To phase out halon consumption by 1 January 2010.	2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.	
Chile	UNDP	XVII/29	MB phase-out	To reduce methyl bromide consumption to 170 ODP tonnes in 2005 and zero ODP tonne by 1 January 2015.	2008 consumption 164.4 ODP tonnes for a target of 170 ODP tonnes. 2009 consumption is 149.6 ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.	
Chile	UNDP	XVII/29	TCA phase-out	To reduce methyl chloroform consumption to 1.93 ODP tonnes in 2010 and zero ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015.	2008 and 2009 consumptions are zero ODP tonnes.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.	
Dominica	UNEP	XVIII/22	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to zero ODP tonnes from 2008.	2008 and 2009 consumptions are zero ODP tonnes.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.	

Party	Agency	Decisions	Compliance issue	Actions	Fund Secretariat and Implementing Agency Comments	Achieved/Resolved/Regulatory Measures Operational (Secretariat assessment based on A7 data and agencies preliminary comments)
Ecuador	UNEP	XX/16	MB phase-out	To reduce methyl bromide consumption to 52.8 ODP tonnes in 2008 and in each subsequent calendar year until 2014 and zero ODP tonnes by 1 January 2015.	2008 and 2009 consumptions are 51.0 ODP tonnes respectively.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Ethiopia	UNEP	XXII/19	Licensing system	To establish a licensing system for ODS and report to the Secretariat by 31 May 2011.	Establishment of the licensing system not yet reported.	Compliance to be verified by 31 May 2011. Establishment of the licensing system not reported as at February 25 th , 2011.
Ethiopia	UNEP	XIV/34	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to 5 ODP tonnes in 2007 and to zero by 1 January 2010	2008 consumption is 4.3 ODP tonnes and 2009 consumption is 2.1 ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Guatemala	UNEP	XV/34	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to 20 ODP tonnes in 2007 and to zero by 1 January 2010	2008 consumption is 1.4 ODP tonnes and 2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Guinea-Bissau	UNEP	XVI/24	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to 3.941 ODP tonnes in 2007, 2008, 2009 and CFC phase-out consumption by 2010.	2009 consumption is 3.9 ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Kyrgyzstan	UNEP	XVII/36	Halon phase-out	To phase out halon consumption by 1 January 2008.	2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Lesotho	UNEP	XXII/19	Licensing system	To establish a licensing system for ODS and report to the Secretariat by 31 May 2011.	Establishment of the licensing system not yet reported.	Compliance to be verified by 31 May 2011. Establishment of the licensing system not reported as at February 25 th , 2011

Party	Agency	Decisions	Compliance issue	Actions	Fund Secretariat and Implementing Agency Comments	Achieved/Resolved/Regulatory Measures Operational (Secretariat assessment based on A7 data and agencies preliminary comments)
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	UNIDO	XV/36	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to 107 ODP tonnes in 2007 and to zero ODP tonnes by 1 January 2010.	2008 consumption is 21.9 ODP tonnes and 2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	UNIDO	XVII/37	Halon phase-out	To phase out halon consumption by 1 January 2008.	2008 consumption is zero ODP tonnes. UNEP reported that efforts to secure participation of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya to network activities in 2009 have not been successful. 2009 consumption increased to 1.8 ODP tonne as per A7 data.	Not in compliance in 2009. 2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)	UNIDO	XVII/37	MB phase-out	To reduce methyl bromide consumption to 75.000 ODP tonnes in 2007 and to phase out by 1 January 2010.	2007 and 2008 consumptions are 51.7 ODP tonnes and 2009 consumption is 30.0 ODP tonnes.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Maldives	UNEP	XV/37	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to zero ODP tonnes in 2008 and 2009; CFC consumption phase-out by 1 January 2010.	2008 and 2009 consumption are zero ODP tonnes. UNEP input: 2009 targets achieved. The Government has banned CFC imports in early 2008. NO CFCs have been imported since 2008.	2010 targets achieved as per agency.
Mexico	UNIDO	XVIII/30 and XXI/20	CTC phase-out	To reduce CTC consumption to no greater than zero ODP tonnes in 2009 and thereafter.	2009 consumption is zero as per A7 data. 2009 targets achieved.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.

Party	Agency	Decisions	Compliance issue	Actions	Fund Secretariat and Implementing Agency Comments	Achieved/Resolved/Regulatory Measures Operational (Secretariat assessment based on A7 data and agencies preliminary comments)
Micronesia (Federate States of)	UNEP	XVII/32	CFC phase-out	To phase out CFC consumption by 1 January 2006.	2008 and 2009 consumptions are zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Namibia			2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.		
Nepal	UNEP	XVI/27	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to 4.05 ODP tonnes in 2007, 4.05 ODP tonnes in 2008, 4 ODP tonnes in 2009, and zero ODP tonnes in 2010.	2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Nigeria	UNDP	XIV/30	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to 510 ODP-tonnes in 2007, 300 ODP tonnes in 2008 and 100 ODP tonnes in 2009. To phase out CFC consumption by 1 January 2010.	2009 consumption is 15.1 ODP tonnes.	2010 targets achieved as per agency.
Pakistan	UNDP	XVI/29	Halon phase-out	To phase out halon consumption by 2010.	2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Papua New Guinea	Germany	XV/40	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to 4.5 ODP tonnes in 2007 and to zero by 1 January 2010.	2008 consumption is -1.6 ODP tonnes and 2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.

Party	Agency			Actions	Fund Secretariat and Implementing Agency Comments	Achieved/Resolved/Regulatory Measures Operational (Secretariat assessment based on A7 data and agencies preliminary comments)
Paraguay			2009 consumption is 10.8 ODP tonnes.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.		
Paraguay	UNEP	XIX/22	consumption to 0.1 ODP tonne in 2007, 2008 and 2009. Zero ODP tonnes in 2010.		2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	UNEP	XVI/30	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to 0.1 ODP tonnes in 2009 and to zero ODP tonnes by 1 January 2010.	2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data. 2010 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per CP data.	Achieved based on CP data.
Saudi Arabia	UNEP	XXII/15	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to zero in 2010.	The country issued several procedural instructions to customs and relevant authorities to strengthen its licensing system and ensure its effectiveness across a large number of ports. UNEP input: reported 2009 A7 data indicates the country has returned to compliance; decision XXII/15 acknowledged so, and the country confirmed that 2010 CFC consumption is zero and will be reported as per the required data reporting schedule.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.

Party			Compliance issue	Actions	Fund Secretariat and Implementing Agency Comments	Achieved/Resolved/Regulatory Measures Operational (Secretariat assessment based on A7 data and agencies preliminary comments)	
Somalia	UNEP			To reduce CFC consumption to no greater than zero ODP tonnes in 2010.	2008 consumption is 20.0 ODP tonnes and 2009 consumption is 5.6 ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.	
Somalia	UNEP	XX/19	Halon phase-out	To reduce halon consumption to 9.4 ODP tonnes in 2008, 9.4 ODP tonnes in 2009, and zero ODP tonnes in 2010.	2008 and 2009 consumptions are zero ODP tonnes.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.	
Timor-Leste	UNEP	XXII/19	Licensing system	To establish a licensing system for ODS and report to the Secretariat by 31 May 2011.	The Ministry of Economy and Development had issued a Government notification to ban the importation of ODS and ODS-based equipment in 2010. The draft Decree Law on the establishment of the licensing system was presented to the Ministry of Economy and Development, and Ministry of Tourism, Commerce and Industry in February 2011.CAP will request the country to report officially to the Ozone Secretariat.	Achieved.	
Uganda	UNEP	XV/43	MB phase-out	To phase out MB consumption by 1 January 2007.	2009 consumption is zero ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.	

Party	Agency	Decisions	Compliance issue	Actions	Fund Secretariat and Implementing Agency Comments	Achieved/Resolved/Regulatory Measures Operational (Secretariat assessment based on A7 data and agencies preliminary comments)
Uruguay	UNDP	XVII/39	MB phase-out	To reduce methyl bromide consumption to 8.9 ODP tonnes in 2009 and 6.0 ODP tonnes in 2010; phase-out by 2013.	2009 consumptions is 8.4 ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	2010 compliance to be verified when A7 data is available.
Vanuatu	UNEP	XXII/18	CFC phase-out	To reduce CFC consumption to zero in 2010.	2009 consumptions is 0 ODP tonnes as per A7 data.	Achieved.

Annex III

REVISED COUNTRY PROGRAMME REPORT FORMAT

COUNTRY: XXXX YEAR: January to December of the year

YYYY

A. Data on Controlled Substances (in METRIC TONNES)

NOTE: Data entry is required in UNSHADED cells only

					Us	e by Sector									Remarks (e.g.,
				Refriger	ation		Process		Methyl b	romide*					stockpiling if use is different from
Substance ¹	Aerosol	Foam	Fire Fighting	Manufacturing	Servicing	Solvent	agent	Lab Use	QPS	Non-QPS	TOTAL	Import	Export ²	Production ²	consumption)
Annex B, Group III															
Methyl chloroform											0.00				
Sub-Total						0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annex C, Group I															
HCFC-22											0.00				
HCFC-141b											0.00				
HCFC-141b in imported															
pre-blended polyol											0.00				
HCFC-142b											0.00				
HCFC-123											0.00				
HCFC-124											0.00				
HCFC-133											0.00				
HCFC-225											0.00				
HCFC-225ca											0.00				
HCFC-225cb											0.00				
Other ³											0.00				
Other ³											0.00				
Sub-Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annex E															
Methyl bromide											0.00				
Sub-Total									0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TOTAL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

* QPS = Quarantine and pre-shipment; Non-QPS = Non-quarantine and pre-shipment.

1 Where the data involves a blend of two or more substances, the quantities of individual components of controlled substances must be indicated separately.

2 Where applicable.

3 Indicate relevant controlled substances.

хххх

B. Regulatory, administrative and supportive actions

		Н	CFC	
	TYPE OF ACTION / LEGISLATION	Yes/No	If Yes, since when (Date) / If No, planned date	Remarks
1.	REGULATIONS:			
1.1	Establishing guidelines to control import (production and export) of HCFCs			
1.1.1	Import/export licensing or permit system in place for HCFCs			
1.1.1.1	Import licensing system in place for HCFCs			
1.1.1.2	Export licensing system in place for HCFCs			
1.1.1.3	Permit system in place for import of HCFCs			
1.1.1.4	Permit system in place for export of HCFCs			
1.1.2	Regulatory procedures for HCFC data collection and reporting in place			
1.1.2.1	Regulatory procedures for HCFC data collection in place			
1.1.2.2	Regulatory procedures for HCFC data reporting in place			
1.1.3	Requiring permits for import or sale of HCFCs			
1.1.3.1	Requiring permits for import of HCFCs			
1.1.3.2	Requiring permits for sale of HCFCs			
1.1.4	Quota system in place for import of HCFCs			
1.1.5	Licensing/quota systems include accelerated HCFC control measures agreed in 2007			
1.1.5.1	Licensing system includes HCFC control measures			
1.1.5.2	Quota system includes HCFC control measures			
1.2	Banning import or sale of bulk quantities of:			
1.2.1	Banning import of bulk quantities of:			
1.2.1.1	TCA			
1.2.1.2	Methyl bromide			
1.2.1.3	HCFC			
1.2.2	Banning sale of bulk quantities of:			
1.2.2.1	TCA			
1.2.2.2	Methyl bromide			
1.2.2.3	HCFC			
		H Yes/No	CFC If Yes, since when (Date) / If	Remarks
			No, planned date	
1.3	Banning import or sale of ODS-based equipment and/or products			
1.3.1	Banning import of ODS-based equipment and/or products:			
1.3.1.1	Domestic refrigerators			

	TYPE OF ACTION / LEGISLATION	Yes/No	If Yes, since when (Date) / If No, planned date	Remarks
1.3.1.2	Freezers			
1.3.1.3	MAC systems			
1.3.1.4	Air conditioners			
1.3.1.5	Chillers			
1.3.1.6	Aerosols except for metered-dose inhalers			
1.3.1.7	Banining sale of ODS-based equipment and/or products:			
1.3.2	Banining sale of ODS-based equipment and/or products:			
1.3.2.1	Used domestic refrigerators			
1.3.2.2	Used freezers			
1.3.2.3	MAC systems			
1.3.2.4	Air conditioners			
1.3.2.5	Chillers			
1.3.2.6	Aerosols except for metered dose inhalers			
1.3.2.7	HCFC for production of some or all types of foam			
		Yes/No	If Yes, since when (Date) / If No, planned date	Remarks
1.4	Training and certification programmes			
1.4.1	Training programmes:			
1.4.1.1	For training of customs officers			
1.4.1.2	For training of refrigeration service technicians			
1.4.1.3	System for monitoring and evaluation of training programmes			
1.4.2	Certification programmes:			
1.4.2.1	For training of customs officers			
1.4.2.2	For training of refrigeration service technicians			
1.4.2.3	For certification of refrigeration service technicians			
1.4.2.4	System for monitoring and evaluation of training programmes			
		F		
		Yes/No	If Yes, since when (Date) / If No, planned date	Remarks
1.5	Recovery and recycling			
1.5.1	Mandatory recovery and recycling			
-				
1.5.2	Monitoring system for reporting on recovered and recycled			
	Monitoring system for reporting on recovered and recycled Other regulations (please specify)			
1.5.2				

	TYPE OF ACTION / LEGISLATION	Yes/No	If Yes, since when (Date) / If No, planned date	Remarks
1.7	HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyols			
1.7.1	Is HCFC-141b in imported pre-blended polyol reported under Article 7?			
		HCFC		
		Yes/No	If Yes, since when (Date) / If No, planned date	Remarks
2.	ENFORCEMENT OF ODS IMPORT CONTROLS			
2.1	Is registration of HCFC importers required?			
2.2	Is there a shared database on import quotas and actual imports between ozone office and customs?			
2.3	Have there been instances of unauthorized HCFC imports stopped?			
2.4	Are estimated quantities and origin of HCFC imports tracked by country?			

XXXX

C. Quantitative assessment of the phase-out programme

mport quotasticonese issued (martic tones)Methy channesMethy channesM	Description	Annual	Cumulative	Remarks
Mithly formideIHighly formide				
HCFC 22IIIHCFC 141b In Inported Pre-Indeed PolyalIIHCFC 141b Inforted Pre-Indeed PolyalIIHCFC 142bIIHCFC 133IIHCFC 133IIHCFC 235IIHCFC 226bIIHCFC 225cIIHCFC 225cIIHCFC 225cIIHCFC 225cIIHCFC 225cIIHCFC 225cIIHCFC 141b In Inported Pre-Unrold P	Methyl chloroform			
HCPC-141bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-141bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-142bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-123Imported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-124bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225caImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225cbImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225cbImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225cbImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225cbImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-141bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-142bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-142bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-142bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-142bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225caImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225caImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225caImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225caImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-225caImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-123Imported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-124bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-125caImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-125caImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC-124bImported Prostlended PolyalHCPC	Methyl bromide			
HCFC-141b Imported Pre-biended Polyal HCFC-123 Image: Constant of the second of the s	HCFC-22			
HCFC-142IHCFC-133IHCFC-134IHCFC-134IHCFC-235IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-255IHCFC-252IHCFC-141IHCFC-133IHCFC-256IHCFC-256IHCFC-256IHCFC-256IHCFC-256IHCFC-133IHCFC-133IHCFC-133IHCFC-134IHCFC-135IHCFC-134IHCFC-134IHCFC-134IHCFC-141IHCFC-143IHCFC-143IHCFC-144IHCFC-144IHCFC-145<	HCFC-141b			
ICPC 123ICPC 123ICPC 124ICPC 124ICPC 256ICPC 125ICPC 256ICPC 125Staper Quotasticense issuel (netric tones)ICPC 126Staper Quotasticense issuel (netric tones)ICPC 126ICPC 126ICPC 126ICPC 127ICPC 126ICPC 128ICPC 126ICPC 128ICPC 126ICPC 128ICPC 126ICPC 128ICPC 126ICPC 256ICPC 126ICPC 256ICPC 126ICPC 247ICPC 126ICPC 248ICPC 147ICPC 247ICPC 147ICPC 248ICPC 147ICPC 248ICPC 147 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
HCPC-124 Image: Control of Control O				
HCPC-133Image: constraint of the sector of the				
HGFC 2256 Image: Control of Control				
HCFC 2280aIChersISeport quotalleenses issued (netric tonnes)IMetty divortiomIMetty divortiomIMetty divortiomIMetty divortiomIMCFC 228IMCFC 2141IMCFC 2142IMCFC 2143IMCFC 2143IMCFC 228IMCFC 228IMCFC 228IMCFC 228IMCFC 228IMCFC 2250aIMCFC 2250aI </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
HCFC-235ch Image: State				
Others Control Control Methy disording Image: Control of Control o				
Expert quotabiliteries issued (metric tonnes) Image: control of the second				
Mainy disorder Image: Control of the second secon				
Methy bornideImage and the second				
HCFC22 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC141b in Imported Pre-blonded Polyol Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC123 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC124 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC125 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC126 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC225ce Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC225cb Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC225cb Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC11b in Imported Pre-blonded Polyol Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC127 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC128 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC129 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCFC225ca I				
HCPC-141b Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-142b Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-142b Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-133 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-225ca Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-225ca Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-225ca Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-225ca Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-141b Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-142b Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-123 Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-124b Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-225ca Imported Pre-blonded Polyol HCPC-				
HCPC-141b in Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCPC-142 HCPC-123 HCPC-124 HCPC-133 HCPC-225 HCPC-225cb Chers Average estimated retail price of ODS/subsitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-22cb HCPC-141b in Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCPC-142 HCPC-142 HCPC-142 HCPC-143 HCPC-142 HCPC-143 HCPC-22cb HCPC-123 HCPC-225				
HCFC-142b Image: Control of Control o				
HCPC-123 Image: Control of				
HCPC-124				
HCPC-133 Image: Control of the second seco				
HCPC-225 Image: Control of	HCFC-133			
HCPC-225cb Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-22 Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-241 Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-124 Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-124 Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-124 Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-124 Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-124 Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-225cb Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) HCPC-225cb Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) R-404A Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$/kg) Image: stimated retail price of ODS/substitute	HCFC-225			
Others				
Average estimated retail price of ODS/substitutes (US\$kg) Image: Constraint of Con				
HCFC-22 HCFC-141b HCFC-141b HCFC-141b HCFC-142b HCFC-142b HCFC-123 HCFC-123 HCFC-123 HCFC-124 HCFC-123 HCFC-123 HCFC-123 HCFC-124 HCFC-123 HCFC-225ca HCFC-225ca HCFC-225ca HCFC-225ca HCFC-300 HCFC-300 R-407C HCFC-226a HCFC-226a HFC-245fa HCFC-226a HCFC-226a HFC-245fa HCFC-227a HCFC-227a HFC-245fa HCFC-228a HCFC-227a HFC-245fa HCFC-228a HCFC-227a HFC-245fa HCFC-228a HCFC-228a HFC-245fa HCFC-228a HCFC-228a HFC-246ba HCFC-228a HCFC-228a HFC-245fa HCFC-228a HCFC-228a HFC-245fa				
HCFC-141b Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-141b Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-142b Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-123 Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-124 Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-124 Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-225 Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-225ca Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-225ca Imported Pre-blended Polyol R-404A Imported Pre-blended Polyol R-404A Imported Pre-blended Polyol R-407C Imported Pre-blended Polyol R-407C Imported Polyol R-407C Imported Polyol R-407C Imported Polyol R-407C Imported Polyol FPC-328mlc Imported Polyol Sobutane (FC-600a) Imported Polyol Propane (HC-200) Imported Polyol Pentane Imported Polyol Propane (HC-200) Imported Polyol Pentane Imported Polyol Polycloperative Imported Polyol Polycloperative Imported Polyol Number of usotres trained Impolyol <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
HCFC-141b in Imported Pre-blended Polyol Imported Pre-blended Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-123 Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-123 Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-123 Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-123 Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-225 Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-225ca Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-225ca Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-225ca Imported Pre-blended Polyol HCFC-314a Imported Pre-blended Polyol R-404A Imported Pre-blended Polyol R-407C Imported Pre-blended Polyol HFC-358mfc Imported Pre-blended Polyol HFC-358mfc Imported Pre-blended Polyol HFC-358mfc Imported Polyol HFC-358mfc Imported Polyol HFC-358mfc Imported Polyol HFC-358mfc Imported Polyol HFC-257ca Imported Polyol Sobutane (IC-600a) Imported Polyol Propane (IG-260) Imported Polyol Portane (IC-200) Imported Polyol Portane (IC-200) Imported Polyol Molt (for production of foam) Import				
HCFC-142b Image: Control of the second s				
HCFC-123 Image: Control of				
HCFC-124 HCC-124 HCC-123 HCC-225 HCC-225ca HCC-225cb HCC-134a HCC-134a R-404A HCC-134a R-404A HCC-225cb R-407C HCC-134a R-407C HCC-134a R-407C HCC-134a R-407C HCC-245fa HFC-245fa HCC-227ca HFC-245fa HCC-227ca Propane (HC-200) HCC-227ca Propane (HC-200) HCC-227ca Pentane Cyclopentane Mothyl formate HCC-270 Number of trainers for customs trained HCC-270 Number of trainers for customs trained HCC-270 Number of trainers for technicians trained HCC-270 Number of technicians trained HCC-270 Number of technicians trained HCC-270 Number of technicians trained HCC-270 HCC-272 rec				
HCFC-133 Image: Control of Contrel of Contrel of Contrel of Control of Contrel of Control of Cont				
HCFC-225 Image: Control of the second se				
HCFC-225ca Image: Control of Contrel of Control of Co				
HCFC-225cb Image: Control of Co				
HFC-134a Image: Control of Contrel of Contrel of Contrel of Control of Contrel of Control of Cont				
R-40AImage: constrained set of the set of				
R-507A R-410A R-407C HFC-245fa HFC-356mfc HFC-327ea Isobutane (HC-600a) Propane (HC-290) Pentane Qclopentane MDI (for production of foam) MDI (tor production of foam) MDI (tor protections for customs trained Number of trainers for customs trained				
R-410A Image: Constant of the set of the s				
HFC-245fa Image: Control of Con	R-410A			
HFC-356mfcImage: constraint of the second secon	R-407C			
HFC-227ea Image: Constraint of the second secon	HFC-245fa			
Isobutane (HC-600a)Image: science of funded recycling machines not in operationPropane (HC-290)Image: science of funded recycling machines not in operationPentaneImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationPentaneImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationPentaneImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationProper of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operationNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: science of funded recycling machines not in operation <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Propane (HC-290)	HFC-227ea			
Pentane Image: Cyclopentane Methyl formate Image: Cyclopentane Methyl formate Image: Cyclopentane MDI (for production of foam) Image: Cyclopentane Training programmes (HCFC) Image: Cyclopentane Number of trainers for customs trained Image: Cyclopentane Number of trainers for technicians trained Image: Cyclopentane Number of technicians trained Image: Cyclopentane Stimated HCFC-22 recovered with equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: Cyclopentane Total HCFC-22 reused Image: Cyclopentane Number of funded recovery machines in operation Image: Cyclopentane Number of funded recovery machines in operation Image: Cyclopentane Number of funded recycling machines not in operation Image: Cyclopentane <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Cyclopentane Image: Cyclopentane Methyl formate Image: Cyclopentane MDI (for production of foam) Image: Cyclopentane Training programmes (HCFC) Image: Cyclopentane Number of trainers for customs trained Image: Cyclopentane Number of trainers for customs trained Image: Cyclopentane Number of trainers for technicians trained Image: Cyclopentane Number of technicians trained Image: Cyclopentane Number of technicians certified Image: Cyclopentane Recovery/recycling/reused (metric tonnes where applicable) Image: Cyclopentane Estimated HCFC-22 recovered Image: Cyclopentane Estimated HCFC-22 recovered Image: Cyclopentane Estimated HCFC-22 recovered Image: Cyclopentane Number of funded recovery machines in operation Image: Cyclopentane Number of funded recovery machines not in operation Image: Cyclopentane Number of funded recovery machines not in operation Image: Cyclopentane Number of funded recovery machines not in operation Image: Cyclopentane Number of funded recovery machines not in operation Image: Cyclopentane Number of funded recovery machines not in operation Image: Cyclopentane <				
Methyl formateImage: Constraint of Constraint o				
MDI (for production of foam) Image: constrained strained strained strained Number of trainers for customs trained Image: constrained strained strained strained strained strained Number of trainers for technicians trained Image: constrained strained straine strained strained strained strained strained straine				
Training programmes (HCFC) Image: Constant of the experiment of trainers for customs trained Number of trainers for customs officers trained Image: Constant of the experiment of trainers for technicians trained Number of technicians trained Image: Constant of technicians trained Number of technicians trained Image: Constant of technicians trained Number of technicians trained Image: Constant of technicians trained Number of technicians certified Image: Constant of technicians certified Recovery/recycling/reused (metric tonnes where applicable) Image: Constant of the experiment funded by Multilateral Fund Total HCFC-22 recovered Image: Constant of the experiment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: Constant of the experiment of the experiment funded by Multilateral Fund Total HCFC-22 reused Image: Constant of the experiment of funded recovery machines in operation Image: Constant of the experiment of t				
Number of trainers for customs trainedImage: customs officers trainedNumber of customs officers trainedImage: customs officers trainedNumber of trainers for technicians trainedImage: customs officers trainedNumber of technicians trainedImage: customs officersNumber of technicians certifiedImage: customs officersRecovery/recycling/reused (metric tonnes where applicable)Image: customs officersEstimated HCFC-22 recovered with equipment funded by Multilateral FundImage: customs officersTotal HCFC-22 recoveredImage: customs officersStamated HCFC-22 reused with equipment funded by Multilateral FundImage: customs officersTotal HCFC-22 recoveredImage: customs officersNumber of funded recovery machines in operationImage: customs officersNumber of funded recovery machines not in operationImage: customs officersNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: customs officersNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: customs officersNumber of funded end-users convertedImage: customs officersNumber of funded end-users convertedImage: customs officersNumber of funded end-users convertedImage: customs officersNumber of funded end-users converted <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Number of customs officers trainedImage: customs officers trainedNumber of trainers for technicians trainedImage: customs officers trainedNumber of technicians trainedImage: customs officers trainedNumber of technicians certifiedImage: customs officers trainedRecovery/recycling/reused (metric tonnes where applicable)Image: customs officers trainedEstimated HCFC-22 recovered with equipment funded by Multilateral FundImage: customs officers trainedTotal HCFC-22 recoveredImage: customs officers trainedEstimated HCFC-22 reused with equipment funded by Multilateral FundImage: customs officers trainedTotal HCFC-22 reused with equipment funded by Multilateral FundImage: customs officers trainedTotal HCFC-22 reused with equipment funded by Multilateral FundImage: customs officers trainedNumber of funded recovery machines in operationImage: customs officers trainedNumber of funded recovery machines not in operationImage: customs officers trainedNumber of funded recycling machines in operationImage: customs officers trainedNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: customs officers trainedNumber of funded recycling machines not in operationImage: customs officers trainedNumber of funded end-users convertedImage: customs officers trained				
Number of trainers for technicians trained Image: constraint of technicians trained Number of technicians trained Image: constraint of technicians trained Number of technicians certified Image: constraint of technicians certified Recovery/recycling/reused (metric tonnes where applicable) Image: constraint of technicians certified Recovery/recycling/reused (metric tonnes where applicable) Image: constraint of technicians certified Estimated HCFC-22 recovered with equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: constraint of technicians in technicians in technicians in operation Total HCFC-22 reused Image: constraint of technicians in operation Number of funded recovery machines not in operation Image: constraint of technicians in operation Number of funded recycling machines in operation Image: constraint of technicians in operation Number of funded recycling machines in operation Image: constraint of technicians in operation Number of funded recycling machines not in operation Image: constraint of technicians in operation Number of funded recycling machines not in operation Image: constraint of technicians in operation Number of funded end-users converted Image: constraint of technicians in operation				
Number of technicians trained Image: Second Sec				
Number of technicians certified Image: constraint of technicians certified Recovery/recycling/reused (metric tonnes where applicable) Image: constraint of technicians certified Estimated HCFC-22 recovered with equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: constraint of technicians certified Total HCFC-22 recovered Image: constraint of technicians certified Image: constraint of technicians certified Estimated HCFC-22 recovered Image: constraint of technicians certified Image: constraint of technicians certified Total HCFC-22 reused Image: constraint of technicians certified Image: constraint of technicians certified Number of funded recovery machines in operation Image: constraint of technicians certified Image: constraint of technicians certified Number of funded recovery machines in operation Image: constraint of technicians certified Image: constraint of technicians certified Number of funded recovering machines not in operation Image: constraint of technicians certified Image: constraint certified Number of funded recovering machines not in operation Image: constraint certified Image: constraint certified Number of funded recovering machines not in operation Image: constraint certified Image: constraint certified Number of funded end-users converted Image: constratend Image: constraint certified				
Recovery/recycling/reused (metric tonnes where applicable)Image: constraint of the systemEstimated HCFC-22 recovered with equipment funded by Multilateral FundImage: constraint of the systemTotal HCFC-22 recoveredImage: constraint of the systemEstimated HCFC-22 reused with equipment funded by Multilateral FundImage: constraint of the systemTotal HCFC-22 reused with equipment funded by Multilateral FundImage: constraint of the systemTotal HCFC-22 reusedImage: constraint of the systemNumber of funded recovery machines in operationImage: constraint of the systemNumber of funded recovery machines in operationImage: constraint of the systemNumber of funded recovering machines in operationImage: constraint of the systemNumber of funded recovering machines not in operationImage: constraint of the systemNumber of funded recovering machines not in operationImage: constraint of the systemNumber of funded recovering machines not in operationImage: constraint of the systemNumber of funded end-users convertedImage: constraint of the system				
Estimated HCFC-22 recovered with equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: Constraint of the equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Total HCFC-22 reused with equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: Constraint of the equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Total HCFC-22 reused Image: Constraint of the equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: Constraint of the equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Total HCFC-22 reused Image: Constraint of the equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: Constraint of the equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Number of funded recovery machines in operation Image: Constraint of the equipment funded for equipment for funded for equipment for funded for equipment funded for equipment for equi				
Total HCFC-22 recovered				
Estimated HCFC-22 reused with equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: Constraint of the equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Total HCFC-22 reused Image: Constraint of the equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Image: Constraint of the equipment funded by Multilateral Fund Number of funded recovery machines in operation Image: Constraint of funded recovery machines not in operation Image: Constraint of funded recovery machines in operation Number of funded recycling machines not in operation Image: Constraint of funded recovery machines not in operation Image: Constraint of funded recovery machines not in operation Number of funded end-users converted Image: Constraint of funded end-users converted Image: Constraint of funded end-users converted				
Total HCFC-22 reused				
Number of funded recovery machines in operation Number of funded recovery machines not in operation Number of funded recycling machines in operation Number of funded recycling machines not in operation Number of funded recycling machines not in operation Number of funded recycling machines not in operation Number of funded end-users converted	Total HCFC-22 reused			
Number of funded recovery machines not in operation	Number of funded recovery machines in operation			
Number of funded recycling machines in operation	Number of funded recovery machines not in operation			
Number of funded end-users converted	Number of funded recycling machines in operation			
	Number of funded recycling machines not in operation			
Number of funded end-users retrofitted	Number of funded end-users converted			
	Number of funded end-users retrofitted			

D.	Qualitative assessment of the operation of HPMP
1.	Is the HPMP and its components (recovery and recycling programmes, equipment retrofit, training of technicians and customs, and legislation) proceeding as scheduled:
	 Yes No N/A HPMP already completed If not, please specify milestones and completion dates with delays, and explain reasons for the delay and measures taken to overcome the problems:
2.	The HCFC import licensing and quota system scheme functions:
	 Very well Satisfactorily Not so well Please specify problems encountered:
3.	The HCFC recovery and recycling programme functions:
	 Very well Satisfactorily Not so well Please specify problems encountered:
4.	The HPMP will enable the Government to achieve:
	 the 10% HCFC reduction target in 2015 the 35% HCFC reduction target in 2020 the 97.5% HCFC reduction target in 2030 the complete phase-out of HCFC in 2040 Accelerated total phase-out by
5.	Additional measures that are needed and planned to assist in the implementation of the HPMP and to achieve compliance:
E.	Comment by bilateral/implementing agency(ies)

Annex IV

COMPLETED PROJECTS

Agency	Code	Project Title
Australia	ASP/SEV/50/TAS/52	Additional support for the regional strategy for 11 Article 5 countries in the Pacific (PIC strategy)
IBRD	PHI/FUM/44/TAS/76	Technical assistance for a national methyl bromide phase-out strategy
IBRD	THA/HAL/32/INV/134	Terminal halon-1211 and halon-1301 phaseout project for fire equipment manufacturers and suppliers converting to ABC powder, CO2, HFC-227ea and inert gases
Japan	SRL/PHA/43/TAS/27	National compliance action plan: MAC recovery/recycling and retrofit
Japan	SRL/PHA/43/TAS/28	National compliance action plan: recovery and recycling programme
Spain	PAR/FUM/47/TAS/15	Technical assistance to phase-out the use of methyl bromide
UNDP	CUB/ARS/41/INV/23	Phase-out of CFC consumption in the manufacture of aerosol metered dose inhalers (MDIs)
UNEP	AFR/SEV/45/TAS/33	Sub-regional project on harmonisation of legislative and regulatory mechanisms to improve monitoring and control of ODS consumption in English-speaking Africa
UNEP	CPR/SEV/43/TRA/413	Policy training for local authorities (third tranche)
UNIDO	DOM/FUM/38/INV/33	Phase-out of methyl bromide in melon, flowers and tobacco
UNIDO	OMA/HAL/46/TAS/12	Halon consumption phase-out through a technical assistance programme and a halon recycling

ANNEX V

PROJECTS THAT ARE CLASSIFIED AS "SOME PROGRESS"

Agency	Code	Project Title
Canada	JAM/FUM/47/TAS/22	Technical assistance to phase-out the use of methyl bromide
IBRD	ARG/REF/18/INV/39	Elimination of CFC in the manufacturing plant of domestic refrigerators of Neba, S.A.
Japan	SRL/PHA/43/TAS/26	National compliance action plan: incentive programme for commercial and industrial refrigeration end-users
UNDP	AFR/FUM/38/TAS/32	Technical assistance for methyl bromide reductions and formulation of regional phase-out strategies for low-volume consuming countries
UNDP	CHI/REF/48/INV/160	Terminal umbrella project for phase-out of the use of CFC-11, CFC-12 and R-502 (CFC-115) in the manufacture of refrigeration equipment
UNDP	FIJ/FUM/47/TAS/17	Technical assistance project to install alternatives, achieve compliance and phase-out methyl bromide
UNDP	MAL/FUM/43/TAS/151	Technical assistance programme to install alternatives and phase-out all remaining non-QPS uses of methyl bromide
UNEP	GLO/REF/48/TAS/275	Global technical assistance programme in the chiller sector
UNIDO	ALG/REF/44/INV/62	Conversion of CFC-11 to HCFC-141b and CFC-12 to HFC-134a technology in the last group of commercial refrigerator manufactures (refrigeration sector terminal project)
UNIDO	EGY/ARS/50/INV/92	Phase-out of CFC consumption in the manufacture of aerosol metered dose inhalers (MDIs)

ANNEX VI

PROJECTS THAT ARE CLASSIFIED AS "NO PROGRESS"

Agency	Code	Project Title	
UNDP	COL/PAG/48/INV/66	Phase-out of CTC as process agent in the elimination of nitrogen trichloride during chlorine	
		production at Prodesal S.A.	

ANNEX VII

PROJECTS WITH EXISTING DECISIONS

Agency	Code	Project Title	Existing Decision
UNEP	SOM/SEV/35/TAS/01	Formulation of national phase out strategy	Milestone: Funding for country programming preparation to be obligated on the basis of a visit to Somalia by UNEP. Deadline: Six months following the UNEP visit, which would occur as soon as security conditions allowed. (Decision $54/4(c)$)

ANNEX VIII

PROJECTS FOR WHICH ADDITIONAL STATUS REPORTS WERE REQUESTED

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reasons
IBRD	IND/PRO/59/INV/435	Accelerated CFC production phase-out (first tranche)	Additional status report required to assess the status of signature of agreement
UNEP	SAU/SEV/53/INS/02	Institutional strengthening (establishment of Ozone Unit)	Additional status report required to assess the status of the preparation of the financial reports
UNIDO	AFR/REF/48/DEM/37	Strategic demonstration project for accelerated conversion of CFC chillers in 5 African Countries (Cameroon, Egypt, Namibia, Nigeria and Sudan)	Additional status report required to assess the status of the negotiation with the Nigerian Bank of Industry
UNIDO	ARG/PHA/47/INV/147	National CFC phase-out plan: 2006 work programme	Additional status report required to assess the status of customs clearance and tax exemption of equipment imported in 2009
UNIDO	ARG/PHA/50/INV/150	National CFC phase-out plan: 2007 work programme	Additional status report required to assess the status of customs clearance and tax exemption of equipment imported in 2009
UNIDO	ARG/PHA/53/INV/152	National CFC phase-out plan: 2008 work programme	Additional status report required to assess the status of customs clearance and tax exemption of equipment imported in 2009
UNIDO	IND/PHA/45/INV/385	CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors: 2005 annual programme	Additional status report required to assess the status of proposals from local suppliers
UNIDO	IND/PHA/49/INV/402	CTC phase-out plan for the consumption and production sectors: 2006 annual programme	Additional status report required to assess the status of proposals from local suppliers

ANNEX IX

PROJECTS FOR WHICH ADDITIONAL STATUS REPORTS WERE REQUESTED FOR HPMP DEVELOPMENT

Agency	Code	Project Title	Reasons
UNDP	PER/PHA/55/PRP/40	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Additional status report since the project is at an initial
			stage of development.
UNEP	ANT/PHA/55/PRP/12	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Additional status report since the project is at an initial
			stage of development.
UNEP	BGD/PHA/56/PRP/30	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Additional status report since the project is at an initial
			stage of development.
UNEP	BHA/PHA/55/PRP/15	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Additional status report since the project is at an initial
			stage of development.
UNEP	BRU/PHA/55/PRP/11	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Additional status report since the project is at an initial
			stage of development.
UNIDO	BHE/PHA/55/PRP/23	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Additional status report since the project is at an initial
			stage of development.
UNIDO	SOA/PHA/55/PRP/01	Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	Additional status report since the project is at an initial
			stage of development.

ANNEX X

LIST OF ACTIONS TO ENDEAVOUR TO OBTAIN CO-FINANCING BY AGENCY

	Germany	UNDP	UNEP	UNIDO	World Bank
Informed NOU	Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, China, India, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Mauritius, Namibia, Papua New Guinea, Seychelles, Zimbabwe.	Angola, Argentina, Armenia, Bangladesh, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brazil, Cambodia, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominican Republic (the), Egypt, El Salvador, Fiji, Gambia (the), Georgia, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Jamaica, Kyrgyzstan, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mexico, Nigeria, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines (the), Republic of Moldova (the), Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Trinidad and Tobago, Uruguay.	Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas (the), Bangladesh, Barbados, Belize, Bhutan, Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, China, Dominica, Ecuador, Grenada, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, India, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Lao People's Democratic Republic (the), Maldives, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Paraguay, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Sri Lanka, Suriname, Timor-Leste.	Albania, Algeria, Argentina, Bahrain, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cameroon, China, Croatia, Ecuador, Egypt, Guatemala, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Jordan, Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the), Kuwait, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the), Mexico, Montenegro, Morocco, Myanmar, Nicaragua, Niger (the), Nigeria, Oman, Pakistan, Philippines (the), Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Serbia, Somalia, South Africa, Sudan (the), Syrian Arab Republic, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Tunisia, Turkey, Turkmenistan, Uruguay, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of), Yemen.	China, Indonesia, Jordan, Philippines (the), Viet Nam, Thailand.
Enterprise co-financing for the non-eligible components	Liberia, Mauritius, Namibia, Seychelles.	Bangladesh, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic (the), El Salvador, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Jamaica, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mexico, Nigeria, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines (the), Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Trinidad and Tobago, Uruguay.		Algeria, Argentina, China, Croatia, Ecuador, Guatemala, Indonesia, Mexico, Montenegro, Morocco, Pakistan, South Africa, Sudan (the), Turkey, Turkmenistan, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of).	China, Indonesia, Jordan, Philippines (the), Thailand, Viet Nam.
Enterprise co-financing to cover technology	Liberia, Mauritius, Namibia, Seychelles.	Bangladesh, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic republic of), Lebanon, Malaysia, Nigeria, Philippines (the), Sri Lanka.		China, Indonesia.	China, Thailand, Viet Nam.
Enterprise co-financing to move sites		Brazil, Chile, Colombia.			

	Germany	UNDP	UNEP	UNIDO	World Bank
Government co-financing	Liberia, Mauritius, Namibia, Seychelles.	Bangladesh, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Lebanon, Malaysia, Nigeria, Paraguay, Peru, Sri Lanka, Uruguay.	Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas (the), Bangladesh, Barbados, Belize, Bhutan, Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, China, Dominica, Ecuador, Grenada, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, India, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Lao People's Democratic Republic (the), Maldives, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Paraguay, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Sri Lanka, Suriname, Timor-Leste.	Cameroon, Croatia, Mexico, Montenegro, Niger (the), Senegal, Serbia, Turkey, Turkmenistan.	Indonesia, Jordan, Viet Nam.
GEF for non-eligible components			Cambodia, Maldives, Pakistan.		
Bilateral for non-eligible components		Brazil, Colombia, Indonesia, Malaysia, Nigeria, Swaziland.	Belize, Bhutan, Maldives, Nepal.	Cameroon, Niger (the), Serbia, Turkey.	Viet Nam.
GEF energy efficiency and market transformation		Bangladesh, China, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Kyrgyzstan, Lebanon, Malaysia, Nigeria.	Bhutan, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan.		
UNDP thematic Trust Fund Project on EE in public buildings	Mauritius				
MEA funding opportunities					
Carbon markets				Bahrain, Ecuador, Egypt, Iraq, Mexico, Morocco, Oman, Pakistan, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of), Yemen.	
