

United Nations Environment Programme

Distr. GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/62/25 3 November 2010

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL Sixty-second Meeting Montreal, 29 November - 3 December 2010

PROJECT PROPOSAL: CHAD

This document consists of the comments and recommendations of the Fund Secretariat on the following project proposal:

Phase-out

• HCFC phase-out management plan (Stage I, first tranche)

UNEP/UNIDO

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

PROJECT EVALUATION SHEET – MULTI-YEAR PROJECTS

Chad

(I) PROJECT TITLE	AGENCY
HCFC Phase Out Management Plan	UNEP (lead), UNIDO
HCFC Phase Out Management Plan	UNEP (lead), UNIDO

(II) LATEST ARTICLE 7 DATA	Year: 2009	26 (ODP tonnes)

(III) LATEST COUNTRY PROGRAMME SECTORAL DATA (ODP								Year: 2009	
Chemical	Aerosol	Foam	Fire	Refrigera	tion	Solvent	Process	Lab	Total sector
				Manufacturing Servicing					
HCFC123									
HCFC124									
HCFC141b									
HCFC142b									
HCFC22					28.3				28.3

(IV) CONSUMPTION DATA (ODP tonnes)								
2009 - 2010 baseline (estimate):27.1Starting point for sustained aggregate reductions:27.1								
CONSUM	CONSUMPTION ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING (ODP tonnes)							
Already approved: 0.0 Remaining:		17.6						

(V)	(V) BUSINESS PLAN		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
U	JNEP	ODS phase-out (ODP tonnes)	1.081		1.081			2.162
		Funding (US \$)	150,000		150,000			300,000

(VI) PROJECT I	DATA		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Montreal Protocol consumption limits (estimate)		sumption limits	n/a	n/a	n/a	27.1	27.1	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	17.6	n/a
Maximum allow tonnes)	vable con	sumption (ODP	n/a	n/a	n/a	27.1	27.1	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	17.6	n/a
Project Costs	UNEP	Project costs	140,000			95,000			70,000			65,000		370,000
requested in principle(US\$)		Support costs	18,200			12,350			9,100			8,450		48,100
principle(03\$)	UNIDO	Project costs	155,000			105,000								260,000
		Support costs	11,625			7,875								19,500
Total project costs (US \$)	requested	in principle	295,000			200,000			70,000			65,000		630,000
Total support costs requested in principle (US \$)		29,825			20,225			9,100			8,450		67,600	
Total funds reques	sted in princ	ciple (US \$)	324,825			220,225			79,100			73,450		697,600

(VII) Request for funding for the first tranche (2010)								
Agency	Funds requested (US \$)	Support costs (US \$)	ODS phase-out (ODP tonnes)					
UNEP	140,000	18,200						
UNIDO	155,000	11,625						

Funding request:	Approval of funding for the first tranche (2010) as indicated above
Secretariat's recommendation:	Individual consideration

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. On behalf of the Government of Chad, UNEP, as the lead implementing agency, has submitted to the 62nd Meeting of the Executive Committee Stage 1 of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) at a total cost, as originally submitted, of US \$900,000 (excluding agencies support costs). The Government of Chad is requesting US \$500,000 plus agency support cost of US \$65,000 for UNEP and US \$400,000 plus agency support costs of US \$30,000 for UNIDO to meet the 35 per cent reduction by 2020.

2. The first tranche for Stage I being requested at this meeting amounts to US \$190,000 plus agency support cost of US \$24,700 for UNEP and US \$250,000 plus agency support cost of US \$18,750 for UNIDO, as originally submitted.

Background

ODS regulations

3. The Republic of Chad has a legislative, regulatory and legal framework for controlling the importation and distribution of HCFCs in its territory. The country has a licensing system that includes the 2007 HCFC control measures. While this licensing system already bans CFC imports, the quota system for HCFCs and HCFC-based equipment will be in place only by 2011. Chad also implements the sub regional regulation for the CEMAC (Commission de la Communauté Economique et Monétaire de l'Afrique Centrale) that harmonizes the management of controlled substances, including HCFCs in the sub region.

HCFC consumption

4. Chad does not produce or re-export HCFCs for domestic consumption. Most HCFC use is for servicing air conditioning, commercial and industrial refrigeration equipment. The analysis of HCFC consumption based on a survey done in 2009 showed an increasing trend, but indicated an increase of 48.93 per cent in 2008 and 35.14 per cent in 2009 (measured in metric tonnes).

5. The survey also indicated that less than half of the amount of R22 for domestic use came from official channels (licensed importers, sellers have the license or special permit). The rest came from the informal sector and escaped the customs control measures because of porous borders. HCFCs are imported from Nigeria, China, UAE and Europe, and from neighbouring African countries.

6. Table 1 presents data on HCFC consumption extracted from the survey and reported under Article 7. The country reported HCFC consumption of 4.9 ODP tonnes in 2005 and 0.4 ODP tonnes in 2006. However, according to UNEP, these data were erroneously recorded in metric tonnes by the Ozone Secretariat, therefore the ODP tonnage for these years is very low. Chad has already requested that this discrepancy be rectified.

	Arti	cle 7	Survey results			
Year	HCFC-22 (in metric tonnes)	HCFC-22 (in ODP tonnes)	HCFC-22 (in metric tonnes)	HCFC-22 (in ODP tonnes)		
2005	4.94*	0.3	89.8	4.9*		
2006	0.4*	0	7.2	0.4*		
2007	1.81	0.1	235	12.9		
2008	350	19.3	350	19.3		
2009	473	26	473	26		

Table 1: HCFC level of consumption from 2005 to 2009

*data discrepancy as pointed out in paragraph 5

Sectoral distribution of HCFCs

7. The survey showed that 743.56 mt (40.89 ODP tonnes) of R22 are contained in refrigeration equipment. The survey did not mention any use of R22 in the industrial uses. Table 2 presents the sectoral distribution of R22 contained in refrigeration equipment and the amount for servicing need.

Refrigeration equipment	Total units	('harge (tonnes)			Consumption for servicing (tonnes/year)			
		metric	ODP	metric	ODP			
Window AC	126,575	253.15	13.92	184.8	10.16			
Split AC	91,663	189.74	10.44	135.5	7.45			
Cold Room	4,078	273.23	15.03	133.7	7.35			
Ice blocks plant	863	14.50	0.80	9.2	0.51			
Display Cabinets	3,759	3.27	0.18	1.7	0.09			
Portable AC	765	0.54	0.03	0	0.00			
Central AC	507	9.13	0.50	8.1	0.45			
Total	228,210	743.56	40.9	473	26.01			

Table 2: Distribution of HCFC-22 in refrigeration systems in 2009

Calculation of consumption baseline

8. The estimated HCFC baseline for compliance is calculated using the average 2009 actual consumption of 473 mt (26 ODP tonnes) reported under Article 7 and the 2010 estimated consumption of 581.9 mt (32 ODP tonnes) which corresponds to 527.5 mt (29 ODP tonnes) to cover Chad's servicing need. The 2010 estimated consumption used a growth rate of 23.02 per cent from 2009.

HCFC phase-out strategy and costs

9. The Republic of Chad is proposing to freeze its HCFC consumption at the estimated baseline level of 527.5 mt (29 ODP tonnes) in 2013 and to gradually reduce its HCFCs consumption as per the following scenario: 10 per cent in 2015, 35 per cent in 2020, 67.5 per cent in 2025 and 97.5 per cent in 2030.

10. The proposed strategy in the HPMP calls for the conversion from HCFCs to alternatives such as HFCs, hydrocarbons, ammonia and others. The government of Chad is proposing to meet its compliance targets through the following activities:

- (a) Capacity building program for monitoring and controlling the importation and distribution;
- (b) Capacity building programme for refrigeration technicians in best practices;
- (c) Provision of refrigeration equipment to Centres of Excellence, refrigeration repair workshops and introduction of incentives for the conversion of refrigeration equipment;
- (d) Monitoring and evaluating the implementation of HPMP.

Cost of the HPMP

11. The total cost of the HPMP as submitted is US \$900,000 plus agency support costs of US \$101,000 including US \$65,000 for UNEP and US \$36,000 for UNIDO. These resources will allow the country to phase-out 184.6 mt (10.15 ODP tonnes) of HCFC by the end of 2020. In addition to the funding requested for the implementation of this HPMP, the government will contribute to providing human and material resources evaluated at US \$170,000. The breakdown of the HPMP cost is presented in table 4.

Table 4: Total cost of Stage I of the HPMP (US \$)

Project Title	UNEP	UNIDO	Total
Strengthening the national capacity to control HCFCs	170,000		170,000
Strengthening technical capacity of refrigeration technicians on good practices in refrigeration	170,000		170,000
Strengthening of centres of excellences, and establishing incentives		350,000	350,000
Development of investment projects for additional funding		50,000	50,000
Monitoring and evaluation of the implementation of HPMP	160,000		160,000
TOTAL	500,000	400,000	900,000

SECRETARIAT'S COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATION

COMMENTS

12. The Secretariat reviewed the HPMP for Chad in the context of the guidelines for the preparation of HPMPs (decision 54/39) and the criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector agreed at the 60^{th} Meeting (decision 60/44).

Issues related to HCFC consumption and starting point for aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption

13. The Secretariat queried the increase in HCFC consumption since 2005 (see table 1). UNEP advised that the data initially submitted under Article 7 was based mostly on estimated figures, which have now been verified through the survey during the HPMP preparation to assess the HCFCs required for servicing based on the refrigeration equipment population. The Secretariat noted that these increases were very high, and asked UNEP to consider using annual growth rate in HCFC consumption used for the preparation of the 2010-2014 business plans noted by the Executive Committee at the 61st Meeting which is 8 per cent for estimating future growth in consumption. Based on this discussion, UNEP agreed on an interim basis to use the same growth rate to estimate 2010 consumption based on the 2009 actual

consumption in order to calculate an estimated baseline. This resulted in a revised 2010 estimated consumption of 510.84 mt (28.09 ODP tonnes). Using this revised figure, the estimated baseline is therefore established at 491.92 mt. In line with decision 60/44, if the country uses an estimated baseline as its starting point, this may be adjusted to the actual baseline figure once the 2010 consumption is known in 2011.

The Secretariat also drew UNEP's attention to the fact that the currently estimated baseline of 14. 491.92 mt (27.05 ODP tonnes) confirms Chad's classification as a non-LVC in the adjusted 2010-2014 business plan. The Stage I of Chad's HPMP as a non-LVC country under decision 60/44 should only enable it to meet the 10 per cent reduction by 2015. Such funding will be calculated based on US \$4.5/kg of consumption based on the identified consumption in the servicing sector. This will amount to US \$221,364 for Stage I. UNEP indicated that it discussed this situation with the Government of Chad and the government requested that the country be treated as an LVC country despite the high estimated baseline consumption, and therefore access eligible funding for the category of countries whose HCFC consumption is in the refrigeration sector only under the above decision in order to reach the 35 per cent reduction in 2020. The government's reasons for this are: (1) that it acknowledges that it does not use HCFCs for manufacturing; (2) its consumption is only in the servicing sector; and (3) that the increase in consumption includes HCFCs imported for stockpiling and that the current consumption levels for the LVC category better reflect the real situation in the country. In doing so, the government also commits to phase out the total amount required for the 35 percent reduction by 2020 based on their estimated baseline of 491.92 mt and not on the consumption used to calculate funding (360 mt). In the case of Chad, this means that to comply with the 2020 control measure, the country needs to phase out 172.17 mt (i.e. 35 per cent of 491.92 mt). The issue of classification as an LVC country is for discussion under agenda item 7(a) "Overview of issues identified during project review".

15. The Secretariat also explained to UNEP that should the calculation of the actual baseline for Chad be different to that currently used to calculate eligible funding, the corresponding funds will be adjusted accordingly if the consumption level places the country in a category lower than currently estimated. However, because of its choice to be treated as an LVC, the country would not be entitled to any funding higher than the maximum funding for an LVC country (i.e. US \$630,000) to meet the 35% reduction by 2020.

Technical and cost issues

16. The Secretariat raised the issue related to HCFC policy and regulations. UNEP confirmed that Chad had a legislation system but that the quota system for controlling HCFC imports was not yet in place. The country intends to put this in place by 2011. UNEP also informed the Secretariat that the Beijing Amendment had been discussed by Chadian authorities and forwarded to the parliament. The Amendment is expected to be approved by the Government in 2010 and ratified by 2011.

17. The Secretariat noted the difficulties faced during the implementation of the refrigerant management plan (RMP) and the terminal phase-out management plan (TPMP) related to delay in implementing the investment projects, particularly the effect on the end-users programme and the procurement of equipment. The Secretariat encouraged Chad to ensure that the implementation of the remaining activities in the TPMP should be fully integrated into Stage I of the HPMP.

18. The Secretariat sought clarification on the content of the training provided in 2009 under the RMP and TPMP, and the training planned in the HPMP. UNEP explained that the training organized under the RMP and the TPMP did not target HCFC phase out and that the control and servicing equipment did not include HCFC-based AC equipment but was rather based on CFC based refrigeration equipment and mobile air conditioning systems.

19. UNEP also indicated that the equipment provided under the TPMP was delivered but proved to be insufficient, therefore additional equipment is requested in the HPMP. It also mentioned that some of the alternatives to HCFCs were not well known at the time the specifications were set to procure refrigeration recovery and recycling equipment, therefore new equipment is needed. Under the HPMP, the equipment to be provided will consist of tools for the refrigeration technicians to facilitate retrofitting of HCFC equipment (such as charging stations for alternatives and small working tools) and recovery machine for HCFCs and the alternatives to prevent leakage during maintenance services.

20. In line with decision 60/44 and paragraphs 14 and 15 above, the funding for the implementation of Chad's HPMP has been revised to US \$630,000 (excluding agencies' support costs) and covers activities for Stage I of the HPMP which requires a 35 per cent reduction until 2020. The total support cost is US \$67,600 and includes US \$48,100 for UNEP and US \$19,500 for UNIDO. The budget breakdown is presented in Table 5.

Description	UNEP	UNIDO	Total
Programme for Strengthening the national capacity to control HCFCs	120,000		120,000
Program technical capacity refrigeration technicians on good practices in refrigeration	130,000		130,000
Strengthening of centres of Excellences , and establishing incentives		260,000	260,000
Monitoring and evaluation of the implementation of HPMP	120,000		120,000
TOTAL	370,000	260,000	630,000

Table 5: Revised activities and estimated budget

Impact on climate

21. The technical assistance activities in the HPMP addressing the servicing sector, supported by the introduction of better service practices (through training of refrigeration technicians) will reduce the current amount of HCFC-22 used in the servicing sector (each kg of HCFC-22 not emitted due to better refrigeration practices, results in about 1.8 CO₂-equivalent tonnes saved). Additional CO₂-equivalent tonnes could be avoided through retrofitting HCFC-22 based equipment to HFC-407C refrigerant which represents the most technically viable option currently available (i.e., each kg of HCFC-22 retrofitted to HFC-407C results in about 0.11 CO₂-equivalent tonnes saved). If 10 per cent of the current service need of 473 mt of HCFC-22 (see table 2) is replaced with HFC-407C, the potential CO₂-equivalent saved could be 5,203 tonnes.

22. It is important to note that these reductions are associated with the activities being proposed in the HPMP (which are known). However, it does not take into consideration the new non-HCFC-based equipment that could be imported into the country (which is not known). In general, it can be assumed that the new refrigeration systems have been designed using more up-to-date technology (i.e., lower refrigerant charge, more robust construction, and stricter brazing procedures) than those being replaced, substantially reducing leakage rates and servicing needs.

Adjusted 2010-2014 business plans and funding eligibility per Decision 60/44

23. UNEP and UNIDO are requesting US \$630,000 plus support costs for the implementation of Stage I of the HPMP. The total value requested for the period 2010-2014 of US \$545,050, including support costs, is above the total amount in the adjusted business plan. The difference in the figures is

because Chad was classified as an non-LVC in the business plan, therefore its allocation for the HPMP was lower (i.e. calculated at \$4.5 kg based on the estimated baseline of 491.92 mt). The current submission requests funding for up to the 2020 control measures as Chad is requesting to be treated as an LVC country. In line with paragraph 14, Chad should be entitled as a non-LVC country to funding to meet only the 2015 control measure, at a maximum of US \$221,364. UNIDO did not provide any allocation in the adjusted business plan for Chad for the period 2010-2014.

Draft agreement

24. A draft agreement between the Government of Chad and the Executive Committee for the HCFCs phase-out is contained in Annex I of the present document.

RECOMMENDATION

25. The HPMP for Chad is submitted for individual consideration. The Executive Committee may wish to consider:

- (a) Noting with appreciation the submission of Stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Chad to achieve the 35 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2020 at an estimated cost of US \$630,000 (excluding agency support costs);
- (b) Noting that the Government of Chad agreed to establish as its baseline for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the estimated baseline of 491.92 metric tonnes (mt) calculated using actual consumption reported in Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol and the revised estimated 2010 consumption;
- (c) Whether or not to agree that the country be considered as an low-volume-consuming (LVC) country under decision 60/44 despite its estimated baseline being higher than 360 mt based on the discussion in agenda item 7(a) "Overview of issues identified during project review";
- (d) Approving, in principle, the HPMP for Chad for the period 2010-2020, at the amount of US \$370,000 plus agency support costs of US \$48,100 for UNEP and of US \$260,000 plus agency support costs of US \$19,500 for UNIDO based on the discussion in agenda item 7(a) "Overview of issues identified during project review";
- (e) Approving the Agreement between the Government of Chad and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, as contained in Annex I to the present document as per (c) and (d) above;
- (f) Requesting the Secretariat, once the baseline data is known, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption, to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption and of a potential related impact on the eligible funding level with any adjustments needed being made at the submission of the next tranche; and
- (g) Whether or not to approve the first implementation plan for 2011-2013, and the first tranche of Stage I of the HPMP for Chad at the amount of US \$140,000 plus agency support costs of US \$18,200 for UNEP, and US \$155,000 plus agency support costs of US \$11,625 for UNIDO as per (c) (d) and (e) above.

Annex I

DRAFT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CHAD AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUROCARBONS

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Chad (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 17.6 ODP tonnes prior to 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules with the understanding that this figure is to be revised one single time in 2011, when the baseline consumption for compliance would be established based on Article 7 data, with the funding to be adjusted accordingly, as per decision 60/44.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances) as the final reduction step under this agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3.

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A (the "Targets and Funding") to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the "Funding Approval Schedule").

4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification, to be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency, of achievement of these consumption limits as described in sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

- (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochloroflurocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved. Exempt are years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee Meeting at which the funding request is being presented;
- (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, except if the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
- (c) That the Country had submitted tranche implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (the "Format of Tranche Implementation Report and Plan") covering each previous calendar year, that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches, and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and
- (d) That the Country has submitted and received approval from the Executive Committee for a tranche implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A (the "Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each calendar year until and including the

year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the "Monitoring Institutions and Roles") will monitor and report on Implementation of the activities in the previous tranche implementation plan in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in sub-paragraph 5(b).

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest phase-down and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in a Tranche Implementation Plan and approved by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Major changes would relate to reallocations affecting in total 30 per cent or more of the funding of the last approved tranche, issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund, or changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the Tranche Implementation Report. Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon closure of the last tranche of the plan.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the "Lead IA") and UNIDO has agreed to be cooperating implementing agency (the "Cooperating IA") under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the IA taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities of the plan as detailed in the first submission of the HPMP with the changes approved as part of the subsequent tranche submissions, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have entered into a formal agreement regarding planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time activities be still outstanding which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per Appendix 4-A (a), (b), (d) and (e) continue until the time of the completion if not specified by the Executive Committee otherwise.

15. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes) (2010 data)
HCFC-22	С	Ι	27.05

APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol	n/a	n/a	n/a	27.1	27.1	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	17.6	
	reduction schedule of												
	Annex C, Group I												
	substances (ODP tonnes)												
1.2	Maximum allowable total	n/a	n/a	n/a	27.1	27.1	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	24.4	17.6	
	consumption of Annex C,												
	Group I substances												
	(ODP tonnes)												
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed	140,000			95,000			70,000			65,000		370,000
	funding (US \$)												
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA	18,200			12,350			9,100			8,450		48,100
	(US \$)												
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNIDO)	155,000			105,000								260,000
	agreed funding (US \$)												
2.4	Support costs for	11,625			7,875								19,500
	Cooperating IA (US \$)												
3.1	Total agreed funding	295,000			200,000			70,000			65,000		630,000
	(US \$)												
3.2	Total support costs	29,825			20,225			9,100			8,450		67,600
	(US \$)												
	Total agreed costs (US \$)				220,225			79,100			73,450		697,600
4.1.1 Total phase-out of HCFC-22 under this agreement (ODP tonnes)													9.5
4.1.2Phase-out of HCFC-22 in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)													0.0
4.1.3 Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22													17.6

APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval not earlier than the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

- 1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plan will consist of five parts:
 - (a) A narrative report regarding the progress in the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting on changes in the circumstances in the country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information about and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted tranche plan, such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information about activities in the current year;

- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken in the next tranche, highlighting their interdependence, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches. The description should also include a reference to the overall Plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain any revisions to the overall plan which were found to be necessary;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for the report and plan, submitted into a database. As per the relevant decisions of the Executive Committee in respect to the format required, the data should be submitted online. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), and will cover the same time periods and activities; it will also capture the quantitative information regarding any necessary revisions of the overall plan as per sub-paragraph 1(c) above. While the quantitative information is required only for previous and future years, the format will include the option to submit in addition information regarding the current year if desired by the country and lead implementing agency; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES

1. The NOU will submit annual progress reports of status of implementation of the HPMP to UNEP.

2. Monitoring of development of HPMP and verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the Plan, will be assigned to independent local company or to independent local consultants by UNEP.

APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These can be specified in the project document further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;
- (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
- (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall Plan and

in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;

- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the tranches and the overall Plan as specified in Appendix 4-A as well as project completion reports for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the co-ordinating implementing agencies, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent organization to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities can be specified in the respective project document further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$2,500 per metric tonne of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.